April 3, 2019

The Honorable Lamar Alexander, Chairman
The Honorable Patty Murray, Ranking Member
Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions
428 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Alexander and Ranking Member Murray:

The American Bar Association has a longstanding commitment to an effective, federally funded legal services program and has frequently expressed its views on related matters to Congressional committees. We are writing to provide the ABA’s evaluation of the qualifications of seven individuals nominated by the White House in 2019 to serve on the Legal Services Corporation Board of Directors.

In 1989 the ABA House of Delegates unanimously adopted, as Association policy, criteria that the ABA urges this Committee and the Senate to apply in considering nominees to the LSC Board of Directors. These criteria were derived from the principles and responsibilities set forth in the Legal Services Corporation Act. These criteria are:

- All persons considered for nomination should be free of all conflict, or the appearance of such conflict, with the existence and function of the Corporation or the representation of poor persons in legal matters, so that they may act and may be perceived to act with objectivity and fairness;
- All nominees should support and demonstrate a high order of commitment to the continued existence and the effective operation of the Legal Services Corporation;
- Those nominated should be committed to the freedom of the Legal Services Corporation, its operation and its grantees from political control;
- Potential board members should provide assurance that they understand and are fully committed to the role of legal services attorneys and support the underlying principle of the Legal Services Corporation Act that it is in the national interest that the poor have full access under law to comprehensive and effective legal services; and
- The Board of Directors as finally constituted should be adequately representative of the organized bar, legal education, legal services attorneys, the clients to be served, and organizations involved in the development of legal assistance for the poor.

Subsequent to this policy adoption by the ABA House of Delegates, the ABA Board of Governors authorized the ABA president or designee to express to the U.S. Senate the Association’s support or opposition with respect to individuals nominated to serve on the Legal Services Corporation Board. Such support or opposition is to be based on the evaluation of the
individuals by the ABA Standing Committee on Legal Aid and Indigent Defendants (SCLAID) in accordance with the five criteria stated above. SCLAID conducted evaluations of nominees to the LSC Board in 1993, 2003, 2006, 2009, 2010, and 2018, and submitted the ABA’s recommendation to this Committee.

For the current nominees, pursuant to previously established procedures, SCLAID formed a subcommittee to conduct an evaluation. The subcommittee did not include anyone who works for an LSC-funded legal services program or who has a conflict of interest regarding LSC. The subcommittee did not participate in any efforts to identify or suggest individuals for nomination to the LSC Board. Each nominee was notified that the ABA conducts nominee evaluations and was asked to complete a questionnaire concerning her or his background, experience, and views. Subcommittee members were then assigned to evaluate each nominee with regard to the ABA criteria. The subcommittee members reviewed available written materials authored by or concerning the nominee, conducted confidential interviews with people knowledgeable about the nominee, and interviewed each nominee. The subcommittee then convened and considered whether each nominee conformed to the ABA criteria set forth above.

With respect to the current list of nominees, four of the seven are current members of the LSC Board and were previously evaluated by SCLAID in 2009. These four nominees were not reevaluated by the subcommittee; instead, the subcommittee determined that the previous recommendations made by SCLAID would be affirmed. SCLAID completed its evaluation of the other three nominees in 2018.

Based upon its evaluations, reviews, and deliberations, the recommendations of the ABA are as follows for those individuals who have been nominated to serve as members of the Legal Services Corporation Board of Directors:

The ABA supports the confirmation of Robert J. Grey, Jr., of Virginia, who has demonstrated views and qualifications that are consistent with the ABA criteria set forth above;

The ABA supports the confirmation of Abigail L. Kuzma of Indiana, who has demonstrated views and qualifications that are consistent with the ABA criteria set forth above;

The ABA supports the confirmation of John G. Levi of Illinois, who has demonstrated views and qualifications that are consistent with the ABA criteria set forth above;

The ABA supports the confirmation of John G. Malcolm of the District of Columbia, who has demonstrated views and qualifications that are consistent with the ABA criteria set forth above;

The ABA supports the confirmation of Frank X. Neuner, Jr., of Louisiana, who has demonstrated views and qualifications that are consistent with the ABA criteria set forth above;

The ABA supports the confirmation of Julie Reiskin of Colorado, who has demonstrated views and qualifications that are consistent with the ABA criteria set forth above; and

The ABA supports the confirmation of Gloria Valencia-Weber of New Mexico, who has demonstrated views and qualifications that are consistent with the ABA criteria set forth above.
The American Bar Association was unable to fully assess whether the prospective Board is, in accordance with the ABA’s fifth criteria, adequately representative of various interested groups. Effective governance of the LSC requires a diverse Board that is representative of various constituencies. Because all nominations have not been made to date, it is not yet possible to evaluate the Board in its entirety. When nominations have been made to complete the LSC Board, the ABA will conduct evaluations of those nominees and will at that time address the question of whether the Board, as a whole, conforms to the fifth ABA criteria.

The ABA appreciates this Committee’s great interest in and support for the legal services program and hopes that the information provided will be helpful to you as you consider these nominations. If you have any further questions about these nominees we will endeavor to answer them as quickly as we can.

Yours Truly,

Robert M. Carlson, President
American Bar Association

Theodore A. Howard, Chair
Standing Committee on Legal Aid and Indigent Defendants

cc: Kristin Spiridon, Majority Chief Counsel for Oversight, Senate HELP Committee
    Carly Rush, Minority General Counsel and Minority Chief Counsel for Oversight, Senate HELP Committee