If there is a Neo-Chicago School of antitrust analysis, it remains undefined and inchoate. As it emerges, it will need to engage not only the substantive claims of the Post-Chicago School, but also the institutionalist claims of the Neo-Harvard School. The Paleo-Chicago School was all about substantive antitrust law, but had relatively little to say about antitrust institutions. Neo-Chicago should offer a positive institutionalist assessment, focusing on executive authority, technocratic administration, and judicial supremacy.