UNIQUE CHALLENGES TO THE SUPERVISION OF IMPAIRED DRIVER PROBATIONERS

Mark Stodola, American Probation and Parole Association (APPA) Probation Fellow

According to the Bureau of Justice Statistics, in 2013 there were more than four and a half million individuals on community supervision in the United States.1 Of this population approximately 15 percent of the individuals on probation or parole have a driving while impaired (DWI)2 conviction and approximately 8 percent have multiple DWI convictions.3 While statistics show that about two-thirds of individuals convicted of a DWI will self-correct, another one-third continue to drink, drive and pose a significant threat to our communities.4 These are the high-risk drunk drivers who often end up on adult probation caseloads.

The Traffic Injury Research Foundation (TIRF) describes typical high-risk drunk drivers as being white males in their late 20s to early 30s, unemployed or under employed with a low level of education. They often have non-driving related criminal convictions in addition to multiple DWI arrests. This population does not readily acknowledge that their drinking and driving behaviors are criminal, and they are highly resistant to treatment.5

The approach to addressing the behaviors of most drunk drivers involves sanctions to prevent driving (e.g., license revocation or suspension, incarceration) or drinking and getting behind the wheel of a vehicle (e.g., ignition interlock device). However, high risk drunk drivers who receive a felony conviction and a term of probation are typically ordered to abstain from the use of alcohol.6 It is not uncommon for these individuals to opt to serve a term of incarceration rather than serve a term of probation and address their behaviors in the community. Although the challenges to supervising this DWI population can be vexing, the reality is that they comprise just one of many high risk populations that find their way on to community supervision.

With the costs of prison and jail incarceration crippling local and state budgets, an array of high-risk offenders are finding themselves on probation. A typical adult probation caseload might consist of 70 or more individuals with convictions for drug offenses, thefts, domestic violence or sex offenses. Additionally, they may have significant mental health issues, involvement in gang activity or chronic poly-substance addictions that strain limited resources, while adding to the workload of the supervising officer. However, with the advent of evidence-based practice and assessment-driven supervision, probation officers have the ability to work smarter and better focus resources where they are most needed.

When faced with the specter of effectively addressing what could be a lifetime of alcohol dependence on the part of an assigned probationer, community supervision officers need to use a variety of tools, strategies and approaches to hold probationers accountable while promoting positive behavioral change. The following are examples of approaches officers can use when working with the high risk DWI population.

Assessments: Actuarial risk/needs assessments provide officers with valuable information that can be used to determine an individual’s level of risk to reoffend, as well as identify their criminogenic needs to be targeted for intervention. There are also a number of alcohol risk assessment instruments such as the Michigan Alcohol Screening Test (MAST) or the American Probation and Parole Association’s Impaired Driver Assessment (IDA) tool that give probation officers direction in determining the appropriate resources for monitoring and treatment of probationers on supervision for impaired driving, as well as in assessing their risk (i.e., likelihood to commit another DWI) to the community.

Supervision Plans: Using assessments as a roadmap, probation officers are better able to develop individualized case or supervision plans that outline supervision strategies and treatment services that will help hold impaired driver probationers accountable and promote prosocial behavioral change.

Partnership and Collaboration: Quite simply, it is impossible for probation officers to adequately manage the needs of high risk drunk drivers without actively developing partnerships with programs, agencies, organizations, and individuals within the community to enhance and support probationer supervision, treatment and recovery. For example, the use of technologies such as ignition interlock and transdermal alcohol monitoring devices as well as breathalyzer and urinalysis testing for drugs and alcohol are invaluable tools to promote probationer accountability. This requires an officer to develop expertise with these technologies and, just as importantly, a working relationship with the service providers to ensure there is ongoing communication regarding the probationer’s compliance.

continued, page 2
UNIQUE CHALLENGES TO THE SUPERVISION OF IMPAIRED DRIVER PROBATIONERS
continued from page 1

In addition, community-based substance abuse treatment programming is an essential component to the successful supervision of most high risk impaired driver probationers. Probation officers should actively engage with providers and communicate regularly about appropriate treatment regimen, program compliance, sobriety, and any obstacles to the individual’s successful completion of treatment. Probation departments also have the responsibility to ensure that treatment providers are assessing participants and placing them in treatment programming that is evidence-based and meets their individual needs.

Training and Research: Probation agencies are facing competing community interests and priorities with limited resources and often lack a sufficient degree of training for officers assigned to manage complex criminal populations. When community corrections staff do not receive adequate training to aid in the supervision of impaired driver probationers (particularly those deemed high risk), the effectiveness of community supervision is compromised.\(^7\) As such, it is imperative that those officers who supervise this challenging population have access to resources that will help them develop expertise with this population. Organizations such as the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), the Traffic Injury Research Foundation (TIRF), The Foundation for Advancing Alcohol Responsibility, and the American Probation and Parole Association (APPA) offer websites with invaluable information (e.g., publications, online training programs) related to the latest research on alcohol addiction, risky use and drinking trends, as well as on strategies for the supervision of impaired driver probationers. Applying this information, as well as investing in local and regional training opportunities, are among the best strategies community corrections agencies can implement to minimize the challenges to supervising impaired drivers and offer a focused solution that enhances public health and safety.

WHAT IS JUSTICE?

Hon. Audrey Barger
Hill County (MT) Justice of the Peace
Montana Judicial Outreach Liaison

The criminal justice system in the United States is vested with great power. This power is subject to checks and balances using a three-pronged approach. By design, the system must be presided over by a fair and impartial judge. Law enforcement and prosecution represent the government and a defender advises and speaks for the accused. Representatives of the government and defense counsel are not necessarily the antithesis of each other; their relationship is symbiotic and crucial for counterbalance.

From jurisdiction to jurisdiction, criminal justice systems vary from adequate to exemplary. An adequate criminal justice system upholds and defends constitutional rights to reach a lawful, right, or “just” result. However, the impact of an exemplary criminal justice system on the citizens in a community has the potential to change offender behavior to prevent deaths, particularly in the adjudication of impaired driving offenses.

When examining the scope of work performed within our criminal justice system, a murder offense is viewed as deserving of time, expertise, and resources. These cases are investigated and adjudicated with the greatest of care and conscience. These cases are legally complex and scientifically challenging. A victim’s life is lost and the outcome may end in the most...
serious of penalties for the accused. In 2012, a murder occurred within the United States once every 35.4 minutes, totaling 14,827 deaths. Compared to murder offenses, criminal justice systems tend to underrate the importance of adjudicating impaired driving offenses. The statistics strongly contradict this assessment. Automotive fatalities involving drivers with a breath alcohol content of .08 or greater resulted in one death every 51 minutes in the United States, totaling 10,322 deaths in 2012. These statistics do not include impaired driving fatalities resulting from drugged driving offenses, which indicate in 2012 another 5,765 fatalities resulted in instances where drivers tested positive for the presence of drugs.

Both of these offense types result in significant mortality statistics and share complexity and challenges. Impaired driving offenses are subject to rapidly changing case and statutory law applicable to the offense itself, investigative techniques, and search and seizure procedure. Technological and scientific advances continue to be developed for use during investigation in the field which translates into evidentiary issues in the courtroom. Instruments have been developed to detect and monitor alcohol use. Emerging evidence-based practices for rehabilitation continue to be expanded upon and developed. Professionalism in the criminal justice field requires not only knowledge of these issues, but the commitment and ability to assure the application of that knowledge to impaired driving cases.

Upon arrest, steps should be taken to apply appropriate release conditions for alleged offenders as provided for by legislation and mandated by case law within the jurisdiction. First, careful consideration of the facts is imperative. Does the individual have a record of prior alcohol related offenses and/or prior impaired driving? Is s/he employed and what are the hours of employment? Was there a BAC of .15 or greater at the time of the offense? Was there a crash? Was the individual’s driver’s license administratively suspended at the time of the stop? Who was with the individual when the alleged offense was committed? Where does the individual live with respect to the location of the Court? What financial ability does the offender have?

Next, there should be an inventory of the resources available for pre-trial monitoring. Is there access to a 24/7 sobriety program?; urinalysis testing?; transdermal monitoring?; ignition interlock?; remote breath alcohol monitoring?; a counselor to provide a chemical dependency evaluation? Finally, the facts and resources available should be used to set pre-trial conditions that are the least restrictive to assure public safety and provide adequate monitoring to deter re-offense.

Those working in the criminal justice system must work together to locate and implement monitoring and evaluation resources within the community. All professions involved in the criminal justice system want to assure they are not placed in the position of dealing with the aftermath of impaired driver re-offense during the pre-trial period, particularly one that causes a fatality.

At trial, the science of investigative techniques and evidentiary issues arising in impaired driving offenses has changed significantly over the last several years. Each jurisdiction has a standard for expanding a traffic stop into an impaired driving investigation. Law enforcement officers receive extensive training designating them as Drug Recognition Experts (DREs). Standardized Field Sobriety Tests (SFSTs) are routinely administered by law enforcement during their investigations. Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus (HGN) is administered by law enforcement in some jurisdictions as a routine Standardized Field Sobriety Test, which may be admitted into evidence if a proper foundation is laid. Analysis of breath and blood alcohol content has become routine in impaired driving offenses, and with that must come an understanding of the process, instrumentation, science, and administrative rules which govern each procedure. Proper investigation and administration of tests is compulsory. Knowledge of the issues in arguing for, against, and ruling on evidentiary issues in motions and at trial is imperative in proper acquittal or conviction of the accused.

In the event of a conviction, the role of the criminal justice system should shift to imposing the most effective sentence to rehabilitate the offender while protecting the public. As with setting bond conditions, it is of utmost importance that counsel work to provide the Court with as much information as possible for consideration. In addition to the information used to set bond conditions, assessment of chemical dependency and mental health needs as well as the offender’s conduct while on release conditions should be considered.

Individuals working within every prong of the criminal justice system should make efforts to be educated about the various resources available for sentencing purposes. Justice is not about a passion for incarceration, implementation of a method for escaping accountability, or the application of a standard DWI sentence. Rather, justice for the individual is best served by a criminal justice system that takes into account the circumstances and needs of the individual. Professionals are acknowledging that long term chemical dependency treatment in an appropriate level of care, combined with intensive alcohol and drug monitoring for accountability, result in the greatest rehabilitative environment for the addicted offender.

To this end, evidence-based DWI Courts and other treatment courts that serve impaired driving offenders have become more popular and are available in many areas. Enterprising rural jurisdictions have adopted a regional DWI Court model. Jurisdictions without those options have created programs like staggered sentencing, the 24/7 sobriety program for monitoring, and rapid referral for early assessment and treatment. Jurisdictions with sparse populations use a combination of chemical dependency treatment in conjunction with transdermal monitoring, ignition interlock, and remote breath alcohol monitoring.

For the criminal justice system to become effective in addressing these issues, continual training of personnel is critical. Both general and discipline-specific trainings are routinely offered. There are many free webinars that can be viewed on demand. For State by State jurisdictional questions visit the American Bar Association’s website at http://www.americanbar.org/groups/judicial/conferences/specialized_court_judges/NHTSA/nhtsa_liasions.html. This listing will provide contact information for your Regional Judicial Outreach Liaison who can offer available resources, training opportunities, and further referral to the Judicial Outreach Liaison for your individual State.

The 2013 statistics on impaired driving fatalities will be released at year end. Exemplary criminal justice systems assure proficient investigation and adjudication of impaired driving offenses and rehabilitation of offenders. Working toward these goals within our communities has the capacity to reduce impaired driving fatalities in 2015 and subsequent years. That is justice.
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keeping teen drivers safe – keeping them alive at 25

Susan Crotty, Program Development Executive
Driver Safety – Courts Division
National Safety Council

Teaching a teenager how to drive can be one of the most frustrating and frightening experiences of a parent's life. Receiving a driver's license is an exhilarating moment in a teen's life. It is a traditional rite of passage, giving the new driver a sense of liberation and adult responsibility. But it also is an incredibly dangerous time. As soon as teens begin driving alone, they are entering one of the most dangerous periods of their young lives.

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) reports more than 5,000 people die each year in crashes (NHTSA Fatality Analysis Reporting System 2012) involving teen drivers, and two-thirds of those fatalities are people other than the teen driver – passengers, other drivers, pedestrians and cyclists. According to NHTSA, students are 50 times more likely to arrive safely at school if they ride the bus rather than drive themselves. Add passengers to the car and a teen driver’s crash risk nearly doubles; it continues to grow with each additional passenger.

Seemingly overnight, children go from one of the safest modes of transportation – school buses – to driving themselves, ushering in the most dangerous time of teens’ lives. Car crashes are the No. 1 killer of teens in this country, and teen crashes happen with alarming frequency. The National Safety Council (NSC) estimates 50 percent of teens will be involved in crashes before they graduate from high school. Most of these crashes will be minor, but some will have lasting effects. Parents will bury children and friends will graduate alone simply because of a preventable car crash.

Impact of GDL

During the first few months of licensure, the crash risk for teen drivers is at its peak. [Daniel R. Mayhew, H.M. Simpson, A. Pak, “Changes in Collision Rates Among Novice Drivers During the First Months of Driving,” Accident Analysis and Prevention (September 2003)]. But studies show that this risk can be greatly reduced by increasing a novice driver's experience under controlled circumstances – a key concept in the success of Graduated Drivers Licensing (GDL) programs being adopted and implemented in states throughout the country.

GDL is proven to work. States with strong GDL systems have seen as much as a 40 percent reduction in teen-related crashes. [Jean T. Shope, “Graduated Driver Licensing: Review of Evaluation Results since 2002,” 38(2):165–175, Journal of Safety Research, (2007)] Studies performed by the NHTSA and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety came to the same conclusions. A 2010 study by IIHS found a 21 percent reduction in the fatal crash rate of 15- to 17-year-olds when beginner drivers were prohibited from driving with any teenagers in their vehicles versus allowing two or more teens.

Why teens crash and why crashes affect us all

Contrary to popular belief, most teens do not crash because they are engaging in dangerous behaviors. Teens crash most often because they are inexperienced drivers. [Allison E. Curry, Jessica Hafetz, Michael J. Kallan, Flaura Koplin Winston, Dennis R. Durbin, “Prevalence of Teen Driver Errors Leading to Serious Motor Vehicle Crashes,” Accident Analysis and Prevention (July 2011)]. It takes years for drivers to learn to scan the road ahead, anticipate what might happen, develop instinctive responses to what is happening and adjust to complex driving environments. New drivers need to gradually gain experience in lower-risk driving environments and they must avoid situations that detract from the task of driving.

Distractions such as carrying young passengers and using cell phones can divert teen drivers’ attention in a dangerous way. Two or more peer passengers more than triples the risk of a fatal crash when a teen is at the wheel [Flaura Koplin Winston, Michael J. Kallan, Teresa Senserrick, Michael R. Elliott, “Risk Factors for Death Among Older Children and Teenage Motor Vehicle Passengers,” 162(3): 259, Archives of Pediatric Medicine (March 2008)]. And drivers of any age or level of experience are four times as likely to crash while using cell phones, regardless of whether the devices are hands-free or handheld [Donald A. Redelmeier, M.D., Robert J. Tibshirani, Ph.D., “Association Between Cellular-Telephone Calls and Motor Vehicle Collisions,” 336-7, The New England Journal of Medicine, (February 13, 1997)]. Parents can have significant control over these risk factors. They can reinforce the importance of vigilant and focused driving, set good examples for younger drivers and passengers, and restrict driving privileges when teens disregard their own safety.

Developing good teen drivers not only reduces crashes and saves lives, it also reduces the financial impact of teen crashes and saves money. The cost of teen crashes is about $37 billion each year. The bills fall largely to individuals and taxpayers who must pay higher insurance premiums, medical costs, and state Medicaid costs to care for the uninsured and lost wages. Studies show strong state Graduated Driver Licensing (GDL) policies help offset these economic costs and saves lives. According to the 2011 License to Save report issued by The Allstate Foundation, the U.S. could save more than 2,000 lives – and $13 billion each year – if all 50 states and D.C. strengthened existing teen driving laws and implemented best-practice GDL systems. [National Safety Council, “License to Save,” The Allstate Foundation (December 2011)].
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Parental involvement

Studies show parents have the most influence over their teens’ driving habits [TRU, The Allstate Foundation, (2013)]. Teens are often blamed unfairly for their driving mistakes. But they are inexperienced behind the wheel and it takes far longer than the typical driving permit period to develop the experience and skill to drive safely.

With strong state GDL policies – and education about and enforcement of those policies – change is possible and teen crash rates can be reduced. Serving as the nation’s leading safety advocate for more than 100 years, the National Safety Council is a nonprofit organization with the mission to save lives by preventing injuries and deaths at work, in homes and communities, and on the road through leadership, research, education and advocacy. Working to make the world measurably safer, Teen traffic violators can benefit from driver safety training to learn proven strategies that can improve the safety of young drivers on the road.

Educati on

Alive at 25 was specifically developed by NSC to help reduce teen driver collisions, injuries and fatalities caused by motor vehicle crashes. Designed to complement traditional driver education programs that focus on state laws and road and vehicle handling, Alive at 25 helps young drivers take greater responsibility for their driving by focusing on behavior, judgment and decision making.

Going further with alternative sentencing options

Proven to help teach young adults to drive safely and responsibly, Alive at 25 is a highly-interactive course that helps young adults under the age of 25 take greater responsibility for their driving by focusing on behavior, judgment, and decision making. Available in English and Spanish, this 4-hour classroom course is an excellent complement to driver education programs that traditionally focus on state laws and vehicle and road handling. This driver training program helps teach young drivers that there are consequences to their driving behavior and provides the tools for making positive choices. Through interactive media segments, group discussions, role playing and workbook exercises, young drivers develop convictions and strategies that keep them safe on the road. With a strong emphasis on classroom participation, teens are encouraged to join in non-threatening, non-judgmental discussions – exploring through this behavior modification course how changing driver behavior makes personal, legal and financial sense. After taking a final written test, students who pass receive a certificate of completion to turn back into the court proving their participation in this course.

New direction for traffic violators

Alive at 25 features proven, effective facilitation methods for teens learning to drive and young adults who drive as part as their job responsibilities by helping them to change driver attitudes and behaviors. It provides skill practices and defensive driving techniques to help young adults drive safe and more responsibly plus coping techniques addressing peer pressure, distractions, cell phone use, and driving hazards before those instances occur. Knowing when and how to safely hand those keys over to our nation’s youngest, most vulnerable drivers will help save lives and make our roadways safer for all motorists.
DATES TO REMEMBER:

February 1  Super Bowl XLIX

March 4-6  NCSCJ Traffic Court Seminar
            Hotel Contessa
            San Antonio TX
            www.ambar.org/2015TrafficSeminar

March 15 - 17  Lifesavers
               Chicago, IL
               http://www.lifesaversconference.org/

March 17  St. Patrick’s Day

March 23-26  Behind the Wheel: Today’s Traffic Offender:
              Reno, Nevada
              https://register.judges.org/

CONTACT INFO continued

State Judicial Outreach Liaisons:
Delaware: Hon. Richard Gebelein:
          Richard.Gebelein@state.de.us

Georgia: Hon. Kent Lawrence:
         klawrence@gohs.ga.gov

Indiana: Hon. Tim Oakes:
          in.jol.tim@gmail.com

Michigan: Hon. Patrick Bowler:
          pcbowler@gmail.com

Mississippi: Hon. Samac S. Richardson:
           smcrson@gmail.com

Montana: Hon. Audrey Barger:
         Audrey@audreybarger.com

Oklahoma: Hon. Carol Hubbard:
          hubbardranch@msn.com

Pennsylvania: Hon. Michael Barrasso:
              mbarrassse@gmail.com

South Carolina: Hon. J. Mark Hayes, II:
                mhayesj@sccourts.org

Tennessee: Hon. Leon Burns:
          leoncburns@gmail.com

Texas: Hon. Laura Weiser:
       lweiser@yourhonor.com

Vermont: Ben W. Joseph:
          bwdisputes@hotmail.com

Washington: Hon. Scott Bergstedt:
           scott@bergstedtlaw.com

DON’T FORGET

Valuable resources can be found at these sites:

Traffic Resource Center for Judges
www.trafficresourcecenter.org

NHTSA
www.nhtsa.gov