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I. CHAIR’S REPORT

Lucian E. Dervan

Associate Professor of Law
Director of Criminal Justice Studies
Belmont University College of Law

One of the greatest strengths of the American Bar Association Criminal Justice Section is the collaborative spirit created by bringing together members of the criminal justice community with diverse and differing perspectives and roles yet with a collective commitment to justice and fairness. Watching prosecutors, defense counsel, judges, academics, and others in the section work diligently together over the years to understand and address the most pressing issues facing the criminal justice system has been one of the great joys of my professional life. I am incredibly humbled and honored, therefore, to have had the opportunity to lead the section as chair during this last year.

The 2018-19 bar year was a busy one for the Criminal Justice Section as we engaged in a wide multitude of events and projects. This report will only begin to touch on all of the work of the section and its members, but I hope to provide a brief snapshot of some of the year’s events.

Early in my tenure as chair, we convened the Eleventh Annual Fall Institute in Washington, DC. This year’s Fall Institute focused on highlighting the important work of our section’s committees, initiatives, and task forces. Our almost 50 committees work diligently throughout the year addressing issues ranging from law enforcement to military justice, sentencing to mental health, and much more. We also have a number of initiatives and task forces examining pressing and developing issues and creating policies and recommendations to assist the criminal justice community in determining how best to proceed. While it was impossible during the two-day Institute to showcase all of the amazing work being done, the panels that were presented provided lessons about the diversity of interests and the wide range of projects within our section.

Our fall program included a keynote address by Judge Jed Rakoff of the Southern District of New York discussing the role of plea bargaining in the criminal justice system. His remarks focused on plea bargaining’s rise to dominance and considered what might result from judges becoming more actively involved in the plea process. Judge Rakoff’s remarks were followed by a panel discussion that further explored plea bargaining and which was led by Professor Russell Covey, who is joining me as co-chair of the new Plea Bargaining Task Force, which I will discuss in greater detail later in the report. These morning discussions provided much to consider in a country where 95% to 98% of cases are resolved through pleas of guilt.

Other panels and discussions during the Fall Institute touched on issues such as the role of the media in white collar investigations, prosecutors as agents of change, GITMO twelve years later, re-entry and innovation, and what civilians can learn from the military experience with sexual assault and harassment. Each of these sessions brought attention to important issues and showcased the work of our members and committees.

A special part of the Fall Institute is always the awards presentation, during which we have the opportunity to recognize leaders in the criminal justice community and highlight the work of a number of extraordinary people and institutions. The awards luncheon began with an address by Hilarie Bass, immediate past President of the American Bar Association. During her remarks, she discussed her work as President exploring issues related to women in the profession and offered important insights. President Bass’s comments were incredibly timely as we also announced the creation of the Women in
Criminal Justice Task Force at the Fall Institute, another task force I will discuss in more detail in a moment.

At the Award’s Luncheon at the Fall Institute, we were able to recognize many extraordinary people and organizations. This year’s Charles R. English honor, which is awarded to a member of the American Bar Association Criminal Justice Section who has distinguished themselves by their work in the field of criminal justice, was presented to former section Chair Matt Redle for his long and distinguished career as a prosecutor in Sheridan, Wyoming.

The Livingston Hall Juvenile Justice Award, which is given to an active member of the bar who devotes a significant portion of his or her legal practice to youth and children and is making positive contributions to the field both in and outside the courtroom, was given to Rosemary Armstrong for her powerful and life-changing work with children in Florida.

The Frank Carrington Crime Victim Attorney Award is given to an attorney or legal service providers who has either directly represented specific victims in criminal, juvenile, or appellate courts or who have worked to promote or implement policies to improve the treatment of crime victims in the criminal justice system. This year’s award was presented to the Navy Victims Legal Counsel Program for their leadership in the field of victims’ rights.

The Norm Maleng Minister of Justice Award, which is bestowed on a prosecutor who embodies the principles enunciated in the ABA Standards for Criminal Justice, Prosecution Function, was awarded to Kevin Curtin, a prosecutor who exemplifies the principle that “the duty of the prosecutor is to seek justice, not merely to convict.”

Finally, the Raeder-Taslitz Award is given to a law professor whose excellence in scholarship, teaching or community service has made a significant contribution to promoting public understanding of criminal justice, justice and fairness in the criminal justice system, or best practices on the part of lawyers and judges. This year’s recipient was Professor Paolo Annino for his work on behalf of children.

The final event at the Fall Institute was a presentation entitled, “Enhancing Justice: Reducing Bias – Strategies for Change in the Criminal Justice System.” The session was led by Judge Bernice Donald of the United States Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, and past Chair of the Criminal Justice Section, and Professor Sarah Redfield of the University of New Hampshire School of Law. Judge Donald and Professor Redfield lead the Criminal Justice Section’s Implicit Bias Initiative and have worked tirelessly over the last few years educating the national criminal justice community about this vital issue. After one of their presentations as part of a U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division series on implicit bias, they received a thank you letter that said, “In short, we learned a lot about ourselves and the role we may play in perpetuating unconscious biases... Once again, thank you for sharing time and knowledge with us.” We echo these sentiments, and thank Judge Donald and Professor Redfield for devoting so much time and effort to educate others and to make the Criminal Justice Section a thought leader in this field. I could think of no better way to conclude a conference highlighting the work of the section than to showcase their ongoing work. The Fall Institute was a great opportunity to be reminded of the diverse and important work being done every day by our members.

As noted above, as chair I had the opportunity to launch several new task forces this year to address timely and important issues in the criminal justice community. First, we officially announced the creation of the Women in Criminal Justice Task Force at the Fall Institute in Washington, D.C. The Task Force is charged with considering the unique challenges faced by women in the criminal justice community. Under the leadership of co-chairs Tina Luongo and Carla Laroche, the group embraced this large and challenging task and went to work immediately, holding the Task Force’s inaugural meeting and listening session at the Fall Institute. The Task Force has now held additional meetings and listening sessions around the country as it works to gather information and evidence for its consideration. The Task Force has just begun its important work, which will stretch over three years, and we look forward to all that will result from this endeavor. To ensure our members and the larger legal community are aware of the issues being examined by this group, we have created a Women in Criminal Justice column. The column will appear in the Criminal Justice magazine and be a forum for discussions and conversations moving forward.
Second, we launched a Plea Bargaining Task Force. Plea bargaining is a dominant force in today’s criminal justice systems. In the federal system, for example, pleas of guilt account for over 97% of all convictions. In the state systems, the number is approximately 94% to 95%. Of this number, the U.S. government recently estimated that 75% are the result of plea agreements between the defendant and the government that include offers of leniency or threats of additional punishment.

This Task Force will closely examine the role of plea bargaining in our modern system of criminal justice. The first goal of the Task Force will be to consider how plea bargaining has developed over time and what advantages and challenges have resulted. The second goal of the Task Force will be to consider the best path forward and potential solutions to the challenges identified by the group. It is hoped that these recommendations will include both broad policy oriented goals for the criminal justice system and, where necessary, specific recommendations for changes in the way plea bargaining operates within the larger criminal justice environment. Importantly, the task force will examine these issues from the perspective of all those involved in the criminal justice system, including prosecutors, defenders, defendants, judges, victims, and others. As a result, the task force will include representatives from numerous groups actively involved in the criminal justice community with diverse perspectives to ensure that the recommendations reflect a broad consensus regarding the best path forward. Plea bargaining, for the most part, rose in the shadows of the American criminal justice system, and I am excited about this opportunity to more closely examine that rise and consider how we might best proceed in a world that is, as the U.S. Supreme Court recently remarked, “a system of pleas, not a system of trials.”

Finally, we created a task force this year to focus on corporate criminal liability. The Corporate Criminal Liability Task Force, which was formally announced during the Spring Institute, will consider issues surrounding the appropriate scope of corporate liability, the breadth of respondeat superior, and the role of prosecutorial discretion in this area. These are all issues of great importance to the corporate criminal liability landscape, and they require a more exacting and careful review by our section so that we might contribute to ongoing discussions regarding where laws and policies in this area should be moving next. As all of these task forces continue their work, I look forward to our section focusing on these important issues and these task forces adding their voices regarding the best paths forward.

As Chair, I also had the pleasure of participating in a number of section events around the country and globe and seeing the many ways that the criminal justice section is making a difference. One example of such an event was when I joined Washington, D.C., Mayor Muriel Bowser, ABA President-Elect Judy Perry Martínez, leaders from the Criminal Justice Section, and many others in celebrating the first graduating class from the Washington, D.C., Mayor’s Office on Returning Citizen Affairs Paralegal Fellowship. The fellowship program is designed to give previously incarcerated individuals the opportunity to gain skills and begin a lasting career in the legal field. Participants are selected from a highly competitive pool of applicants and enrolled in the Georgetown University paralegal studies program. Upon completing their studies, the graduates are placed in one-year paralegal fellowships at major Washington, D.C., law firms with full pay and full benefits. Various partners came together to make this program a success, including Macy’s and Uber. For its part, the Criminal Justice Section supported the program and worked diligently last year to recruit Washington, D.C., law firms to participate in the initiative and accept fellows upon their graduation. Of the fellows program, Mayor Bowser said, “Our hope is that this program is just the beginning—that it will not only create new opportunities, but prove what is possible and serve as a model for future programs for our talented and hard-working community of returning citizens.” The Criminal Justice Section should be proud of its work with the fellowship program. I believe this initiative is one that will help facilitate successful re-entry after incarceration, and we know that successful re-entry is a key factor in preventing recidivism. That makes this type of program an incredible benefit both to those who participate and to the community as a whole.

One of the moments from the Paralegal Fellowship graduation ceremony that resonated most with me occurred as the participants walked across the front of the room to receive their diplomas. As each took those steps towards their new lives, people in the audience shouted out in support and to show how proud they were of these achievements. “That’s my son,” one woman yelled. Another said, “That’s my dad.” As I listened to family members call out to their loved ones, I was reminded that those who find
themselves returning to our communities from incarceration answer to the name mom, dad, daughter, son, sister, and brother. One of the graduates, Kareem McCraney, said during the ceremony, “everyone makes mistakes, but we should deem people worthy of second chances.” He added, “in giving second chances, we not only see the best in others, we demonstrate our best selves.” Bringing real hope for a new start to our mothers, fathers, daughters, sons, sisters, and brothers should be a top priority for all of us. These types of innovative programs are certainly a priority for the Criminal Justice Section, and I hope we see more programs like this adopted throughout the nation in the coming year.

During the spring of 2019, we held our Spring Institute in my hometown of Nashville, Tennessee. The events in Music City were an incredible opportunity to discuss criminal justice topics with a community of peers representing diverse and differing perspectives on issues vitally important to all of us.

One of our highest priorities is to create ways for law students and young lawyers to become more active in the work of the section and make use of the many resources available to our members. Consistent with this goal, our first event during the Spring Institute was to invite students from Nashville area law schools and undergraduate institutions to a panel discussion at Belmont University College of Law on “Careers in Criminal Justice.” It was a great joy to see the energy and interest in the room from the next generation of criminal justice community members, and, moving forward, we hope to hold similar events in each city we visit as a section.

The spring meeting continued the next day with a special day of programming regarding women in criminal justice. As I discussed earlier, in late 2018 we convened the Women in Criminal Justice Task Force to examine the unique challenges faced by women in the profession. The Task Force has been incredibly active throughout the year and continued pressing forward with its work by holding listening sessions in Nashville. The Task Force also put together an inaugural symposium examining topics related to the work of the group. The symposium included panels and presentations on issues such as implicit bias, shattering the glass ceiling, a day in the life of women in criminal justice, and the challenges facing women in criminal justice. I had the honor of attending the day’s programming and found the symposium inspiring. I want to thank the Task Force members for their dedication to this project. I also want to thank Chair-Elect Kim Parker and First Vice-Chair April Frazier Camara for their work in organizing this inaugural Women in Criminal Justice Symposium. Given the strong response to the event and the important contribution the day’s discussions made to the topic of women in criminal justice, I anticipate that there will be many more such symposia in the future.

On Friday, we held the annual spring CLE conference as part of the Spring Institute. This year’s conference focused on the issue of “Diverse Perspectives on Criminal Justice Reform,” a theme for my year as chair of the section. As I mentioned earlier, the collaborative spirit created by bringing together members of the criminal justice community with diverse and differing perspectives and roles combined with a collective commitment to justice and fairness is one of the Criminal Justice’s greatest strengths. Those strengths were on display in Nashville as an array of speakers considered where criminal justice reform is needed, what criminal justice reforms are working, and what the future holds for our national, state, and local criminal justice systems. The discussions during Friday’s proceedings were led by judges, prosecutors, defense counsel, law enforcement personnel, academics, policy makers, and others, and included examination of issues such as cash bail, conviction integrity units, innovations in criminal justice, mass incarceration, and the First Step Act.

Though much of the discussion regarding criminal justice reform in recent years has focused on Congress and the national debate, it is important to recognize the work and advances occurring at the state level. In many respects, state governments have become the drivers of innovative criminal justice reform in our country, and it is, therefore, the states that are seeing the fastest and most significant results. To highlight the incredible work being done at the state level as part of the larger picture of criminal justice reform in our nation, our Friday conference also included a panel dedicated to reform efforts at the state level and the manner in which these initiatives are inspiring and influencing national efforts.
I believe that those in attendance at the Spring Institute left with a sense of renewed purpose, inspired resolve, and hope for the future after hearing so many diverse voices come together and advocate for meaningful changes to the ways we address crime, punishment, and reentry. I also found great optimism in the fact that discussions regarding criminal justice reform continued in Nashville well after our Spring Institute ended. Less than two weeks after the Criminal Justice Section’s conference on criminal justice reform, the topic was once again front and center as the governors of Tennessee and Kentucky met at Belmont University College of Law to discuss the ways each state is working to enact significant changes to its criminal justice system. The events in Nashville surrounding the spring meeting made it clear that we find ourselves in a historic moment for criminal justice reform in our country, and we must seize this opportunity to ensure that these discussions and the momentum that currently exists result in real and permanent change.

Toward the end of the bar year, we also held the Third Global White Collar Crime Institute in Prague, Czech Republic, an Institute I have chaired since its creation in 2015. The Global Institute is a unique ABA Criminal Justice Section conference opportunity structured to bring together experts in the field of international white collar crime to meet and discuss cutting edge issues in a new corner of the globe. The experience, therefore, offers not only insights into the latest developments in the field, but the chance to interact and network with colleagues and to expand one’s presence in new regions and markets of the world. This year’s Global Institute began with a “Meet the Enforcers” panel featuring Matthew Miner (Deputy Assistant Attorney General of the United States, U.S. Department of Justice), Matthew Wagstaff (Head of the Bribery and Corruption Division, Serious Fraud Office, U.K.), and Pavel Zeman (Prosecutor General of the Czech Republic). On the second day of the Global Institute, we welcomed Dr. Adrian Jung, who serves as Special Counsel on “Internal Investigations” to the German Federal Ministry of Justice. Dr. Jung is drafting legislation for Germany regarding corporate criminal liability and internal investigations that has the potential of changing the corporate criminal enforcement landscape in Europe. Other panels during the conference included Lessons Learned from the VW Internal Investigation, Data Privacy and GDPR, Extradition and Red Notices, Global Anti-Corruption Trends, and Changes in Enforcement After Brexit.

The Global Institute was a wonderful event that reminds us that the Criminal Justice Section now has an international reach and is an influential entity in the international legal realm. This is exemplified not only by events such as the Global Institute and London White Collar Crime Institute but also by the work of the section’s International Criminal Justice Standards Task Force, which is addressing some of the most pressing issues in the field of international criminal law and enforcement.

There were many other conferences, educational programs, and projects this year. Examples include our drafting of a letter in opposition to a federal proposal to require federal job applicants to disclose participation in diversion programs, a proposal that was eventually withdrawn by the White House. The section’s Council also created a special task force at the spring meeting to address the risk assessment aspects of the 2018 First Step Act and provide feedback to the Independent Review Committee tasked with assisting the Department of Justice in implementing the law. That task force has already provided a detailed examination of the issue to the Independent Review Committee and will now continue forward with the mandate of examining the issue of risk assessment in the American criminal justice system more broadly. We also considered numerous ABA Resolutions this year, which addressed a host of criminal justice topics and many of which will eventually become official ABA policy. As the above examples demonstrate, the work of the section during the last year has been broad and significant, and the above events and projects are only a thin slice of all that our members have worked on during the year.

As I reflect back on my year as chair, I am incredibly grateful to the section and its membership for giving me the honor of serving. As chair, one has the unique opportunity to observe and participate in the array of work being done by the Criminal Justice Section each year, including conferences, Council and committee meetings, task forces, policy initiatives, and advocacy in various forms. Observing and participating in this work taught me much about who we are as a section and the sacrifices our members make for the greater good. Whether it be task force members giving up weekends with their families to improve our understanding of the role of plea bargaining, a committee chair working late into the night to prepare a resolution on the importance of the rule of law and the independence of the
The work of our members makes a difference and has made us the unified voice of criminal justice in America. That was the vision that brought such a diverse collection of actors in the criminal justice community together in 1920, and that is the vision to which we remain true today. As we prepare to celebrate the section’s 100th anniversary, we stand together as prosecutors, defense counsel, judges, academics, and others and use our energies and passions for those who may not have a voice. Through your efforts, you have made and will continue to make the criminal justice system better and, by extension, our communities, our country, and the world in which we live.

I thank you for the honor of leading the section this year. I also offer my deepest thanks to the Criminal Justice Section staff. They are an incredibly dedicated group that works year after year for the criminal justice community. I would also like to thank the section’s leadership, Council, and committee chairs for their support and guidance throughout the year. Finally, I would like to thank my family, who endured one of the busiest times in my professional career, but who understood my passion for these issues. Their support made my work this year possible.

There is much left for us to do, and I look forward to continuing to work with each of you in the coming years. As we do, I know it will be our members who will lead the way as the Criminal Justice Section of the American Bar Association prepares to enter its second century of service in the name of criminal justice.

On Jan. 16, 2019, current (Lucian Dervan, second from the right) and past chairs (Sandy Weinberg, far left, and Steve Saltzburg, far right) of the CJS with ABA President-Elect Judy Perry Martinez (center) attended the graduation ceremony of the Parelegal Fellowship Program of the DC Mayor’s Office of Returning Citizens Affairs (MORCA), with its Director Brian Ferguson (second from the left), and hosted by Mayor Muriel Bowser (not on the photo).
II. POLICY INITIATIVES

SUMMARY OF ABA POLICIES IN 2018-2019 RELATED TO CRIMINAL JUSTICE

The ABA Criminal Justice Section sponsored (or co-sponsored) the below recommendations submitted to the ABA House of Delegates for consideration at the 2018 Annual Meeting and the 2019 Midyear Meeting. All recommendations were approved as official ABA policy, and include their ABA resolution numbers. The complete text of the reports for all of the resolutions initiated by the Section can be found at the ABA Criminal Justice Section policy webpage at www.americanbar.org/groups/criminal_justice/policy.

POLICIES APPROVED BY THE ABA HOUSE OF DELEGATES IN AUGUST 2018

100A: Improving Legal Assistance to Prisoners

RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges bar associations, law schools and other stakeholders to develop and increase educational initiatives, clinics, and other experiential courses through which law students provide legal assistance to pre-trial detainees, immigration detainees, and incarcerated individuals reentering society.

100B: Unanimous Jury Verdicts in Criminal Felony Trials

RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges Louisiana and Oregon to require unanimous juries to determine guilt in felony criminal cases and reject the use of non-unanimous juries where currently allowed in felony cases.

107A: Assistance for Victims of Partner Violence and Sexual Violence Following a Disaster

RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges state, local, tribal, and territorial emergency management agencies, organizations that operate disaster relief shelters, and organizations working to prevent intimate partner violence and sexual violence to:

(1) collaborate to protect individuals from intimate partner violence and sexual violence; and
(2) ensure that shelter personnel have appropriate training to identify victims of intimate partner violence and sexual violence and respond to victims’ unique needs during and following a disaster;

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges these agencies and organizations to collaborate with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (“FEMA”) to:

(1) plan for safe sheltering and transportation of identified victims of intimate partner violence and sexual violence during and following a disaster; and
(2) facilitate access to appropriate services for identified victims in the immediate and continuing aftermath of a disaster; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges Congress to appropriate funds for FEMA to provide
(1) programs that facilitate training and education for emergency management personnel to address intimate partner violence and sexual violence, including identifying victims, during and following a disaster and
(2) programs that facilitate access to appropriate services for identified victims of intimate partner violence and sexual violence during and following a disaster.

114: ABA Ten Guidelines on Court Fees and Fines

RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association adopts the ABA Ten Guidelines on Court Fines and Fees, black letter and commentary, dated August 2018; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges all federal, state, local, territorial, and tribal legislative, judicial and other governmental bodies to apply the ABA Ten Guidelines on Court Fines and Fees.

POLICIES APPROVED BY THE ABA HOUSE OF DELEGATES IN FEBRUARY 2019

106A: Firearms in Schools

RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association opposes laws and policies that would authorize teachers, principals or other non-security school personnel to possess a firearm in, or on the grounds of, a pre-K through grade 12 public, parochial, or private school; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association opposes the use of government or public funds to provide firearms training to teachers, principals, or other non-security school personnel, or to purchase firearms for those individuals.

106B: Access to Criminal Background Systems by Individuals

RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges federal, state, local, territorial, and tribal governments to reduce potential harm that individuals may inflict on themselves or others by enacting statutes, rules, or regulations allowing individuals to temporarily prevent themselves from purchasing firearms. Such measures should include, at minimum, the following provisions that:

1. any person may voluntarily and confidentially request that their own name be added to the Index of the National Instant Criminal Background Check System, an equivalent state background system, or to both, to prevent future firearms purchases;
2. the statute, rule, or regulation provide a procedure with appropriate safeguards whereby the person may have their name removed and such record deleted from the System; and
3. the statute, rule, or regulation provide appropriate safeguards to reasonably ensure that persons who request inclusion or removal from the System do not face stigma, discrimination, or any adverse action, and are entitled to confidentiality so that the fact that the person prohibited from purchasing a firearm is only disclosed when a valid background check is done.
107A: Search and Seizure of Electronic Devices at the Border

RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges the federal judiciary to recognize the substantial privacy and confidentiality interests implicated by searches and seizures of electronic devices at the border; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges Congress to enact legislation and, until legislation is enacted, urges the U.S. Department of Homeland Security to adopt policy, that would:

(1) require a warrant based on probable cause for seizures (other than temporary seizures for the purpose of obtaining a warrant) and searches of electronic devices carried by individuals at the border;
(2) prohibit any government entity from denying a lawful permanent resident entry or exit based on the person’s failure to disclose an access credential or provide access to an electronic device for a search;
(3) implement policies and procedures to preserve the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and the lawyer’s ethical obligation to maintain confidential information during border crossings; and
(4) require the government to record each instance in which it conducts a search of an electronic device seized at the border and issue an annual report summarizing such searches.

109A: Ending Mass Prosecution of Illegal Entry

RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges the United States Attorney General to rescind the policy of prosecuting all individuals who enter the United States without authorization at the southern border for the misdemeanor offense of illegal entry pursuant to 8 U.S.C. §1325, end the practice of expedited mass prosecution of immigrants, and allow for an individualized determination in deciding whether to file criminal charges;

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges the federal judiciary to take appropriate measures to ensure that every defendant charged with the misdemeanor offense of illegal entry is represented by counsel who has had an adequate opportunity to consult with the defendant, and that any guilty plea is knowing, intelligent, and voluntary;

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges Congress to provide sufficient funding for the judiciary to enable it to take the above measures and sufficient funding to ensure that each defendant receives effective assistance of counsel; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges the United States Attorney General to exercise prosecutorial discretion and refrain from prosecuting asylum seekers for the offense of illegal entry.

109B: Expungement or Sealing of Records

RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges federal, state, local, territorial and tribal legislatures to define criminal arrests, charges, and dispositions that are eligible for expungement or removal from public view by sealing, and set out procedures for individuals to apply for the same.
109C: Unrestricted Access to Feminine Hygiene Products in all Correctional and Detention Facilities

RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges federal, state, local, territorial, and tribal legislatures to enact legislation, and correctional and detention facilities to enact policies, to provide all women prisoners in all forms of detention, both adult and juvenile, with unrestricted access, on housing units, to free toilet paper and a range of free feminine hygiene products, including both tampons and sanitary pads, in sufficient quantities to address their needs.

109D: Child Torture and Severe Maltreatment

RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges federal, state, local, tribal and territorial governments to amend existing laws or enact new laws to clearly define child torture and make child torture a felony offense regardless of whether a serious physical injury occurs; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges governments to promote training for judges, prosecutors, physicians, law enforcement, child protection authorities, and victim-witness advocates on emerging evidence-based, victim-centered and effective practices, and to utilize the Child Advocacy Center (CAC) model of collaboration and providing services to improve government responsiveness to severe cases of child abuse.

CJS First Vice Chair April Frazier-Camara, Section Delegate Neal Sonnett, and Section Delegate Stephen Saltzburg (right to left) working for the passage of CJS-sponsored resolutions at the ABA House of Delegates meeting during the 2018 ABA Annual Meeting.
III. PUBLISHING AND TECHNOLOGY

The Criminal Justice Section’s publications continue to do an outstanding job of monitoring emerging trends in criminal justice to ensure that our members remain informed. In addition to the ABA’s book/periodical program geared to criminal justice, the Section produces a set of its own in-house publications.

PERIODICALS

Criminal Justice

The *Criminal Justice* magazine provides Section membership with practice-oriented articles providing a wide variety of perspectives on cutting-edge legal issues and recent developments. The Magazine has also continued to publish a series of regular columns on in such areas as scientific evidence, ethics, criminal procedure rules, trial tactics, juvenile justice and recent United States Supreme Court decisions, as well as book reviews. The magazine sported a new, fresh design since the Spring 2019 issue.

Four issues of *Criminal Justice* magazine were published in 2018-2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Cover Story</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2018</td>
<td>Football Fallout: The Legalities of CTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter 2019</td>
<td>The Opioid Epidemic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2019</td>
<td>Facial Recognition Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
<td>Juvenile Civil Citation: Alternative to Arrest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Criminal Justice Section Newsletter

The *Criminal Justice Section Newsletter* was published three times in 2018-2019, featuring Section news and project updates, “news from the field,” ethics/professionalism, and practice tips on the following:

- Impeachment With a Prior Inconsistent Statement
- Show, Don’t Tell: The Power of Sentencing Mitigation Videos
- FCPA Investigations and “Private” Messaging Apps
- Preparing, Responding and Emerging Stronger from Fraud and Economic Crime
**BOOKS**

*Trial Tactics, Fourth Edition*

By Stephen A. Saltzburg

*Trial Tactics, Fourth Edition*, is a road map for the discovery and avoidance of the many pitfalls and obstacles that must be avoided to achieve ultimate success at trial.

*The State of Criminal Justice 2019*

Edited by Mark Wojcik

This annual publication examines and reports on the major issues, trends and significant changes in the criminal justice system. Authors from across the criminal justice field provide essays on topics ranging from white collar crime to international law to juvenile justice. As one of the cornerstones of the Criminal Justice Section's work, this publication serves as an invaluable resource for policymakers, academics, and students of the criminal justice system alike.

*Rehabilitation and Incarceration: In Search of Fairer and More Productive Sentencing*

By Harold Baer Jr.

The United States now imprisons a higher percentage of its population than any other country in the world. Author, U.S. District Judge Harold Baer, Jr. explains this crisis of mass incarceration, how it came about, and the pressing need and means to reduce prison populations and recidivism; promote rehabilitation and re-entry into society; and protect public safety.

*Criminal Procedure in Practice, Fifth Edition*

By Melanie Wilson et al.

This comprehensive guide will assist anyone involved in the criminal justice system and will serve as an especially valuable guide for new prosecutors or defense attorneys entering the field. The text gives a broad overview of criminal procedure from investigation all the way through post-conviction reviews. The authors discuss complex topics such as standing, fruit of the poisonous tree, car stops, the privilege against self-incrimination, eyewitness identification, habeas corpus, and double jeopardy in both the civilian and military settings. All the principal Supreme Court decisions are explained: Mapp, Gates, Gideon, Wade, Miranda, Brady, and many others.
ONLINE PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Supreme Court Case Quick Updates

The Criminal Justice Section provided prompt updates on cases related to criminal justice on the day the Supreme Court issued the decision. These updates were written by CJS staff and sent to CJS members as a popular member benefit.

Committee Newsletters

- **White Collar Crime Committee Newsletter**

  The *WCCC Newsletter*, published twice a year by the CJS White Collar Crime Committee, is a forum for practitioners to share their specialized knowledge with others on relevant issues in the white collar crime field. Recent issues explored by the Newsletter included health care fraud, environmental crime, and computer crime.
TECHNOLOGY

The Section Web Site

The Criminal Justice Section’s web site (www.americanbar.org/crimjust) highlights major Section activities, events, resources and projects. CLE program materials are posted online at meeting portals for quick and easy retrieval by event attendees.

A new americanbar.org website was launched in October of 2018, with new design, improved mobile responsiveness and ecommerce. The CJS website was redesigned accordingly.

Ranking of Content Category Menu Views (Criminal Justice Section web portals)

1. Publications
2. Standards
3. Events & CLE
4. Resources
5. Policy
6. Committees
7. Awards
8. Membership

E-mail List Services

The Section office maintains several email list services to serve CJS committees and the leadership. Also, the CJS office periodically distributes timely information on events and programs, Supreme Court case updates and new resources to all CJS members who have not opted out of the ABA’s email distribution system. The Council and Committee Chairs also participate in respective list services for Section business. In addition, all CJS committees and task forces maintain specific list service for particular committee/task force work and discussion.

ABA Connect/Communities

ABA Connect is a new, web-based, online place to network, exchange ideas, get advice, and interact with fellow lawyers and legal professionals who share similar areas of interest in addition to exclusive member access to the ABA Member Directory. Within ABA Connect, CJS Connect is a space for a member to connect with other Criminal Justice members and committees through interactive discussions, content sharing, and much more, through various Communities.

Social Media

In order to outreach to more prospective and new members, the Section has utilized various social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, Flickr, YouTube and LinkedIn.
IV. PROGRAMS AND CONTINUING LEGAL EDUCATION

2018

September
6-7  Southeastern White Collar Crime Institute, Braselton, GA

October
8-9  Seventh London White Collar Crime Institute, London, England (photo - right)

22  Investigating Sexual Harassment & Abuse in the #MeToo Era

November
1-2  Eleventh Annual Fall Institute, Washington, DC (photo – right)

15  The White Collar Investigation: Pitfalls & Caution, Nashville, TN

December
2-4  ABA/ABA Money Laundering Enforcement Conference Washington, DC

2019

January
25  Midyear Programs, Las Vegas, NV (photo – right)

February
28  Cybersecurity and White Collar – Challenges & Opportunities

March
6-8  Annual National Institute on White Collar Crime, New Orleans, LA (photo – right)

April
4-5  CJS Spring Meeting & Program, Nashville, TN

9   Overcoming the Legal and Technical Barriers for Justice in Cyber Crimes (webinar)
Trends in Corporate Cooperation in Criminal Investigations

The Second Step: The First Step Act: A Path for State Criminal Justice Reform (webinar)

May
8-10  Annual National Institute on Health Care Fraud, New Orleans, LA

29-30  Tenth Annual Prescription for Criminal Justice Forensics Program, New York, NY

June
19-20  False Claims Act and Qui Tam Trial Institute, New York, NY

25  A Kickback Discussion of Civil and Criminal Trends in Health Care, Los Angeles, CA

27-28  Third Global White Collar Crime Institute, Prague, Czech Republic (photo – right)

August  Annual Meeting Programs, San Francisco, CA
8-9

- Internal Investigations on Cutting Edge: Perspectives from the Front Line
- The Well-Being of Public Interest Professionals: Engaged or Invisible?
- How Courts and Counsel Can Stem the School to Prison Pipeline: The ABA Standards in Action
- Gender on Trial: What It Takes to be a Trial Lawyer if You’re Not a Man
- Cyber Court Review
- Annual Survey of Supreme Court Decisions
- The Collection and Use of Evidence from Abroad
- Law Enforcement Led Diversion

The inaugural Women in Criminal Justice Symposium, during the 2019 Spring Meeting in Nashville, TN.
V. MARKETING, PUBLIC RELATIONS AND OUTREACH

The Criminal Justice Section continues to thrive in marketing and outreach to members and stakeholders in the field of criminal justice. The Section focused on informing Membership on all the latest Section news, conferences, meetings, policies and initiatives; educating other ABA entities about Criminal Justice programs, policies, and events; and ensuring all external criminal law-related organizations, advocates, and the public were informed in the development of projects and policies in which the Criminal Justice Section was involved and featured a balanced perspective of the Section’s work.

MEMBERSHIP COMMUNICATIONS

▪ Provided event summaries and initiative spotlights in the Section’s Newsletter.
▪ Distributed the Leadership Connection, quarterly, to the CJS Leadership.
  - Featured member awards and media mentions
▪ Released Supreme Court Case Summaries to members as soon as they were issued by the Court.
▪ Co-sponsored criminal law and supporting resolutions presented before the ABA House of Delegates, ensuring the Section achieved optimum sponsorship in the development of future policies.
▪ Recommended Criminal Justice Section members for media requests to increase awareness of The Section and enhance membership experience.

SECTION PODCAST

The Section launched a new podcast, The JustPod, to position the Section as a leader in criminal justice issues and increase awareness of the work of the Section. The JustPod is available on iTunes, Spotify and Stitcher. The podcast is also a recruiting tool as its reach extends beyond Section and ABA membership. It provides an opportunity for persons with an interest in criminal justice to become familiar with Section work and priorities.

The JustPod posts weekly episodes. As of June 15, 2019, and after four months of posting content, the podcast averages 45 plays for each episode. The episode “Conviction Integrity Units” is the most popular episode with 74 listens. All time total plays after four months is 878.
SOCIAL MEDIA OUTREACH

The CJS marketing team developed a social media strategy for the 2018-2019 ABA year. We set objectives for our Twitter, Facebook, YouTube, and LinkedIn usage to meet the needs of the Section. Each platform promotes the work of Section task force, Council, committees, and Section events. Additionally, objectives were set to engage more with Section members, potential members, and build relationships with the media. The social media platforms were used to share articles/content that are relevant to criminal justice and highlight Section members. The strategy addresses the needs of the Section including: establishing a social media brand/voice, making purposeful and consistent posts, and interacting with media reps by using tags. These efforts are demonstrated through an analysis of the Section’s social media platforms August 2018- May 2019.

Twitter

The Criminal Justice Section uses Twitter (@ABACJS) as a platform to elevate the work of the CJS and its members. The tweets refer to proposed resolutions, comment letters, events, criminal justice news, and member highlights. We now use Twitter for event promotion and event engagement. Prior to the event, the program information is shared. During the event, real-time tweets are posted including photos of speakers, speaker quotes, program details and this year we added live-streaming of select programs.

The Section implemented a new post-event engagement strategy using highlighted video clips from events to drive users to the full videos posted on the ABACJS YouTube Channel. This new strategy was implemented following the 9th Annual Prescriptions for Criminal Justice and Forensics Science. The clips generate an average of 60 Views. Teaser video clips highlight three panelists in 1-2 minute clips.

The Section implemented four social media campaigns for ABA year 2018-2019. Campaigns included: Diversity Fellow spotlights, Greenhalgh Writing Competition/#TuesdayTip, #WomenofJustice spotlights, and CJS Award Nominations. Diversity Fellows were featured across all four platforms with a bio and headshot in December 2018 to promote the Fellowship and diversity within the Section. The Section developed a #TuesdayTip series for the Greenhalgh Writing Competition to engage law students. The Section continued to demonstrate its commitment to elevating women in the field of criminal justice through the March Women of Justice Campaign, the Section continued to highlight impactful women both inside and outside the Section. All platforms were used in the CJS Award nominations campaign to highlight the winners from the 2018 CJS Awards, provide category descriptions and the application criteria. Our marketing efforts included a multi-pronged approach to increase engagement, awareness, and member experience for the Section.
### Top 3 Tweet Impressions of August 2018 - May 2019

- **Top Impressions: 7,126 | September 7, 2018 (Live Tweet)**
The Professors discuss the prospect of #SCOTUSNominee #Kavanaugh as a Justice. Also, reflect on the final year of #JusticeKennedy #SEWCCI #ABACJS

- **Top Impressions: 7,005 | March 1, 2019 (Campaign Tweet)**
Today, we honor Justice Sonia Maria Sotomayor as our #womenofjustice spotlight for being the first Hispanic and Latina Justice of the Supreme Court. #SCOTUS #womenshistorymonth

- **Top Impressions: 5,136 | April 3, 2019 (Highlight Video)**
What are the ethics of making public statements about your client? And is social media considered a public statement? Lara Bazelon examines Rule 3.6 as it pertains to pretrial publicity. #Ethics #ABAWCC19 #ABACJS View the full video online: youtu.be/nZwux01dQYw

### Twitter Monthly Analytics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Tweets</th>
<th>Impressions</th>
<th>Engagement</th>
<th>New Followers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 2018</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>27.4K</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2018</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>31.1K</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2018</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>23.1K</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2018</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>27.8K</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2018</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>23.6K</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2019</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>38.1K</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2019</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24K</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2019</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>68.9K</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>56K</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>36.1K</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Top Tweet Analytics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Top Tweet</th>
<th>Impressions</th>
<th>Engagement</th>
<th>Clicks</th>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 2018</td>
<td>8/20/18</td>
<td>Chair Welcome</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2018</td>
<td>9/7/18</td>
<td>SEWCCI Kavanaugh</td>
<td>7,126</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2018</td>
<td>10/8/18</td>
<td>LondonWCCI Sally Yates</td>
<td>1,174</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2018</td>
<td>11/29/18</td>
<td>Womens Task Force</td>
<td>1,765</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2018</td>
<td>12/18/18</td>
<td>First Step Act</td>
<td>1,838</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2019</td>
<td>1/16/19</td>
<td>MORCA Graduation Paralegal Fellowship</td>
<td>3,800</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2019</td>
<td>2/11/19</td>
<td>JustPod</td>
<td>1,267</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2019</td>
<td>3/1/19</td>
<td>#womenofjustice Sonia Sotomayor</td>
<td>7,005</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>4/3/2019</td>
<td>Teaser Video WCC19 Lara Bazelon</td>
<td>5,136</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>5/30/2019</td>
<td>Live - Forensics CSAFE</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The graph above indicates the top impressions per month and highest monthly impressions during the 2018-2019 ABA year. Twitter Analytics determined that the March Women’s History Month campaign generated the highest impressions, profile visits, and tweets for the ABA 2018-2019 year.

The graph above indicates the top tweets per month by highest engagement and clicks. Twitter Analytics determined that a live tweet from a panel at Southeastern White Collar Institute in September 2018 generated the highest engagement and highest clicks for the ABA 2018-2019 year.
Facebook

Over the past year, the ABA Criminal Justice Section has worked to revamp CJS Facebook page as a leader on criminal justice issues and a place to enhance membership experience through appropriate engagement. The Section regularly posts articles, links, videos and photos to reflect the current work of CJS. Since the new social media strategy has been incorporated, the Section’s Facebook Page followers have increased by 194 from 1,460 followers yielding a 13.29 percent increase, bringing us to a grand total of 1,654 followers and 1,559 likes for 2018-2019 year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Posts (#)</th>
<th>Impressions</th>
<th>Engagement</th>
<th>Clicks</th>
<th>Followers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 2018</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3,667</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2018</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2,717</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2018</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3,341</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1,483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2018</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4,802</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2018</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2,257</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1,497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2019</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3,505</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2019</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2,352</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2019</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4,885</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1,529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>7,360</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6,478</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1,610</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Top Post</th>
<th>Impressions</th>
<th>Reach</th>
<th>Engagement</th>
<th>Clicks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 2018</td>
<td>8/20/19</td>
<td>Lucian Chair’s message</td>
<td>1,090</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2018</td>
<td>9/6/18</td>
<td>Southeastern Joint defense agreements</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2018</td>
<td>10/11/18</td>
<td>Article Repost - SCOTUS Death Penalty</td>
<td>1,136</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2018</td>
<td>11/3/19</td>
<td>Greenhalgh Student Winner</td>
<td>1,887</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2018</td>
<td>12/21/19</td>
<td>Diversity Fellow Judge Todd</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2019</td>
<td>1/25/19</td>
<td>Article Repost - ABA Journal (Mental Health)</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2019</td>
<td>2/4/19</td>
<td>ICE at Courthouse Video</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2019</td>
<td>3/1/19</td>
<td>Justice Sonia Sotomayor</td>
<td>1,487</td>
<td>1,024</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>4/9/19</td>
<td>Webinar Promo – Ann Macy</td>
<td>1,180</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>5/24/19</td>
<td>Mentor Program</td>
<td>1,023</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The graph above indicates the top impressions per month and top reach posts during the 2018-2019 ABA year. Facebook Analytics determined that April generated the highest impressions, engagement, and clicks in 2019.

The graph above indicates the top engagement per month during the 2018-2019 ABA year. Facebook Analytics and Sprout Social determined that the highest engagement and clicks were generated in April 2019.
**Instagram**

The Section increased its presence on Instagram utilizing stories, highlights, carousel photos, video and Linktree. This platform generates more member-focused content such as scenic photos, member group photos, Diversity Fellow spotlights, and #TuesdayTip for the 2019 Greenhalgh Competition. The teaser video strategy extended to Instagram in May 2019 for #CJSSpring19. Given the ability to include multiple hashtags, videos perform well on Instagram. The 3 spring videos generated an average of 65 views.

The highlights are segments with story archives for each CJS event. NWCCI NOLA, Vegas Midyear, and Spring Nashville. Stories curated for each event utilize the event hashtag and location pins to reach a larger audience. The stories feature document the destination and panel highlights.

The Section added linktree.com/ABACJS to our Instagram to improve accessibility to significant CJS links located on the website. This consolidates links and provides direction for calls-to-action, like submitting nominations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Stories</th>
<th>Total Views</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring Nashville</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegas Midyear</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWCCI NOLA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Top Post</th>
<th>Likes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 2018</td>
<td>8/20/18</td>
<td>New Chair</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2018</td>
<td>9/20/18</td>
<td>Hillary Clinton</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2018</td>
<td>10/16/18</td>
<td>Sally Yates Group Photo</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2018</td>
<td>11/5/18</td>
<td>Fall Committee Photos</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2018</td>
<td>12/21/18</td>
<td>Diversity Fellow Judge Todd</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2019</td>
<td>1/16/19</td>
<td>MORCA Program</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2019</td>
<td>2/8/19</td>
<td>#TheJustPod Announcement</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2019</td>
<td>3/12/19</td>
<td>Greenhalgh #TuesdayTip</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>4/4/19</td>
<td>Downtown Nashville #CJSSpring19</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>5/8/19</td>
<td>Video Clip – Panel #CJSSpring19</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LinkedIn

The ABA Criminal Justice Section expanded our marketing efforts on LinkedIn. The Section now uses the platform to be a leader in addressing criminal justice topics and issues, build relationships with existing members and professional networks, highlight the work of the section, and share job postings. The LinkedIn page has acquired 1,328 followers with a 218 follower increase since last year. LinkedIn generated high yielding engagement from members. Our most popular posts are launch announcements, diversity content, video clips, and article reposts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LinkedIn To Posts</th>
<th>Top Post</th>
<th>Likes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2018</td>
<td>Chair Welcome</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2018</td>
<td>Promo – London/Keynote</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2018</td>
<td>Video Clip - London</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2018</td>
<td>Women in CJ Launch</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2018</td>
<td>Diversity Fellow Judge Todd</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2019</td>
<td>Council Nominations Deadline</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2019</td>
<td>#TheJustPod Announcement</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2019</td>
<td>National WCCI YouTube</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>New Book – Rehabilitation &amp; Incarceration</td>
<td>10 Likes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>Prague Schedule</td>
<td>12 Likes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

YouTube

ABA Criminal Justice Section uses the YouTube platform as the home for CJS specific content. The Section increased its efforts to engage with Section membership through continued video content from our meetings and creating new member benefit videos. The Section currently has 369 subscribers, 129 who have subscribed in the 2018-2019 year. The channel has 20,900 views from August 2018-April 2019. We currently have 289 videos and 79,840 views on YouTube. Compared to the 2017-2018, the Section has shown significant growth in subscribers, views, and video content. In 2017-2018 The Section had 218 subscribers on YouTube, 56 who subscribed in the 2017-2018 year. The channel had 8,614 views from August 2017-April 2018 with 217 videos and 55,771 views on YouTube. Based on the date above, the Section YouTube channel gained 151 subscribers yielding a 69.27% increase in followers and 43.16% increase in channel views.

The graph above indicates the section received the highest views (1,368) on Nov. 6, 2018. The video “Hon. Leondra Kruger | Supreme Court Review” published on Aug 25, 2016 gained 6,801 views over the course of the 2018-2019 ABA year. The video has a total of 7,905 views.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Views</th>
<th>Impressions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2018</td>
<td>8/21/18</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>FCPA Compliance in the Trump Era</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>4,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8/21/18</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Current Trends in WCC Enforcement</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>5,648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8/16/18</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>The Future of Problem Solving Courts</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>1,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept 2018</td>
<td>9/18/18</td>
<td>SEWCC</td>
<td>Healthcare Enforcement and the False Claims Act: Trends and Developments</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1,915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 2018</td>
<td>10/19/18</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>Trends in Fraud Enforcement</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1,344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/26/18</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>WCC Hypothetical Exercise Part 1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1,523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/19/18</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>Keynote Address by Sally Yates</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 2018</td>
<td>11/12/18</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>Re-Entry and Innovation</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 2018</td>
<td>12/20/18</td>
<td>Resource</td>
<td>Chair’s Message</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2019</td>
<td>1/9/19</td>
<td>Resource</td>
<td>Introduction to CJS</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/4/19</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>GITMO Twelve Years Later</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>2,256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Midyear</td>
<td>Midyear Panel: Putting Ice on Ice</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2019</td>
<td>3/27/19</td>
<td>Midyear</td>
<td>Sexual Abuse and Workplace Misconduct: Investigations, Prosecution, and Defense in the #MeToo Era</td>
<td>1,957</td>
<td>10,484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/13/19</td>
<td>Midyear</td>
<td>Keynote Address: Judge Bernice B. Donald</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/27/19</td>
<td>Midyear</td>
<td>Ethics Panel</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1,344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/27/19</td>
<td>Midyear</td>
<td>General Counsel Forum: Shaky Alliances</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/19/19</td>
<td>Nat WCCI</td>
<td>Nat. WCCI: Evolving Challenge of Judging</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>4/19/19</td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>White Collar Crime Town Hall - Women &amp; Leadership: Evolution &amp; Revolution</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>5/9/19</td>
<td>Resource</td>
<td>Highlight Video</td>
<td>9th Annual Prescription for Criminal Justice and Forensic Science Institute</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXPANSION OF SECTION MARKETING AND MULTIMEDIA OUTREACH EFFORTS

The Criminal Justice Section Brochure

In order to provide a comprehensive snapshot of all the offerings of the Criminal Justice Section, the Section produces a yearly brochure. The brochure highlights the ABA CJS Section Chair, committees, events, publications, standards and benefits of membership. The brochure is available at all conferences, sponsored and co-sponsored by the Section. Additionally, we generated a 2019 White Collar Crime brochure to advertise all Section sponsored White Collar Crime Institutes.
Section Generated Banner Advertisements on americanbar.org

The CJS Marketing team utilizes banner advertisements to promote key events for the Section. The Section’s key events have advertising graphics that rotate on the main ABA website. These advertisements are visible at the top of the page in a leaderboard form and on the right side of the page in a square form. Below are banner advertisements for the ABA year 2018-2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Description</th>
<th>Placement Duration</th>
<th>Impressions</th>
<th>Clicks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2018 - Leaderboard</td>
<td>8/6/2018 – 10/31/2018</td>
<td>24,969</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2018 – Medium Rectangle</td>
<td>8/6/2018 – 10/31/2018</td>
<td>16,687</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ads in CJS Publications

In an effort to increase the visibility and marketing of the ABA Criminal Justice Section’s events, the Membership and Marketing Team designed and produced various advertisements for the Criminal Justice Magazine and The Criminal Justice Section Newsletter. Each publication is printed out and mailed to members. The Membership and Marketing Team includes full and/or half page advertisements in these publications. CJS publications reach 16,000 CJS members.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Ads</th>
<th>Newsletter</th>
<th>Magazine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State of Criminal Justice Book 2018</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Fall 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Nashville 2019</td>
<td>Winter 2019</td>
<td>Winter 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeastern 2019</td>
<td>Spring 2019</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Mention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA Journal</td>
<td>Meet 8 ABA members who inspired us in 2018</td>
<td>Melba Pearson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA Journal</td>
<td>ABA offers opportunities and resources to address collateral consequences</td>
<td>Lucian Dervan, Collateral Consequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA Journal</td>
<td>Civil rights commission calls to end ‘invisible’ punishments for those with criminal convictions</td>
<td>Lucian Dervan,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA Journal</td>
<td>ABA weighs in on California Supreme Court case affecting state’s new bail reform law</td>
<td>Standards for Pretrial Release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA Journal</td>
<td>Privacy rights of lawyers and their clients should be protected during border searches, ABA House urges</td>
<td>ICE Courthouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA Journal</td>
<td>Privacy rights of lawyers and their clients should be protected during border searches, ABA House urges</td>
<td>Amicus brief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA Journal</td>
<td>Technology forces criminal law to evolve</td>
<td>Section Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA Journal</td>
<td>‘Making a Murderer’ team co-founds new Center for Integrity in Forensic Sciences</td>
<td>Forensics Ethics Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA Journal</td>
<td>Expungement, prisoners’ rights and child abuse were criminal justice issues tackled by ABA House</td>
<td>Expungement, Feminine Hygiene, Child Abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA Journal</td>
<td>Attorney pushes for prisoners to have more access to women’s hygiene products</td>
<td>Feminine Hygiene Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC News</td>
<td>‘Gay panic’ defense still used in violence cases may be banned by new federal bill</td>
<td>Gay Panic Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chron</td>
<td>Baylor rape plea deal is part of a larger problem</td>
<td>Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPR</td>
<td>Court Says Using Chalk On Tires For Parking Enforcement Violates Constitution</td>
<td>Judge Bernice Donald</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPR</td>
<td>Scrubbing The Past To Give Those With A Criminal Record A Second Chance</td>
<td>Expungement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York Law Journal</td>
<td>Arrests by ICE at Courthouses Should Be Illegal Without Warrant from Federal Judge</td>
<td>Tina Luongo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Detroit News</td>
<td>Michigan certified for 'best practices' in rape kit testing</td>
<td>Kym Worthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The National Law Journal</td>
<td>DOJ’s Brian Benczkowski Pledges ‘Fair Shake’ for Cooperating Companies</td>
<td>Section Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The New York Times</td>
<td>Takeaways from the Conviction of Paul Manafort</td>
<td>Ilene Jaroslaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The New York Times</td>
<td>I’m a Democrat and a Feminist. And I Support Betsy DeVos’s Title IX Reforms</td>
<td>Lara Bazelon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA Today</td>
<td>Banning gay panic defenses: The unfinished legacy of the Matthew Shepard case</td>
<td>Gay Panic Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall Street Journal</td>
<td>Florida Set to Restore Voting Rights to Felons Amid Threats of Lawsuits</td>
<td>Melba Pearson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Post</td>
<td>The problem with making a sex-crimes prosecutor the face of Senate Judiciary Republicans</td>
<td>Melba Pearson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Post</td>
<td>The arc of this civil rights leader’s life has always bent toward justice</td>
<td>Nicole Austin-Hillary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VII. MEMBERSHIP AND AWARDS

MEMBERSHIP ACTIVITY

Year-End Lawyer Count (2007-2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>09-10</th>
<th>10-11</th>
<th>11-12</th>
<th>12-13</th>
<th>13-14</th>
<th>14-15</th>
<th>15-16</th>
<th>16-17</th>
<th>17-18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10,986</td>
<td>10,927</td>
<td>10,952</td>
<td>11,531</td>
<td>10,506</td>
<td>9,490</td>
<td>6,370</td>
<td>6,265</td>
<td>6,140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Membership – as of May 31, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lawyers</td>
<td>5,719</td>
<td>6,140</td>
<td>-6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associates</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>-9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Students</td>
<td>8,411</td>
<td>9,203</td>
<td>-8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14,502</td>
<td>15,755</td>
<td>-8.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New Membership Model

The ABA has rolled out the New Membership Model which has changed the dues, outreach and cycling of membership. The new model simplifies the pricing structure and changes the membership term from a fiscal year to an anniversary-based approach. At this time the ABA is managing outreach to members that have lapsed in or dropped their due payments. The ABA has increased its offerings. Membership in the American Bar Association is now worth more than ever before. As a member, the more you use your benefits, the more you realize its value.

Dues Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dues</th>
<th>Years of Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$75</td>
<td>Bar admission to Year 4 and Paralegals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150</td>
<td>5-9 years, Solos, Small Firms, International Lawyers, Affiliated Professionals, Retired, Judges, Government, Public Service, and Non-Profit Public Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$250</td>
<td>10-14 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$350</td>
<td>15-20 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$450</td>
<td>20+ years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Membership Demographics

#### Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Unknown</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>82.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Due Class (Bar Admittance)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Due Class</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Bar Admittees</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Student Transfers</td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 1 (1-4 years)</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 3 (4-5 years)</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 5 (6-9 years)</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 7 (10+ years)</td>
<td>3,605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Group</td>
<td>5,719</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Practice Setting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Setting</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corporate</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government/Judiciary</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law School Faculty</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal/Public Services</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military</td>
<td>.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Profit</td>
<td>.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Practice</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Known</td>
<td>85.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Unknown</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Firm Size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Firm Size</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solo</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-9</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-49</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-99</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-249</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250+</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known</td>
<td>95.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AWARDS

The Section’s five CJS Awards were presented at the Awards Luncheon during the 11th Annual Fall CJS Institute on November 2, 2018 in Washington, DC.

(From left to right) CJS Chair Lucian E. Dervan, Prof. Paolo Annino (Raeder-Taslitz Award), Matt Redle (Charles R. English Award), Kevin Curtin (Norm Maleng Minister of Justice Award), Rosemary Armstrong (Livingston Hall Juvenile Justice Award), and Charlotte Cluverius with the Navy Victim’s Legal Counsel Program (Frank Carrington Crime Victim Attorney Award).

Charles R. English Award

Matthew Redle received the Charles R. English Award. The award recognizes judges, prosecutors, the defense bar, academics and other attorneys who are members of the ABA Criminal Justice Section and have distinguished themselves by their work in the field of criminal justice.

Redle is a graduate of the Creighton University School of Law. He is the County and Prosecuting Attorney for Sheridan County, Wyoming. He was first elected to that position in 1986 after serving as an assistant prosecutor since 1980. He is a Past Vice-President of the National District Attorneys Association Board of Directors.

He is a Past Chair of the American Bar Association Criminal Justice Section Council. Matt is a former member of the ABA Criminal Justice Standards Committee. On September 9, 2009, he was privileged to testify before the United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary in a hearing entitled “Strengthening Forensic Science in the United States.” In January of 2014 he was appointed to serve as a member of the National Commission on Forensic Science. As a Commissioner he served as Co-Chair of the subcommittee on Reporting and Testimony.

Livingston Hall Juvenile Justice Award

Rosemary Armstrong received the Livingston Hall Juvenile Justice Award. The award recognizes active members of the bar who devote a significant portion of his or her legal practice to youth and children, and is making positive contributions to the field both in and outside the courtroom, and who demonstrate a high degree of skill, commitment, and professionalism in representing their young clients and positively and significantly contribute to the field and the rights and interests of the children they serve.
Rosemary Armstrong is a graduate of the University of Washington and the Columbia School of Law. She is the Executive Director of Crossroads for Florida Kids, Inc. (Crossroads), a nonprofit organization that trains and mentors pro bono attorneys to represent children and young adults in their dependency, delinquency and criminal proceedings in Hillsborough County (Tampa), Florida. Created in 2012, the number of Crossroads pro bono attorneys is currently 130. In 2016 and again in 2017, Crossroads attorneys collectively contributed 5,500 pro bono hours representing poor kids. In 2014, Crossroads won the Outstanding Pro Bono Service by an Organization Award in Hillsborough County.

Ms. Armstrong served on the board of Bay Area Legal Services for over 20 years (as its president three times), during which time she created and implemented projects to improve and expand legal services in the community. As a Bay Area Legal Services volunteer attorney, she assisted women victims of domestic violence obtain dissolutions of marriage, child support and custody. She also recruited pro bono attorneys and mentors for a Family Law Mentor Project, which became a model for other pro bono programs in Florida. In 2012, Ms. Armstrong received the statewide Tobias Simon Pro Bono Service Award, presented by The Florida Supreme Court. In 2012, she was appointed Vice Chair of the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Pro Bono Committee and served as its chair from 2014-2016.

**Frank Carrington Crime Victim Attorney Award**

**Navy Victim's Legal Counsel Program** received the Frank Carrington Crime Victim Attorney Award. The award recognizes attorneys, legal service providers or organizations who represent specific victims in criminal, juvenile or appellate courts, or work to promote or implement policies to improve the treatment of crime victims in the criminal justice system.

In August 2013, the Secretary of Defense directed each service to stand up a Special Victims’ Counsel/Victims’ Legal Counsel Program. The Navy began operating its Victims’ Legal Counsel Program in November 2013. The Program supports victims of sexual offenses, giving them a voice and aiding in their recovery. Already traumatized by a violation of the most personal type, a victim is provided an attorney who listens and acts exclusively on his or her behalf. VLC explain complicated administrative and judicial processes to victims; help manage their expectations regarding outcomes of courts-martial, non-judicial proceedings, and administrative hearings; and ensure convening authorities (also known as commands), magistrates and military judges hear from victims about the impact of assault. VLC accompany victims to law enforcement interviews after explaining what will be asked and what rights victims have regarding release of cell phones, search authorizations, etc. VLC advocate for victims in court and at other administrative proceedings. The VLC Program has improved the Navy’s military justice system by giving victims a voice. It also has educated and trained uniformed attorneys (known as Judge Advocates or JAGs) in a burgeoning area of the law: victims’ rights. Their education includes not only specialized certification courses within the military, but also attendance at critical and cutting edge civilian trainings, such as the annual National Crime Victim Law Institute conference.

**Norm Maleng Minister of Justice Award**

**Kevin J. Curtin** received the Norm Maleng Minister of Justice Award. The award recognizes prosecutors who embody the principle “to seek justice, not merely to convict.”

Kevin J. Curtin serves as Senior Appellate Counsel/Grand Jury Director for Middlesex (Mass.) District Attorney Marian T. Ryan. He currently supervises the grand jury practice, writes and supervises appellate briefs, conducts oral arguments, manages certain post-conviction matters and advises the District Attorney and bureau chiefs.

He is a member of DA Ryan’s Conviction Integrity Unit. Mr. Curtin is a 1988 graduate of Boston
College Law School and served as a judicial law clerk for the Hon. William G. Young (D. Mass.). He has served on the Massachusetts Bar Association Criminal Justice Section Council as well as on its Civil Rights and Social Justice Section Council. Mr. Curtin teaches trial techniques at the National Trial Advocacy College at the University of Virginia School of Law and in the Trial Advocacy Workshop at Harvard Law School. He is an adjunct faculty member at Boston College Law School. In 2017, he received a Massachusetts Bar Association “Access to Justice” award and was named “Prosecutor of the Year” by the Massachusetts District Attorney’s Association. He is a vice-chair and member of the American Bar Association Criminal Justice Section Council and a co-chair of the Section’s Committee on Appellate and Habeas Practice.

Raeder-Taslitz Award

Paolo Annino received the Raeder-Taslitz Award. The award recognizes a law professor who exemplifies ethical and professional conduct; demonstrates excellence in scholarship, teaching or community service; and has made a significant contribution to promoting public understanding of criminal justice, justice and fairness in the criminal justice system or best practices on the part of lawyers and judges.

Paolo Annino is a national leader in helping children in the juvenile justice, criminal law, and health care systems. Florida State University College of Law Clinical Professor Annino is the Glass Professor of Public Interest Law and a Distinguished University Scholar at Florida State University College of Law. Annino is the Director of the FSU College of Law Public Interest Law Center.

Most recently, Annino and his students represented The Young Invincibles in the United States Supreme Court as Amicus Curiae in support of the constitutionality of the Affordable Care Act. Also in the Unites States Supreme Court, the Court relied heavily on a nationwide study conducted by Annino and his colleagues and students to make a landmark decision in Graham v. Florida. Justice Anthony M. Kennedy several times cited “the Annino study” in writing the opinion. The Court ruled that the Constitution prohibits the imposition of life without parole on a juvenile offender who did not commit homicide. Professor Annino has taught at the FSU College of Law Public Interest Law Center since 1995. He earned a Ph.D. in Philosophy from Fordham University and a J.D. from FSU College of Law. Before teaching at FSU, Annino practiced law for more than two decades as a public defender and as a legal services attorney. Annino has also served as legal advisor to the Florida Human Rights Advocacy Commission and as the Chair of the Public Interest Law Section of the Florida Bar.

LAW STUDENT COMPETITIONS

National Criminal Justice Trial Competition

The National Criminal Justice Trial Competition, co-sponsored by the ABA Criminal Justice Section and the John Marshall Law School, was held on March 2019 in Chicago, Illinois. The American University Washington College of Law took home first place in the competition. Law school teams prosecuted and defended the case People v. Shane Waters.

First held in 1991, the American Bar Association recognized the importance of training law students in trial advocacy and litigation skills. The Criminal Justice Association also recognized an important opportunity for the Association to make a contribution towards developing competency among the next generation of lawyers who will work in the nation’s criminal justice system. The John Marshall Law School was chosen to administer this competition based on its strong commitment to skills training. The competition advances both the ABA and The John Marshall Law School’s tradition of excellence in, and dedication to, the training of lawyers in the art of trial advocacy.
To date, more than 125 law schools from all regions of the United States have participated in the competition. Law schools from outside the United States, including: The Honourable Society of the Inner Temple, UK; Victoria University Law School, New Zealand; The Honourable Society of Gray’s Inn, UK; The University of Lucknow School of Law, Lucknow, India; and The Honourable Society of the Ling’s Inns, Ireland continue to attend. Over the years, hundreds of attorneys and judges have contributed their time and talent to observing, scoring, and evaluating the student advocates. The Competition has won praise from all quarters for the excellence of its evaluators, the talent level of its participants and the quality of its competition materials.

William W. Greenhalgh Student Writing Competition

The annual William Greenhalgh Student Writing Competition, named in memory of the late law professor, challenges the student-author to explore important issues of American criminal constitutional procedures. In addition to a cash prize, the winner receives a free one-year Section membership, airfare and accommodations to a Section meeting to receive the award, and possible publication of the essay in the Criminal Justice magazine.

Winner of the 2018 Greenhalgh Writing Competition, Michael Lynn of John Marshall Law School (left, with CJS Chair Lucian Dervan), received recognition at the CJS Fall Meeting on November 5, 2018 in Washington, DC. This year’s topic focused on a provision in the Fourth Amendment which guarantees “the right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures.”

Lynn, who is pursuing a joint JD/LLM in International Business and Trade Law, says he entered the competition because of his passion for fourth amendment jurisprudence. “I am extremely passionate about Fourth Amendment jurisprudence and I believe that the exclusionary rule should be strictly applied to any improperly executed warrant or search absent a warrant.”
VII. BUDGET REPORT

The Criminal Justice Section continues to focus on securing grant funds, as well as revenue from member dues, publications, CLEs and National Institute programming. We are beginning to increase the number and amount of sponsorships that we get from various events, especially our international white collar events. We have recently adopted a new uniform sponsorship package that will apply to our various programs. The diversity of our funding sources helps ensure that we operate in the black. Long-term frugality combined with grant and non-dues revenue efforts have helped build a very solid financial framework for the CJS.

With regard to grant funding, Section programming continues to benefit from both federal and Kellogg grants. These grants allow us to positively impact the criminal justice field without straining the Section budget.

CLE and National Institute programs still account for the bulk of income to the Section. The White Collar Crime National Institute continues to be extraordinarily successful, with 1100-plus attendees this past year.

Across the ABA there have been cuts to every entity and CJS is no different. This year we were cut almost 300,000 in general revenue funding from the ABA. This was not the main source of our funding but it is still damaging to the number of projects and meetings that we will be able to undertake. We continue to discuss the impact of these General Revenue cuts and adapt as needed ensuring that we are still able to provide the services that we are known for.

Finally, Section reserves are strong, providing fiscal stability and ensuring continuity of Section activities even in leaner economic times for the legal community as a whole. What is more, Section activities are supported by more than our Section resources, as the Section continues to receive substantial general revenue support from the ABA toward our basic operations.
VIII. DIVISION/COMMITTEE REPORTS

EQUAL JUSTICE DIVISION

Executive Directors: April Frazier-Camara and Denise Langford Morris

Juvenile Justice
Co-Chairs: Ernestine Gray & Michael Pope

The Committee met at the Spring and Fall Criminal Justice Section meetings and will hold a meeting at the 2019 August Annual Conference in San Francisco.

A subcommittee was formed to review and determine nominees for the Livingston Hall Award. The subcommittee’s recommendations were received and ultimately submitted to the Section for consideration.

The Committee continued to monitor work being done on the juvenile sex offender registry and circulated and discussed, at its Spring Meeting, a Resolution passed by the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges.

The Committee continued its work with the Commission on Youth At Risk, through the Sections’ Liaison, Co-Chair Ernestine S. Gray.

Upcoming activities for the Committee include a webinar in July on solitary confinement and activities at the Annual Conference in San Francisco to include a program addressing the Standards on Dual Jurisdiction/Multi-System Youth and work with the Homeless Youth Legal Network to host a program on the Bay Area response to Trafficking of Homeless youth.

The Committee will continue to seek and support opportunities for working with other ABA entities around issues and policies affecting youth in the juvenile and criminal justice systems.

Victims
Co-Chairs: Bradley Berry & Ann Ratnayake Macy

Policy Development:
109D Severe Child Maltreatment - Felony Torture (Passed House of Delegates Feb 2019): Urges federal, state, local, territorial and tribal legislatures to amend existing laws or enact new laws to clearly define child torture and make child torture a felony offense regardless of whether a serious physical injury occurs, and to promote training, for all court and medical personnel in these cases, on emerging evidence-based and effective practices to improve government responsiveness to severe maltreatment of children that does not inflict serious bodily injury.

Webinar:
• Overcoming Legal and Technical Barriers for Justice in CyberCrimes (CSN): Kristin Judge, Executive Director of the CyberCrime Support Network (CSN) & Ann Ratnayake Macy, April 9, 2019

• Victim’s Rights Survey & Potential Victim’s Rights 101 Webinar: Meg Garvin, Executive Director, National Crime Victim Law Institute & Desiree Staeffler-Marchbanks, Law Fellow, National Crime Victim Law Institute; Survey drafted, ready to pair with the webinar

Meetings: Las Vegas, Jan 24, 2019; Nashville April 4, 2019
COMMUNICATIONS, MEMBERSHIP AND SERVICES DIVISION

Executive Directors: Janet Fink and Nina Marino

Book Board
Chair: Michael McCrum

The ABA Criminal Justice Section’s Book Board endeavors to provide high-quality publications to every sector of the criminal justice community. The current Book Board consists of seven CJS members: Laurence Benner, Barbara Bergman, Michael Dean, George Donnini, Michael McCrum (chair), David Seide, and Sol Wisenberg. The Board is assisted by ABA staff members Kyo Suh (CJS publishing liaison), Sarah Craig (executive editor), and Francine Bennett (manager of product marketing).

In Fiscal Year 2018, the book program made $99,636 in gross revenue and returned a profit of $27,895 to the Criminal Justice Section, with over 1,500 books sold. In Fiscal Year 2019 to date (through April), the book program has earned $78,033 in gross revenue, with 932 books sold so far.

In Fiscal Year 2019, the Book Board oversaw publication of two important works: Rehabilitation and Incarceration: In Search of Fairer and More Productive Sentencing, by the late Judge Harold Baer, and the Fourth Amendment Handbook, Fourth Edition. Upcoming publications for Fiscal Year 2020 include a study of criminal justice-related decisions by the Roberts Court, a collection of perspectives on prosecutorial discretion, a fourth edition of Asset Forfeiture: Practice and Procedure in State and Federal Courts, and a third edition of The Privilege of Silence: Fifth Amendment Protections Against Self-Incrimination. The Book Board is always looking for new leads on potential projects, and we welcome book proposals from CJS members.

Criminal Justice Magazine Editorial Board
Chair: Justin Murphy

Continued publication of the magazine within-budget and on-time on a quarterly schedule, with Board members developing article and symposium ideas and topics, soliciting authors and reviewing all articles to ensure quality and accuracy. Preparing for 2019 Symposium on Elder Justice issues, our first symposium on that topic. Specifically solicited and assigned 20-30 articles plus 40+ columns per bar year;

Continued provision of a valuable resource for the Criminal Justice Section’s diverse membership, as well as for law libraries and criminal justice professionals, with a mix of timely practice-oriented articles and policy pieces that provide a wide variety of perspectives on cutting-edge legal issues and recent developments;

Continued provision of a forum to highlight new reports, Section committee projects and publications, standards, policy positions and resolutions of the Section and the ABA that are of interest to the Section’s members and, in particular, to provide a vehicle for Section members and others to publish articles that may be useful to their colleagues;

Published at or under the established budget;

Held an annual face-to-face meeting and several conference calls for editorial board and staff to discuss the administration of the Magazine and article/column ideas and topics;

Continued publication of columns in specialized areas of interest to significant segments of the Section’s membership, including, inter alia, scientific evidence, trial tactics, technology, ethics, juvenile justice, white collar crime, women and the law, mental health, and rules of criminal procedure, other developing areas of the law as well as reviews of new books and alerts regarding cases before the US Supreme Court; and
Continued administration and judging of the William Greenhalgh student writing competition, including publication, if appropriate, of winning entry.

**Legislative and Policy**  
**Chair: Hillel Hoffman**

The Chair of the Legislative and Policy Committee has continued an analysis of bail reform legislation which was begun in 2017. The Chair has circulated previous summaries of bail reform in Connecticut and bail reform in New Jersey which were distributed at the April 2018 meeting of the Criminal Justice Section at Tampa, Florida.

The Chair has prepared a summary of bail reform legislation in California. That legislation is subject to a statewide referendum which will be held in November 2020.

The Chair has prepared a summary of bail reform legislation in New York State, which was enacted as part of the New York State Budget on April 1, 2019. It will become effective on January 1, 2020.

The Chair will distribute the California and New York summaries to the members of the Legislative and Policy Committee in advance of the annual meeting in August 2019.

**Membership**  
**Co-Chairs: April Frazier-Camara & Cynthia Orr**

The Membership Committee participated in SOC conference calls every month concerning the ABA’s efforts to increase membership. The Young Lawyers suggested that CJS advise it when it did any campus outreach so that it could assist with attendance and follow through with membership. The ABA rolled out its new dues structure. The committee also assisted the diversity committee and women’s task force with their future plans.

**Young Lawyers**  
**Co-Chairs: Deanna Adams & Tiffani Smith**

The Young Lawyers Committee (YLC) is making strides to support its members through various outreach and educational opportunities, including the following:

**Engaging committee members**

The YLC outreaches to committee members via quarterly emails that provide updates on committee activities, information about upcoming committee meetings, and other professional opportunities available through the CJS and the ABA Young Lawyers Division. YLC members also benefit from the support of CJS section leadership who compile and send out job opportunities of potential interest to lawyers new or growing their careers.

Additionally, co-chairs extend personal email greetings to new committee members, welcoming them to the YLC and providing a forum to introduce them to current activities and goals planned over the course of the bar year.

**Mentoring program**

The YLC mentoring program was developed to serve as a pipeline for young lawyers to participate in the larger CJS by pairing them seasoned attorneys active in the association. The program is designed to engage mentors and mentees in at least one conversation – e.g., via phone or at a coffee meeting – and was initially launched to coincide with the ABA Annual Meeting.
Continuing the success of the inaugural mentoring program launched in 2017, YLC is in the process of initiating the next round of the program by 1) surveying past participants to learn of program successes and areas for improvement, 2) developing a plan to recruit seasoned CJS members interested in being a mentor, and 3) developing a survey/registration process to identify YLC members requesting a mentor. We look forward to launching this next phase of the mentoring program this summer.

Webinars
A YLC co-chair is supporting the development of the Mental Health Committee’s planned webinar focused on cultural competency as it relates to people with mental health. As such, the YLC will look forward to joining the Mental Health Committee in promoting the webinar and extending its reach to the YLC and the ABA Young Lawyers Division.

Young lawyer resources
The YLC is collecting and developing resources to be included on the YLC webpage. The resources will include practice and career-oriented content as well as professional development opportunities.

CORRECTIONS AND SENTENCING DIVISION

Executive Directors: Wayne McKenzie and Barry Boss

Alternative Dispute Resolution & Restorative Justice
Co-Chairs: Arthur Burnett & Robert Haferd

The main highlight from our Alternative Dispute Resolution & Restorative Justice Committee is that we held our first ever committee meeting at the Midyear Meeting in Las Vegas, which was well-attended by lawyers and nationally-renowned restorative justice practitioners alike.

Alternatives to Incarceration & Diversion
Co-Chairs: Raul Ayala, Brooke Hyman & Robert McWhirter

Committee Description: Focuses on analyzing and promoting workable alternatives for offenders who may benefit from treatment and other forms of community sanctions. The committee also studies treatment and diversion options for offenders who suffer from treatable conditions, such as substance abuse and mental illness to avoid burdening them with the stigma of a conviction and incarceration and to avoid resultant great expense to the community. The committee will look at ways these programs can be used to improve the efficacy of the criminal justice system.

Goals and Activities: During the 2019-2020 program year, the Committee has planned meetings at the following ABA/CJS events:

- ABA Annual Meeting (August 8-11, 2019, San Francisco, California)
- CJS Fall Institute (November 7-9, 2019, Washington, DC)
- ABA Mid-Year Meeting (February 12-17, 2020, Austin, Texas)
- CJS Spring Meeting (April 2020, Kansas City, Missouri)

Highlights for 2018-2019:

- Participation in the CJS Diversion Standards Task Force (Chair, Raul Ayala)
• Presentation of a CLE program at the 2018 ABA Annual Meeting, entitled The Future of Problem Solving Courts: Where Do We Go From Here? Panelists included the Hon. John Gleeson (Ret., EDNY), Dr. Douglas Marlow (Senior Scientific Consultant, National Association of Drug Court Professionals), Hon. Steven Leifman (Associate Administrative Judge, Miami Dade County Court, Criminal Health Project; Co-Chair, CJS Mental Health Committee), and Brooke D. Hyman (Co-Chair, CJS Alternatives to Incarceration and Diversion Committee).

• CLE presentation at the 2019 ABA Annual Meeting, entitled The Well-Being of Public Interest Professionals: Engaged or Invisible? Panelists will include Anne M. Brafford (Aspire Legal; Vice Chair, Attorney Well-Being Committee, ABA Law Practice Division), Kristine Hamann (CEO, Prosecutor’s Center for Excellence), and Jose H. Varela (Marin County Public Defender).

• The Committee was instrumental in the drafting of a letter from ABA President Bob Carlson in opposition to a proposed amendment by the federal Office of Personnel Management (OPM) that would have required disclosure of an applicant’s participation in any type of diversion program in the preceding 7 years. The OPM later withdrew the proposed amendment.

• The Committee is also in the process of preparing an internal survey of its membership to help identify ways in which it may better serve practitioners within their alternatives to incarceration and diversion programs and other areas of interest.

• Other Committee activities include the submission of articles for ABA and CJS publications, increased outreach and participation from our committee membership, and further collaboration with other bar committees and entities.

Corrections
Co-Chairs: David Ball & Keramet Reiter

- In December 2018, Co-chair Keramet Reiter and member Jack Donson published an article in the Federal Sentencing Reporter providing an overview of restrictive housing (or solitary confinement) policies and reforms in the federal prison system.

- Co-chair David Ball submitted a proposal for a CLE on Risk Assessment and Actuarial Tools for the 2019 annual meeting; it was not accepted, but we hope to re-submit for a future meeting.

- Committee Member Julie Abate submitted resolution 109C to the midyear meeting in Las Vegas (January 2019), where it was adopted: RESOLVED, that the American Bar Association urges federal, state, local, tribal and territorial governments to enact legislation and correctional, and detention facilities to enact policies, to provide all women prisoners in all forms of detention with unrestricted access, on housing units, to free toilet paper and a range of free feminine hygiene products, including both tampons and sanitary pads in sufficient quantities to address their needs. It was adopted.

- Committee Member SpearIt! submitted resolution 100A to the annual meeting in June 2018, where it was adopted: RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges bar associations, law schools and other 2 stakeholders to develop and increase educational initiatives, clinics, and other experiential 3 courses through which law students provide legal assistance to pre-trial detainees, immigration 4 detainees, and incarcerated individuals reentering society.
Law Enforcement  
Co-Chairs: Anthony Holloway & Phil Pulaski

Our committee met at all of the four Criminal Justice Section meetings during the 2018-2019 year. Additionally, the committee focused on the legal and scientific aspects of the new RAPID DNA technology being deployed by local police departments and sheriff offices. At each committee meeting we discussed how the law enforcement community is addressing the specific concerns raised by the National District Attorney’s Association. Our committee also briefed the prosecution function committee on the RAPID DNA implementation issues. Also co-chair Tony Holloway was a panel member at a CLE presentation at the Chicago meeting.

Pre-Trial Justice  
Co-Chairs: Justin Bingham & Alec Karakatsanis

The Pre-Trial Justice Committee met several times during the 2018-2019 bar year, including at the Fall Institute in Washington, D.C. and the Spring Meeting in Nashville, TN. The committee has collaborated with the Alternatives to Incarceration and Law Enforcement Committees to create a CLE program centered around the concept of law enforcement led diversion. This CLE program will be presented at the Annual Meeting in San Francisco. The Pre-Trial Justice Committee hopes to leverage the power of collaboration with other committees on future projects in order to showcase alternative ideas in the area of pre-trial justice.

ReEntry & Collateral Consequences  
Co-Chairs: Rick Collins & Anne Swern

The collateral consequences of a criminal conviction are diverse and profound, adversely affecting a wide range of opportunities in the areas of employment, education, housing, financing and licensing. These consequences lead to recidivism by perpetuating a cycle of unemployment, underemployment and disenfranchisement. With one in three American adults estimated to now have a criminal record, the need for deserving ex-offenders to have a chance at redemption has never been greater. Our committee drafted a report and resolution to advocate for the federal government to pass criminal record expungement or sealing legislation. I had the privilege to present our resolution at the Criminal Justice Section Fall Council Meeting in November, where the resolution was broadened as follows: “RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association urges federal, state, local, territorial and tribal legislatures to define criminal arrests, charges, and disposions that are eligible for expungement or removal from public view by sealing, and set out procedures for individuals to apply for the same.” The amended resolution was approved by the Council, and was presented to the ABA House of Delegates at its meeting in January where it passed unanimously. The adopted version of the report and resolution can be found here: [https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/images/news/2019mymhodres/109b.pdf](https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/images/news/2019mymhodres/109b.pdf).

With policy in place, our committee members will continue to work with ABA leadership and staff to advance this issue. We are hopeful that state bar associations will adopt similar resolutions and will advocate this initiative to their legislative representatives. In the absence of an opportunity for expungement or sealing, the stigma and collateral consequences associated with a criminal conviction follow an individual to the grave. We hope our efforts will lead to a light at the end of the criminal justice tunnel for those who deserve a second chance.
Sentencing


Committee co-chairs have continued to educate practitioners, through speaking engagements, regarding the excessive focus on “loss” under §2B1.1 as a measure of culpability. Co-chairs have also promoted awareness of the ABA Criminal Justice Section Task Force on the Reform of Federal Sentencing for Economic Crimes’ alternative economic sentencing guidelines and their underlying rationale.

Committee co-chairs have continued to educate practitioners, through speaking engagements and published articles, regarding the practice of submitting videos as impactful aids in presenting mitigating arguments as a supplement to the sentencing memo.

The Committee is continuing to develop its arsenal of case law where judges are truly relying on the 18 USC § 3553 factors to determine sentences and are varying from the advisory guidelines.

Committee members are actively involved in educating practitioners on various aspects of the First Step Act. Our committee co-chairs have published articles explaining the First Step Act and have led panel presentations on the First Step Act and are actively involved in facilitating First Step Act implementation.

PROFESIONAL DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

Executive Directors: Tina Luongo and Steven Zeidman

Academics

Co-Chairs: Anna Roberts & India Thusi

The Academics Committee hosted its annual Works-in-Progress Roundtable as part of the 2018 Criminal Justice Section Fall Institute in Washington, D.C. Conference participants heard a keynote address from Cynthia Lee, Charles Kennedy Poe Research Professor of Law at George Washington Law School. Professor Lee provided guidance on how law professors can be productive scholars. Professor Lee spoke with authority on that subject. She has published numerous articles, including *Making Race Salient: Trayvon Martin and Implicit Bias in a Not Yet Post-Racial Society* in the North Carolina Law Review and *Prosecutorial Discretion, Substantial Assistance, and the Federal Sentencing Guidelines* in the UCLA Law Review, amongst many others. She is also the author or editor of four books. Professor Lee offered several pieces of advice. First, law professors should read extensively and consider this reading as part and parcel of the writing process. Reading law review articles, cases, and popular media articles sparks ideas for new projects and ensures that scholars remain current in their areas of expertise. Second, Professor Lee emphasized the importance of keeping a record of ideas that come to mind for future reference. This “ideas folder” can be a source for future inspiration. Third, Professor Lee emphasized the importance of sharing work with others in the field. Feedback allows scholars to refine their scholarship, make an iffy first draft into a real contribution to the criminal justice field, obtain feedback from practitioners on the ground, and share work with mentors and peers early in the writing process. Conferences are a great place to get feedback because they present an opportunity to publicize your work, and they all set a fixed deadline, encouraging productivity.

In addition to Professor Lee’s opening remarks at the Roundtable, legal scholars from across the country workshopped their papers on a variety of criminal justice topics. Papers examined the following: the underlying rationales for criminalization and punishment and new approaches to punishment theory and criminal convictions; the practice of sentencing and the nature of punishment; criminal law practices at the
pre-trial and investigations stage; how police do their jobs, the bases upon which police should be guided in their jobs, and how technology should impact police practices; and comparative and interdisciplinary approaches to criminalization and policing.

CLE Board
Co-Chairs: Nina Marino & Kevin Napper

The CLE Committee met regularly this year, both at the CJS Fall Meeting and Spring Meeting. The Committee implemented a master calendaring system for all CLE events and the committee continues to discuss mechanisms in which the programming created by the 45 white collar crime subcommittees can be better managed by the staff. The concept of recording programming has also been discussed as a potential revenue stream for the section. Finally, the committee is in the process of preparing a series of podcasts on trial skills directed at young lawyers. The committee will continue to endeavor to improve the programming of the CJS.

See Section 4 of this report for the full list of CLE programs of the 2018-2019 year.

Criminal Procedure and Evidence
Co-Chairs: Ion Meyen & Samuel Yee

Through committee meetings or list-serve emails, the Criminal Procedure and Evidence Committee has solicited or will be soliciting comments from its members about any expansion to criminal discovery in the state courts, any developments in prosecutorial ethics, and the effect of prosecutorial conviction integrity units as well as seeking comments on whether to change or revisit the ABA Standards on Criminal Discovery.

Ethics, Gideon and Professionalism Committee
Co-Chairs: Lara Bazelon and Ron Paschal

The Ethics, Gideon & Professionalism Committee will play an important role in a newly established Task Force co-chaired by Matt Redle and Suzanne Bell. The Task Force, established by the President of the ABA, is charged with developing Standards for presentation at the ABA 2019 Annual Meeting. The goal is to develop Model Code of Professional conduct for forensic service providers and their supervisors that will also identify their relationship to the lawyers engaging them, opposing counsel, and the court and develop a model of delivering ethical advice along the lines of what the Center for Professional Responsibility currently offers.

The Standards are intended to guide prosecutors, defense attorneys, judges, forensic experts, lab technicians, and lab directors on the rules for discovery and admissibility of forensic science pretrial, instructions on its evidentiary value or lack thereof at trial, and the duty to correct post-conviction if developments in a specific forensic discipline cast doubt on the validity of the evidence presented in support of the conviction. Ethics, Gideon & Professionalism Committee co-chair Lara Bazelon is serving as a Reporter for the Task Force.

Lara Bazelon is also on serving as a member of the ABA CJS’s Women in Criminal Justice Task Force, which was formed in 2018 to study the unique concerns, including gender bias, facing female lawyers in the criminal justice community. The Women in Criminal Justice Task Force is co-chaired by Tina Luongo and Carla LaRoche.
Prosecution Function  
Chair: Michael Moore & Melba Pearson 

1. Members of the committee were involved in planning the Ninth Annual Prescription for Criminal Justice Forensics CLE held in New York on June 1, 2018. 

2. Sponsored 2 CLE’s for the Spring CJS meeting in San Diego Chaired by Melba and Justin 

3. Requested the CJS review and develop standards regarding victims and this was approved. 

4. Head of Caesar Security addressed the committee during ABA midyear meeting. 

5. Executed a panel on innovation in the criminal justice system, and a panel on prosecutors as agents of change at the Fall CJS meeting in Washington DC. 

6. The Committee sponsored a tour of the Kirby Psychiatric Hospital in NYC on May 31, 2018 and a Tour of the NYPD Crime Lab on May 29, 2019. 

7. The Committee hosted a CLE Panel on Expungements and Clemency in January 2018 in conjunction with the NDAA (January 2018). 

8. Committee hosted a Panel Discussion in April 2018 regarding Prosecutor Discretion in regards to the Florida recent supreme court decision. 

9. Committee submitted a proposal for a CLE Panel to present during the ABA Paris session. CLE was held regarding Terrorism, Security and Privacy and received positive reviews. 

10. Committee took a position on numerous resolutions brought before the CJS. 

11. Committee is working with other groups to convert victim’s right guidelines to standards 

12. Committee is working on a Resolution regarding Familial DNA Searches. 

13. Committee is working on a Book that will be about prosecutor discretion, chapters will be written by various people in the field. 

14. The Committee continues to work with NDAA and NBPA on a variety of issues that are of concern to prosecutors across this country. 

Science Technology & Forensics  
Co-Chairs: Sarah Chu & Barry Scheck 

The Science, Technology and Forensics Committee initiated outreach to other ABA Committees regarding the development of a blue ribbon panel to establish a forensic science code of ethics and provide support to the community on these issues. In January, the committee co-chairs participated in the first meeting of the Forensic Science Ethics Task Force which developed a plan for convening stakeholders to create a set of ethical guidelines for stakeholders who interact with forensic evidence and forensic scientists. The Task Force will reconvene in the summer months to move the effort forward.
SPECIALIZED PRACTICE DIVISION

Executive Directors: Kevin Curtin and Anthony Musto

Amicus Practice
Co-Chairs: Mark Dwyer, Rory Little, Matt Redle, Thiru Vignarajah

The Amicus Review Committee continues to monitor cases that are headed to the United States Supreme Court and implicate important criminal justice issues. It also tracks those cases in which the Supreme Court has granted certiorari, and reviews them to determine if the ABA has policy on the issue in the case and whether the American Bar Association Amicus Committee should file a brief in the case.

This year, the Committee submitted applications for amicus briefs in three criminal cases:

- **Kahler v. Kansas**, presenting the question of whether the US Constitution prevents a state from abolishing the insanity defense;
- **Ramos v. Louisiana**, where the issue is whether the Sixth Amendment right to a unanimous verdict applies to all states through the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment; and
- **Malvo v. Mathena**, in which the Court must determine whether a juvenile is entitled to a resentencing hearing in all cases where he or she was given a sentence of life imprisonment without parole.

The Committee located writers for all briefs, and the briefs will be completed and filed for next year’s term.

Global Anti-Corruption
Co-Chairs: Andrew Boutros, T. Markus Funk, Preston Pugh & Mara Senn

Now in its ninth year since inception, the Criminal Justice Section’s Global Anti-Corruption Committee has had another stand-out year. True to its mission, the Committee has delivered powerful, cutting-edge subject matter content and events for the benefit of Committee and Section members, as well as the ABA’s membership at large. In doing so, as in years’ past, the Anti-Corruption Committee has – and, indeed, exceeded – its year-end Committee goals.

**First**, the Committee launched the third year of its resoundingly-successful National Roundtable Series. The Roundtable Series – which is free – is intended to encourage frank and robust anti-corruption-related discussions among members and other bar leaders in a small, intimate setting in cities across the country – and, now, the world. The 2019 Series was launched in Denver with an investigations roundtable discussion that included a cocktail reception and dinner at the law offices of Perkins Coie (attendees included high-level in-house lawyers from Fortune 200 companies like Ball Corp, Newmont Mining, DaVita, and Molson Coors).

In 2018, the Committee organized similar roundtables in cities as geographically diverse as Phoenix, Anchorage, and Washington, D.C..

The Roundtable Series is an ambitious series undertaken by the ABA and its CJS. The Global Anti-Corruption Committee is honored to be spearheading this content-rich program that brings tremendous value and free participation opportunities to its members, the ABA at large, and the legal community.

**Second**, last year, the Committee launched a second high-profile and exciting series: The Foreign Corruption Prosecutor Series. The Foreign Corruption Prosecutor Series welcomes prosecutors from all the world to present on topics of interest to the global anti-corruption community, including topics specific to the host nation where the foreign prosecutor practices law (and typically the event is broadcast using a dial-in number so that ABA members and others from around the world can listen in).
During FY 2018-19, the Foreign Prosecutor Series has, among other things, hosted prosecutors from France, Sweden, and Korea. Specifically, the Committee hosted:

- Charles Duchaine, Director, Agence Française Anticorruption (AFA) (French Anti-Corruption Agency) and Marie-Laurence Navarri, Justice Attaché, French Embassy;
- Kim Andrews, Chief Public Prosecutor, National Anti-Corruption Unit, Swedish Prosecution Authority; and
- SeungJoo Lee, Prosecutor, Korean Prosecution Service (Secondee Investigator, World Bank INT) and Nicholas James, Horton Australian National University, Frohlich World Bank Scholar.

Third, the Committee (though co-chair Markus Funk) continued to run and update the very active LinkedIn ABA Global Anti-Corruption Group. This LinkedIn page is home to one of the largest anti-corruption groups of its kind, with more than 1,300 members and an average of 10 daily entries.

Fourth, as part of its ongoing efforts to support and help collaborate on the important work being done by the CJS and other ABA Sections, the Committee continued to collaborate closely with, among others, the International Section and its Anti-Corruption Committee and some of its constituents, in addition to the ABA Corporate Social Responsibility and Forced Labor Task Force. For example, the Foreign Corruption Prosecution Series has been hosted in cooperation with the Section of International Law and its International Anti-Corruption Committee. Through these partnerships and other collaborative efforts, the Committee has been able to maximize synergies and enhance the ABA’s national and international footprint in the anti-corruption scene.

Fifth, as part of prior discussions with the ABA-CJS leadership, Co-Chairs Markus Funk and Andrew Boutros have teamed up with Oxford University Press (the world’s largest—and second oldest—academic press) to publish a comprehensive (750-page) book featuring timely anti-corruption-related chapters by Committee members and others. This book went to print on April 9, 2019. The Foreword was penned by Judge McKeown (Chair of the ABA’s Rule of Law Initiative). This first-of-its-kind book draws on the real-world experience and expertise possessed by some of the world’s leading anti-corruption and anti-bribery practitioners to make meeting that challenge easier. Featuring country-specific chapters and practitioner-focused "how to" modules, From Baksheesh to Bribery serves as a one-stop shop for practitioners, in-house counsel, compliance personnel, academics, and others who want—and often need—to understand the world’s perspective on corruption and the fight against it.

Sixth, in connection with the aforementioned OUP book, co-chair Markus Funk on April 22 travelled to Indonesia with contributor Kevin Feldis to host a series of book discussion round-tables (co-sponsored by the Global Anti-Corruption Committee, Oxford University Press, the U.S. State Department, the U.S. Department of Justice, and the Indonesian Corruption Eradication Commission ("KPK").

Seventh, working with the CJS Staff, the Committee is also happy to report that it was able to update its website and make it available to all members and the public.
The ABA Criminal Justice Section (CJS) Committee on Homeland Security, Terrorism, and Treatment of Enemy Combatants (HSTTEC) convened meetings during the fall conference in Washington, D.C. and midyear in Nashville, Tennessee. Committee co-chair Arjun Sethi attended the Washington, D.C. meeting in person and the Nashville meeting via teleconference; co-chair Joe Whitley attended both meetings via teleconference. The HSTTEC Committee continues to grow its membership and provide input on issues and resolutions being considered by other committees, including The Racial Justice and Diversity Committee and The Immigration Committee. At the fall meeting, the HSTTEC Committee convened a CLE-panel on Guantanamo Bay featuring Rick Kammen, formerly of counsel in the USS Cole Litigation, Carole Rosenberg with The New York Times, and Pardiss Kebriaei with CCR. Arjun Sethi moderated. The CJS HSTTEC Committee continues to focus on the following areas: a) the use of state and local law enforcement to uphold federal immigration laws; b) the Administration’s travel ban and the waiver process; c) sharing of threat information between federal and local authorities and how to protect classified information in that context; and d) Guantanamo detainees.

International
Co-Chairs: El Cid Butuyan and Tyler Hodgson

The International Committee of the Criminal Justice Section explores a diverse range of issues at the intersection of criminal and international law that can have a real life impact on the work of practicing attorneys, ranging from enforcement to evidence gathering and admission, through to trial and sentencing. The Committee also explores topical issues of public policy in the area of criminal law.

In October, 2018, the Committee Chair moderated a panel at the 4th annual Arab Lawyers Forum in Rome on “the Dynamics between General Counsel and Law Firms” in the area of internal and external international investigations.

Following on the heels of the Committee’s successful CLE events at the 2018 mid-year in Vancouver (International comparison on death penalty) and the 2018 Spring Meeting (Migration and the Criminal Law), the Committee continued to plan ongoing CLE events during this past year. The Committee will be hosting a CLE panel on “The Collection and Use of Evidence from Abroad” at the forthcoming ABA Annual General Meeting in San Francisco in August. The Committee is also planning a follow-up Migration and Criminal Law event for the 2020 Mid-Year in February.

WHITE COLLAR CRIME DIVISION

Executive Directors: Morris “Sandy” Weinberg and John Hueston

White Collar Crime
Co-Chairs: John Heuston and Rita Galvin

The White Collar Crime Committee continues to drive thought leadership on emerging white collar crime issues in the United States and across the globe. Using the vehicles of continuing legal education seminars, conferences, networking events, and publications, the White Collar Crime Committee reaches out to practitioners, academics, and legal scholars to further dialogue and study of a variety of white collar topics.

The 33rd Annual National Institute on White Collar Crime took place on March 6-8, 2019 in New Orleans, LA at the Hilton New Orleans Riverside with more than 1140 attendees.
The White Collar Crime Committee also convened a committee meeting in New Orleans facilitated by Committee Co-Chairs Benjamin Gluck & Rita Glavin. Topics included upcoming programs, international initiatives, and reports from the regional and substantive committees.

The WCCC hosted the Seventh Annual White Collar Crime Institute held on October 8-9, 2018 in London, UK and attracted approximately 125 attendees. Sally Yates, former Deputy and acting U.S. Attorney General at the Department of Justice was the keynote luncheon speaker. Panel topics included: Challenges to International Lawyers: Navigating Issues of Attorney/Client Privilege, Border Searches and More", International Perspectives: A Comparative Look at Jurisdictions Around the World", Cryptocurrencies and the Blockchain.

The WCCC will host a one and a half day institute in Prague, Czech Republic in late June 2019. We intend to bring the energy and excitement of our previous global white-collar crime institutes in Shanghai and São Paulo and create unique opportunities for our participants to network and explore the legal complexities of white collar crime in the growing eastern European legal market.

Women in White Collar Subcommittee
Co-Chairs: Jessica Nall, Jennifer Belveal & Ilene Jaroslaw

The mission of the ABA Women in White Collar Subcommittee ("WIWC") is to be the national representative of female legal professionals (including private and public defense attorneys, prosecutors, judges, and related professionals) who focus their practices in the area of white collar criminal, civil enforcement, administrative, and regulatory law. ABA WIWC seeks to advance gender diversity in this practice area through the promotion of thought leadership, educational opportunities, and positive publicity to encourage a focus at the national level on the professional excellence and accomplishments of women in the white collar field.

Among our recent well-received events was the WIWC subcommittee’s presentation of a White Collar Crime Breakfast Briefing featuring the U.S. Attorney of the Eastern District of Michigan, Matthew J. Schneider, on October 18. Following USA Schneider’s remarks, co-chair Jennifer Belveal of Foley & Lardner moderated a Key Federal Enforcement Personnel Panel with Mark Chutkow, Chief of Criminal Division, USAO EDMI; Rebecca Keithley, Supervisory Special Agent, FBI; Joel R. Levin, Director of Chicago Regional Office, SEC; and Laurie J. Michelson, U.S. District Court Judge, EDMI.

At the 33rd Annual National Institute on White Collar Crime in New Orleans, on March 6, the WIWC subcommittee hosted the First Annual Women in White Collar Crime Keynote Luncheon, introduced to the standing-room-only crowd by co-chairs Jessica Nall and Jennifer Belveal. Keynote Speaker and former Acting Chief of the Fraud Section at DOJ, Sandra Moser, now a member of Quinn & Emanuel, shared her journey up the ranks from line AUSA in the District of New Jersey to a high profile Main Justice role where she supervised 150 white collar crime prosecutors. Her observations and remarks were made during the luncheon in a question-and-answer format conducted by co-chair Ilene Jaroslaw of Phillips Nizer. Tickets to the event were in high demand, so planning has already begun for the Second Annual Women in White Collar Crime Keynote Luncheon to be held during the 2020 White Collar Institute in San Diego.

The WIWC met the following day in New Orleans to plan our agenda for the coming year. Already on the calendar for August 8 is a CLE produced by WIWC entitled “Internal Investigations on the Cutting Edge: Perspectives From the Front Line,” which will be presented at the ABA Annual Meeting in San Francisco. Co-chair Jessica Nall is among those on the panel, and co-chair Ilene Jaroslaw will moderate.
CRIMINAL JUSTICE STANDARDS

Criminal Justice Standards Committee
Chair: Bruce Green

The Standards Committee met in September 2018, March 2019 and August 2019, and accomplished these objectives:

- It completed review of the Discovery Task Force black letter standards, and sent them to the CJS Council for first reading in August 2019 at the Annual Meeting in San Francisco, CA.
- The Committee approved the Monitors Standards commentary, and the Standards with Commentary was uploaded to the CJS website in January 2019. The CJS Standards on Monitors and Monitoring hard cover book and e-book will be published in 2019.
- The Committee began review of the Prosecution/Defense Function commentary and will complete that review in 2019-2020.
- The Committee formed the Task Force on Victims of Crime and hopes it will begin its work in 2019. Judge Virginia Kendall will chair the task force.

The Committee continues to supervise the work of the Juvenile Justice Task Force, the Diversion/Specialized Courts Task Force and the Sentencing Task Force. These task forces met 3-4 times over the year in Chicago, Los Angeles and Washington, DC. Commentary continues to be drafted for the Mental Health Standards by Larry Fitch and the Dual Status Youth Standards by Bob Schwartz. The Committee, through the Harmonization Task Force, is working with the ABA Center for Innovation to create a new website to house all Standards and utilize an advanced search mechanism. Chase Hertel and Sarah Glassmeyer from COI created a prototype which was introduced at the CJS Spring Meeting in Nashville. The Task Force will continue to solicit feedback, focus on elements of the search feature, and discuss additional features for the site.

TASK FORCES & PROJECTS

International Criminal Justice Standards Task Force
Co-Chairs: Glenna MacGregor and Steven Kay, QC

The International Criminal Justice Standards Task Force is supervising the development of a wide-ranging resource for practitioners of international criminal law. In 2018-2019, the Task Force invited and confirmed global participation of over 130 individuals with varied perspectives and in varied career stages to form the Advisory Group, and received positive feedback on the value of the initiative through this process. The Task Force created and administered a survey to capture Advisory Group members’ specific expertise and interests and has made preliminary assignments of Task Force leaders and Advisory Group members to work on more specific international criminal law topics. The Task Force is currently developing a practical guide to systematize upcoming Advisory Group efforts and the content creation process. The Task Force will meet in person in The Hague in July and hopes to begin substantive discussion of the first wave of topics in summer 2019.

International Criminal Court Project
Chair: Michael S. Greco

The ABA’s International Criminal Court Project continued to engage in a broad range of activities designed to further its goals to strengthen, regularize, and broaden U.S. engagement with the International Criminal Court (ICC) and to implement longstanding ABA policies on international criminal justice. The Project’s activities included public education opportunities, collaborative discussions with ICC officials and civil
society partners, and advocacy with US policymakers in coordination with the ABA Governmental Affairs office. Activities included:

- **Nov. 2018:** Co-convened a discussion with the McCain Institute for International Leadership’s Democracy and Human Rights Working Group on current challenges facing the International Criminal Court and international justice, including the United States’ relationship with the Court. Panelists included former U.S. Ambassadors-at-Large for Global Criminal Justice and the ICC Project Chair. The Working Group includes experts and practitioners from civil society, think tanks, academia and US policymakers.

- **Nov. 2018:** Assisted by law students in the Georgetown International Law Society, held a public discussion at Georgetown Law entitled “*The United States and the International Criminal Court: State of Play and Future Implications*,” which explored the U.S. relationship with the ICC, potential complications to that relationship (including the Court’s preliminary examination in Afghanistan), and the ways in which the Court’s recent work has confronted, adapted to, and responded to pressing global challenges. Panelists included three ICC Project Board Members, the Chair, and a Georgetown Law Professor/ former Deputy Ambassador-at-Large for Global Criminal Justice.

- **Dec. 2018:** The Project Director and Chair represented the Project at the ICC’s Assembly of States Parties meeting in The Hague. The Chair delivered a well-received public statement on behalf of the ABA. The Project also co-hosted (with the International Federation for Human Rights (FIDH)) a side event on challenges and opportunities for increased accountability presented by the International Criminal Court’s recent preliminary examinations and investigations as well as the legal profession and civil society’s role in advancing accountability for atrocity crimes. Panelists included the Chair, Project board members, and experts from the Global Justice Center and International Commission of Jurists. This event was particularly well attended and well received.

- **Feb-March 2019:** In coordination with ABA Government Affairs and civil society colleagues, the Project engaged in informational meetings with staff from the House Foreign Affairs and Senate Foreign Relations committees and answered questions about the International Criminal Court and its preliminary examination in Afghanistan.

- **March 2019:** The Project welcomed the President of the International Criminal Court to the ABA for a discussion of matters of mutual concern in international criminal justice. The private discussion included ABA representatives from Project, Criminal Justice Section, Center for Human Rights, and Governmental Affairs Office, as well as other civil society colleagues.

- **Summer 2019:** The Project continues its partnership with Ipsos to produce polling data examining US understanding of and attitudes towards the ICC.

The Project also worked with ABA colleagues to respond to US administration policies directed at the work of the ICC and the work of US federal agencies involved in the investigation of atrocity crimes, and collaborated with other ABA entities on webinars and CLEs on international justice topics. The ICC Project has added several new individuals to its Board of Advisors in 2019, including experts with deep expertise in international criminal justice, domestic criminal justice, human rights and international security.
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ABA CRIMINAL JUSTICE SECTION ANNUAL REPORT, 2018-2019
<table>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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<tr>
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<tr>
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<td>Preston Pugh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>El Cid Butuyan</td>
<td>Tyler Hodgson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International White Collar Crime Committee</td>
<td>Mark Beardsworth</td>
<td>Patrick Hanes</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Student Development Committee</td>
<td>Deshaud Brunson</td>
<td>Andrew Rhoden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative &amp; Policy Committee</td>
<td>Hillel Hoffman</td>
<td>TBD</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>William Shepherd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Committee</td>
<td>April Frazier-Camara</td>
<td>Cynthia Orr</td>
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<td>Kevin Napper, Co-Chair</td>
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<td>Tiffany Simmons, Co-Chair</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribal Lands Committee</td>
<td>Barry Coburn, Co-Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affie Burnside Ellis, Co-Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victims Committee</td>
<td>Bradley Berry, Co-Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ann Ratnayake Macy-Co-Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Collar Crime Committee</td>
<td>Rita Glavin, Co-Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benjamin Gluck, Co-Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robb Adkins, Vice-Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Michael J. Leotta, Vice-Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women in Criminal Justice Committee</td>
<td>Carla Laroche, Co-Chair</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tina Luongo, Co-Chair</td>
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Women in White Collar Subcommittee
Jennifer Belveal, Co-Chair
Ilene Jaroslaw, Co-Chair
Jessica Nall, Co-Chair

Young Lawyers Committee
Deanna Adams, Co-Chair
Tiffani Smith, Co-Chair

TASKFORCES (Alphabetical):

Forensics Ethics Task Force
Matthew Redle, Chair

Fourth Amendment at the Border Task Force
Josh Dratel, Chair

Immigration Task Force
Raul Ayala, Chair

Marijuana and Federalism Task Force
Sam Kamin, Chair

State Policy Implementation Project
Jonathan Gitlin, Chair

Women in Criminal Justice Task Force
Carla Laroche, Co-Chair
Tina Luongo, Co-Chair

LIAISONS TO:

ABA Business Law Section
Marvin Pickholz

ABA Commission on Disability Rights
Guy Arcidiacono

ABA Commission on Domestic & Sexual Violence
Lisa Smith
Kym Worthy

ABA Commission on Immigration
Nadine Wettstein

ABA Commission on Women in The Profession
Mara Senn

ABA Commission on Youth at Risk
Alpha Buie
Ernestine Gray

ABA Coordinating Committee on AIDS
Richard Andrias

ABA Diversity and Inclusion Advisory Council
Sarah Redfield

ABA Cybersecurity Legal Task Force
Matthew Esworthy

ABA Journal
Bernice Donald

ABA Judicial Division
Andre Davis

ABA Section of Dispute Resolution
TBD

ABA Section of Taxation
Justin Thornton

ABA Special Committee on Death Penalty Representation
Lance Ogiste

ABA Young Lawyers Division
Tiffani Smith

American Law Institute Model Penal Code Revision Sentencing Project
TBD

ABA/ABA Anti-Money Laundering Conference Planning Committee
Stephanie Brooker
Gordon Greenberg
Jonathan Lopez
Wilmer Buddy Parker
Commission on the American Jury Project
  Michael Moore
  Stephen Saltzburg
  Pauline Weaver

Commission on Racial and Ethnic Diversity in the Profession
  TBD

Federal Rules of Evidence Advisory Committee
  Stephen Saltzburg

Hispanic National Bar Association
  Tamara Caban-Ramirez

Gatekeeper
  William H. Clark, Jr

Government and Public Sector Lawyers Division
  Charles Stertz

International Society for the Reform of Criminal Law
  Robert Johnson

Legal Technology Resource Center
  Matthew A. S. Esworthy

NAACP-LDF
  Natasha Merle

National Association of Black Prosecutors
  Melba Pearson

National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers
  Cynthia Orr

National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers White Collar Crime Project
  David Axelrod

National BAR Association
  Bernice Donald

National District Attorneys Association
  Justin Bingham

National Criminal Justice Trial Advocacy Competition
  Ronald Smith

National LGBT Bar Association
  Lousene Hoppe

SCLAID
  Barry Coburn

SOC/CPR Joint Committee on Professionalism & Ethics
  Lara Bazelon

Standing Committee on the American Judicial System
  Sidney Butcher

Unified Family Courts Coordinating Council
  TBD

United States Sentencing Commission
  Katherine Yanes

LIAISONS FROM:

Civil Rights & Social Justice
  Seth Miller

Judicial Division
  Jaime Hawk

SCLAID
  Stephen Hanlon

State & Local Government
  Donna Frazier

Standing Committee on Gun Violence
  Wayne McKenzie

Young Lawyer’s Division
  Matthew Bradbury
This past year, the Section staff members have made great effort to extend their reach to engage more personally with members, stakeholders, and partners in the field of criminal justice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regina Ashmon</td>
<td>Committee Specialist</td>
<td>(202) 662-1512</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Regina.Ashmon@americanbar.org">Regina.Ashmon@americanbar.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Britton</td>
<td>Standards, Director</td>
<td>(202) 662-1730</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Linda.Britton@americanbar.org">Linda.Britton@americanbar.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamie Campbell</td>
<td>Senior Meeting Planner</td>
<td>(202) 662-1510</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Jamie.campbell@americanbar.org">Jamie.campbell@americanbar.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shamika Dicks</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant, Standards</td>
<td>(202) 662-1520</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Shamika.Dicks@americanbar.org">Shamika.Dicks@americanbar.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josephine Hill</td>
<td>Marketing Program Assistant</td>
<td>(202) 662-1507</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Josephine.Hill@americanbar.org">Josephine.Hill@americanbar.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Johnson</td>
<td>Senior Public Relations Specialist</td>
<td>(202) 662-1018</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Emily.Johnson@americanbar.org">Emily.Johnson@americanbar.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrice Payne</td>
<td>Senior Counsel</td>
<td>(202) 662-1518</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Patrice.Payne@americanbar.org">Patrice.Payne@americanbar.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Rose</td>
<td>CLE/Meetings Coordinator</td>
<td>(202) 662-1519</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Carol.Rose@americanbar.org">Carol.Rose@americanbar.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Scruggs</td>
<td>Section Director</td>
<td>(202) 662-1503</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Kevin.Scruggs@americanbar.org">Kevin.Scruggs@americanbar.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristin Smith</td>
<td>Staff Attorney</td>
<td>(202) 662-1788</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Kristin.Smith@americanbar.org">Kristin.Smith@americanbar.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyo Suh</td>
<td>Technology/Publications Manager</td>
<td>(202) 662-1515</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Kyo.Suh@americanbar.org">Kyo.Suh@americanbar.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related ABA Staff</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donna Pratter Williams</td>
<td>Meetings Manager, ABACLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erin Remotigue</td>
<td>Editor, <em>Criminal Justice</em> Magazine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Craig</td>
<td>Editor, ABA Book Publishing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francine Bennett</td>
<td>Product Marketing Manager, ABA Book Publishing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff changes in 2018-2019: Jaime Campbell, Kristin Smith (new); Jessica Barnes, Michael Gradess (moved); Stacey Brown (retired)
The American Bar Association Criminal Justice Section is the premiere source of knowledge and insight into the complex issues of criminal justice that face the nation.

By bringing together the perspectives of prosecutors, defense lawyers, judges and scholars, the Section provides valuable and thoughtfully crafted products to its members, to the legal profession overall, and to the nation.

The achievement of justice is the abiding purpose of the Section, and makes it the voice of criminal justice.

Founded in 1920, the Criminal Justice Section of the American Bar Association has more than 16,000 members including prosecutors, private defense counsel, appellate and trial judges, law professors, correctional and law enforcement personnel, law students, public defenders, and other criminal justice professionals. With its diverse, multi-disciplinary membership, the Criminal Justice Section is uniquely situated to address the pressing issues facing today's criminal justice system.

The Criminal Justice Section has primary responsibility for the American Bar Association's work on solutions to issues involving crime, criminal law, and the administration of criminal and juvenile justice. The Section plays an active leadership role in bringing the views of the ABA to the attention of federal and state courts, Congress, and other federal and state judicial, legislative, and executive policy-making bodies. The Section also serves as a resource to its members on issues in the forefront of change in the criminal justice arena.

To accomplish these goals, the Criminal Justice Section initiates studies and research; publishes reports, articles, and other widely-disseminated materials; reviews and makes recommendations concerning legislative, administrative, and judicial proposals relating to the criminal law and the administration of criminal justice; and authors amicus curiae briefs, filed with the United States Supreme Court, on behalf of the ABA in matters concerning the criminal law. As the primary voice on criminal justice issues within the ABA, the Section identifies emerging criminal justice issues which necessitate an appropriate response from the ABA, and coordinates the development of that response.