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The Federal Judicial Center (FJC) has been examining the various approaches taken by federal district courts to summary judgment practice to assess the effect of proposed amendments to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The most significant of the proposed changes would provide that all districts must require the moving party to file, in separately numbered paragraphs, a statement of uncontested material facts that entitles that party to summary judgment.

Under the current proposal, “the drafting of these separate statements would require a concise statement of the key facts,” notes Jeffrey J. Greenbaum, Newark, NJ, who is a member of the Section of Litigation’s Federal Practice Task Force.

Greenbaum believes that, all too often, usually for tactical reasons, one side in civil litigation will file a motion for summary judgment that attempts to inundate the adversary with elaborate statements of fact. These factual statements—especially in those districts in which the non-moving party is required to address the statement on a fact-by-fact basis—require substantial time, effort, and money to answer, he says.

“The requirement causes lawyers to focus on whether they in fact have a legitimate basis to seek summary judgment, and by encouraging careful analysis of facts may avoid the preparation and filing of motions that should not succeed, resulting in a saving to clients and the preservation of scarce judicial resources,” says Sheldon M. Finkelstein, Newark, NJ, Co-Director of the Section’s Division V (Substantive Areas of Litigation). The proposed rule “would also tend to reduce the number of motions that may be filed for tactical reasons.”

The “local rules have taken over and we need a national rule to govern summary judgment practice.”

The commentary to the proposed amendment to Rule 56 makes clear that the intent of a separate statement is only to identify those facts that are critical to the case. Greenbaum notes that it would be inappropriate to include facts that have little or no relevance to the core issues.

Greenbaum is quick to acknowledge that some disagree with the proposal, but says the variations may have more to do with the status of one’s local practice than the substantive issues. The panel covered the findings of the Commission’s report, entitled “Visible Invisibility: Women of Color in Law Firms.” The object of the program was to make “the business case for diversity” and to determine “exactly what strategies are needed” to improve in this area, says Roberts. As more firms realize the value of diversity, they need “to come up with some forward-thinking solutions to change the snapshot” presented in the report, Bertaut says. Also, companies are increasingly demanding that their outside law firms be more reflective of their own diverse work force, customers, and communities.

The Section program was designed to offer attendees “some practical takeaways” on fostering the career paths of female attorneys of color in the profession, notes Bertaut. The program sought to show law firms how they may make “concrete things happen,” Roberts adds, including how to retain and promote women of color within the legal profession.

The panelists agreed that in tackling the problems of recruitment and retention, law firms must identify the qualities that would make their firm desirable to women attorneys of color, and then embrace policies that promote their retention. These measures will break the cycle of attrition for women attorneys of color. Roberts adds that the Commission expects to build upon the report by publishing a handbook with some of the insights obtained through interviews with successful women of color. The handbook will include career advancement tips for female attorneys of color and survey the best practices that law firms have used to successfully recruit and retain minority lawyers.
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