DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE DRAFT 1 2 **JULY 2004 PRELIMINARY DRAFT** 3 4 5 CANON 3: PERSONAL CONDUCT: A JUDGE SHALL CONDUCT THE JUDGE'S PERSONAL AFFAIRS TO PRESERVE THE INTEGRITY. 7 IMPARTIALITY AND INDEPENDENCE OF THE JUDICIARY 8 9 Rule 3.01 Using the Judicial Office for Personal Purposes. A 10 judge shall not allow his or her financial, political or other 11 personal interests or relationships to influence his or her 12 judicial conduct or judgment, nor shall a judge lend the 13 prestige of judicial office, or allow others to do so, to advance 14 the personal interests of the judge or others. 15 Commentary 16 [1] Maintaining the prestige of judicial office is essential to a system 17 of government in which the judiciary functions independently of the 18 executive and legislative branches. Respect for the judicial office 19 facilitates the orderly conduct of legitimate judicial functions. 20 Judges should distinguish between proper and improper use of the 21 prestige of office in all of their activities. 22 [2] It would be improper for a judge to use or attempt to use his or 23 her office to gain personal advantage or deferential treatment of 24 any kind. Similarly, a judge must not use judicial letterhead to gain 25 an advantage in conducting his or her personal business.<sup>2</sup> 26 [3] Special considerations arise when judges write or contribute to 27 publications, whether related or unrelated to the law. A judge 28 should not permit anyone associated with the publication of such 29 materials to exploit the judge's office in a manner that violates 30 these Canons, Rules or other applicable law. Such prohibited 31 conduct includes, but is not limited to, allowing the publisher to 32 praise the judge's judicial accomplishments or, when the work is 33 unrelated to the law, to emphasize the judge's position. 34 [4] Judges may participate in the process of judicial selection by 35 cooperating with appointing authorities and screening committees, 36 and by responding to those official inquiries concerning the 37 professional merit of a person being considered for a judgeship.<sup>4</sup> 38

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Canon 2B commentary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Canon 2B commentary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Canon 2B commentary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Canon 2B commentary

[5] A judge may provide a reference or recommendation for an individual based upon the judge's personal knowledge. However, unless the recommendation is based upon information obtained through the judge's expertise or experience as a judge, the reference or recommendation should not be communicated on the judge's judicial letterhead.

[6] This rule does not apply to a judge's use of his or her name in endorsements of himself or herself, or of other judicial candidates as permitted in Canon 5.5

Rule 3.02 Use of Non-Public Information. A judge shall not disclose or use nonpublic information\* acquired in a judicial capacity for any purpose unrelated to judicial duties.

## Commentary

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37 38

[1] In the course of performing their judicial duties, judges may acquire information of commercial or other value that is otherwise unavailable to the public. Judges must not reveal or use such information for personal gain or for any purpose unrelated to their judicial duties.

Rule 3.03 Affiliation with Discriminatory Organizations. A judge shall not hold membership in any organization that practices invidious discrimination on the basis of race, gender, religion, national origin, ethnicity, or sexual orientation, and shall not use the benefits or facilities of such

an organization to any significant extent.

## Commentary<sup>8</sup>

[1] A judge's membership in an organization that practices invidious discrimination gives rise to perceptions that the judge's impartiality is impaired. Whether an organization's practices are invidiously discriminatory is often a complex question. An organization is generally said to discriminate invidiously if it arbitrarily excludes from membership on the basis of race, religion, gender, national origin, ethnicity or sexual orientation those individuals who would otherwise be admitted. Rule 3.03 does not prohibit a judge's membership in any United States military organization, an organization dedicated to the preservation of religious, ethnic or legitimate cultural values of common interest to its members, or one

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Canon 2B commentary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Canon 3B(12)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Commentary is taken from Canon 2C commentary, note that the sentence order does not exactly comply with the 1990 Model Code.

that is in fact and effect an intimate, purely private organization whose membership limitations could not be constitutionally prohibited.

 [2] Public manifestation by a judge of the judge's approval of invidious discrimination on any basis constitutes the appearance of impropriety in violation of Rule 1.01 and diminishes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary in violation of Canon 1. Rule 3.03 relates only to organizations invidiously discriminating on the basis of race, gender, religion, national origin, ethnicity, or sexual orientation. However, a judge's membership in or significant use of the benefits or facilities of organizations practicing invidious discrimination on any other basis prohibited by applicable law also creates the appearance of impropriety in violation of Rule 1.01.

[3] Whether a judge's use of the benefits and facilities of a discriminatory organization is significant depends on whether the frequency or nature of that use is sufficient to create the impression that the judge approves of the organization and its practices. Accordingly, a judge must not arrange a meeting, regularly attend events at, or regularly use other benefits and facilities of such an organization that the judge knows practices invidious discrimination on the basis of race, gender, religion, national origin, ethnicity or sexual orientation in its membership or other policies.

[4] When a judge learns that an organization to which the judge belongs engages in invidious discrimination that would preclude membership under Rule 3.03 or under Canon 1 and Rule 1.01, the judge is permitted, in lieu of resigning, to make immediate efforts to have the organization discontinue its invidiously discriminatory practices, but is required to suspend participation in any other activities of the organization. If the organization fails to discontinue its invidiously discriminatory practices as promptly as possible (and in all events within a year of the judge's first learning of the practices), the judge is required to resign immediately from the organization.

D:\Documents and Settings\boguslal\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK66C\comm rules aba prof'al discipline Canon3Clean 102704.doc