

The Standing Committee on Pro Bono and Public Service recommends the following specific language in the Commentary to Canon 4.04 (former Canon 4(C)(3)) to further clarify a judge's role in encouraging pro bono service:

New Commentary [6] in Canon 4.04

Subject to the requirements of the Code, judges may promote broader access for people unable to afford legal services and may encourage the legal profession to increase pro bono efforts. In furtherance of these goals, judges may:

- * recruit and train lawyers to do pro bono work
- * participate in events to recognize lawyers who do pro bono work
- * consider special procedural or scheduling accommodations for lawyers who are volunteering their services
- * act in an advisory capacity for pro bono programs