To amend title 35, United States Code, to provide for patent reform.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. Berman (for himself, Mr. Smith of Texas, Mr. Conyers, Mr. Coble, Mr. Boucher, Mr. Goodlatte, Ms. Zoe Lofgren of California, Mr. Issa, Mr. Schiff, Mr. Cannon, and Ms. Jackson-Lee of Texas) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on

A BILL

To amend title 35, United States Code, to provide for patent reform.

1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

4 (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the
5 “Patent Reform Act of 2007”.

6 (b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents of
7 this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
Sec. 2. Reference to title 35, United States Code.
Sec. 3. Right of the first inventor to file.
Sec. 4. Inventor’s oath or declaration.
Sec. 5. Right of the inventor to obtain damages.
Sec. 6. Post-grant procedures and other quality enhancements.
Sec. 7. Definitions; patent trial and appeal board.
Sec. 8. Study and report on reexamination proceedings.
Sec. 9. Submissions by third parties and other quality enhancements.
Sec. 10. Venue and jurisdiction.
Sec. 11. Regulatory authority.
Sec. 12. Technical amendments.
Sec. 13. Effective date; rule of construction.

SEC. 2. REFERENCE TO TITLE 35, UNITED STATES CODE.

Whenever in this Act a section or other provision is amended or repealed, that amendment or repeal shall be considered to be made to that section or other provision of title 35, United States Code.

SEC. 3. RIGHT OF THE FIRST INVENTOR TO FILE.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 100 is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(f) The term ‘inventor’ means the individual or, if a joint invention, the individuals collectively who invented or discovered the subject matter of the invention.

“(g) The terms ‘joint inventor’ and ‘coinventor’ mean any 1 of the individuals who invented or discovered the subject matter of a joint invention.

“(h) The ‘effective filing date of a claimed invention’ is—

“(1) the filing date of the patent or the application for patent containing the claim to the invention; or
“(2) if the patent or application for patent is entitled to a right of priority of any other application under section 119, 365(a), or 365(b) or to the benefit of an earlier filing date in the United States under section 120, 121, or 365(c), the filing date of the earliest such application in which the claimed invention is disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of section 112.

“(i) The term ‘claimed invention’ means the subject matter defined by a claim in a patent or an application for a patent.

“(j) The term ‘joint invention’ means an invention resulting from the collaboration of inventive endeavors of 2 or more persons working toward the same end and producing an invention by their collective efforts.”.

(b) CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 102 is amended to read as follows:

“§ 102. Conditions for patentability; novelty

“(a) NOVELTY; PRIOR ART.—A patent for a claimed invention may not be obtained if—

“(1) the claimed invention was patented, described in a printed publication, or in public use or on sale—
“(A) more than one year before the effective filing date of the claimed invention; or

“(B) one year or less before the effective filing date of the claimed invention, other than through disclosures made by the inventor or a joint inventor or by others who obtained the subject matter disclosed directly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint inventor; or

“(2) the claimed invention was described in a patent issued under section 151, or in an application for patent published or deemed published under section 122(b), in which the patent or application, as the case may be, names another inventor and was effectively filed before the effective filing date of the claimed invention.

“(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

“(1) PRIOR INVENTOR DISCLOSURE EXCEPTION.—Subject matter that would otherwise qualify as prior art under subparagraph (B) of subsection (a)(1) shall not be prior art to a claimed invention under that subparagraph if the subject matter had, before the applicable date under such subparagraph (B), been publicly disclosed by the inventor or a joint inventor or others who obtained the subject
matter disclosed directly or indirectly from the in-
ventor, joint inventor, or applicant.

“(2) DERIVATION AND COMMON ASSIGNMENT
EXCEPTIONS.—Subject matter that would otherwise
qualify as prior art only under subsection (a)(2),
after taking into account the exception under para-
graph (1), shall not be prior art to a claimed inven-
tion if—

“(A) the subject matter was obtained di-
rectly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint
inventor; or

“(B) the subject matter and the claimed
invention, not later than the effective filing date
of the claimed invention, were owned by the
same person or subject to an obligation of as-
signment to the same person.

“(3) JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT EXCEP-
TION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject matter and a
claimed invention shall be deemed to have been
owned by the same person or subject to an obli-
gation of assignment to the same person in ap-
plying the provisions of paragraph (2) if—

“(i) the claimed invention was made
by or on behalf of parties to a joint re-
search agreement that was in effect on or before the effective filing date of the claimed invention;

“(ii) the claimed invention was made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of the joint research agreement;

and

“(iii) the application for patent for the claimed invention discloses or is amended to disclose the names of the parties to the joint research agreement.

“(B) For purposes of subparagraph (A), the term ‘joint research agreement’ means a written contract, grant, or cooperative agreement entered into by two or more persons or entities for the performance of experimental, developmental, or research work in the field of the claimed invention.

“(4) PATENTS AND PUBLISHED APPLICATIONS EFFECTIVELY FILED.—A patent or application for patent is effectively filed under subsection (a)(2) with respect to any subject matter described in the patent or application—

“(A) as of the filing date of the patent or the application for patent; or
“(B) if the patent or application for patent is entitled to claim a right of priority under section 119, 365(a), or 365(b) or to claim the benefit of an earlier filing date under section 120, 121, or 365(c), based upon one or more prior filed applications for patent, as of the filing date of the earliest such application that describes the subject matter.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The item relating to section 102 in the table of sections for chapter 10 is amended to read as follows:

“102. Conditions for patentability; novelty.”.

(c) CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NON-OBSVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER.—Section 103 is amended to read as follows:

“§ 103. Conditions for patentability; nonobvious subject matter

“A patent for a claimed invention may not be obtained though the claimed invention is not identically disclosed as set forth in section 102, if the differences between the claimed invention and the prior art are such that the claimed invention as a whole would have been obvious before the effective filing date of the claimed invention to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the claimed invention pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.”.
(d) Repeal of Requirements for Inventions Made Abroad.—Section 104, and the item relating to that section in the table of sections for chapter 10, are repealed.

(e) Repeal of Statutory Invention Registration.—

(1) In general.—Section 157, and the item relating to that section in the table of sections for chapter 14, are repealed.

(2) Removal of cross references.—Section 111(b)(8) is amended by striking “sections 115, 131, 135, and 157” and inserting “sections 131 and 135”.

(f) Earlier Filing Date for Inventor and Joint Inventor.—Section 120 is amended by striking “which is filed by an inventor or inventors named” and inserting “which names an inventor or joint inventor”.

(g) Conforming Amendments.—

(1) Right of priority.—Section 172 is amended by striking “and the time specified in section 102(d)”.

(2) Limitation on remedies.—Section 287(e)(4) is amended by striking “the earliest effective filing date of which is prior to” and inserting “which has an effective filing date before”.
(3) International Application Designating the United States: Effect.—Section 363 is amended by striking “except as otherwise provided in section 102(e) of this title”.

(4) Publication of International Application: Effect.—Section 374 is amended by striking “sections 102(e) and 154(d)” and inserting “section 154(d)”.

(5) Patent Issued on International Application: Effect.—The second sentence of section 375(a) is amended by striking “Subject to section 102(e) of this title, such” and inserting “Such”.

(6) Limit on Right of Priority.—Section 119(a) is amended by striking “; but no patent shall be granted” and all that follows through “one year prior to such filing”.

(7) Inventions Made with Federal Assistance.—Section 202(c) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking “publication, on sale, or public use,” and all that follows through “obtained in the United States” and inserting “the 1-year period referred to in section 102(a) would end before the end of that 2-year period”; and
(ii) by striking “the statutory” and inserting “that 1-year”; and

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking “any statutory bar date that may occur under this title due to publication, on sale, or public use” and inserting “the expiration of the 1-year period referred to in section 102(a)”.

(h) REPEAL OF INTERFERING PATENT REMEDIES.—Section 291, and the item relating to that section in the table of sections for chapter 29, are repealed.

(i) ACTION FOR CLAIM TO PATENT ON DERIVED INVENTION.—Section 135(a) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) DISPUTE OVER RIGHT TO PATENT.—

“(1) INSTITUTION OF DERIVATION PROCEEDING.—An applicant may request initiation of a derivation proceeding to determine the right of the applicant to a patent by filing a request which sets forth with particularity the basis for finding that an earlier applicant derived the claimed invention from the applicant requesting the proceeding and, without authorization, filed an application claiming such invention. Any such request may only be made within 12 months after the date of first publication of an application containing a claim that is the same or is substantially the same as the claimed invention,
must be made under oath, and must be supported by substantial evidence. Whenever the Director determines that patents or applications for patent naming different individuals as the inventor interfere with one another because of a dispute over the right to patent under section 101, the Director shall institute a derivation proceeding for the purpose of determining which applicant is entitled to a patent.

“(2) REQUIREMENTS.—A proceeding under this subsection may not be commenced unless the party requesting the proceeding has filed an application that was filed not later than 18 months after the effective filing date of the application or patent deemed to interfere with the subsequent application or patent.

“(3) DETERMINATION BY PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD.—In any proceeding under this subsection, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board—

“(A) shall determine the question of the right to patent;

“(B) in appropriate circumstances, may correct the naming of the inventor in any application or patent at issue; and

“(C) shall issue a final decision on the right to patent.
“(4) DERIVATION PROCEEDING.—The Board may defer action on a request to initiate a derivation proceeding until 3 months after the date on which the Director issues a patent to the applicant that filed the earlier application.

“(5) EFFECT OF FINAL DECISION.—The final decision of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, if adverse to the claim of an applicant, shall constitute the final refusal by the Patent and Trademark Office on the claims involved. The Director may issue a patent to an applicant who is determined by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board to have the right to patent. The final decision of the Board, if adverse to a patentee, shall, if no appeal or other review of the decision has been or can be taken or had, constitute cancellation of the claims involved in the patent, and notice of such cancellation shall be endorsed on copies of the patent distributed after such cancellation by the Patent and Trademark Office.”.

(j) ELIMINATION OF REFERENCES TO INTERFERENCES.—(1) Sections 6, 41, 134, 141, 145, 146, 154, 305, and 314 are each amended by striking “Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences” each place it appears and inserting “Patent Trial and Appeal Board”.

(2) Sections 141, 146, and 154 are each amended—
(A) by striking “an interference” each place it appears and inserting “a derivation proceeding”; and

(B) by striking “interference” each additional place it appears and inserting “derivation proceeding”.

(3) The section heading for section 134 is amended to read as follows:

“§ 134. Appeal to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board”.

(4) The section heading for section 135 is amended to read as follows:

“§ 135. Derivation proceedings”.

(5) The section heading for section 146 is amended to read as follows:

“§ 146. Civil action in case of derivation proceeding”.

(6) Section 154(b)(1)(C) is amended by striking “INTERFERENCES” and inserting “DERIVATION PROCEEDINGS”.

(7) The item relating to section 6 in the table of sections for chapter 1 is amended to read as follows:

“6. Patent Trial and Appeal Board.”.

(8) The items relating to sections 134 and 135 in the table of sections for chapter 12 are amended to read as follows:
“134. Appeal to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board.
“135. Derivation proceedings.”.

(9) The item relating to section 146 in the table of sections for chapter 13 is amended to read as follows:

“146. Civil action in case of derivation proceeding.”.

(10) Certain Appeals.—Subsection 1295(a)(4)(A) of title 28, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(A) the Patent Trial and Appeal Board of the United States Patent and Trademark Office with respect to patent applications, derivation proceedings, and post-grant review proceedings, at the instance of an applicant for a patent or any party to a patent interference (commenced before the effective date of the Patent Reform Act of 2007), derivation proceeding, or post-grant review proceeding, and any such appeal shall waive any right of such applicant or party to proceed under section 145 or 146 of title 35;”.

SEC. 4. INVENTOR’S OATH OR DECLARATION.

(a) Inventor’s Oath or Declaration.—

(1) In General.—Section 115 is amended to read as follows:
§ 115. Inventor's oath or declaration

(a) Naming the Inventor; Inventor's Oath or Declaration.—An application for patent that is filed under section 111(a), that commences the national stage under section 363, or that is filed by an inventor for an invention for which an application has previously been filed under this title by that inventor shall include, or be amended to include, the name of the inventor of any claimed invention in the application. Except as otherwise provided in this section, an individual who is the inventor or a joint inventor of a claimed invention in an application for patent shall execute an oath or declaration in connection with the application.

(b) Required Statements.—An oath or declaration under subsection (a) shall contain statements that—

(1) the application was made or was authorized to be made by the affiant or declarant; and

(2) such individual believes himself or herself to be the original inventor or an original joint inventor of a claimed invention in the application.

(c) Additional Requirements.—The Director may specify additional information relating to the inventor and the invention that is required to be included in an oath or declaration under subsection (a).

(d) Substitute Statement.—
“(1) IN GENERAL.—In lieu of executing an oath or declaration under subsection (a), the applicant for patent may provide a substitute statement under the circumstances described in paragraph (2) and such additional circumstances that the Director may specify by regulation.

“(2) PERMITTED CIRCUMSTANCES.—A substitute statement under paragraph (1) is permitted with respect to any individual who—

“(A) is unable to file the oath or declaration under subsection (a) because the individual—

“(i) is deceased;
“(ii) is under legal incapacity; or
“(iii) cannot be found or reached after diligent effort; or

“(B) is under an obligation to assign the invention but has refused to make the oath or declaration required under subsection (a).

“(3) CONTENTS.—A substitute statement under this subsection shall—

“(A) identify the individual with respect to whom the statement applies;
“(B) set forth the circumstances representing the permitted basis for the filing of
the substitute statement in lieu of the oath or declaration under subsection (a); and

“(C) contain any additional information, including any showing, required by the Director.

“(e) Making Required Statements in Assignment of Record.—An individual who is under an obligation of assignment of an application for patent may include the required statements under subsections (b) and (c) in the assignment executed by the individual, in lieu of filing such statements separately.

“(f) Time for Filing.—A notice of allowance under section 151 may be provided to an applicant for patent only if the applicant for patent has filed each required oath or declaration under subsection (a) or has filed a substitute statement under subsection (d) or recorded an assignment meeting the requirements of subsection (e).

“(g) Earlier-Filed Application Containing Required Statements or Substitute Statement.—The requirements under this section shall not apply to an individual with respect to an application for patent in which the individual is named as the inventor or a joint inventor and that claims the benefit under section 120 or 365(c) of the filing of an earlier-filed application, if—
“(1) an oath or declaration meeting the requirements of subsection (a) was executed by the individual and was filed in connection with the earlier-filed application;

“(2) a substitute statement meeting the requirements of subsection (d) was filed in the earlier-filed application with respect to the individual; or

“(3) an assignment meeting the requirements of subsection (e) was executed with respect to the earlier-filed application by the individual and was recorded in connection with the earlier-filed application.

“(h) SUPPLEMENTAL AND CORRECTED STATEMENTS; FILING ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Any person making a statement required under this section may withdraw, replace, or otherwise correct the statement at any time. If a change is made in the naming of the inventor requiring the filing of 1 or more additional statements under this section, the Director shall establish regulations under which such additional statements may be filed.

“(2) SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENTS NOT REQUIRED.—If an individual has executed an oath or declaration under subsection (a) or an assignment
meeting the requirements of subsection (e) with respect to an application for patent, the Director may not thereafter require that individual to make any additional oath, declaration, or other statement equivalent to those required by this section in connection with the application for patent or any patent issuing thereon.

“(3) **Savings Clause.**—No patent shall be invalid or unenforceable based upon the failure to comply with a requirement under this section if the failure is remedied as provided under paragraph (1).”.

(2) **Relationship to Divisional Applications.**—Section 121 is amended by striking “If a divisional application” and all that follows through “inventor.”.

(3) **Requirements for Nonprovisional Applications.**—Section 111(a) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (2)(C), by striking “by the applicant” and inserting “or declaration”;

(B) in the heading for paragraph (3), by striking “AND OATH”; and

(C) by striking “and oath” each place it appears.
(4) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The item relating to section 115 in the table of sections for chapter 10 is amended to read as follows:

“115. Inventor’s oath or declaration.”

(b) FILING BY OTHER THAN INVENTOR.—Section 118 is amended to read as follows:

“§ 118. Filing by other than inventor

“A person to whom the inventor has assigned or is under an obligation to assign the invention may make an application for patent. A person who otherwise shows sufficient proprietary interest in the matter may make an application for patent on behalf of and as agent for the inventor on proof of the pertinent facts and a showing that such action is appropriate to preserve the rights of the parties. If the Director grants a patent on an application filed under this section by a person other than the inventor, the patent shall be granted to the real party in interest and upon such notice to the inventor as the Director considers to be sufficient.”.

(c) SPECIFICATION.—Section 112 is amended—

(1) in the first paragraph——

(A) by striking “The specification” and inserting “(a) IN GENERAL.—The specification”; and

(B) by striking “of carrying out his invention” and inserting “or joint inventor of carrying out the invention”; and
(2) in the second paragraph—

(A) by striking “The specifications” and inserting “(b) CONCLUSION.—The specifications”; and

(B) by striking “applicant regards as his invention” and inserting “inventor or a joint inventor regards as the invention”;

(3) in the third paragraph, by striking “A claim” and inserting “(e) FORM.—A claim”; and

(4) in the fourth paragraph, by striking “Subject to the following paragraph,” and inserting “(d) REFERENCE IN DEPENDENT FORMS.—Subject to subsection (e),”;

(5) in the fifth paragraph, by striking “A claim” and inserting “(e) REFERENCE IN MULTIPLE DEPENDENT FORM.—A claim”; and

(6) in the last paragraph, by striking “An element” and inserting “(f) ELEMENT IN CLAIM FOR A COMBINATION.—An element”.

SEC. 5. RIGHT OF THE INVENTOR TO OBTAIN DAMAGES.

(a) DAMAGES.—Section 284 is amended—

(1) in the first paragraph—

(A) by striking “Upon” and inserting “(a) AWARD OF DAMAGES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Upon”;
(B) by aligning the remaining text accordingly; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) RELATIONSHIP OF DAMAGES TO CONTRIBUTIONS OVER PRIOR ART.—The court shall conduct an analysis to ensure that a reasonable royalty under paragraph (1) is applied only to that economic value properly attributable to the patent’s specific contribution over the prior art. In a reasonable royalty analysis, the court shall identify all factors relevant to the determination of a reasonable royalty under this subsection, and the court or the jury, as the case may be, shall consider only those factors in making the determination. The court shall exclude from the analysis the economic value properly attributable to the prior art, and other features or improvements, whether or not themselves patented, that contribute economic value to the infringing product or process.

“(3) ENTIRE MARKET VALUE.—Unless the claimant shows that the patent’s specific contribution over the prior art is the predominant basis for market demand for an infringing product or process, damages may not be based upon the entire market value of that infringing product or process.
“(4) OTHER FACTORS.—In determining damages, the court may also consider, or direct the jury to consider, the terms of any nonexclusive marketplace licensing of the invention, where appropriate, as well as any other relevant factors under applicable law.”;

(2) by amending the second undesignated paragraph to read as follows:

“(b) WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT.—

“(1) INCREASED DAMAGES.—A court that has determined that the infringer has willfully infringed a patent or patents may increase the damages up to three times the amount of damages found or assessed under subsection (a), except that increased damages under this paragraph shall not apply to provisional rights under section 154(d).

“(2) PERMITTED GROUNDS FOR WILLFULNESS.—A court may find that an infringer has willfully infringed a patent only if the patent owner presents clear and convincing evidence that—

“(A) after receiving written notice from the patentee—

“(i) alleging acts of infringement in a manner sufficient to give the infringer an
objectively reasonable apprehension of suit
on such patent, and

“(ii) identifying with particularity
each claim of the patent, each product or
process that the patent owner alleges in-
fringes the patent, and the relationship of
such product or process to such claim,
the infringer, after a reasonable opportunity to
investigate, thereafter performed one or more of
the alleged acts of infringement;

“(B) the infringer intentionally copied the
patented invention with knowledge that it was
patented; or

“(C) after having been found by a court to
have infringed that patent, the infringer en-
gaged in conduct that was not colorably dif-
ferent from the conduct previously found to
have infringed the patent, and which resulted in
a separate finding of infringement of the same
patent.

“(3) LIMITATIONS ON WILLFULNESS.—(A) A
court may not find that an infringer has willfully in-
fringed a patent under paragraph (2) for any period
of time during which the infringer had an informed
good faith belief that the patent was invalid or unen-
forceable, or would not be infringed by the conduct
later shown to constitute infringement of the patent.

“(B) An informed good faith belief within the
meaning of subparagraph (A) may be established
by—

“(i) reasonable reliance on advice of coun-
sel;

“(ii) evidence that the infringer sought to
modify its conduct to avoid infringement once it
had discovered the patent; or

“(iii) other evidence a court may find suffi-
cient to establish such good faith belief.

“(C) The decision of the infringer not to
present evidence of advice of counsel is not relevant
to a determination of willful infringement under
paragraph (2).

“(4) LIMITATION ON PLEADING.—Before the
date on which a court determines that the patent in
suit is not invalid, is enforceable, and has been in-
fringed by the infringer, a patentee may not plead
and a court may not determine that an infringer has
willfully infringed a patent. The court’s determina-
tion of an infringer’s willfulness shall be made with-
out a jury.”; and
(3) in the third undesignated paragraph, by striking “The court” and inserting “(e) EXPERT TESTIMONY.—The court”.

(b) DEFENSE TO INFRINGEMENT BASED ON EARLIER INVENTOR.—Section 273 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “of a method”; and

(ii) by striking “review period;” and inserting “review period; and”;

(B) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking the semicolon at the end and inserting a period; and

(C) by striking paragraphs (3) and (4);

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “for a method”; and

(ii) by striking “at least 1 year before the effective filing date of such patent, and” and all that follows through the period and inserting “and commercially used, or made substantial preparations for commercial use of, the subject matter before
the effective filing date of the claimed invention.”;

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking “The sale or other disposition of a useful end result produced by a patented method” and inserting “The sale or other disposition of subject matter that qualifies for the defense set forth in this section”; and

(ii) by striking “a defense under this section with respect to that useful end result” and inserting “such defense”; and

(C) in paragraph (3)—

(i) by striking subparagraph (A); and

(ii) by redesignating subparagraphs (B) and (C) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively;

(3) in paragraph (7), by striking “of the patent” and inserting “of the claimed invention”; and

(4) by amending the heading to read as follows:

“§273. Special defenses to and exemptions from infringement”.

(e) TABLE OF SECTIONS.—The item relating to section 273 in the table of sections for chapter 28 is amended to read as follows:

“273. Special defenses to and exemptions from infringement.”.
(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to any civil action commenced on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 6. POST-GRANT PROCEDURES AND OTHER QUALITY ENHANCEMENTS.

(a) REEXAMINATION.—Section 303(a) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) Within 3 months after the owner of a patent files a request for reexamination under section 302, the Director shall determine whether a substantial new question of patentability affecting any claim of the patent concerned is raised by the request, with or without consideration of other patents or printed publications. On the Director’s own initiative, and at any time, the Director may determine whether a substantial new question of patentability is raised by patents and publications discovered by the Director, is cited under section 301, or is cited by any person other than the owner of the patent under section 302 or section 311. The existence of a substantial new question of patentability is not precluded by the fact that a patent or printed publication was previously cited by or to the Office or considered by the Office.”.

(b) REEXAMINATION.—Section 315(c) is amended by striking “or could have raised”.
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(c) Reexamination Prohibited After District Court Decision.—Section 317(b) is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “Final Decision” and inserting “District Court Decision”; and

(2) by striking “Once a final decision has been entered” and inserting “Once the judgment of the district court has been entered”.

(d) Effective Dates.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, sections 311 through 318 of title 35, United States Code, as amended by this Act, shall apply to any patent that issues before, on, or after the date of enactment of this Act from an original application filed on any date.

(e) Post-Grant Opposition Procedures.—

(1) In general.—Part III is amended by adding at the end the following new chapter:

“CHAPTER 32—POST-GRANT REVIEW PROCEDURES

Sec.

321. Petition for post-grant review.
322. Timing and bases of petition.
323. Requirements of petition.
324. Prohibited filings.
325. Submission of additional information; showing of sufficient grounds.
326. Conduct of post-grant review proceedings.
328. Proof and evidentiary standards.
329. Amendment of the patent.
330. Decision of the Board.
331. Effect of decision.
332. Relationship to other pending proceedings.
§ 321. Petition for post-grant review

Subject to sections 322, 324, 332, and 333, a person who is not the patent owner may file with the Office a petition for cancellation seeking to institute a post-grant review proceeding to cancel as unpatentable any claim of a patent on any ground that could be raised under paragraph (2) or (3) of section 282(b) (relating to invalidity of the patent or any claim). The Director shall establish, by regulation, fees to be paid by the person requesting the proceeding, in such amounts as the Director determines to be reasonable.

§ 322. Timing and bases of petition

A post-grant proceeding may be instituted under this chapter pursuant to a cancellation petition filed under section 321 only if—

“(1) the petition is filed not later than 12 months after the grant of the patent or issuance of a reissue patent, as the case may be;

“(2)(A) the petitioner establishes a substantial reason to believe that the continued existence of the challenged claim in the petition causes or is likely to cause the petitioner significant economic harm; or
“(B) the petitioner has received notice from the patent holder alleging infringement by the petitioner of the patent; or

“(3) the patent owner consents in writing to the proceeding.

§ 323. Requirements of petition

“A cancellation petition filed under section 321 may be considered only if—

“(1) the petition is accompanied by payment of the fee established by the Director under section 321;

“(2) the petition identifies the cancellation petitioner; and

“(3) the petition sets forth in writing the basis for the cancellation, identifying each claim challenged and providing such information as the Director may require by regulation, and includes copies of patents and printed publications that the cancellation petitioner relies upon in support of the petition; and

“(4) the petitioner provides copies of those documents to the patent owner or, if applicable, the designated representative of the patent owner.
§ 324. Prohibited filings

“A post-grant review proceeding may not be instituted under paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of section 322 if the petition for cancellation requesting the proceeding identifies the same cancellation petitioner and the same patent as a previous petition for cancellation filed under the same paragraph of section 322.

§ 325. Submission of additional information; showing of sufficient grounds

“The cancellation petitioner shall file such additional information with respect to the petition as the Director may require. The Director may not authorize a post-grant review proceeding to commence unless the Director determines that the information presented provides sufficient grounds to proceed.

§ 326. Conduct of post-grant review proceedings

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall—

“(1) prescribe regulations, in accordance with section 2(b)(2), establishing and governing post-grant review proceedings under this chapter and their relationship to other proceedings under this title;

“(2) prescribe regulations setting forth the standards for showings of substantial reason to believe and significant economic harm under section 322(2) and sufficient grounds under section 325;
“(3) prescribe regulations establishing procedures for the submission of supplemental information after the petition for cancellation is filed; and

“(4) prescribe regulations setting forth procedures for discovery of relevant evidence, including that such discovery shall be limited to evidence directly related to factual assertions advanced by either party in the proceeding, and the procedures for obtaining such evidence shall be consistent with the purpose and nature of the proceeding.

“(b) POST-GRANT REGULATIONS.—Regulations under subsection (a)(1)—

“(1) shall require that the final determination in a post-grant proceeding issue not later than one year after the date on which the post-grant review proceeding is instituted under this chapter, except that, for good cause shown, the Director may extend the 1-year period by not more than six months;

“(2) shall provide for discovery upon order of the Director;

“(3) shall prescribe sanctions for abuse of discovery, abuse of process, or any other improper use of the proceeding, such as to harass or to cause unnecessary delay or unnecessary increase in the cost of the proceeding;
“(4) may provide for protective orders governing the exchange and submission of confidential information; and

“(5) shall ensure that any information submitted by the patent owner in support of any amendment entered under section 328 is made available to the public as part of the prosecution history of the patent.

“(c) CONSIDERATIONS.—In prescribing regulations under this section, the Director shall consider the effect on the economy, the integrity of the patent system, and the efficient administration of the Office.

“(d) CONDUCT OF PROCEEDING.—The Patent Trial and Appeal Board shall, in accordance with section 6(b), conduct each post-grant review proceeding authorized by the Director.

“§ 327. Patent owner response

“After a post-grant proceeding under this chapter has been instituted with respect to a patent, the patent owner shall have the right to file, within a time period set by the Director, a response to the cancellation petition. The patent owner shall file with the response, through affidavits or declarations, any additional factual evidence and expert opinions on which the patent owner relies in support of the response.
“§ 328. Proof and evidentiary standards

(a) In general.—The presumption of validity set forth in section 282 shall not apply in a challenge to any patent claim under this chapter.

(b) Burden of proof.—The party advancing a proposition under this chapter shall have the burden of proving that proposition by a preponderance of the evidence.

“§ 329. Amendment of the patent

(a) In general.—In response to a challenge in a petition for cancellation, the patent owner may file 1 motion to amend the patent in 1 or more of the following ways:

(1) Cancel any challenged patent claim.

(2) For each challenged claim, propose a substitute claim.

(3) Amend the patent drawings or otherwise amend the patent other than the claims.

(b) Additional motions.—Additional motions to amend may be permitted only for good cause shown.

(c) Scope of claims.—An amendment under this section may not enlarge the scope of the claims of the patent or introduce new matter.

“§ 330. Decision of the Board

If the post-grant review proceeding is instituted and not dismissed under this chapter, the Patent Trial and
Appeal Board shall issue a final written decision with respect to the patentability of any patent claim challenged and any new claim added under section 329.

"§ 331. Effect of decision"

“(a) IN GENERAL.—If the Patent Trial and Appeal Board issues a final decision under section 330 and the time for appeal has expired or any appeal proceeding has terminated, the Director shall issue and publish a certificate canceling any claim of the patent finally determined to be unpatentable and incorporating in the patent by operation of the certificate any new claim determined to be patentable.

“(b) NEW CLAIMS.—Any new claim held to be patentable and incorporated into a patent in a post-grant review proceeding shall have the same effect as that specified in section 252 for reissued patents on the right of any person who made, purchased, offered to sell, or used within the United States, or imported into the United States, anything patented by such new claim, or who made substantial preparations therefore, prior to issuance of a certificate under subsection (a) of this section.

"§ 332. Relationship to other pending proceedings"

“Notwithstanding subsection 135(a), sections 251 and 252, and chapter 30, the Director may determine the manner in which any reexamination proceeding, reissue
proceeding, interference proceeding (commenced before the effective date of the Patent Reform Act of 2007), derivation proceeding, or post-grant review proceeding, that is pending during a post-grant review proceeding, may proceed, including providing for stay, transfer, consolidation, or termination of any such proceeding.

“§333. Effect of decisions rendered in civil action on future post-grant review proceedings

“If a final decision has been entered against a party in a civil action arising in whole or in part under section 1338 of title 28 establishing that the party has not sustained its burden of proving the invalidity of any patent claim—

“(1) that party to the civil action and the privies of that party may not thereafter request a post-grant review proceeding on that patent claim on the basis of any grounds, under the provisions of section 311, which that party or the privies of that party raised or had actual knowledge of; and

“(2) the Director may not thereafter maintain a post-grant review proceeding previously requested by that party or the privies of that party on the basis of such grounds.
§ 334. Effect of final decision on future proceedings

(a) In General.—If a final decision under section 330 is favorable to the patentability of any original or new claim of the patent challenged by the cancellation petitioner, the cancellation petitioner may not thereafter, based on any ground which the cancellation petitioner raised during the post-grant review proceeding—

(1) request or pursue a reexamination of such claim under chapter 31;

(2) request or pursue a derivation proceeding with respect to such claim;

(3) request or pursue a post-grant review proceeding under this chapter with respect to such claim; or

(4) assert the invalidity of any such claim, in any civil action arising in whole or in part under section 1338 of title 28.

(b) Extension of Prohibition.—If the final decision is the result of a petition for cancellation filed on the basis of paragraph (2) of section 322, the prohibition under this section shall extend to any ground which the cancellation petitioner raised during the post-grant review proceeding.

§ 335. Appeal

A party dissatisfied with the final determination of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board in a post-grant pro-
ceeding under this chapter may appeal the determination under sections 141 through 144. Any party to the post-grant proceeding shall have the right to be a party to the appeal.”.

(f) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of chapters for part III is amended by adding at the end the following:

“32. Post-Grant Review Proceedings ........................................ 321”.

(g) REGULATIONS AND EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) REGULATIONS.—The Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (in this subsection referred to as the “Director”) shall, not later than the date that is 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, issue regulations to carry out chapter 32 of title 35, United States Code, as added by subsection (e) of this section

(2) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by subsection (e) shall take effect on the date that is 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to patents issued before, on, or after that date, except that, in the case of a patent issued before that date, a petition for cancellation under section 321 of title 35, United States Code, may be filed only if a circumstance described in paragraph
(2), (3), or (4) of section 322 of title 35, United States Code, applies to the petition.

(3) PENDING INTERFERENCES.—The Director shall determine the procedures under which interferences commenced before the effective date under paragraph (2) are to proceed, including whether any such interference is to be dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a cancellation petition for a post-grant opposition proceeding under chapter 32 of title 35, United States Code, or is to proceed as if this Act had not been enacted. The Director shall include such procedures in regulations issued under paragraph (1).

SEC. 7. DEFINITIONS; PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 100 (as amended by this Act) is further amended—

(1) in subsection (e), by striking “or inter partes reexamination under section 311”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(k) The term ‘cancellation petitioner’ means the real party in interest requesting cancellation of any claim of a patent under chapter 31 of this title and the privies of the real party in interest.”.

(b) PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD.—Section 6 is amended to read as follows:
§ 6. Patent Trial and Appeal Board

(a) Establishment and Composition.—There shall be in the Office a Patent Trial and Appeal Board. The Director, the Deputy Director, the Commissioner for Patents, the Commissioner for Trademarks, and the administrative patent judges shall constitute the Patent Trial and Appeal Board. The administrative patent judges shall be persons of competent legal knowledge and scientific ability who are appointed by the Director. Any reference in any Federal law, Executive order, rule, regulation, or delegation of authority, or any document of or pertaining to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences is deemed to refer to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board.

(b) Duties.—The Patent Trial and Appeal Board shall—

(1) on written appeal of an applicant, review adverse decisions of examiners upon application for patents;

(2) on written appeal of a patent owner, review adverse decisions of examiners upon patents in reexamination proceedings under chapter 30; and

(3) determine priority and patentability of invention in derivation proceedings under subsection 135(a); and
“(4) conduct post-grant opposition proceedings under chapter 32.

Each appeal and derivation proceeding shall be heard by at least 3 members of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, who shall be designated by the Director. Only the Patent Trial and Appeal Board may grant rehearings. The Director shall assign each post-grant review proceeding to a panel of 3 administrative patent judges. Once assigned, each such panel of administrative patent judges shall have the responsibilities under chapter 32 in connection with post-grant review proceedings.”.

SEC. 8. STUDY AND REPORT ON REEXAMINATION PROCEEDINGS.

The Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the Patent and Trademark Office shall, not later than 3 years after the date of the enactment of this Act—

(1) conduct a study of the effectiveness and efficiency of the different forms of proceedings available under title 35, United States Code, for the re-examination of patents; and

(2) submit to the Committees on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the results of the study, including any of the Director’s suggestions for amending the law, and
any other recommendations the Director has with respect to patent reexamination proceedings.

**SEC. 9. SUBMISSIONS BY THIRD PARTIES AND OTHER QUALITY ENHANCEMENTS.**

(a) **PUBLICATION.**—Section 122(b)(2) is amended—

(1) by striking subparagraph (B); and

(2) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking “(A) An application” and inserting “An application”; and

(B) by redesignating clauses (i) through (iv) as subparagraphs (A) through (D), respectively.

(b) **PREISSUANCE SUBMISSIONS BY THIRD PARTIES.**—Section 122 is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) **PREISSUANCE SUBMISSIONS BY THIRD PARTIES.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Any person may submit for consideration and inclusion in the record of a patent application, any patent, published patent application or other publication of potential relevance to the examination of the application, if such submission is made in writing before the earlier of—
“(A) the date a notice of allowance under section 151 is mailed in the application for patent; or

“(B) either—

“(i) 6 months after the date on which the application for patent is published under section 122, or

“(ii) the date of the first rejection under section 132 of any claim by the examiner during the examination of the application for patent,

whichever occurs later.

“(2) OTHER REQUIREMENTS.—Any submission under paragraph (1) shall—

“(A) set forth a concise description of the asserted relevance of each submitted document;

“(B) be accompanied by such fee as the Director may prescribe; and

“(C) include a statement by the submitter affirming that the submission was made in compliance with this section.”.

22 SEC. 10. VENUE AND JURISDICTION.

23 (a) VENUE FOR PATENT CASES.—Section 1400 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:
“(b) Any civil action arising under any Act of Congress relating to patents, other than an action for declaratory judgment or an action seeking review of a decision of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board under chapter 13 of title 35, may be brought only—

“(1) in the judicial district where either party resides; or

“(2) in the judicial district where the defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business.

“(c) Notwithstanding section 1391(c) of this title, for purposes of venue under subsection (b), a corporation shall be deemed to reside in the judicial district in which the corporation has its principal place of business or in the State in which the corporation is incorporated.”.

(b) INTERLOCUTORY APPEALS.—Subsection (e)(2) of section 1292 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(3) of an appeal from an interlocutory order or decree determining construction of claims in a civil action for patent infringement under section 271 of title 35.

Application for an appeal under paragraph (3) shall be made to the court within 10 days after entry of the order or decree, and proceedings in the district court under such
paragraph shall be stayed during pendency of the appeal.”.

SEC. 11. REGULATORY AUTHORITY.

Section 3(a) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(5) REGULATORY AUTHORITY.—In addition to the authority conferred by other provisions of this title, the Director may promulgate such rules, regulations, and orders that the Director determines appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title or any other law applicable to the United States Patent and Trademark Office or that the Director determines necessary to govern the operation and organization of the Office.”.

SEC. 12. TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.

(a) JOINT INVENTIONS.—Section 116 is amended—

(1) in the first paragraph, by striking “When” and inserting “(a) JOINT INVENTIONS.—When”;

(2) in the second paragraph, by striking “If a joint inventor” and inserting “(b) OMITTED INVENTOR.—If a joint inventor”; and

(3) in the third paragraph, by striking “Whenever” and inserting “(c) CORRECTION OF ERRORS IN APPLICATION.—Whenever”.
(b) FILING OF APPLICATION IN FOREIGN COUNTRY.—Section 184 is amended—

(1) in the first paragraph, by striking “Except when” and inserting “(a) FILING IN FOREIGN COUNTRY.—Except when”;

(2) in the second paragraph, by striking “The term” and inserting “(b) APPLICATION.—The term”; and

(3) in the third paragraph, by striking “The scope” and inserting “(c) SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS, AMENDMENTS, AND SUPPLEMENTS.—The scope”.

(c) REISSUE OF DEFECTIVE PATENTS.—Section 251 is amended—

(1) in the first paragraph, by striking “Whenever” and inserting “(a) IN GENERAL.—Whenever”; 

(2) in the second paragraph, by striking “The Director” and inserting “(b) MULTIPLE REISSUED PATENTS.—The Director”; 

(3) in the third paragraph, by striking “The provision” and inserting “(c) APPLICABILITY OF THIS TITLE.—The provisions”; and

(4) in the last paragraph, by striking “No re-issued patent” and inserting “(d) REISSUE PATENT
ENLARGING SCOPE OF CLAIMS.—No reissued patent.

(d) EFFECT OF REISSUE.—Section 253 is amended—

(1) in the first paragraph, by striking “Whenever” and inserting “(a) IN GENERAL.—Whenever”; and

(2) in the second paragraph, by striking “in like manner” and inserting “(b) ADDITIONAL DISCLAIMER OR DEDICATION.—In the manner set forth in subsection (a),”.

(e) CORRECTION OF NAMED INVENTOR.—Section 256 is amended—

(1) in the first paragraph, by striking “Whenever” and inserting “(a) CORRECTION.—Whenever”; and

(2) in the second paragraph, by striking “The error” and inserting “(b) PATENT VALID IF ERROR CORRECTED.—The error”.

(f) PRESUMPTION OF VALIDITY.—Section 282 is amended—

(1) in the first undesignated paragraph, by striking “A patent” and inserting “(a) IN GENERAL.—A patent”;
(2) in the second undesignated paragraph, by striking “The following” and inserting “(b) DE-
FENSES.—The following”; and

(3) in the third undesignated paragraph, by striking “In actions” and inserting “(c) NOTICE OF
ACTIONS; ACTIONS DURING EXTENSION OF PATENT
TERM.—In actions”.

SEC. 13. EFFECTIVE DATE; RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.

(a) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Except as otherwise provided
in this Act, the provisions of this Act shall take effect 12
months after the date of the enactment of this Act and
shall apply to any patent issued on or after that effective
date.

(b) CONTINUITY OF INTENT UNDER THE CREATE
ACT.—The enactment of section 102(b)(3) of title 35,
United States Code, under section (3)(b) of this Act is
done with the same intent to promote joint research activi-
ties that was expressed, including in the legislative history,
through the enactment of the Cooperative Research and
Technology Enhancement Act of 2004 (Public Law 108–
453; the “CREATE Act”), the amendments of which are
stricken by section 3(c) of this Act. The United States
Patent and Trademark Office shall administer section
102(b)(3) of title 35, United States Code, in a manner
consistent with the legislative history of the CREATE Act
that was relevant to its administration by the Patent and Trademark Office.