

2001 SURVEY ON LAWYER DISCIPLINE SYSTEMS
AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION
STANDING COMMITTEE ON PROFESSIONAL DISCIPLINE

Hon. Barbara Kerr Howe
CHAIR
Towson, MD

Hon. Shirley S. Abrahamson
Madison, WI

Mary C. Daly
New York, NY

Robert L. Ostertag
Poughkeepsie, NY

Manuel San Juan
San Juan, PR

William I. Weston
Davie, FL

Gerald M. Eisenstat
Vineland, NJ

Virginia L. Ferrara
Albuquerque, NM

Kimberlee K. Kovach
Austin, TX

Liaisons
Board of Governors
Paula J. Frederick
Atlanta, GA

National Organization of Bar Counsel
Barbara L. Margolis
Providence, RI

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION
CENTER FOR PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

Jeanne P. Gray, DIRECTOR

Mary M. Devlin, REGULATION COUNSEL

Ellyn S. Rosen, ASSOCIATE REGULATION COUNSEL

2001 ABA Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems (S.O.L.D.)

Introduction to 2001 S.O.L.D. Survey Results

The ABA Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems questionnaire for [2001](#) was sent to 55 lawyer disciplinary agencies. **Kentucky** and **South Dakota** did not respond to the 2001 Survey. Medians and averages of various categories are shown where potentially meaningful. In most instances, averages have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

The Survey consists of eight charts arranged alphabetically by jurisdiction, with footnotes if clarification of data is necessary. In some instances exact figures were not available. Therefore, approximate figures were provided. The abbreviation "N/A" means that the data was not available or applicable. These charts were compiled from statistics reported to the ABA by the various jurisdictions.

The following jurisdictions indicated they have fiscal years rather than calendar years: Colorado, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Montana, New Hampshire, New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 9th District, New York 3rd Jud. Dept., North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin and Wyoming.

Questions regarding the survey and how to contact specific jurisdictions should be addressed to Ellyn S. Rosen, Senior Counsel, at the ABA Center for Professional Responsibility. You can contact her at rosene@staff.abanet.org.

2001 S.O.L.D. Survey Results

The information contained in the Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems is not intended to constitute a comprehensive overview of each jurisdiction's lawyer discipline system, but is a snapshot of data. Where possible, footnotes have been included to address variations in the manner in which jurisdictions operate and maintain statistics. If you have any questions regarding a particular jurisdiction's responses to the Survey, please contact the chief disciplinary counsel for that agency. Contact information for lawyer disciplinary agencies is at <http://www.abanet.org/cpr/regulation/scpd/disciplinary.html>. Numerous resources for research and statistics about lawyers and the legal profession are readily available at [ABA Market Research Department \(MRD\)](#).

Chart I	Lawyer Population and Agency Caseload Volume
Chart II	Sanctions Imposed
Chart III	Reinstatement and Readmission Statistics
Chart IV	Disciplinary Agency Caseload Statistics
Chart V	Case Processing Times Guidelines
Chart VI	Budget Information and Sources of Funding
Chart VII	Allocation of Dues and Fees in State Disciplinary Systems
Chart VIII	Staffing of Disciplinary Agencies

CHART I

LAWYER POPULATION AND AGENCY CASELOAD VOLUME, 2001

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Disciplinary Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers with Active License</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Received by Disciplinary Agency</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Pending from Prior Years</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Summarily Dismissed for Lack of Jurisdiction</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Investigated</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Dismissed After Investigation</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Warranting Filing of Formal Charges</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers Formally Charged</u>
Alabama	13,104	1,389	263	1,102	326	107	68	68
Alaska	2,692	244	110	197	68	17	51	10
Arizona	12,985	2,442	1,412	1,057	1,288	670	253	80
Arkansas	6,500	872	312	402	697	402	149	121
California	137,326	11,138	4,117	10,967	15,422	2,216	1,733	446
Colorado	19,938	4,550	281	3,916	433	195	117	70
Connecticut	29,913	1,107	N/A	189	1,114	920	194	194
Delaware	2,238	438	128	132	434	222	40	29
District of Columbia	53,848	1,376	286	680	720	303	22	N/A
Florida	63,452	8,650	3,779	1,496	10,933	5,944	N/A	N/A
Georgia	23,160	2,316	328	1,829	452	274	151	112
Hawaii	4,119	676	471	222	925	363	62	8
Idaho	3,227	70	45	N/A	115	28	14	8
Illinois	74,311	5,811	2,047	1,077	6,781	4,318	320	148
Indiana	14,786	1,582	982	900	1,664	498	139	56
Iowa	8,000	537	69	18	421	321	97	15
Kansas	9,276	922	N/A	340	582	479	145	98

CHART I

LAWYER POPULATION AND AGENCY CASELOAD VOLUME, 2001

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Disciplinary Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers with Active License</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Received by Disciplinary Agency</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Pending from Prior Years</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Summarily Dismissed for Lack of Jurisdiction</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Investigated</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Dismissed After Investigation</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Warranting Filing of Formal Charges</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers Formally Charged</u>
Louisiana	18,785	2,631	2,600	853	4,378	1,240	308	111
Maine	4,454	319	82	112	401	248	45	21
Maryland	29,863	1,870	638	1,410	1,098	585	102	34
Massachusetts	43,181	1,133	1,426	81	2,559	942	280	177
Michigan	34,473	3,575	N/A	N/A	3,294	3,123	121	N/A
Minnesota	22,420	12,246	557	547	1,224	434	N/A	22
Mississippi	8,468	413	N/A	317	70	14	N/A	27
Missouri	25,844	1,822	724	1,036	1,443	439	142	29
Montana	3,110	205	140	204	51	14	28	7
Nebraska	5,889	487	N/A	107	380	337	43	33
Nevada	5,462	955	N/A	786	169	85	N/A	N/A
New Hampshire	4,008	261	186	135	312	116	34	41
New Jersey	56,278	6,650	1,215	5,320	1,276	1,079	197	N/A
New Mexico	5,646	667	217	N/A	720	626	62	31
New York 1st Jud. Dept.	67,000	3,353	1,693	536	4,000	1,818	90	27

CHART I

LAWYER POPULATION AND AGENCY CASELOAD VOLUME, 2001

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Disciplinary Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers with Active License</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Received by Disciplinary Agency</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Pending from Prior Years</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Summarily Dismissed for Lack of Jurisdiction</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Investigated</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Dismissed After Investigation</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Warranting Filing of Formal Charges</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers Formally Charged</u>
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 2nd & 11 Dist.	10,500	2,061	758	1,442	659	N/A	55	55
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 9th Dist.	9,893	1,217	506	354	498	394	104	58
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 10th Jud. Dist.	15,562	2,400	960	772	3,412	645	117	39
New York 3rd Jud. Dept.	7,901	1,952	873	1,072	657	286	148	113
New York 4th Jud. Dept.	11,000	2,334	479	853	1,244	876	85	16
North Carolina	18,673	1,532	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
North Dakota	1,842	167	81	50	131	93	38	N/A
Ohio: Disciplinary Counsel's Office	33,211	3,013	604	1,853	1,764	1,161	41	41
Ohio: Certified Grievance Committees		4,022	N/A	2,721	697	N/A	N/A	60
Oklahoma	14,904	1,551	295	1,078	533	479	54	21
Oregon	11,500	1,327	N/A	652	675	491	125	N/A
Pennsylvania	54,063	4,738	801	N/A ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	86

CHART I

LAWYER POPULATION AND AGENCY CASELOAD VOLUME, 2001

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Disciplinary Systems, 2001
 ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

STATE	<u>No. of Lawyers with Active License</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Received by Disciplinary Agency</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Pending from Prior Years</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Dismissed for Lack of Jurisdiction</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Investigated</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Dismissed After Investigation</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Warranting Filing of Formal Charges</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers Formally Charged</u>
Rhode Island	4,368	406	N/A	95	311	249	16	6
South Carolina	7,615	1,200	900	179	1,921	842	91	36
Tennessee	15,958	1,812	N/A	415	N/A	N/A	N/A	146
Texas	69,341	8,962	292	6,209	2,746	1,828	420	236
Utah	5,863	1,094	643	650	1,750	933	43	21
Vermont	N/A	204	17	92	253	82	54	16
Virginia	23,212	3,218	1,248	2,235	2,231	702	277	N/A
Washington	19,217	2,717	372	794	2,878	2,753	125	84
West Virginia	5,294	573	496	166	1,069	586	19	9
Wisconsin	20,551	1,951	874	1,169	2,825	1,191	N/A	N/A
Wyoming	2,126	42	80	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TOTAL*	1,176,350	129,200	34,387	58,819	90,004	41,968	6,819	3,066
AVERAGE*	22,195	2,349	782	1,200	1,765	856	152	70
MEDIAN*	13,104	1,532	501	680	720	479	97	40

*The following jurisdictions did not provide data: Kentucky and South Dakota. Some jurisdictions supplied partial data.

CHART I

LAWYER POPULATION AND AGENCY CASELOAD VOLUME, 2001

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Disciplinary Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

STATE	<u>No. of Lawyers with Active License</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Received by Disciplinary Agency</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Pending from Prior Years</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Summarily Dismissed for Lack of Jurisdiction</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Investigated</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Dismissed After Investigation</u>	<u>No. of Complaints Warranting Filing of Formal Charges</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers Formally Charged</u>
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 2nd & 11 Dist.	10,500	2,061	758	1,442	659	N/A	55	55
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 9th Dist.	9,893	1,217	506	354	498	394	104	58
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 10th Jud. Dist.	15,562	2,400	960	772	3,412 ¹	645	117	39
New York 3rd Jud. Dept.	7,901	1,952	873	1,072	657	286	148	113
New York 4th Jud. Dept.	11,000	2,334	479	853	1,244	876	85	16
North Carolina	18,673	1,532	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
North Dakota	1,842	167	81	50	131	93	38	N/A
Ohio: Disciplinary Counsel's Office	33,211	3,013	604	1,853	1,764	1,161	41	41
Ohio: Certified Grievance Committees		4,022	N/A	2,721	697	N/A	N/A	60
Oklahoma	14,904	1,551	295	1,078	533	479	54	21
Oregon	11,500	1,327	N/A	652	675 ²	491	125	N/A
Pennsylvania	54,063	4,738	801	N/A ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	86

¹New York, 2nd Judicial Dept./10th Judicial District: Includes 52 previously closed matters that were reactivated.

²Oregon: Only complaints received in 2001.

³Pennsylvania: "dismissed without investigation" not tracked separately from "dismissed after investigation."

CHART II

SANCTIONS IMPOSED

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>No. of Private Sanctions</u>	<u>No. of Public Sanctions</u>	<u>No. of Involuntary Disbarments</u>	<u>No. of Disbarments on Consent</u>	<u>No. of Suspensions</u>	<u>No. of Interim Suspensions (for risk of harm or criminal conviction)</u>	<u>No. of Public Reprimands/ Admonitions/ Censures</u>	<u>No. of Probations</u>	<u>No. of Transfers to Disability Inactive Status</u>
Alabama	36	107	12	1	26	14	17	5	4
Alaska	4	6	2	2	1	1	1	0	3
Arizona	N/A	123	13	1	41	3	69	27	4
Arkansas	45	78	6	13	19	3	40	0	0
California	122	630	55	100	386	53	50	386	9
Colorado	5	69	20	N/A	44	8	5	19	8
Connecticut	0	104	3	8	10	4	49	0	2
Delaware	7	21	6	0	6	4	2	2	1
District of Columbia	0	132	16	10	86	42	8	5	5
Florida	N/A ¹	414	20	29	133	N/A	69	109	N/A
Georgia	34	84	17	13	16	N/A	16	N/A	N/A
Hawaii	18	10	3	2	4	3	1	N/A	0
Idaho	8	8	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	5	N/A	N/A
Illinois	N/A ¹	127	10	16	68	11	17	16	2
Indiana	14	72	3	13	39	1	17	5	2
Iowa	52	37	0	2	13	0	22	0	0
Kansas	N/A ¹	98	3	6	12	1	7	1	0

CHART II

SANCTIONS IMPOSED

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001

ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

STATE	<u>No. of Private Sanctions</u>	<u>No. of Public Sanctions</u>	<u>No. of Involuntary Disbarments</u>	<u>No. of Disbarments on Consent</u>	<u>No. of Suspensions</u>	<u>No. of Interim Suspensions (for risk of harm or criminal conviction)</u>	<u>No. of Public Reprimands/ Admonitions/ Censures</u>	<u>No. of Probations</u>	<u>No. of Transfers to Disability Inactive Status</u>
Louisiana	51	62	12	2	36	28	18	20	7
Maine	N/A	7	1	1	4	N/A	2	N/A	1
Maryland	32	64	7	16	25	1	12	N/A	3
Massachusetts	87	104	14	17	37	13	19	7	4
Michigan	125	111	9	2	62	11	46	4	0
Minnesota	161	34	1	2	15	N/A	2	9	2
Mississippi	27	29	2	2	6	0	19	0	2
Missouri	126	44	20	3	13	N/A	8	N/A	N/A
Montana	49	15	3	0	12	0	0	2	1
Nebraska	20	16	1	N/A	11	1	3	4	0
Nevada	31	5	1	0	2	N/A	2	2	2
New Hampshire	0	22	2	0	3	0	17	0	0
New Jersey	N/A	172	11	20	60	24	54	0	3
New Mexico	11	29	4	0	12	1	1	12	0
New York 1st Jud. Dept.	49	54	16	7	27	16	6	N/A	2
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 2nd & 11th Jud. Dist.	130	44	20	2	15	N/A	0	N/A	N/A

CHART II

SANCTIONS IMPOSED

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>No. of Private Sanctions</u>	<u>No. of Public Sanctions</u>	<u>No. of Involuntary Disbarments</u>	<u>No. of Disbarments on Consent</u>	<u>No. of Suspensions</u>	<u>No. of Interim Suspensions (for risk of harm or criminal conviction)</u>	<u>No. of Public Reprimands/ Admonitions/ Censures</u>	<u>No. of Probations</u>	<u>No. of Transfers to Disability or Inactive Status</u>
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 9th Jud. Dist.	40	22	8	3	6	2	5	N/A	N/A
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 10th Jud. Dist.	159	27	8	7	7	N/A	2	N/A	N/A
New York 3rd Jud. Dept.	189	79	13	0	20	8	9	2	0
New York 4th Jud. Dept.	198	42	5	7	17	9	5	0	0
North Carolina	31	39	2	N/A	17	0	21	15	1
North Dakota	20	9	0	N/A	5	0	4	0	0
Ohio	0	84	13	14	37	14	5	N/A	N/A
Oklahoma	28	25	2	11	7	0	5	0	0
Oregon	N/A ¹	123	4	10	29	2	23	0	0
Pennsylvania	68	75	11	20	27	15	2	10	3
Rhode Island	15	8	2	2	3	N/A	1	N/A	0
South Carolina	8	32	3	8	13	11	8	N/A	4
Tennessee	88	48	9	0	14	12	25	0	1
Texas	206	324	39	24	185	N/A	76	N/A	N/A

CHART II

SANCTIONS IMPOSED

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001

ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>No. of Private Sanctions</u>	<u>No. of Public Sanctions</u>	<u>No. of Involuntary Disbarments</u>	<u>No. of Disbarments on Consent</u>	<u>No. of Suspensions</u>	<u>No. of Interim Suspensions (for risk of harm or criminal conviction)</u>	<u>No. of Public Reprimands/ Admonitions/ Censures</u>	<u>No. of Probations</u>	<u>No. of Transfers to Disability or Inactive Status</u>
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 9th Jud. Dist.	40	22	8	3	6	2	5	N/A	N/A
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 10th Jud. Dist.	159	27	8	7	7	N/A	2	N/A	N/A
New York 3rd Jud. Dept.	189	79	13	0	20	8	9	2	0
New York 4th Jud. Dept.	198	42	5	7	17	9	5	0	0
North Carolina	31	39	2	N/A	17	0	21	15	1
North Dakota	20	9	0	N/A	5	0	4	0	0
Ohio	0 ⁵	84	13	14	37	14	5	N/A	N/A
Oklahoma	28	25	2	11	7	0	5	0	0
Oregon	N/A ⁶	123	4	10	29	2	23	0	0
Pennsylvania	68	75	11	20	27	15	2	10 ⁷	3 ⁷
Rhode Island	15	8	2	2	3	N/A	1	N/A	0
South Carolina	8	32	3	8	13	11	8	N/A	4
Tennessee	88	48	9	0	14	12	25	0	1
Texas	206	324	39	24	185	N/A	76	N/A	N/A

⁵Ohio: Statewide statistics for sanctions.

⁶Oregon: All attorney discipline is public.

⁷Pennsylvania: Probation is not public in Pennsylvania; disability inactive status is not considered a sanction.

CHART II

SANCTIONS IMPOSED

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001
 ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>No. of Private Sanctions</u>	<u>No. of Public Sanctions</u>	<u>No. of Involuntary Disbarments</u>	<u>No. of Disbarments on Consent</u>	<u>No. of Suspensions</u>	<u>No. of Interim Suspensions (for risk of harm or criminal conviction)</u>	<u>No. of Public Reprimands/ Admonitions/ Censures</u>	<u>No. of Probations</u>	<u>No. of Transfers to Disability Inactive Status</u>
Utah	48	18	8	2	5	1	3	N/A	1
Vermont	6	5	0	0	2	0	3	1	0
Virginia	124	65	9	16	17	N/A	22	N/A	1
Washington	N/A	54	20	0	15	5	21	2	3
West Virginia	N/A	16	0	1	7	3	4	1	0
Wisconsin	10	37	5	12	10	10	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wyoming	4	5	1	2	1	0	1	0	1
TOTAL*	2486	4069	475	429	1691	335	849	666	82
AVERAGE*	55	75	9	9	31	8	16	18	2
MEDIAN*	32	44	6	3	15	3	8	2	1

*The following jurisdictions did not provide data: Kentucky and South Dakota. Some jurisdictions supplied partial data.

CHART III

REINSTATEMENT AND READMISSION

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>No. of Petitions, Motions or Requests for Reinstatement/Readmission Filed</u>	<u>Total No. of Petitions, Motions or Requests for Reinstatement/Readmission Granted</u>	<u>No. Granted After Disbarment</u>	<u>No. Granted After Suspension</u>	<u>No. of Petitions, Motions or Requests for Reinstatement/Readmission Denied/Dismissed</u>
Alabama	11	11	4	7	1
Alaska	1	0	0	0	1
Arizona	3	1	0	1	0
Arkansas	4	4	0	4	1
California	12	6	6	N/A	5
Colorado	9	4	0	4	3
Delaware	2	2	0	2	0
District of Columbia	3	2	0	2	1
Florida	N/A	18	N/A	18	N/A
Georgia	1	1	1	0	0
Hawaii	2	2	0	2	0
Illinois	4	3	0	3	1
Indiana	4	1	0	1	2
Iowa	8	8	0	8	0
Kansas	2	1	0	1	0
Louisiana	0	0	N/A	N/A	0
Maine	2	1	1	N/A	0
Maryland	5	3	1	2	2

CHART III

REINSTATEMENT AND READMISSION

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>No. of Petitions, Motions or Requests for Reinstatement/Readmission Filed</u>	<u>Total No. of Petitions, Motions or Requests for Reinstatement/Readmission Granted</u>	<u>No. Granted After Disbarment</u>	<u>No. Granted After Suspension</u>	<u>No. of Petitions, Motions or Requests for Reinstatement/Readmission Denied/Dismissed</u>
Massachusetts	11	4	0	4	6
Michigan	9	5	0	5	4
Minnesota	6	2	0	2	0
Missouri	20	8	1	7	6
Montana	1	1	N/A	1	0
Nebraska	6	6	0	6	0
Nevada	5	2	0	0	0
New Hampshire	2	2	0	2	0
New Jersey	N/A	13	0	13	N/A
New Mexico	2	2	1	1	0
New York 1st Jud. Dept.	13	11	0	11	2
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 2nd & 11th Jud. Dist.	24	N/A	N/A	N/A	19
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 9th Jud. Dist.	5	2	1	1	3
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 10th Jud. Dist.	8	3	1	2	5
New York 3rd Jud. Dept.	12	5	0	5	2

CHART III

REINSTATEMENT AND READMISSION

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>No. of Petitions, Motions or Requests for Reinstatement/ Readmission Filed</u>	<u>Total No. of Petitions, Motions or Requests for Reinstatement/ Readmission Granted</u>	<u>No. Granted After Disbarment</u>	<u>No. Granted After Suspension</u>	<u>No. of Petitions, Motions or Requests for Reinstatement/ Readmission Denied/Dismissed</u>
New York 4th Jud. Dept.	23	5	1	4	2
North Carolina	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
North Dakota	1	1	0	1	0
Ohio	15 ¹	13	0	13	2
Oklahoma	19	9 ²	2	0	5
Oregon	178 ³	0	0	N/A ³	N/A ³
Pennsylvania	85	10	1	9	6
Rhode Island	3	2	2	N/A	0
South Carolina	N/A	9	1	8	N/A
Tennessee	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Utah	7	3	2	1	3
Vermont	1	1	0	1	0
Virginia	3	0	0	N/A	1
Washington	0	0	0	0	0
West Virginia	1	1	0	1	3
Wisconsin	20	2	2	0	6
Wyoming	1	0	0	N/A	1

¹Ohio: Statewide statistics regarding reinstatements.

²Oklahoma: Includes reinstatements after voluntary resignation and after having license stricken for non-payment of dues/MCLE.

³Oregon: Reinstatement is required for other categories not related to prior discipline.

CHART IV

DISCIPLINARY AGENCY CASELOAD STATISTICS

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>Average Caseload Per Lawyer</u>	<u>Average No. Carried Over From Prior Years</u>	<u>Average No. of New Cases Assigned Per Lawyer</u>	<u>Average No. of Cases Closed Per Lawyer</u>
Arizona	125	118	115	118
California	23	23	46	37
Colorado	130	47	83	86
Delaware	94	25	133	129
District of Columbia	86	32	54	42
Florida	366	111	254	244
Georgia	25	17	16	17
Hawaii	92	N/A	135	70
Illinois	66	64	175	174
Iowa	N/A	28	28	9
Louisiana	486	289	197	176
Maryland	57	71	51	65
Massachusetts	176	100	78	65
Michigan	N/A	N/A	Investigations = 180	Investigations = 165
Minnesota	37	36	39	42
New Mexico	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
New York -- 1st Jud. Dept.	65	20	N/A	N/A
New York -- 2nd Jud. Dept. 9th Jud. Dist.	59	59	100	140

CHART IV

DISCIPLINARY AGENCY CASELOAD STATISTICS

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001
 ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>Average Caseload Per Lawyer</u>	<u>Average No. Carried Over From Prior Years</u>	<u>Average No. of New Cases Assigned Per Lawyer</u>	<u>Average No. of Cases Closed Per Lawyer</u>
New York -- 2nd Jud. Dept. 10th Jud. Dist.	127	97	310	229
New York -- 3rd Jud. Dept.	483	146	338	288
New York -- 4th Jud. Dept.	178	68	333	318
Ohio ¹	85 - 120	70	185	166
Oregon	100 - 110	N/A	205	245
Pennsylvania	N/A	31	182	182
Tennessee	176 Investigative Cases 8 Formal Charges Closed	N/A	N/A	176 Investigative Cases 8 Formal Charges Closed
Utah	125	129	221	219
Virginia	248	139	109	105
West Virginia	356	165	191	195

¹Ohio: Statewide statistics.

CHART V

CASE PROCESSING TIMES

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Disciplinary Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>Time Standards Formally Adopted</u>	<u>Average Time from Receipt of Complaint to Summary Dismissal</u>	<u>Average Time From Receipt of Complaint to Closure/Dismissal After Investigation</u>	<u>Average Time From Receipt of Complaint to Filing of Formal Charges</u>	<u>Average Time From Receipt of Complaint to Imposition of Private Sanction</u>	<u>Average Time From Receipt of Complaint to Imposition of Public Sanction</u>	<u>Average Time to Process Petition/Motion or Request for Reinstatement/Readmission from Filing to Final Disposition</u>
Alabama	No	One Week	6 Months	12 Months	9 Months	12 Months	6 Months
Alaska	Yes	55 Days	473 Days	N/A	727 Days	1834 Days	N/A
Arizona	Yes	5 Days	3 - 6 Months	6 - 11 Months	N/A	2 Years	10 Months
Arkansas	No	30 Days	90 Days	90 Days	150 Days	180 - 210 Days	2 - 3 Weeks
California	Yes	33 Days	268 Days	298 Days	390 Days	440 Days	415 Days
Colorado	No	10 Days	41 Weeks	47 Weeks	92 Weeks	92 Weeks	29 Weeks
Delaware	No	38 Days	172 Days	259 Days	287 Days	446 Days	155 Days
Georgia	N/A	1 Week	2 Months	8 Months	8 Months	1 Year	1 Year
Hawaii	Yes	3 Days	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Iowa	No	1 Week	3 Months	1 Year	3 Months	3 Months - 1 Year	2 Months
Louisiana	Yes	3 - 5 Days	253 Days	269 Days	291 Days	627 Days	N/A
Maine	Yes	2 - 4 Days	60 - 90 Days	12 - 15 Months	60 - 90 Days	12 - 15 Months	60 - 90 Days
Maryland	Yes	30 - 45 Days	90 - 120 Days	12 - 18 Months	9 Months	18 - 24 Months	15 Months
Massachusetts	Yes	31 Days	264 Days	741 Days	613 Days	1,057 Days	425 Days
Michigan	Yes	60 - 90 Days	6-20 Mo./Prosecuted 1-4 Mo./Closed Files	6 - 11 Months	6 - 10 Months	N/A	7 Months

CHART V

CASE PROCESSING TIMES

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Disciplinary Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>Time Standards Formally Adopted</u>	<u>Average Time from Receipt of Complaint to Summary Dismissal</u>	<u>Average Time From Receipt of Complaint to Closure/Dismissal After Investigation</u>	<u>Average Time From Receipt of Complaint to Filing of Formal Charges</u>	<u>Average Time From Receipt of Complaint to Imposition of Private Sanction</u>	<u>Average Time From Receipt of Complaint to Imposition of Public Sanction</u>	<u>Average Time to Process Petition/Motion or Request for Reinstatement/Readmission from Filing to Final Disposition</u>
Minnesota	N/A	1 Month	6 Months	N/A	Admonition = 9 Mo. Probation = 13 Mo.	N/A	6 Months
Mississippi	Yes	90 Days	90 - 180 Days	90 - 180 Days	6 - 12 Months	6 - 12 Months	3 - 6 Months
Missouri	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Montana	N/A	60 Days	60 Days	6 Months	60 - 90 Days	1 - 1.5 Years	90 Days
Nebraska	Yes	1 - 2 Days	90 - 120 Days	180 Days	210 Days	1 Year	2 Months
Nevada	Yes	30 - 45 Days	30 - 90 Days	60 - 90 Days	60 - 90 Days	3 - 12 Months	60 - 90 Days
New Mexico	N/A	1 Month	6 Months - 1 Year	6 Months - 1 Year	Approx. 1 Year	Approx. 1 Year	1 Year
New York 1st Jud. Dept.	No	2 Weeks	7 Months	21 Months	18 Months	N/A	7 Months
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 2nd & 11 Dist.	No	14 Days	4 - 6 Months	6 Months - 1 Year	6 Months - 1 Year	1 - 2 Years	N/A
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 9th Dist.	N/A	1 Week	9 Months - 1 Year	N/A	9 Months - 1 Year	N/A	N/A
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 10th Jud. Dist.	N/A	3 Weeks	6 Months	1 Year	N/A	N/A	N/A
New York 3rd Jud. Dept.	N/A	60 Days	90 Days	120 Days	120 Days	6 Months - 1 Year	45 Days
New York 4th Jud. Dept.	N/A	14 Days	90 Days	9 Months	2 - 6 Months	1 Year	2 Months

CHART V

CASE PROCESSING TIMES

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Disciplinary Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>Time Standards Formally Adopted</u>	<u>Average Time from Receipt of Complaint to Summary Dismissal</u>	<u>Average Time From Receipt of Complaint to Closure/Dismissal After Investigation</u>	<u>Average Time From Receipt of Complaint to Filing of Formal Charges</u>	<u>Average Time From Receipt of Complaint to Imposition of Private Sanction</u>	<u>Average Time From Receipt of Complaint to Imposition of Public Sanction</u>	<u>Average Time to Process Petition/Motion or Request for Reinstatement/Readmission from Filing to Final Disposition</u>
North Carolina	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
North Dakota	No	3 Months	3 Months	3 Months	3 Months	6 Months	8 Months
Ohio	Yes	4 Weeks	60 - 150 Days	150+ Days	N/A	24 Months	6 Months requiring hearing
Oklahoma	No	30 Days	Varies	Varies	Varies	Varies	140 Days
Oregon	Yes	14 Days	268 Days	530 Days	N/A	30 Months	60 Days / 30 Months ¹
Pennsylvania	Yes	2 - 5 Days	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rhode Island	No	2 Days	90 Days	6 - 8 Months	8 - 10 Months	8 - 10 Months	6 Months
South Carolina	Yes	4 Days	60 - 90 Days	Varies	N/A	9 - 12 Months	N/A
Tennessee	Yes	1 - 5 Days	1 Year	6 Months	1 Year	1 - 2 Years	1 Year
Texas	N/A	14 Days	158 Days	158 Days	N/A	N/A	N/A
Utah	No	57 Days	170 Days	213 Days	571 Days	936 Days	202 Days
Vermont	Yes	< 30 Days	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Virginia	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Washington	Yes	45 Days	140 Days	300 Days	N/A	N/A	N/A
West Virginia	N/A	20 Days	13 Months	14 Months	N/A	N/A	11 Months
Wisconsin	Yes	61 Days	257 Days	N/A	607 Days	629 Days	331 Days

¹Oregon: 60 days for uncontested reinstatements; 30 months for reinstatements that are litigated and appealed.

CHART VI

STATE BY STATE BUDGET FOR DISCIPLINE, 2001

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001

ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>Total No. of Lawyers with Active Licenses</u>	<u>Total Lawyer Discipline System Budget (\$)</u>	<u>Total Discipline Counsel or Comparable Prosecutorial Office Budget (\$)</u>	<u>Total Discipline Board or Comparable Administrative/ Adjudicative Budget (\$)</u>	<u>Source of Funding</u>
Alabama	13,104	747,047	N/A	N/A	100% Legislative appropriation
Alaska	2,692	603,628	N/A	N/A	100% Bar Association earmarked dues
Arizona	12,985	3,768,571	2,640,249	548,814	100% Bar Association earmarked dues
Arkansas	6,500	501,846	475,350	N/A	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
California	137,326	26,307,000	21,344,788.00	N/A	100% Legislative Appropriation
Colorado	19,938	2,743,423	2,392,443	10,788	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
Connecticut	29,913	N/A	1,400,000	Included in 1,400,000	100% Supreme Court general budget
Delaware	2,238	N/A	N/A	N/A	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
D.C.	53,848	3,464,257	N/A	N/A	100% Bar association earmarked dues
Florida	63,452	8,320,650	8,320,650	8,320,650	100% Bar association earmarked dues
Georgia	23,160	1,494,000	1,494,000	25,000	100% Bar Association earmarked dues
Hawaii	4,119	951,925	951,925	951,925	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
Idaho	3,227	340,677	340,677	307,045	100% Bar Association earmarked dues
Illinois	74,311	10,634,944	8,577,520	1,618,645	92.76% Supreme Court assessed fees, 6.81% interest earned, 0.4% cost recovered
Indiana	14,786	1,385,202	1,360,653	Included in 1,360,653	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
Iowa	8,000	769,396	N/A	N/A	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
Kansas	13,062	1,000,000	1,000,000	Included in 1,000,000	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
Louisiana	18,785	3,122,840	1,960,274	1,162,566	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
Maine	4,454	704,572	704,572	N/A	100% Supreme Court assessed fees

CHART VI

STATE BY STATE BUDGET FOR DISCIPLINE, 2001

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001

ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>Total No. of Lawyers with Active Licenses</u>	<u>Total Lawyer Discipline System Budget (\$)</u>	<u>Total Discipline Counsel or Comparable Prosecutorial Office Budget (\$)</u>	<u>Total Discipline Board or Comparable Administrative/ Adjudicative Budget (\$)</u>	<u>Source of Funding</u>
Massachusetts	43,181	7,596,216	3,888,442	2,391,799	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
Michigan	34,473	3,485,900	2,680,400	805,500	100% Bar Association earmarked dues
Minnesota	22,420	2,112,423	N/A	N/A	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
Mississippi	8,468	408,865	N/A	N/A	100% Bar Association earmarked dues
Missouri	25,844	1,616,300	1,513,500	102,800	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
Montana	3,110	129,378	N/A	N/A	100% Supreme Court general budget
Nebraska	5,889	375,000	N/A	N/A	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
Nevada	5,462	862,795	N/A	N/A	100% Bar Association earmarked dues
New Hampshire	4,008	427,847	N/A	N/A	91% Supreme Court assessed fees, balance paid by Supreme Court's Character & Fitness committee
New Jersey	56,278	7,625,222	4,613,796	1,539,561	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
New Mexico	5,646	644,745	637,745	4,000	100% Bar association earmarked dues
New York 1st Jud. Dept.	67,000	3,332,408	3,332,408	N/A	100% Legislative appropriation
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 2nd & 11th Jud. Dist.	10,500	N/A	1,600,000	N/A	100% Legislative appropriation
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 9th Jud. Dist.	9,893	N/A	1,502,987	N/A	100% Legislative appropriation
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 10th Jud. Dist.	15,562	N/A	1,812,686	N/A	Part of Appellate Division's Operating Budget

CHART VI

STATE BY STATE BUDGET FOR DISCIPLINE, 2001

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001

ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>Total No. of Lawyers with Active Licenses</u>	<u>Total Lawyer Discipline System Budget (\$)</u>	<u>Total Discipline Counsel or Comparable Prosecutorial Office Budget (\$)</u>	<u>Total Discipline Board or Comparable Administrative/ Adjudicative Budget (\$)</u>	<u>Source of Funding</u>
New York 3rd Jud. Dept.	7,901	N/A	1,008,361	N/A	100% Legislative appropriation
New York 4th Jud. Dept.	11,000	N/A	1,587,523	N/A	100% Registration fees
North Carolina	18,673	1,735,644	597,000	83,861	100% Legislative appropriation
North Dakota	1,842	264131 ¹	264131 ¹	N/A	51% Bar Association earmarked dues, 49% Legislative appropriation
Ohio	33,211	5,453,723	1,769,104	745,032	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
Oklahoma	14,904	831,418	831,418	Included in 831,418	100% Bar Association general budget
Oregon	11,500	1,440,000	1,400,00	40,000	100% Bar Association earmarked dues
Pennsylvania	54,063	6,702,817	N/A	N/A	92% Supreme Court assessed fees, investments and assessed costs 8%
Rhode Island	4,368	681,417	681,417	681,417	100% Supreme Court assessed fees
South Carolina	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100% Supreme Court general budget
Tennessee	15,958	N/A	1,785,099	6,000	95% Supreme Court assessed fees; 5% interest
Texas	69,341	7,184,375	6,933,243	N/A	100% Bar Association earmarked dues
Utah	5,863	734,035	734,035	N/A	100% Bar Association earmarket dues
Virginia	23,212	2,869,625	N/A	N/A	100% Bar Association earmarked dues
Washington	19,217	3,099,324	3,085,324	14,000	100% Bar Association dues
West Virginia	5,294	615,185	615,185	615,185	100% Bar Association earmarked dues
Wisconsin	20,551	1,783,700	N/A	1,783,700	100% Bar Association earmarked dues
Wyoming	2,126	24,000	24,000	24,000	100% Bar Association earmarked dues

¹North Dakota: Total Lawyer Discipline System Budget and Total Discipline Counsel Office Budget includes Judicial Conduct Commission Budget.

CHART VII

ALLOCATION OF DUES AND FEES IN STATE DISCIPLINARY SYSTEMS

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

Discipline Office Budget Includes:

<u>STATE</u>	<u>Salaries/Benefits</u>	<u>Space</u>	<u>Equipment/Supplies</u>	<u>Travel/Education/Training</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Annual Dues/Fees (\$)</u>
Alabama	X	N/A	N/A	X		\$250
Alaska	X	X	X	X		440
Arizona	X	X	X	X		215 / 360 ¹
Arkansas	X	N/A	X	X		75
California	X	X	X	X		395
Colorado	X	X	X	X	X	50 / 155 / 195 ²
Connecticut	X	X	X	X		525
Delaware	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		45 / 75 / 170 / 190 / 255 / 355 ³
District of Columbia	X	N/A	X	X		125
Florida	X	X	X	X		265
Georgia	X	X	X	X		175
Hawaii	X	X	X	X		100 / 200 ⁴
Idaho	X	X	X	X		115 / 230 / 315 / 55 ⁵
Illinois	X	X	X	X		0 / 90 / 180 ⁶
Indiana	X	X	X	X		85

¹Arizona: Less than two years in practice/more than two years in practice.

²Colorado: Inactive/practicing 3 years or less/more than 3 years in practice.

³Delaware: Courts/inactive/government/5 years or less in practice/5 - 10 years in practice/more than 10 in practice.

⁴Hawaii: 1-4 years in practice/5 years or more in practice.

⁵Idaho: During first year of admission/1-3 years in practice/after first 3 full years in practice/72 years old in practice.

⁶Illinois: Less than one year in practice/inactive or greater than one year but less than 3 years in practice/more than 3 years.

CHART VII

ALLOCATION OF DUES AND FEES IN STATE DISCIPLINARY SYSTEMS

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001

ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

Discipline Office Budget Includes:

<u>STATE</u>	<u>Salaries/Benefits</u>	<u>Space</u>	<u>Equipment/Supplies</u>	<u>Travel/Education/Training</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Annual Dues/Fees (\$)</u>
Iowa	X	X	X	X		95
Kansas	X	X	X	X	X	100
Louisiana	X	X	X	X	X	100 / 165 ⁷
Maine	X	X	X	X		60 / 80 / 185 ⁸
Maryland	X	N/A	X	X	X	85
Massachusetts	X	N/A	N/A	X		165 / 220 / 20 ⁹
Michigan	X	X	X	X		260 / 0 ¹⁰
Minnesota	X	X	X	X		89 / 200 ¹¹
Mississippi	X	X	X	X		225
Missouri	X	X	X	X		128 / 183 ¹²
Montana	X	X	X	X		160
Nebraska	X	X	X	X		200 / 300 ¹³
Nevada	X	X	X	X		200 / 350 ¹⁴

⁷Louisiana: Less than 3 years in practice/more than 3 years in practice.

⁸Maine: More than 50 years in practice/less than 3 years in practice/more than 3 years in practice.

⁹Massachusetts: Less than 5 years in practice/5 - 50 years in practice/more than 50 years in practice.

¹⁰Michigan: No dues for attorneys 70 years of age or more.

¹¹Minnesota: Less than 3 years in practice/more than 3 years in practice.

¹²Missouri: Less than 3 years in practice/more than 3 years in practice.

¹³Nebraska: Less than 5 years in practice/more than 5 years in practice.

¹⁴Nevada: Less than 5 years in practice/more than 5 years in practice

CHART VII

ALLOCATION OF DUES AND FEES IN STATE DISCIPLINARY SYSTEMS

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001
 ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

Discipline Office Budget Includes:

<u>STATE</u>	<u>Salaries/Benefits</u>	<u>Space</u>	<u>Equipment/Supplies</u>	<u>Travel/Education/Training</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Annual Dues/Fees (\$)</u>
New Hampshire	X	X	X	X		320 / 370 ¹⁵
New Jersey	X	X	X	X		28 / 125 / 150 ¹⁶
New Mexico	X	X	X	X		215 / 265 / 315 ¹⁷
New York 1st. Jud. Dept.	X	N/A	X	X	X	300 biennially
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 2nd & 11th Jud. Dist.	X	X	X	X		300 biennially
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 9th Jud. Dist.	X	X	X	X		300 biennially
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 10th Jud. Dist.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		300 biennially
New York 3rd Jud. Dept.	X	X	X	X		300 biennially
New York 4th Jud. Dept.	X	X	X	X		300 biennially
North Carolina	X	X	X	X		175
North Dakota	X	X	X	X		250 / 305 ¹⁸
Ohio	X	X	X	X		125

¹⁵New Hampshire: Less than 5 years in practice/more than 5 years in practice

¹⁶New Jersey: 0 - 2 years in practice/3 - 4 years in practice/5 - 50 years in practice.

¹⁷New Mexico: Less than 1 year in practice/1 - 3 years in practice/more than 3 years in practice.

¹⁸North Dakota: 0 - 5 years in practice/more than 5 years in practice.

CHART VII

ALLOCATION OF DUES AND FEES IN STATE DISCIPLINARY SYSTEMS

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

Discipline Office Budget Includes:

STATE	Salaries/Benefits	Space	Equipment/Supplies	Travel/Education/Training	Other	Annual Dues/Fees (\$)
Oklahoma	X	X	X	X	X	0 / 87.50 / 175 ¹⁹
Oregon	X	X	X	X	X	344 / 95 / 15 ²⁰
Pennsylvania	X	X	X	X	X	105
Rhode Island	X	N/A	X	N/A		350
South Carolina	X	X	X	X		150 / 220 ²¹
Tennessee	X	X	X	X		90
Texas	X	X	X	X		68 / 148 / 235 ²²
Utah	X	X	X	X		190 / 350 ²³
Vermont	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		150
Virginia	X	X	X	X		250
Washington	X	X	X	X		169 / 278 / 341 ²⁴
West Virginia	X	X	X	X		50 / 150 / 195 ²⁵
Wisconsin	X	X	X	X		100
Wyoming	N/A	N/A	N/A	X		137 / 250 ²⁶

¹⁹Oklahoma: Less than one year in practice or over 70 years old/1-3 years in practice/3 or more years in practice.

²⁰Oregon: Less than 2 years in practice/more than 15 years in practice and limited to pro bono work/more than 50 years in practice.

²¹South Carolina: Less than 3 years in practice/more than 3 years in practice.

²²Texas: 0-3 years in practice/3-5 years in practice/more than 5 years in practice.

²³Utah: Less than three years in practice/more than 3 years in practice.

²⁴Washington: 2-3 years in practice/4-5 years in practice/more than 5 years in practice.

²⁵West Virginia: Inactive or less than 1 year in practice/1-3 years in practice/more than 3 years in practice.

²⁶Wyoming: Less than 5 years in practice/more than 5 years in practice.

CHART VIII

STATE-BY-STATE STAFFING OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL'S OFFICE

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001
 ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

STATE	Chief Disciplinary Counsel 2001	Other Disciplinary Counsel 2001	Other Lawyers 2001	Investigators 2001	Legal Assistants / Paralegals 2001	Secretarial/ Clerical/ Admin. 2001	Law Students/ Clerks 2001	Auditors 2001	Probation Monitors 2001	Other Paid Staff 2001	Total Paid Staff 2001	% Nonlawyer Members on Hearing Bodies
Alabama	1	3	0	0 ; 1	2	5	0	0	0	0	11 ; 1	16%
Alaska	1	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	7	N/A
Arkansas	1	3	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	7	28.50%
Arizona	1	11	2	2	2	8	0	1	0	3	32	
California	1	7	58	79	18	64	20	0	4	3	3 254	0
Colorado	1	12	0	5	0	10	0	2	1	1	30.5	33%
Connecticut	1	7	0	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	15	33%
Delaware	0 ; 0.8	2 ; 0.5	0	0	0	1 ; 0.5	0	0 ; 0.25	0	0	3 ; 2.05	33.30%
D.C.	1	10	0	1	1	8	9	0	0	0	30	33%
Florida	8	26	0	0 ; 25	12	50	0	5	0	0	101 ; 25	N/A
Georgia	1	7	2	1	2	4	0 ; 1	0	N/A	0	17 ; 1	N/A
Hawaii	1	4	0	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	12	20%
Idaho	1	1	0	2	0	2	0 ; 2 (interns)	0	0	0	6 ; 2 (interns)	N/A
Illinois	1	34	3	11	14	14	0 ; 3	0	0.5 ; 1	0	77.5 ; 4	33%
Indiana	1	0	8 ; 1	1	0	3	0 ; 2	0	0	0	13 ; 3	0
Iowa	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	20%
Kansas	1	3	0 ; 1	2	0	3	0 ; 1	0 ; 1	0	1 ; 1	10 ; 4	N/A
Louisiana	1	8	0	5 ; 1	0	14	0	0	0	14 ; 1 (Disc. Bd. Staff)	40 ; 2	33%
Maine	1	2	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	9	33%
Maryland	1	2	7	7	2	11	0	0	0	0	30	0
Massachusetts	1	15 ; 2	0	2	12 ; 1	14 ; 1	0	0	0	0	44 ; 4	25 - 33%

CHART VIII

STATE-BY-STATE STAFFING OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL'S OFFICE

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

	<u>Chief Disciplinary Counsel 2001</u>	<u>Other Disciplinary Counsel 2001</u>	<u>Other Lawyers 2001</u>	<u>Investigators 2001</u>	<u>Legal Assistants / Paralegals 2001</u>	<u>Secretarial/ Clerical/ Admin. 2001</u>	<u>Law Students/ Clerks 2001</u>	<u>Auditors 2001</u>	<u>Probation Monitors 2001</u>	<u>Other Paid Staff 2001</u>	<u>Total Paid Staff 2001</u>	<u>% Nonlawyer Members on Hearing Bodies</u>
Michigan ¹	1 -- 1	13 -- 1	0 -- 1	3 -- 0	0 -- 1	15 -- 4	1 -- 0	0 -- 0	0 -- 0	1 -- 0	34 -- 8	33% -- 0
Minnesota	1	9	0	0	4 ; 1	8 ; 1	0 ; 1	0	0	0	22 ; 3	17%
Mississippi	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0
Missouri	1	4	0 ; 11	0 ; 1	2	5 ; 2	0	0	0	0	12 ; 14	33%
Montana	0	0	0	0	0	1 ; 1	0	0	0	0	1 ; 1	25%
Nebraska	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	33.30%
Nevada	1	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	9	
New Hampshire	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	6	20 - 25%
New Jersey	1	9.5	1.5	19	0	22	0 ; 2	5	0	2	60 ; 2	33.33%
New Mexico	1	3 ; 1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0 ; 1	7 ; 2	20%
New York 1st Jud. Dept.	1	19	0	5	5	8	2	1	0	2	43	20%
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 2nd & 11th Jud. Dist.	1	7	0	1	1	5 ; 1	0	1	0	0	16 ; 1	20%
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 9th Jud. Dist.	1	8	0	1	0	7	0 ; 1	1	0	0	18 ; 1	20%
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 10th Jud. Dist.	1	1	9	2	0	7	varies	0	0	0	20	4%
New York 3rd Jud. Dept.	1	5	0	2	0	7	0 ; 1	0	0	0	15 ; 1	0
New York 4th Jud. Dept.	1	3	4	6	0	7 ; 1	0 ; 2	0	0	0	21 ; 3	14%
North Carolina	1	5	4	6	2	7	0	1	0	29	55	N/A

¹Michigan: Attorney Grievance Commission staff -- Attorney Discipline Board staff

CHART VIII

STATE-BY-STATE STAFFING OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL'S OFFICE

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2001
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

	<u>Chief Disciplinary Counsel 2001</u>	<u>Other Disciplinary Counsel 2001</u>	<u>Other Lawyers 2001</u>	<u>Investigators 2001</u>	<u>Legal Assistants / Paralegals 2001</u>	<u>Secretarial/ Clerical/ Admin. 2001</u>	<u>Law Students/ Clerks 2001</u>	<u>Auditors 2001</u>	<u>Probation Monitors 2001</u>	<u>Other Paid Staff 2001</u>	<u>Total Paid Staff 2001</u>	<u>% Nonlawyer Members on Hearing Bodies</u>
North Dakota	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	N/A
Ohio: Discipline Counsel's Office	1	1	6	2; 1	1	10	0; 1	0	0	0	21; 2	14% ¹
Oklahoma	1	4	0	4	0	4	0; 1	0	0	0	13; 1	33%
Oregon	1	6	0	1	1	6; 0.5	0	0	0	0	15; 0.5	33%
Pennsylvania	1	1	26	8	0	19	3.5	3	0	0	61.5	0%
Rhode Island	1	1	2	1	0	3; 1	0	0	0	0	8; 1	33.33%
South Carolina	1	1	4	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	12	0% ¹
Tennessee	1	7	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	16	25%
Texas	1	19	1	22	12	35; 2	1	0	4	7	102; 2	33%
Utah	1	4	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	9	31%
Vermont	1	1	0; 0.5	0	0	0; 0.75 & 0.80	0	0	0	0	2; 2	33%
Virginia	1	8	4; 3	10	3	5	0	0	0	0	31; 3	25%
Washington	1	14.5	0	3	6	6	0; 1	0	0	0	30.5; 1	0%
West Virginia	1	2	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	7	
Wisconsin	1	0	3	12; 0.5	1	8; 1	0	0	0	2	27; 1.5	25%
Wyoming	0; 1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1; 1	

¹Ohio: 14% of the members of the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline (4/28) are nonlawyers.