skills and patterns consciously to align with the goals of your communication and ultimately your career advancement.

ADVANCEMENT TIP

Just as it is important to be aware of socialized language rituals learned as children, it is important to be aware of personality preferences that may interfere with effective communication and negatively affect our image.

F. Personality and Communication Styles

Personality preferences influence and inform communication styles. Although personality communication styles appear to be based more on innate factors than childhood learning, they are similar to learned styles in that they are habitual and difficult to perceive. As with all communication styles, there are no absolutely wrong or right ones. They are just different and provide various strengths and challenges depending on the context.

Individuals with the same preferences enjoy communicating with each other due to the similarity. With different preferences, however, misunderstandings can result. Figure 3.3 below set out the common communication characteristics for each preference. You may wish to use them to identify what you prefer and how you communicate. You can also use these characteristics to type others. By knowing another’s type, you will be able to bridge to them using their preference to make them comfortable.12

Figure 3.3: MBTI Type Communication Characteristics

Extraverts (E) — “Let’s talk about it”
- Rapid speech
- Tend to interrupt others due to enthusiasm
- Louder volume
- Appear to think aloud
- Learn and refine ideas through dialogue with others
- Prefer face-to-face conversations over cooler media

Introverts (I) — “Let me get back to you”
- Pause in answering or giving information
- Quieter voice volume
- Speak in shorter sentences

---

12 For information on MBTI personality preferences, see section II.B, The MBTI, in Chapter 1 — Know Yourself: Personal Factors in Advancement.
Respond thoughtfully and carefully
Prefer cooler media such as email, instant messaging or texting

**Sensors** (S) — “Details and facts please”
Ask for step-by-step information or instructions
Ask “what” and “how” questions
Use precise descriptions
Are observant and attend to details

**Intuitive** (N) — “Looking at the big picture”
Ask for the purpose of an action
Look for possibilities
Ask “why” questions
Talk in general, abstract terms

**Thinking** (T) — “Let’s be objective”
May appear to be testing you or your knowledge
Provide honest and frank feedback
Are unimpressed by what others have decided
Are quick to analyze, evaluate and critique
May appear brusque due to objectivity

**Feeling** (F) — “How will this impact others?”
Strive for harmony in the interaction
Often talk about what they value
Enjoy cooperating and collaborating
Concerned how others will be affected
Are quick to affirm and show appreciation

**Judging** (J) — “Let’s move on”
Impatient with overly long descriptions, procedures
The tone is “hurry up — I want to make this decision”
May decide prematurely
Enjoy closure
Dislike rambling or interruptions
Tend to be concise

**Perceiving** (P) — “Let’s explore this more”
Seem to want “space” to make own decisions
Wants more information to consider, more options to explore
Slow to conclude or decide
Enjoy processing and easily sidetracked when speaking
Go with the flow and appreciate interruptions

Although there are no right or wrong styles in communication, miscommunication can arise and incorrect impressions can be formed when there is a mismatch in speaking styles. Figure 3.4 below sets out the various communication strengths and challenges of each preference, together with some tips.

**Figure 3.4: MBTI Type Communication Strengths, Weaknesses and Tips**

**Extraverts (E)** — “Let’s talk about it”
**Strength:** Appear enthusiastic and easily connect with others.
**Challenge:** May intimidate others (especially Introverts) by rapid speech and interruptions.
**Tip:** Do not interpret a lack of facial feedback during a conversation as a lack of engagement. Introverts do not show the same level of external enthusiasm as extraverts.

**Introverts (I)** — “Let me get back to you”
**Strength:** Respond quietly and have a calming presence.
**Challenge:** May have trouble thinking quickly on their feet in response to unanticipated questions.
**Tip:** Make sure that you say what is on your mind — don’t expect others to read your mind. Ask that topics be tabled for comment and discussion after the meeting to allow for your best input.

**Sensors (S)** — “Details and facts please”
**Strength:** Are able to relay a great many facts and details about a topic. Are very practical and realistic.
**Challenge:** Due to their need to know the details of the topic, they may slow down discussions with questions and contributions.
**Tip:** Be aware that your need for useful details may shut down information exchange and creative discussion. Ask if input is wanted now or after the presentation. Be brief when giving content for your questions and comments.

**Intuitives (N)** — “Looking at the big picture”
**Strength:** Are able to see patterns in conversations and information that others miss.

---

**Challenge:** Tend to talk abstractly about topics and may omit details that make their contribution clear. May appear to others to be off topic or obscure.

**Tip:** Recognize that others need to test out or gain insight into your innovative ideas. Be aware that your natural leaps may confuse others — so flesh out the leaps where possible for your audience. Provide content for your leaps and don’t assume others understand.

**Thinking (T) — “Let’s be objective”**

**Strength:** Are easy to follow due to the logical structure of their communication.

**Challenge:** Will tune out when not on work topics or if the talker appears to be rambling, getting personal or becoming emotional.

**Tip:** Recognize the importance of personal connection in conversations and the need for acknowledgment and appreciation by those with a feeling preference. Learn to recognize those at work who enjoy personal connection and affirmation — most likely they have a Feeling preference.

**Feeling (F) — “How will this affect others?”**

**Strength:** Connecting with others and making it safe for them in conversations. Tend to be very diplomatic.

**Challenge:** Will tune out when others talk abstractly or when impact on people is not being considered.

**Tip:** Support your arguments or views with objective information and logical reasoning. Focus not only on the people involved but on the tasks and objectives. Recognize that those with the Thinking preference will compete, debate and challenge others in conversation in order to get information and clarity. Don’t take it personally but understand it is their style.

**Judging (J) — “Let’s move on”**

**Strength:** Like Thinkers, individuals with a Judging preference use a clear structure. They are easy to follow in conversations and are very time-sensitive in their discussions.

**Challenge:** Will tune out when others ramble or are slow to make a point. Their need for structure and closure may restrict others and shut down information flow.

**Tip:** To avoid cutting off discussion, try leaving discussions open that can be left open. Recognize the value in “off-roading” versus always using the superhighway in conversations. Ignore the clock that is usually ticking in your head reminding you of the passage of time.

**Perceiving (P) — “Let’s explore this more”**

**Strength:** Include a lot of information and divergent topics in their conversations that enrich and enhance the discussion. Information, process and exploration is more important than a conclusion.

**Challenge:** This type of conversation style may be hard to follow by others. It also keeps items open too long and avoids conclusions.

**Tip:** Recognize that your exploration style of conversation may sound like meandering to others and you may lose the listeners. Convey a general structure to
them at the beginning and use the communication guide for logical structure and greater clarity.

My clients find it helpful to reflect on how their personal preferences provide communication strengths and challenges in their workplace. Chart 3.1 below is a worksheet to help you with these reflections. If you are basically comfortable in your work communication with others — in emails and memos, during boardroom meetings, at informal events, at client networking and with colleagues in the day-to-day — then you are most likely surrounded by similar types. And this is not unusual in law due to the overwhelming preference for six types on the MBTI.\(^{14}\)

Where there is a disconnect and you find that you are misinterpreting others or your ideas are not getting heard, it would be wise to start to pay attention to other’s communication patterns. You may find that you are surrounded by colleagues who have different preferences than yours.

**Chart 3.1: MBTI: Type and Communication in Your Workplace**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MBTI Function</th>
<th>Strength</th>
<th>Challenge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INTROVERT — internal focus and energized by being alone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTRAVERT — external focus and energized by being with people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTUITIVE — big picture, likes possibilities, future oriented</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SENSOR — detail oriented, likes routines, asks &quot;how to&quot; questions, likes practical application, likes concrete examples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEELER — prefers harmony, uses tact, makes decisions based on personal values, likes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{14}\) For information about the six top MBTI types for lawyers and law students, see section II.C, Lawyer and MBTI Type, in Chapter 1 — Know Yourself: Personal Factors in Advancement.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MBTI Function</th>
<th>Strength</th>
<th>Challenge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>appreciation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THINKER — prefers truth, seen as objective and logical, likes achievement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUDGER — works first, plays later, likes to set goals and deadlines, makes “to do” lists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERCEIVER — prefers leaving options open, likes to keep perceiving new information, loses “to do” lists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IV. CONFLICT COMMUNICATION**

Lawyers and legal professionals deal with high-stakes legal conversations all the time. That’s what clients pay lawyers to do — and they do it well. However, handling sensitive or emotionally charged conversations, such as giving a critical performance evaluation, letting someone go, discussing why work was not done or was done poorly and why significant firm changes need to be made that negatively affect an individual lawyer, involves a different set of skills. Maintaining good working relations while dealing with difficult situations, difficult people and difficult conversations is a core leadership competency for everyone.

Lawyers may be at a particular disadvantage in this area of conflict management for the following reasons. First, legal training promotes advocacy and with it the belief that someone always wins and someone always loses. A collateral of this is that the most cogent and logical argument wins. However, in difficult conversations, hearing the other side’s view is as important, if not more important, than asserting your own. Second, due to professional training and personality preferences, lawyers are typically comfortable with confrontation on client files, but tend to avoid interpersonal conflict. This means that disagreements with colleagues or staff can fester and are not resolved easily or in a timely fashion. And assuming that conflict will simply go away or that someone else will deal with it is fallacious.

Finally, unlike leaders in the corporate world, lawyers are not taught conflict management skills in law school. Indeed, until very recently, leadership skills for lawyers were seldom even mentioned. Good conflict
management skills not only allow for constructive resolution of disputes, but also provide the possibility to transform conflict situations into opportunities.

A. Tools and Techniques for Conflict Communications

Often in conflict communications, judgments and accusations run rampant and the conversation shuts down. To excel in these types of communication, it is important to allow the other person to feel safe and for information to flow. To assist you with this, this section sets out some valuable tools and techniques, including learning how you tend to respond to stressful situations, getting clear on your purpose, being aware of the different levels of conversation in conflict communications, and recognizing the perspective of others. Having a conflict communication process keeps you on track during the conversation and allows you to prepare effectively for the encounter.

1. Know Your Style under Stress

Often when people are under stress or feel unsafe, they react in two distinct ways. They either avoid dealing with the difficult subject or try to overpower the other person using verbal strategies. This is also known as flight or fight. These two styles are typically used without awareness; quite literally, when things become stressful you don’t know what you are saying or how you sound. Lawyers tend to employ competitive strategies on files and avoidance in their interpersonal conflicts, and neither of these reactions allows information to flow in a constructive way. By becoming more aware of the two styles and the different forms each may take during a tough talk, you can discover which style you prefer. Through awareness you can stop using them habitually and start using them selectively and appropriately.

2. Know Your Purpose

One of the most important things in starting a “high-risk” discussion is to begin with the right motive and to stay focused no matter what happens. When you find yourself moving towards avoidance or overpowering reactions, ask yourself: “What does my behaviour tell me about my motives?” Sometimes it is all about being right or being in control. Once you are clear on your motive, clarify what you really want by asking: “What do I really want for myself? For others? For the relationship? This will help you sort out how you would behave if these goals were your true aim.

3. Recognize the Three Conversations
In every difficult conversation there are three levels of concurrent conversation: facts, feelings and identity. Based on body parts that primarily deal with each of these levels, I call them the Head, Heart and Gut. Due to personality preferences shown by most lawyers and the nature of the practice of law, most lawyers would prefer to focus almost exclusively on the Head level of the conversation, that is, the facts surrounding the event or the content of the message that needs to be conveyed. This type of focus allows for logical and rational analysis of the situation in order to solve the problem. Indeed, so prevalent and exclusive is this focus that seldom is there any awareness of the other two levels, until emotions rage and surge into the conversation. Interestingly, when the less obvious levels of Heart and Gut are recognized and acknowledged, the Head level situation is more easily resolved. Figure 3.5 below sets out some key questions and statements for quickly identifying the different levels.

**Figure 3.5: Identifying the Three Levels in Conversations**

**THE HEAD**
- What happened?
- What are the facts?
- What did you do?
- How did you do it?
- What process did you follow?
- How do you know?

**THE HEART**
- What are my feelings? Are they valid?
- Should I raise them?
- How will the other person react?
- What do I do about their feelings?
- What if they are angry or hurt?

**THE GUT (CORE IDENTITY)**
- What does this situation tell me about me?
- Is it consistent with my identity?
- Am I competent? Incompetent?
- Am I reasonable or not?
- Am I good or bad?

---

Am I loveable or not? Fair or not?

TRY IT OUT

Want to become more aware of the three levels of conversation? Think of a difficult or tough conversation that you have had or will have with someone where the stakes are high and the relationship very important. Go through the three levels, in any order, and reflect on the three different levels for you and for the other person as well.

The Head
Your View of the Situation Theirs (perhaps)

The Heart
Your Feelings Theirs (perhaps)

The Gut
Your Identity Theirs (perhaps)

Also consider:
• What do I (or did I) hope to accomplish with the conversation? What don’t I (or didn’t I) want to happen?
• Is (or was) a discussion the best way to handle the situation? Yes or No?
• What alternatives are there to having the Conflict Communication?

4. Recognize the Other Person’s Perspective

In addition to recognizing and understanding the different levels of conversation set out above, a key aspect of conflict communication is being able to hear the other person’s perspective. Typically, where there is a disagreement, people decide what the situation is about and never budge from that conclusion. It becomes the Truth. Indeed, it is human nature to only seek evidence for our rightness and ignore any facts or evidence that contradicts our conclusion. This is especially true where the lower two levels of conversation are affected — either our emotions, our identity or both. Thus, the other person has to be wrong. As suggested by Sheryl Sandburg in Lean In, effective communication begins with the understanding that there are two points of view — our own view and the other person’s view. Rarely is any view the whole view or truth, so starting with your view as the truth in a conflict communication shuts down the other. A conflict is rarely solved without some sharing or understanding of the other’s perspective, nor can communication be effective. Below are some tools and techniques for encouraging and learning about the other person’s perspective.

(a) Don’t Start with Your Perspective or Opinion

Often in conflict the tendency is to start a dialogue with the other person by stating personal judgments or opinions of what has happened. And these judgments and opinions are viewed to be the “truth” of the situation rather than what they really are – personal conclusions about what happened and why. So instead, try describing the situation through the eyes of an objective third party. Thus, for example, “you never listen to my instructions” becomes “I asked you yesterday, while I was out, to file the materials for the Jones closing as that file needs to be closed out today and it is not yet done. I also asked that the letter that is still on my desk be sent out. Help me to understand why these things weren’t done.” The first approach would most likely result in defensive behaviour and explanations for the tardiness. The second approach opens the way for discussion and elicitation of information you might not have — such as other important matters your assistant had to deal with during your absence.

(b) Use Powerful Listening Skills

In law and business, it is often thought that speaking is power, due to the ability to control the flow of information in contrast to opening up the flow. As a consequence, listening is one of the most underrated skills in communication. Listening allows you to fully understand the other’s perspective and to gain important information for crafting elegant options for resolving the conflict. To keep the conversation flowing, use listening skills to allow the other person to open up. Move from certainty to curiosity. Avoid being judgmental and critical. Listen empathetically, even if you don’t agree. What perceptions and information does the other person have that you don’t? Ask others for their views and mean it. Acknowledge the feelings of others as they express them. Draw the other person out with questions. Use checks not only for clarifying the facts and your understanding of them, but also for feelings. Figure 3.6 below sets out five basic ways to enhance listening actively and fully.  

Figure 3.6: Five Listening Checks

1. OBTAIN

Purpose: You want facts. You want to explore further or discuss more fully.

Method: Ask using a what, how, when question. You are trying to get the facts clearly and correctly.

Examples:
1. Is this a problem for you?
2. What did you say then?
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3. So you responded by …

2. **CLARIFY**

**Purpose:** To check your listening accuracy. To let the other person know you grasp the facts.

**Method:** *Paraphrase what you have heard. Restate the person’s basic ideas.*

**Examples:**
1. As I understand it, the problem is … Am I hearing you correctly?
2. What I think you said was …
3. To clarify, you then said …
4. What I understand you to say by that is … is that right?
5. Have I got this right?

3. **ACKNOWLEDGE**

**Purpose:** You want to let the other person know you are listening and interested. This acknowledgment is non-committal.

**Method:** *Don’t agree or disagree. Use non-committal words with a positive tone of voice. Note that acknowledgment may be taken as agreement by others, particularly men, so be careful how you acknowledge your interest and listening.*

**Examples:**
1. I see.
2. Uh-huh.
3. Tell me more.
4. I get the idea …

4. **EMPATHIZE**

**Purpose:** To show that you are listening and that you empathize. Your recognition and acknowledgment of another’s feelings will help reduce anxiety, anger or other negative feelings.

**Method:** *Reflect the other person’s feelings. Paraphrase in your own words what the person has said. Note that for Thinkers on the MBTI, this skill might initially feel unnatural as emotions tend to be avoided. With practice it will become more comfortable. This level needs to be recognized and acknowledged, especially in conflict communications.*

**Examples:**
1. You feel that you didn’t get the proper treatment.
2. It was unjust as you perceive it.
3. It’s annoying to have this happen to you.
4. It seems to me you don’t feel this position is reasonable.
5. I sense that you like doing this job but are uneasy about making mistakes.

5. **SUMMARIZE**
**Purpose:** To focus the discussion and move to a new level of discussion. To pull important ideas or facts together. To review progress.

**Method:** Restate, reflect and summarize major ideas and feelings.

**Examples:**
1. These are the key elements of the problem …
2. Let’s see now, we’ve examined these four factors …
3. These seem to be the key ideas you expressed …
4. To summarize — the main points are …

(c) **Listen with Your Eyes**

One of the reasons listening is so hard is that there is a greater neural capacity to process words than the rate of human speech. Most of us speak at a rate of 125 to 160 words per minute, but the cognitive processing capacity for understanding speech is around 400 words per minute. The consequence? When listening to the average speaker we typically use less than 50% of our processing capacity. So, our minds naturally wander.

Monitoring non-verbal behaviour allows for more of this excess capacity to be used and provides us with important information. Watch for big shifts in the speaker’s posture or position, or for smaller movements that may indicate nervousness. Watch for behaviour that contradicts what the person is saying. Recently I watched two separate televised interviews where the persons speaking shook their heads side-to-side, clearly indicating disagreement with their words. Important meaning can be obtained and the truth revealed when you also listen with your eyes.

Similarly, demonstrate attentive non-verbal behaviour as you listen. To appear receptive and curious, open your posture, lean toward the speaker and relax. Busy professionals sometimes try to multi-task as they listen. If this is a habit, try not to read emails or check the time. Also monitor your own non-verbal behaviour to help ensure that you are not becoming defensive or dominant in the exchange. One of the key aspects of conflict communication is keeping it safe for the other person so that he or she doesn’t close down. This is of particular importance when dealing with staff and assistants.

(d) **Agree Where You Can**

Even when there is agreement generally, people focus on the small item of disagreement. They simply have not explored the issue deeply enough to discover their agreement or they obsess over the small differences. The Zen Buddhists call this the “cow’s tail”. Having gotten the cow into the shed, people tend to focus and obsess about the tail that is not fully in the shed. Recognize and acknowledge that the cow is in the shed, then think about ways of getting the short, small tail in. It is helpful to reduce the need to be right and instead look for points of agreement. Instead of saying “That was
wrong, you forgot to say ...” you might say “Absolutely. In addition I noticed that ...”. Acknowledge where you see the problem or situation differently from the other person, as opposed to seeing them as wrong and yourself as right. Phrases that express this approach are set out below in section IV.A.7, Being Agreeable While Disagreeing.

(e) Avoid the Two Choices Bind

Refuse to see yourself as having only two choices in each situation — such as winning versus losing, candid versus respectful and kind versus honest. They present simplistic trade-offs that prevent creative thinking and justify black and white thinking. Rather, ask yourself what you really want as well as what you don’t want. Then ask yourself: How do I get both? Is there a way to tell my peer my real concerns and not insult or offend him? Is there a way to talk to my assistant about his underperformance and motivate him to do better? How do I speak up and still maintain respect? At the heart of using “how do I get both” is having a tough talk that solves problems while at the same time building relationships.

(f) Stay Calm

Conflict communication can create and foster strong negative emotions, especially where the stakes are high and the conversation involves identity — what you believe about yourself and what others might think about you. Most lawyers, with a preference for the Thinking function on the MBTI, are not aware of their emotions until they become overwhelming and may derail the conversation.²⁸

ADVANCEMENT TIP

The most important features of successful conflict communication are ensuring that you don’t fall back into a habitual style under stress — silence or aggressive pushback — and keeping it safe for the other person.

5. The Conflict Communication Process

It is never easy to deal with those tough conversations where the stakes are high and the views conflicting — important conversations with the people you work with, where you know you are absolutely right and the other person is absolutely wrong. By using and mastering the skills of conflict communication and developing the attitudes suggested in this section, such conversations will become less difficult and the opportunities more obvious. The process will allow you to better understand the conflict from both sides,

²⁸ For information on dealing with strong emotions, see section IV.D, Dealing with Strong Emotions, in Chapter 10 — Resilience: Bounce Back to Advance.
recognize your possible contribution to the conflict and come to a satisfactory resolution. Putting it all together may seem daunting at times, but having a process to follow will make it easier. The process preparation sheets and guides below will help you.

6. **Description of the Process**

The various stages in the conflict communication process are described below in chronological order. Using these stages in the order provided will allow the conversation to continue and the dialogue to stay open. Often in conflict, where judgments are rampant and accusations numerous, the conversation stops and the parties shut down. Through the use of the tools and techniques set out above, combined with these stages, you will have the greatest opportunity to communicate and come to a resolution, or at least a better understanding of the conflict — from both sides.

1. **Make your opening objective.** Start the conversation with the facts. Avoid the why and focus on what happened. Describe it as a third party watching the situation would describe it. This will allow you to be more objective and prevent shutting down the conversation before it starts due to opinions and judgments. Appearing superior or right in the situation is the default approach of many people and it is not the attitude that helps resolve disputes. Rather, be curious and respectful.

2. **Introduce your view.** This is the stage where you start to include your point of view and conclusions. Continue to be honest and respectful while being observant. Try not to make your view or conclusions sound like they are written in stone and absolutely correct. Instead, talk tentatively, keeping the purpose of the conversation in sight. You may introduce your view and conclusions by saying, “it makes me wonder if … I am thinking that … It makes me feel … etc.” Make it clear that it is you who is thinking or wondering or feeling and that it is not the absolute truth of the situation. You will want to ensure that the other person knows that you are open to changing your view once you are aware of all the facts and circumstances.

3. **Invite their view.** Showing that you are open to hearing from the other side allows you to naturally invite them to tell you their view of the circumstances — their story of the situation. You might do this by asking: “What’s going on? I’d really like to hear your view on this. How are you seeing things?” Know that there will be information you don’t currently...

---

have — information that may totally change your view of the situation. Use the listening techniques set out above to listen carefully and fully.

4. **Collaborate.** Open up to tell them your needs and interests — what was important to you in the situation? What were you hoping for, what happened that upset you and why? Perhaps this is the reason that the conflict arose and created the problem. Find out their needs and interests. Generate solutions that fit both of your needs. This conversation can be initiated with prompts such as: “What is important to you? What would you like to see happen? Help me to understand …”.

The following are optional steps that may be used in a formal work setting:

5. **Document the agreement.** You may wish to document the resolution in detail, including who will do what based on this resolution, what will be done, when will it be done and finally how will it be done.

6. **Decide on follow-up actions.** The resolution arrived at may also involve follow-up actions, and the details of these actions might include who will be involved, what they will do to follow up, when this will take place and what the consequences will be depending upon what has occurred. Note that this type of follow-up is more common in corporate settings with formal reporting structures as opposed to law firm settings.

To assist you in working through a conflict communication, the worksheet below shows the various stages, as well as point-form reminders for each.

**Chart 3.2: Conflict Communication Process Worksheet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stages/Notes</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>The Objective Opening</strong></td>
<td>The facts —“what” not “why”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <strong>My View</strong></td>
<td>Your point of view, including both facts and your conclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• be honest but respectful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• talk tentatively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• soften the message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• invite opposing views</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• keep sight of your goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• be observant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <strong>Their View</strong></td>
<td>Invite them to share and be curious:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Help me to understand what's going on.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;I'd really like to hear your opinion on this.&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

20 Developed in part by the partnership of Fromm & Goodhand.
7. **Being Agreeable While Disagreeing**

Another key skill in conflict communications is being able to be agreeable while disagreeing. This can be difficult for anyone, but is particularly challenging for the majority of lawyers who are Thinkers on the MBTI. They have a confidence in their views and opinions that is notable. Where there is a disagreement, often their response is to automatically assume that someone else is wrong. While this is often a great strength in the legal world, it can be a significant weakness in conflict communication.

Being able to disagree while being agreeable allows relationships to remain intact — especially in interpersonal conflicts in the day-to-day with colleagues, clients and staff. This approach allows you to be political and help others save face.\(^2^1\) It also aligns with the suggestion above about agreeing where you can. Below are some suggested phrases:

- *I agree with what you are saying generally but my view differs on how we should proceed to tell the client about it.*
- *Help me to understand how you came to the solution you are proposing. I am unable to see why the third suggestion is included.*
- *We are willing to make many of the changes you have asked for in this contract. However, there are a few changes we cannot agree to.*
- *That makes perfect sense for the most part. It is this point on the second page that is not clear to me.*
- *We agree on so much. Let’s agree to disagree on that point.*

---

Something you said earlier is closer to the way I am viewing the situation.

Do you mind if I ask a few questions about this plan so that I can understand more fully your approach?

We agree on 95 per cent of this and it’s only that remaining 5 per cent that we need to work out.

I understand what you mean and I agree generally. However, I have one recommendation.

8. Dealing with Competitive Verbal Tactics

Depending upon the situation, effective conflict communication does not always require appreciating the other side’s viewpoint. Where the purpose of the comments, statements or questions is to provoke you, diminish your confidence, create negative and unflattering perceptions of you, and/or lessen your credibility or legitimacy, you need to set firm boundaries and let the other side know you understand what they are doing. You also need to be able to respond to these detractors in the moment, on your feet. Although very junior lawyers are most often the subject of these tactics from external lawyers, anyone is vulnerable to them. Tactics can range from mild teasing or bantering in the boardroom to flat-out personal attacks on your credibility, ideas or opinions. They are especially common during heated, hardball negotiations. Below are some examples of banter and personal attacks to help clarify and distinguish them.

Figure 3.7: Competitive Tactics

BOARDROOM BANTER

Examples:

- You are definitely setting a record for holiday taking — is this your third or fourth holiday this year? (to suggest you are a laggard or don’t care about the work)
- Way to go! You are becoming queen (or king) of the due diligence room. (to suggest that lowly due diligence is all you are doing)
- How do you manage to settle so many of your cases? You are becoming the queen (or king) of settlements. (to suggest that you as a litigator are not keen on doing a trial and would rather settle each time)
- You seriously have not closed that little deal yet? That must make the client of yours really happy.

CHALLENGE COMPETENCE OR EXPERTISE

Examples:

- Given your relative inexperience in this role...
• When you have as much experience as I have …
• Where did you go to law school? When did you graduate?23

DEMEAN IDEAS24

Examples:
• Seriously, that is your solution?
• You’re obviously not seeing the point.
• You can’t be serious about that.
• That’s really half-baked.

CRITICIZE STYLE25

Examples:
• That is irrational.
• You’re being overly sensitive on this matter.
• Perhaps you need time to get some perspective.
• Calm down.

It is important to understand that such comments and questions are not to be taken at face value — they are tactics. Thus they are not accurate comments or genuine questions but rather are ways to establish power — to determine what ideas are heard, how they are heard, and whether they are credited. Start observing how parties who use these tactics manage impressions, claim and maintain legitimacy, assert power and influence, and shape perceptions. Identifying the challenges and fending them off with well-timed and appropriate responses are essential skills in conflict management and negotiation.

It is important politically to be able to discern friendly banter, which males typically engage in more than women, from true power moves that are made to impugn your credibility and decrease your influence. If this type of interaction is common in your group and no one is immune from being the object of such comments, then this might more correctly be interpreted as banter. In some groups and environments, being able to respond to banter is a sign that you are part of the group. Note that as a woman it may feel uncomfortable and perhaps even hostile. From a masculine perspective, males only banter with those who are worthy. If you determine that it is just banter and everyone is subject to it and appears to enjoy it, you may decide to just

23 Several clients of mine mentioned that during the early part of their career this tactic was used on them by older male litigators, with the questions typically being asked just before the judge arrived in the courtroom.
25 Ibid.
go along with it. If you are unsure, ask a trusted colleague in the group for advice.

Clarification of the comment or question will also allow you to better judge the intent — especially with external lawyers you don’t know. You could use the listening checks set out above in section IV.A.4.b, Use Powerful Listening Skills, especially the Obtain and Clarify checks. Or you might want to use the last word of the question or comment, such as “unfair?”, “unreasonable?” or “emotional?” This forces the other side to explain the comment more fully and allows you to determine their intent more readily. It also allows you to show your goodwill and appear reasonable in the face of their less-than-generous comments. If you determine that it is only banter and are tempted to reciprocate, be careful if you are not used to using it, as you might easily slip past banter and into personal attack.

If in your work environment you are typically the primary recipient and the comments are such as to diminish your image and make you look subordinate to the group, then it falls more on the side of power moves. How you deal with it will depend on the person making the comments, the situation in which they are made, and the impact of the tactic. Again, if unsure, ask for advice from a trusted colleague.

Being on the receiving end of a verbal tactic can be uncomfortable and unsettling. How do you respond? If you ignore it, you remain at a disadvantage. If you confront, you risk escalating the situation. The objective in responding is to change the power dynamics.

A very effective, subtle and sophisticated counter tactic used by a senior female litigator when she first started out in practice demonstrates this nicely. While in court, a senior male litigator on the other side kept commenting on her dress and her shoes in a very focused and unpleasant way. She knew that it was a competitive tactic and meant to throw her off her game. Rather than address it overtly, she waited until the end of the day when the hearing concluded. When he came over to shake her hand she turned it over and grasped it with both of hers while thanking him profusely for being so kind to her throughout the process and being such a great role model for her and all young women. He immediately began to feel uncomfortable and tried to pull his hand away. She continued praising and thanking him for his consideration in a very earnest way. She eventually let his hand go. By doing this she called his competitive game in a very appropriate way and he never bothered her again. Since then she tells as many junior female lawyers as she can about this version of “tit-for-tat”.

Appropriate comebacks can help you regain credibility and get the discussion or negotiation back on track by allowing the other party to come forward in a less aggressive, more productive way. Some of the political aspects that need to be considered are: whether to confront the comment or tactic head-on instead of waiting; building up alliances so that when you tackle the issue you do so with great support; recognizing and assessing the
information gleaned from the context and content of the comment (the meta-message); and/or how best to deal with the situation to improve and enhance your reputation and image. Recognize that dealing with such challenges in the moment is difficult. Planning in advance is key — think about how others are likely to respond. If you are responding to an external lawyer, there are fewer political aspects to consider. If it is someone at work, it takes much more thought. Consider the full range of motives for the comments. Perhaps dealing with it in private is best. Form alliances and ask trusted colleagues for advice. If you do decide to deal with it in the moment or otherwise, the following are some suggested ways to proceed.  

Chart 3.10: Counter Tactics

26 For more suggestions on dealing with competitive tactics, see Deborah Kolb, “Staying in the Game or Changing It: An Analysis of Moves and Turns in Negotiation” (April 2004) 20:2 Negotiation Journal 253.

27 Counter tactics are similar to those used in dealing with competitive tactics in negotiation.

Take a break to buy time
Similar to dealing with strong emotions, taking a break allows you to distance yourself from the situation and determine how best to proceed. A break can be as simple as a long pause following the inappropriate comment or question, allowing the speaker to focus on what they just said. Or the statement “Let me think about that” or “Let’s get a coffee”. Longer breaks may mean reconvening the meeting at another time. This is the easiest counter tactic to employ and is perfect for Introverts who characteristically think of great responses after the conversation or meeting is over.

Ignore it and refocus
Much like avoidance in negotiation, this response allows you to avoid the competitive comment and refocus the discussion to important points or different topics. Examples of phrases include: “Let’s move away from that avenue and consider other options”, “Let’s get back to talking about the grant of the requested extension”, “You had mentioned some concerns with clause 86, what are they specifically?”, “A more productive approach might include …”, “Do you have any ideas?”

Call it
Let the other side know that you recognize the tactic. By naming it you defuse its power and show the user, and any bystanders, that it is not working. You might say: “No need to make this personal”, “You’re questioning my credibility”, “You’re demeaning my idea”, “You’re undermining my authority”, “We are spending valuable time on personal attacks and it is not productive.”

Correct it
This is the most powerful response you can make. With it you defuse the tactic and also show your knowledge and expertise. “These are not my settlement figures, these are industry standards”, “These figures were provided by your client”, “What I am asking for is not unreasonable — it is totally in line with the evalua-
tions”, “Not only am I familiar with this type of transaction — typically I am involved in larger ones.”

**Engage in tit-for-tat**

If the other side will not stop being competitive, adopt the same strategy. This definitely shows the other person that you recognize what he or she is doing and are willing to respond in kind. For example, “I have proof that you spread a false rumour about me. If you don’t rectify the situation I will rectify the situation in a way that you will not like.”

**Reframe**

This is a common technique used in mediation whereby the mediator connects common interests or approaches of both parties through reframing the discussion, comment or question. It takes a high level of skill, but when successful it allows the parties to see that they have common goals, and that attacking each other will not get them there. Examples of phrases include: “We are both concerned that this issue gets resolved in a constructive and timely way”, “We will both look good if this report is comprehensive and includes items from both of our lists”, “These ideas may seem crackpot initially but we both agreed that we needed to be creative to solve this dilemma.”

**Build an Alliance**

This is work that is done in advance and away from the interaction. This solution inoculates others from changing their perception of you. As a result the focus is shifted to the person making the comment or asking the competitive questions. One way to start building an alliance is to ask for advice from trusted colleagues. If they too have noticed the competitive tactics and do not agree with their use, you are already on your way to defusing their impact on you. Using this counter tactic allows you to ignore the tactics and perhaps even have someone else deal with the competitive player for you.

**V. YOUR SKILLS DEVELOPMENT PLAN — COMMUNICATION**

As with all skills development plans, it is important to understand what you bring to the table and at which level you are currently operating. Figure 3.9 below provides a guide to the various skill levels. Once you have assessed your level and know where you are, determine where you want to be. Go one level up. Use this information to fill in the skills development plan found in Chapter 2 (See Figure 2.5). Think about the resources you will need to go to the next skill level. Read section V.A, Tools in Skills Development, found in Chapter 2. Fill in your plan and then work consistently with your plan. Work on it at least weekly in order to achieve the level of skill you want. Often when people complete the analysis they feel that they are done. Be aware of that trap and find ways to keep at it. It will be worth the effort!

**Figure 3.9: Skill Levels in Communication**

**Dimensions:** Confident Communication Styles, Tentative Language Habits, Clear Communication, Personality Preferences and Communication, The