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Overview

- Established by law in 1832 as a separate department of the Library of Congress
- Largest law library in the world
  - Over 2.84 million volumes that include U.S. state and Federal materials, foreign codes, constitutions, official gazettes, law reports, treatises, serials, and laws from all historical periods
  - Covering some 267 existing nations and jurisdictions
  - Working reference collection of approximately 30,000 volumes in the public reading room
- Global Legal Research Center
  - Staffed by foreign law experts whom help to provide reference assistance for public correspondents and build the Law Library’s foreign law collections
  - May be reached by contacting the Law Library’s reference desk, at 202-707-5080, or through the “Ask a Librarian” service
Global Legal Research Center

Foreign Law Specialists,
Principal Jurisdictions

- Argentina
- Brazil
- Canada
- China
- Eritrea
- European Union
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- India, Pakistan
- Israel
- Japan
- Lebanon
- Mexico
- New Zealand, Australia
- Nicaragua
- Russian Federation
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Augmented by Contract Foreign Law Specialists and Senior Legal Research Analysts
The Law Library of Congress

Homepage: www.law.gov

Key Online Resources

• Guide to Law Online: www.loc.gov/law/help/guide
• Current Legal Topics: www.loc.gov/law/help/current-topics.php
• Global Legal Monitor: www.loc.gov/lawweb/servlet/lloc_news
• THOMAS: www.thomas.gov
• Congress.gov: http://beta.congress.gov
www.law.gov

U.S. Legislative Information
Congress.gov
A mobile-friendly, faceted search for U.S. legislative information.
Visit beta.congress.gov or THOMAS.gov

Congressional Record App
The official record of the proceedings and debates of the U.S. Congress.
Get the app for iPhone or iPad

Law Library Hours
Public Hours
M-F, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.
Sat., 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Location
101 Independence Ave. S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20540
James Madison Building (Room 201)

Phone
202-707-5079

Guide to Law Online
Online sources of information on government & law by region, country or U.S. state. Read more
International | Nations | U.S. Federal | U.S. States & Territories

Legal Topics
Legal commentary and recommended resources from around the world.
Campaign Finance
Children’s Rights
Crimes Against Humanity
Education
Foreign Aid Regulations
Piracy
Repatriation of Human Remains
Sex Selection & Abortion

Global Legal Monitor

Ask a Librarian
Ask us for help with reference questions and challenging legal or legislative research.

Training for 2013
Thursday, April 18, 2013
Orientation to Congress.gov
Webinar
Contact: (202) 707-9801
Learn more
Sign up
Guide to Law Online


- Legal Portal of over 9,000 links
  - Annotated compilation of Internet links
  - Organized by jurisdiction and topics
  - Multinational, international, U.S., and state materials
  - Full text of laws, regulations, and cases
  - Legal commentary
The Guide to Law Online, prepared by the Law Library of Congress Public Services Division, is an annotated guide to sources of information on government and law available online. It includes selected links to useful and reliable sites for legal information.

Select a Link:

- International and Multinational
- Nations of the World
- U.S. Federal - includes U.S. Code and Constitution links
- U.S. States and Territories
- Guide Index

The Guide to Law Online is an annotated compendium of Internet links; a portal of Internet sources of interest to legal researchers. Although the Guide is selective, inclusion of a site by no means constitutes endorsement by the Law Library of Congress.

In compiling this list, emphasis wherever possible has been on sites offering the full texts of laws, regulations, and court decisions, along with commentary from lawyers writing primarily for other lawyers. Materials related to law and government that were written by or for lay persons also have been included, as have government sites that provide even quite general information about themselves or their agencies.

Every direct source listed here was successfully tested before being added to the list. Users, however, should be aware that changes of Internet addresses and file names are frequent, and even sites that usually function well do not always do so. Thus a successful connection may sometimes require several attempts. If such an attempt to access a file indicates an error, the information can sometimes still be accessed by truncating the URL address to access a directory at the site.
AFGHANISTAN
ALBANIA
ALGERIA
American Samoa (territory of the United States)
ANDORRA
ANGOLA
Anquilla (overseas territory of the United Kingdom)
Antarctica (regional/special sovereignty)
ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA
ARGENTINA
ARMENIA
AUSTRALIA
AUSTRIA
AZERBAIJAN
Egypt
Arab Republic of Egypt / Jumhuriyat Mısır al-Arabiya

Research & Reports | Congressional Reports | Guide to Law Online | How Do I Find...? | Current Legal Topics | Guides to Our Collections

International | Nations | U.S. Federal | U.S. States & Territories | About the Guide | Guide Index

Constitution
- Constitution (Arab Republic of Egypt) in English
- Constitution (ConstitutionNet) in Arabic
- International Constitutional Law: Egypt (Universität Bern Institut für Öffentliches Recht) background information only
- Constitution Finder (University of Richmond T.C. Williams School of Law) in Arabic and English
Legal Research Guide: Egypt - Court System (Law Library of Congress) describes the three-tiered judicial branch: Courts of the First Degree (Mahkmat El Daragah El Aouliah), the Appellate Court (Mahkmat El Est'anal), and the Court of Cassation (Mahkmat El Naqdi).

Legislative

- OFFICIAL GAZETTE: Egyptian Official Gazette (Al Gareedah Al Rasmeyah)
- PARLIAMENT: People's Assembly (Majlis al-Sha'b) and Shura Council (Majlis al-Shura)
- Egyptian Legislative and Jurisprudence Database (Egypt. Ministry of Justice)
- NATLEX: Egypt (International Labour Organization) database of national laws on labor, social security and related human rights.

Legal Guides

- Legal Research Guide: Egypt (Law Library of Congress)
- Global Legal Monitor: Egypt (Law Library of Congress)
- Global Legal Information Catalog: Egypt (Law Library of Congress) provides bibliographic information on materials in our reference collection
- Current Legal Topics (Law Library of Congress)
  - Habeas Corpus Rights: Egypt, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Syria, and Yemen
  - Egypt: Pending Charges Against Former President Mubarak and Potential Legal Ramifications
- In Custodia Legis (Law Library of Congress)
  - Egypt's Constitutional Referendum
  - The Legal Ramifications of the Current Political Crisis in Egypt
- Multinational Reference (Law Library of Congress)
District of Columbia

Executive
- District of Columbia Municipal Regulations and Register
- District of Columbia Register Table of Contents, 1998-2003 (B. Flowers)
  - Table of Contents v. 45, no. 27-v. 50, no. 6 (July 3, 1998-February 3, 2003)
  - DC Register Index - Table of Contents Vol. 45-48 (1995-2001)
- District of Columbia Mayor
- District of Columbia Council
- District of Columbia Agencies
- District of Columbia Board of Elections and Ethics
- District of Columbia Office of the Attorney General (formerly the Office of Corporation Council)
- Decisions of the Mayor's Agent for Historic Preservation of the District of Columbia (Georgetown University Law Library)

Judicial
- District of Columbia Court of Appeals August 1998-
- District of Columbia Superior Court
- U.S. District Court, District of Columbia
  - Opinions 1996-
Legal Guides

❯ Cornell Legal Information Institute: District of Columbia
❯ DC Historic Preservation Law Project *(Georgetown University Law Library)*
❯ District of Columbia Bar
  ❯ Legal Ethics Committee Opinions
  ❯ Rules of Professional Conduct
❯ FindLaw: District of Columbia
❯ Forms: FindLaw, U.S. Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit, U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia
❯ Georgetown University Law Library: District of Columbia
❯ How a Bill Becomes Law *(DC City Council)*
❯ Law Librarians’ Society of Washington, DC
❯ Law Schools:
  ❯ American University Washington College of Law - Library and Catalog
  ❯ Catholic University of America Columbo School of Law - Library and Catalog
  ❯ George Washington University Law School - Library and Catalog
  ❯ Georgetown University Law Center - Library and Catalog
  ❯ Howard University School of Law - Library and Catalog
  ❯ University of the District of Columbia School of Law - Library and Catalog
❯ Legal Research:
  ❯ District of Columbia Research Guide *(Georgetown University Law Library)*
  ❯ Legal Materials Bibliography from the Washingtoniana Division *(PDF)* *(Matthew Gilmore, via LLSDC)*
❯ Legalese *(DC Council Office of the General Counsel)* newsletter; Vol. 1, no. 1 (September 1995-)
❯ LLSDC Legislative Source Book: District of Columbia
❯ Regulatory Reform in the District of Columbia: A Proposed Revision of Administrative Rulemaking Reform *(Brian K. Flowers)*
❯ Voluntary Bar Associations *(District of Columbia Bar)*
Current Legal Topics
http://www.loc.gov/law/help/current-topics.php

- International issues
- Legal commentary
- Historical events
- Commemorative observations
- Bibliographic resources

Global Legal Monitor
http://www.loc.gov/lawweb/servlet/lloc_news?home

- Worldwide Legal News
- Frequently updated
- May be searched by topic, country, keyword, author, date, etc.
- Persistent URLs
Current Legal Topics

The guides listed below provide legal commentary and recommended resources on issues and events with legal significance.

- Abraham Lincoln
- Antigua and Barbuda
- Australia
- Bioethics
- Campaign Finance
- Children's Rights
- Commemorative Observations
- Crimes Against Humanity
- Cuba
- Cyprus
- Indonesia
- Iraq
- Israel
- Japan
- John Adams
- Lebanon
- New Zealand
- Nigeria
- Norway
- Pakistan
Executive Summary

This report discusses the background of the deferment of military service that has been enjoyed by an increasing number of individuals in the Haredi (ultra-Orthodox) population since the establishment of the State of Israel. It provides an analysis of the different arrangements and legislative attempts to regulate the issue, culminating with the recent February 2012 decision by Israel's Supreme Court that found the 2002 Tal Law unconstitutional. The report summarizes the Court's arguments and directions for a law that would comply with constitutional law requirements for proportionality.

Historical Background

The military draft deferment enjoyed by members of the ultra-Orthodox Haredi community in Israel has been a controversial issue throughout the history of the State of Israel. Adopted by David Ben-Gurion, Israel's first minister of defense, the draft deferment was the subject of numerous debates; a 1988 report by the State Comptroller, Israel Defense Forces (IDF), ministerial, and parliamentary committee hearings; and numerous decisions by Israel's Supreme Court.

The draft deferment has traditionally been extended to yeshiva[1] students who pledge that their sole occupation is the study of the Torah.[2] As such, the deferment constitutes an exception to the compulsory military draft that is generally imposed on all Israeli nationals and permanent residents who have not reached military retirement age.[3]

The number of eligible deferrals issued under this arrangement has dramatically increased over the years from under 400 a year until 1970,[4] to 800 in 1975,[5] 17,017 in 1987,[6] 26,262 in 1995,[7] 28,772 in 1997,[8] and 61,000 by 2010.[9] The percentage of those enjoying deferments as compared with the total number of persons who were drafted has similarly increased at a steady pace, from 5.4% in 1987 to 6.4% in 1995, 7.4% in 1996, 8% in 1997,[10] and 14% in 2007.[11]

The Tal Law Has Two Inherent Flaws

Minimum Age for Selecting Alternatives to Military Service

Beinish determined that the Tal Law's grant of an automatic deferment of four years between the ages of eighteen to twenty-two and the determination of the latter as the earliest age for selecting either "a year of determinate" or civil service[41] diminish the ability of the army to absorb yeshiva students. This is because by the age of twenty-two the overwhelming majority of the Haredi population is already married and most likely a parent to one or more children.[42] Beinish noted that to avoid complications, including the need for the army to pay increased family and dependent compensation, yeshiva students at this age who choose not to remain in the yeshivas are usually directed to perform a much shorter period of civil service.

Lack of Incentives for Compliance

While the Tal Law grants yeshiva students wide discretion to choose among several options of service or deferment without any conditions related to family or medical status, or to choose the number of yearly deferments enjoyed, it does not contain any
Samoa: New Crimes Act Comes into Force

To link to this article, copy this persistent link:

http://www.loc.gov/lawweb/servlet/lloc_news?disp3_1205403580_text


The Crimes Act 2013 contains a range of new offenses, including "computer-related offences, people smuggling and trafficking, offences that cater for complex fraud offences such as stealing land, offences relating to invasion of privacy pertaining to people engaging in sexual activities [i.e. voyeurism], [and] offences relating to the distribution of sexual material using mobile phones." (Updated Crimes Act Passed, Samoa Observer (Apr. 28, 2013).)

The penalties for sexual offenses have also been increased under the Act. The maximum penalty of life imprisonment for rape has been maintained, while the penalty for incest has increased from 7 to 20 years of imprisonment, and the penalty for attempted rape increased from 10 to 14 years. (Samoa Gets New Crimes Act, Radio New Zealand (Apr. 30, 2013).)
THOMAS
www.thomas.gov

- Content:
  - Bill summaries: 1973 → in HTML
  - Bill text: 1989 → in HTML; 1993 → in PDF
  - Congressional Record: 1989 → in HTML; 1995 → in PDF
  - Congressional Committee Reports: 1995 → in PDF
  - Treaties
  - Presidential nominations
  - Subscribe via RSS feeds, Share/Save
  - Law Library manages content
This is a “Search Bill Summary & Status” for “cap and trade” in the current 113th Congress (2013-14)

Text searched: cap and trade

Items 1 through 2 of 2

1. H.R.621: Ensuring Affordable Energy Act
   Sponsor: Rep Poe, Ted [TX-2] (introduced 2/12/2013)   Cosponsors (17)
   Committees: House Energy and Commerce

2. H.R.830: SAVE Act of 2013
   Sponsor: Rep Graves, Sam [MO-6] (introduced 2/26/2013)   Cosponsors (4)
   Committees: House Homeland Security; House Judiciary; House Ways and Means; House Education and the Workforce; House Oversight and Government Reform; House Armed Services; House Agriculture; House Natural Resources
Bill Summary & Status
113th Congress (2013 - 2014)
H.R.830

H.R.830
Latest Title: SAVE Act of 2013
Sponsor: Rep Graves, Sam [MO-6] (introduced 2/26/2013)  Cosponsors (4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All Information (except text)</th>
<th>Text of Legislation</th>
<th>CRS Summary</th>
<th>Major Congressional Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Titles</td>
<td>Cosponsors (4)</td>
<td>Committees</td>
<td>All Congressional Actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Bills</td>
<td>Amendments</td>
<td></td>
<td>All Congressional Actions with Amendments With links to Congressional Record pages, votes, reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBO Cost Estimates</td>
<td>Subjects</td>
<td>Constitutional Authority Statement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H.R.830 -- SAVE Act of 2013 (Introduced in House - IH)

Beginning
February 26, 2013

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I--SECURING AMERICA'S INTERNATIONAL BORDERS
Subtitle A--Manpower, Technology, and Infrastructure Improvements

SEC. 101. MANPOWER.

SEC. 5202. INCREASE IN FUNDS.

SEC. 102. TECHNOLOGY.

SEC. 103. INFRASTRUCTURE

113TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 830

To provide immigration reform by securing America’s borders, clarifying and enforcing existing laws, and enabling a practical employer verification program.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

February 26, 2013

Mr. Graves of Missouri (for himself, Mr. Nunnelee, Mrs. Hartzler, Mr. Hall, and Mr. Rohrabacher) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Homeland Security, and in addition to the
H.R.830

Latest Title: SAVE Act of 2013

ALL ACTIONS:

2/26/2013:
Referred to the Committee on Homeland Security, and in addition to the Committees on the Judiciary, Ways and Means, Education and the Workforce, Oversight and Government Reform, Armed Services, Agriculture, and Natural Resources, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

2/26/2013:
Referred to House Homeland Security
2/26/2013:
Referred to House Judiciary
2/26/2013:
Referred to House Ways and Means
2/26/2013:
Referred to House Education and the Workforce
2/26/2013:
Referred to House Oversight and Government Reform
2/26/2013:
Referred to House Armed Services
2/26/2013:
Referred to House Agriculture
2/26/2013:
Referred to House Natural Resources
3/4/2013:
Referred to the Subcommittee on Public Lands and Environmental Regulation.
S.365
Latest Title: Budget Control Act of 2011
Sponsor: Sen Harkin, Tom [IA] (introduced 2/16/2011) Cosponsors (None)
Latest Major Action: Became Public Law No: 112-25 [GPO: Text, PDF]

ALL ACTIONS:

2/16/2011:
Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions. Original measure reported to Senate by Senator Harkin. Without written report.

2/16/2011:
Placed on Senate Legislative Calendar under General Orders. Calendar No. 12.

2/17/2011:
Passed Senate.

8/1/2011 5:01pm:
DEBATE - The House proceeded with one hour of debate on S. 365.

8/1/2011 6:26pm:
The previous question was ordered pursuant to the rule. (consideration: CR H5865)

8/1/2011 7:08pm:
On passage Passed with amendment by recorded vote: 269 - 161 (Roll no. 690).

8/1/2011 7:08pm:
Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.

8/1/2011:
Message on House action received in Senate and at desk: House amendment to Senate bill.

8/2/2011:
Measure laid before Senate by unanimous consent. (consideration: CR S5201-5223)

8/2/2011:
Motion by Senator Reid to agree to House amendment to the bill (S. 365) made in Senate.

8/2/2011:
Senate agreed in the House amendment to the bill by Yeas-Nays Vote: 74 - 26. Record Vote Number: 123.
U.S. Senate Roll Call Votes 112th Congress - 1st Session

as compiled through Senate LIS by the Senate Bill Clerk under the direction of the Secretary of the Senate

Vote Summary

Question: On the Motion (Motion to Concur in the House Amendment to S. 365)

Vote Number: 123  Vote Date: August 2, 2011, 12:16 PM

Required For Majority: 3/5  Vote Result: Motion Agreed to

Measure Number: S. 365 (Budget Control Act of 2011)

Measure Title: An act to provide for budget control.

Vote Counts: YEAs 74  NAYs 26

Vote Summary  By Senator Name  By Vote Position  By Home State

Alphabetical by Senator Name

Akaka (D-HI), Yea  Gillibrand (D-NY), Nay  Moran (R-KS), Nay
Alexander (R-TN), Yea  Graham (R-SC), Nay  Murkowski (R-AK), Yea
Ayotte (R-NH), Nay  Grassley (R-IA), Nay  Murray (D-WA), Yea
Barrasso (R-WY), Yea  Hagan (D-NC), Yea  Nelson (D-FL), Yea
Baucus (D-MT), Yea  Harkin (D-IA), Nay  Nelson (D-NE), Nay
Begich (D-AK), Yea  Hatch (R-UT), Nay  Paul (R-KY), Nay
Bennet (D-CO), Yea  Heller (R-NV), Nay  Portman (R-OH), Yea
Bingaman (D-NM), Yea  Hoeven (R-ND), Yea  Pryor (D-AR), Yea
Blumenthal (D-CT), Yea  Hutchison (R-TX), Yea  Reed (D-RI), Yea
Blunt (R-MO), Yea  Inhofe (R-OK), Nay  Reid (D-NV), Yea
The “Advanced Search” link gives the most search options.
Search Bill Text from Multiple Congresses

Enter Word/Phrase to Search Bill Text
health care

Select Congress

Select Congress

Which Bills?

All

Bills with Floor Action

Enrolled Bills Sent to the President
If you put quotations around the phrase, you will only receive results that have that full phrase & Congress.gov highlights the phrase as it’s in the bill.
The limiters (or “facets”) in Congress.gov may be selected after your initial search results appear.
Overview: House Bill


Cosponsors: 0

Latest Action: 03/30/2010 Became Public Law No: 111-152.

Congressional Reports: H.Rept 111-443

Major Recorded Votes: 03/25/2010: Resolving Differences; 03/25/2010: Passed Senate; 03/21/2010: Passed House

Notes: The bill makes a number of health-related financing and revenue changes to the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act enacted by H.R. 3590 and modifies higher education assistance provisions. Read together, H.R. 3590 and the health care-related provisions of this bill are commonly referred to as the Affordable Care Act.

Tracker:

Introduced  Passed House  Passed Senate  Resolving Differences  To President  Became Law

Text: H.R.4872 — 111th Congress (2009-2010)

03/30/2010 Became Public Law No: 111-152

There are 5 versions of the bill: Enrolled Bill (08/24/2010)
Summary: H.R.4872 — 111th Congress (2009-2010)

Shown Here:
Public Law (03/30/2010)

(This measure has not been amended since it was passed by the Senate on March 25, 2010. The summary of that version is repeated here.)

Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 - Title I: Coverage, Medicare, Medicaid, and Revenues - Subtitle A: Coverage - (Sec. 1001) Amends Internal Revenue Code provisions added by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) to revise the formula for calculating the refundable tax credit for premium assistance for coverage under a qualified health plan by establishing a sliding scale from the initial to the final premium percentage for individuals and families with household incomes up to 400% of the federal poverty line. Requires adjustments, after 2014 and after 2018, of the initial and final premium percentages to reflect the excess (if any) of the rate of premium growth over the rate of growth of income and the consumer price index. Reduces from 9.8% to 9.5% of a taxpayer's household income the maximum amount an employee's required contribution to an employer-sponsored plan may be for such employee to be treated as eligible for employer-sponsored minimum essential coverage.

Increases the percentage of employer cost sharing for the out-of-pocket expenses of individuals with household incomes between 100% and 400% of the federal poverty line.

(Sec. 1002) Revises the provisions setting forth penalties to be imposed on individuals who decline to purchase health care coverage by: (1) lowering the maximum penalty amount from $495 to $325 in 2015 and from $750 to $695 in 2016; and (2) increasing the penalty rates based on taxpayer household income for taxable years beginning in 2014 and 2015 and for taxable years beginning after 2015.

(Sec. 1003) Revises the provisions setting forth penalties to be imposed on employers with 50 or more employees who decline to offer employees health care coverage to allow an exemption for the first 30 employees (including part-time employees) when calculating the penalty. Increases the applicable penalty amount per employee to $2,000. Eliminates the assessment on large employers with extended waiting periods for enrollment in employer-sponsored plans.

(Sec. 1004) Modifies the definition of “modified adjusted gross income” for purposes of the tax credit for premium assistance and the individual responsibility requirement for purchasing health care coverage.

Extends the exclusion from gross income for employer-provided health care coverage to adult children up to age 26.
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Free & trustworthy sources

• FindLaw: http://lp.findlaw.com

• The Public Library of Law: www.plol.org

• Google Scholar: http://scholar.google.com

• Jurisdiction-Specific Sources for Case Law
  • The Judicial Branch of California: officially reported opinions for California Supreme Court and Courts of Appeal, 1850-present, and select unpublished opinions for Courts of Appeal: http://www.courts.ca.gov/opinions.htm
Free & trustworthy sources (con’t)

• Federal Digital System (FDsys): www.fdsys.gov
• Regulations.gov: www.regulations.gov
• USA.gov: www.usa.gov (official portal)
• Legal Information Institute, Cornell Law School: www.law.cornell.edu
• Justia: www.justia.com
• LexisWeb: www.lexisweb.com
Subject & Jurisdiction-Specific Sites

- HG.org: www.hg.org
- The American Presidency Project, University of California, Santa Barbara: www.presidency.ucsb.edu
- NOLO Laws and Legal Research: www.nolo.com/legal-research
- Subject-Specific (example)
- The Avalon Project, Yale Law School: http://avalon.law.yale.edu
Further down this page, you can browse all federal and state cases and codes.

We’ll see that in just a moment…
Defendant's international parental kidnaping conviction is affirmed where the evidence was sufficient to persuade a reasonable juror of defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. However, the matter is remanded for an evidentiary hearing on defendant's ineffective assistance claim because the record was not conclusive on that issue.

Appellate Information
Decided 07/27/2010
Published 07/27/2010

Judges
Merrick C. Garland

Court
United States DC Circuit

Counsel
For Appellant:
Sandra G. Roland, Emily C. Scruggs
FindLaw's searchable database of the Supreme Court decisions since 1893 (U.S. Supreme Court Decisions: U.S. Reports 150+, 1893-). Browsable by year and U.S. Reports volume number, and searchable by citation, case title and full text.

We also maintain an archive of Opinion Summaries from September 2000 to the Present.
LOVING v. VIRGINIA, 388 U.S. 1 (1967)

388 U.S. 1

LOVING ET UX. v. VIRGINIA.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF VIRGINIA.

No. 395.

Argued April 10, 1967.

Decided June 12, 1967.

Virginia's statutory scheme to prevent marriages between persons solely on the basis of racial classifications held to violate the Equal Protection and Due Process Clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment. Pp. 4-12.

206 Va. 924, 147 S. E. 2d 78, reversed.

Bernard S. Cohen and Philip J. Hirschkop argued the cause and filed a brief for appellants. Mr. Hirschkop argued pro hac vice, by special leave of Court.

R. D. McIlwaine III, Assistant Attorney General of Virginia, argued the cause for appellee. With him on the brief were Robert Y. Button, Attorney General, and Kenneth C. Patty, Assistant Attorney General.

William M. Marutani, by special leave of Court, argued the cause for the Japanese American Citizens League, as amicus curiae, urging reversal.

Briefs of amici curiae, urging reversal, were filed by William M. Lewers and William B. Ball for the National Catholic Conference for Interracial Justice et al.; [388 U.S. 1, 2] by Robert L. Carter and Andrew D. Weinberger for the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, and by Jack Greenberg, James M. Nabrit III and Michael Meltsner for the N. A. A. C. P. Legal Defense & Educational Fund, Inc.

T. W. Bruton, Attorney General, and Ralph Moody, Deputy Attorney General, filed a brief for the State of North Carolina, as amicus curiae, urging affirmance.
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The 2012-2013 Supreme Court is now in session!

Do you have a US Supreme Court merit or amicus brief to post on FindLaw?

Contact Us!

Visit LawBrain! FindLaw's law community wiki.

Check out FindLaw's Supreme Court Blog for the Latest News and Information about SCOTUS!
Supreme Court Briefs

Before presenting their oral arguments, counsel for both sides will submit a legal brief summarizing the facts of the case as well as the legal reasoning behind their arguments. Cases set for hearing during the current Supreme Court term, for which FindLaw has online versions of briefs, are listed below in alphabetical order by case name.

Have a Supreme Court merit or amicus brief you'd like to post on FindLaw? Submit it here!


October 2007 Term

Ali v. Federal Bureau of Prisons (No. 06-9130)

Bd. of Education of New York v. Tom F. (No. 06-637)

CSX Transp., Inc. v. Georgia State Bd. of Equalization (No. 06-1287)
I. THIS CASE RAISES THE IMPORTANT QUESTION OF WHEN A DOCUMENT THAT FAILS TO FULFILL THE FUNCTIONS OF A CHARGE MAY NEVERTHELESS CONSTITUTE A CHARGE.

The question presented in this case—whether and under what circumstances a document containing the minimum information required for a charge under the ADEA but failing to fulfill the congressionally intended functions of a charge may nevertheless suffice as a charge—is critically important because it determines two major issues: (1) who is permitted to bring a lawsuit alleging discrimination in violation of the ADEA; and (2) whether Congress’s framework requiring charge-filing will be respected so that the EEOC can reduce age discrimination and, consequently, litigation over age discrimination.
www.supremecourtpreview.org, an ABA web publication, has briefs for the last couple of terms.
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IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner

vs.

FRANK J. BRADY, et al.,

Respondent

CASE NO. 59,054
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APR 30 1980
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Welcome to one of the world's largest free law libraries

Searching the Web is easy. Why should searching the law be any different? That's why Fastcase has created the Public Library of Law -- to make it easy to find the law online. PLoL is one of the largest free law libraries in the world, because we assemble law available for free scattered across many different sites -- all in one place. PLoL is the best starting place to find law on the Web.

What is available on PLoL?

- Cases from the U.S. Supreme Court and Courts of Appeals
- Cases from all 50 states back to 1997
- Federal statutory law and codes from all 50 states
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- PLoL User Guide
- Tutorials: Finding a Case | Searching Statutes
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- More Resources
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PLoL is brought to you by the same people who created Fastcase, the next-generation legal research system of choice for legal professionals everywhere. Since 1999, Fastcase has been
A S J Ludwig Mowinckels Rederi v. Accinanto, Limited, 199 F.2d 134 (4th Cir., 1952)

We do not think, however, that there is adequate support for the finding that there was negligence in the stowage of the Fgan or for holding Mowinckels liable because of such stowage. In the first place, we do not think that in the light of knowledge existing at the time it can be said that there was negligence or lack of ordinary care in the stowage. Fgan was a new chemical product, sold as a commercial fertilizer, and its propensity for generating heat with danger of spontaneous ignition or...

Port Authority of NY & NJ v. Arcadian Corp., 189 F.3d 305 (3rd Cir., 1999)

Plaintiff alleges that defendants knew or should have known that the ammonium nitrate and urea could easily be made into explosives and that terrorists had used them prior to the bombing at the World Trade Center, but nevertheless defendants failed to take appropriate steps to render their products non-detetable. Specifically, plaintiff points to two incidents. First, an explosion of ammonium nitrate over fifty years ago destroyed two ships docked at Texas City, Texas, killing 468 persons and...
"copyright"

Articles (☐ include patents) ☐ Legal documents
☐ Federal courts ☐ 7th Circuit Court of Appeals  Select courts

In re Aimster Copyright Litigation
334 F. 3d 643 - Court of Appeals, 7th Circuit, 2003 - Google Scholar

Atari, Inc. v. NORTH AMERICAN, ETC.
672 F. 2d 607 - Court of Appeals, 7th Circuit, 1982 - Google Scholar

ProCD, Inc. v. Zeidenberg
86 F. 3d 1447 - Court of Appeals, 7th Circuit, 1996 - Google Scholar
In re: AIMSTER COPYRIGHT LITIGATION.

Appeal of: John Deep, Defendant.

No. 02-4125.

United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit.


Teenagers and young adults who have access to the Internet like to swap computer files containing popular music. If the music is copyrighted, such swapping, which involves making and transmitting a digital copy of the music, infringes copyright. The swappers, who are ignorant or more commonly disdainful of copyright and in any event discount the likelihood of being sued or prosecuted for copyright infringement, are the direct infringers. But firms that facilitate their infringement, even if they are not themselves infringers because they are not making copies of the music that is shared, may be liable to the copyright owners as contributory infringers. Recognizing the impracticability or futility of a copyright owner's suing a multitude of individual infringers ("chasing individual consumers is time consuming and is a teaspoon solution to an ocean problem," Randal C. Picker, "Copyright as Entry Policy: The Case of Digital Distribution," 47 Antitrust Bull. 423, 442 (2002)), the law allows a copyright holder to sue a contributor to the infringement instead, in effect as an aider and abettor. Another analogy is to the tort of intentional interference with contract, that is, inducing a breach of contract. See, e.g., Sutrin v. Hosier, 128 F.3d 594, 597 (7th Cir. 1997). If a breach of contract (and a copyright license is just a type of contract) can be prevented most effectively by actions taken by a third party, it makes sense to
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1. National Exhibition Co. v. City and County of San Francisco, Civ. No. 29674, Court of Appeal of California, First Appellate District, Division Four, 24 Cal. App. 3d 1; 100 Cal. Rptr. 757; 1972 Cal. App. LEXIS 1110, March 14, 1972, A petition for a rehearing was denied April 11, 1972, and appellant's petition for a hearing by the Supreme Court was denied May 10, 1972.

Appellant, the National Exhibition Company, is the San Francisco Giants Baseball Club and will be referred to hereinafter as the
... to the provisions of the lease under which the plaintiff (San Francisco Giants) holds possession of Candlestick Park and contrary to other alleged ... CORE TERMS: stadium, lease, ordinance, alterations, ticket, summary judgment, rental, issues of fact, tenant, declaration ...


... the number 14, the color red, the logos of the San Francisco Giants professional baseball team, and the iconic huelga eagle that is ...
NATIONAL EXHIBITION COMPANY, Plaintiff and Appellant, v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO et al.,
Defendants and Respondents

Civ. No. 29674

Court of Appeal of California, First Appellate District, Division Four

24 Cal. App. 3d 1; 100 Cal. Rptr. 757; 1972 Cal. App. LEXIS 1110

March 14, 1972

SUBSEQUENT HISTORY: A petition for a rehearing was denied April 11, 1972, and appellant's petition for a hearing
by the Supreme Court was denied May 10, 1972.
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<td>1983 to Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations XML Bulk Data</td>
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<td>House Practice</td>
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<tr>
<td>1996 to Present</td>
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§138. Law library; hours kept open

The law library shall be kept open every day so long as either House of Congress is in session.

(July 11, 1888, ch. 615, §1, 25 Stat. 262.)
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UNITED STATES STATUTES AT LARGE

The United States Statutes at Large, typically referred to as the Statutes at Large, is the permanent collection of all laws and resolutions enacted during each session of Congress. The Statutes at Large is prepared and published by the Office of the Federal Register (OFR), National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

Under the provisions of 1 U.S.C. 112, the printed edition of the Statutes at Large is legal evidence of the laws, concurrent resolutions, proclamations by the President, and proposed and ratified amendments to the Constitution. About United States Statutes at Large.

- Year 2010, 111th Congress, 2nd Session, (Volume 124)
- Year 2009, 111th Congress, 1st Session, (Volume 123)
- Year 2008, 110th Congress, 2nd Session, (Volume 122)

Year 1970, 91st Congress, 2nd Session, (Volume 84)

- Bills Enacted into Laws
- Concurrent Resolutions
- Private Laws
- Presidential Proclamations
- Public Laws

84 Stat. 3 - Joint resolution designating the week commencing February 1, 1970, as International Clergy Week....

84 Stat. 4 - Joint resolution making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 1970, and for other....

84 Stat. 4 - An Act to amend the act entitled """"An Act authorizing the village of Baudette, State of Minnesota....

84 Stat. 5 - An Act making appropriations for Foreign Assistance and related programs for the fiscal year....

84 Stat. 14 - Joint resolution to authorize the President to designate the period beginning February 13, 1970....

84 Stat. 14 - An Act to exempt potatoes from processing from marketing orders.
February 20, 1970
[S. 2214]

Agricultural Adjustment Act,
amendment.
68 Stat. 906;
75 Stat. 304.
7 USC 608c.

AN ACT
To exempt potatoes for processing from marketing orders.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That section 8c(2) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act, as reenacted and amended by the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 and subsequent legislation, is amended as follows:

(1) In clause (A) after the words “vegetables (not including vegetables, other than asparagus, for canning or freezing”), insert the words “and not including potatoes for canning, freezing, or other processing”; and

(2) In clause (B) after the words “fruits and vegetables for canning or freezing,” insert the words “including potatoes for canning, freezing, or other processing;”.

Sec. 2. The amendments made by this Act shall be effective only
Office of the Law Revision Counsel

The Office of the Law Revision Counsel prepares and publishes the United States Code, which is a consolidation and codification by subject matter of the general and permanent laws of the United States.

- United States Code Website Beta, Second Release (click HERE for more info and access)
- About the Office and the U.S. Code database
- Search the U.S. Code
- Search the USCprelim, an advance posting of the online U.S. Code (certain titles only)
- Download titles and chapters of the U.S. Code or view list of titles
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Search the United States Code

nuclear posture review

Browse the United States Code
- Front Matter
- *Title 1—General Provisions
- Title 2—The Congress
- *Title 3—The President
- *Title 4—Flag And Seal, Seat Of Government, And The States
- *Title 5—Government Organization And Employees; and Appendix
- Title 6—Domestic Security
- Title 7—Agriculture
- Title 8—Aliens And Nationality
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 USC 113</td>
<td>Secretary of Defense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 USC 118</td>
<td>Quadrennial defense review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 USC 491</td>
<td>Nuclear weapons employment strategy of the United States: reports on modification of strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 USC 494</td>
<td>Nuclear force reductions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 USC Ch. 24</td>
<td>Unilateral change in nuclear weapons stockpile of the United States.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
§494. Nuclear force reductions

(a) IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW START TREATY.—

(1) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(A) the United States is committed to reducing the size of its nuclear deterrent force to levels consistent with maintaining a safe and effective nuclear deterrent and modernizing nuclear weapons and infrastructure in a manner consistent with national security requirements.

(B) the United States should seek to be the first to agree to eliminate all nuclear weapons.

(4) ADDITIONAL VIEWS.—On the date on which the President transmits to the congressional defense committees a report by the Commander of the United States Strategic Command under paragraph (2), the President may transmit to such committees a report by the President with respect to whether the recommended reductions covered by the report of the Commander will impact the deterrence or extended deterrence capabilities of the United States.


REFERENCES IN TEXT


The date of the enactment of this Act, referred to in subsec. (c)(1), (2), probably means the date of the enactment of Pub. L. 112–81, which was approved Dec. 31, 2011. See Codification note below.

CODIFICATION

Popular Name Tool

The Popular Name Tool enables you to search or browse the United States Code Table of Acts Cited by Popular Name. For printing purposes, the PDF file is recommended. A detailed explanation of the Table is located here.

Act of ______


Short title, see 26 U.S.C. 1 note

1950 Amendment to Public Law 38

Aug. 5, 1950, ch. 592, 64 Stat. 414

1951 Amendments to the Universal Military Training and Service Act

June 19, 1951, ch. 144, title I, 65 Stat. 75

Short title, see 50 U.S.C. App. 451 note

1955 Amendments to the Universal Military Training and Service Act

June 30, 1955, ch. 250, 69 Stat. 223

1959 Amendment to the Texas City Disaster Relief Act


1964 Amendments to the Alaska Omnibus Act


1979 White House Conference on the Arts Act


1979 White House Conference on the Humanities Act


1984 Act to Combat International Terrorism
Popular Name Tool

The Popular Name Tool enables you to search or browse the United States Code Table of Acts Cited by Popular Name. For printing purposes, the PDF file is recommended. A detailed explanation of the Table is located here.

California Bay-Delta Environmental Enhancement and Water Security Act

California Desert Protection Act of 1994
Short title, see 16 U.S.C. 410aaa note

California Five Mile Regional Learning Center Transfer Act

California Indian Land Transfer Act

California Military Lands Withdrawal and Overflights Act of 1994
Short title, see 16 U.S.C. 410aaa-82 note

California Missions Preservation Act

California Reclamation Groundwater Remediation Initiative

California Trail Interpretive Act

California Wilderness Act of 1984
Participate Today!

Submit your comments on proposed regulations and related documents published by the U.S. Federal government. You can also use this site to search and review original regulatory documents as well as comments submitted by others.

Help improve Federal regulations by submitting your comments.
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Legal Information Institute

WELCOME TO LII

We are a not-for-profit group that believes everyone should be able to read and understand the laws that govern them, without cost. We carry out this vision by:

- Publishing law online, for free.
- Creating materials that help people understand law.
- Exploring new technologies that make it easier for people to find the law.

Learn more about us, and please help support our work.

WHAT'S NEW?

Federal Rules
Dec. 1, 2012 updates for Criminal Procedure and Bankruptcy Procedure Rules

Supreme Court
Supreme Court: Read previews of the term’s cases here

VoxPop
In VoxPopullii, Michael Lissner and Brian W. Carver write on where CourtListener is headed.

LEGAL RESOURCES

Federal law
Constitution
U.S. Code
C.F.R.
Supreme Court
Federal Rules

State law resources
State statutes by topic
U.C.C.
Uniform laws
World law

Wex legal encyclopedia
CRS Annotated Constitution
LII Supreme Court Bulletin
Table of Popular Names
Parallel Table of Authorities
TOPICAL INDEX: STATE STATUTES

Most but not all state statutes on the Internet are organized along lines fitting the following topical breakdown.

[For a full listing of State Statutes on the Net, click here.]
Health Care Legislation « The Wall of Separation

As we start off this week, the debate over reproductive rights restrictions in the U.S. House’s proposed health-care legislation continues to rage. Last Tuesday, my colleague Rob Boston blogged about how the U....support us. Posts Tagged ‘Health Care Legislation’ Voices For Choice: Religious...S. House’s proposed health-care legislation continues to rage. Last...including an amendment to the health-care bill that bars insurance...

Civil Rights Resources in Multistate Jurisdiction
http://blog.au.org/tag/health-care-legislation/

Health Care Legislation « The Wall of Separation

As we start off this week, the debate over reproductive rights restrictions in the U.S. House’s proposed health-care legislation continues to rage. Last Tuesday, my colleague Rob Boston blogged about how the U....support us. Posts Tagged ‘Health Care Legislation’ Voices For Choice: Religious...2009 By Sandhya Bathija Health Care Reform, Marriage & Sexuality...S. House’s proposed health-care legislation continues to rage. Last...

Civil Rights Resources in Multistate Jurisdiction
http://blog.au.org/tag/health-care-legislation/

Health Care Legislation Bucks Public Opinion on Legal Reform - The California
Civil Justice Blog

As major federal health legislation makes its way toward possible passage early next year, its sponsors seem little concerned with putting in something that Americans really want -- limits on medical liability ...Association of California Health Care Legislation Bucks Public Opinion on Legal... MICRA As major federal health legislation makes its way toward possible...medical litigation front so that health care dollars can be spent where...

Personal Injury & Tort Law#Litigation in California
HEALTH CARE LEGISLATION UPDATE - ISSUE 1

April 26, 2010

By: Sanford V. Teplitzky, Joshua J. Freemire, Thomas W. Coons, Mark A. Stanley, Lisa D. Stevenson, Donna J. Serft, Julie E. Kass, and Kristin Cliento Carter

Health Care Reform: It’s Finally Here. Now What Does It Mean And How Does It Affect You?

By: Sandy Teplitzky

The debate over health care reform reaches as far back as 1975, when I began practicing health law, and perhaps even back to the inception of Ober|Kaler’s health law practice 40 years ago. Even then, discussions were already underway in an effort to address concerns about access to, the delivery of, and reimbursement for, health care services for Americans. Over the years, little substantive progress was made and the issues became more politicized. On March 23, 2010, President Obama signed the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA), and on March 30, 2010, the President signed the Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act of 2010. Whatever one’s political views, health care reform has now truly arrived.

Immediately after the signing of those laws, newsletters blanketed the country, webinars were held, and other educational programs were scheduled. In the meantime, we here at Ober|Kaler have been busy doing what we have always done for our clients: analyzing the practical effect of the new provisions on daily operations and overall health system goals. Our entire Health Law Practice Group, comprised of over 40 lawyers, is currently reviewing and analyzing the new laws, speaking with government officials, and preparing analyses that we hope will be helpful to you.
www.hg.org
H-1B Employers Facing Increased Scrutiny

July 19, 2012   By The Shapiro Law Group

Call the Attorney at (847) 564-0712

The increasingly popular H-1B visa program, which allows U.S. employers to hire a certain number of foreign workers each year in so-called “specialty occupations” (including occupations in science, technology and engineering) is now coming under more watchful eyes at various federal agencies concerned about employment abuses.

H-1B employers should be particularly wary of actions taken by the U.S. Department of Labor against those suspected of non-compliance with pertinent labor rules affecting H-1B workers.

First, employers must pay the “prevailing wage rates” for a particular skilled occupation in the area in which the worker is plying his or her trade. Employers must also pay the same fringe benefits to H-1B workers that they do to other workers, and afford them the same working conditions.

Second, employers are not permitted to reduce the pay of any H-1B worker due to lack of work, nor can they otherwise refuse to compensate such a worker for down time. If the employer cannot pay such a worker as a full-time employee, then the employer must cancel the H-1B visa and pay for the employee’s return trip back to their native country.

Finally, employers of H-1B workers cannot charge them for filing fees, attorney’s fees or other expenses associated with the filing of H-1B petitions.

If you are an employer in need of legal assistance in procuring foreign labor, please do not hesitate to contact our office at (847) 564-0712 for an appointment to speak with a qualified attorney. You can also check out our immigration law Website for more information about how we might assist you.

AUTHOR: The Shapiro Law Group
The American Presidency Project (americanpresidency.org), was established in 1999 as a collaboration between John T. Woolley and Gerhard Peters at the University of California, Santa Barbara. Our archives contain 101,364 documents related to the study of the Presidency.

How President Obama Compares to Other Incumbents: Key Data

Winning Reelection including Obama

Approval Trends for Five Winning Incumbent Presidents Plus Obama
(Updated May 27, 2012)

Losing Reelection including Obama

Approval Trends for Three Losing Incumbent Presidents Plus Obama
(Updated May 27, 2012)

[Click to enlarge] [Click to enlarge]
Presidential Documents Archive

- Messages and Papers of the Presidents
  (Washington 1789 through Taft 1913)
- Public Papers of the Presidents
  (Hoover 1929-1933; Truman through G.W. Bush 1945-2007; & Obama 2009 Book I)
- Public Papers and Addresses of Franklin D. Roosevelt
  (F. Roosevelt 1933-1945)
- Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents
  (Carter 1977 through G.W. Bush 2009)
- Daily Compilation of Presidential Documents
  (Obama 2009-2012)
- Annual Messages to Congress on the State of the Union
  (Washington 1790 - Obama 2012)
- Inaugural Addresses
  (Washington 1789 - Obama 2009)
- Saturday Addresses (Radio from Reagan through G.W. Bush)
  (Reagan 1982 - Obama 2012)
- Fireside Chats
  (F. Roosevelt)
- News Conferences
  (Hoover 1929 - Obama 2012)
- Executive Orders
  (J.Q. Adams 1826 - Obama 2012)
- Proclamations
  (Washington 1789 - Obama 2012)
- Presidential Signing Statements
  (Hoover 1929 - Obama 2012)
- The Economic Report of the President
  (Truman 1947 - Obama 2012)
FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT
XXXII President of the United States: 1933-1945

Executive Order 6939 - Extension of Trust Period on Allotments Made to Indians of the Spokane Reservation
January 7, 1935

By virtue of and pursuant to the authority vested in me by section 5 of the act of February 8, 1887 (ch. 119, 24 Stat. 388, 389), and the act of June 21, 1906 (ch. 3504, 34 Stat. 325, 326), it is ordered that the period of trust on allotments made to Indians of the Spokane Reservation, Washington, upon which the period of trust expires during the calendar year 1935, be, and it is hereby, extended for a period of ten years from the date on which any such trust would otherwise expire: Provided, however, that the extension of the period of trust herein made shall be subject to the provisions of the act of June 18, 1934 (ch. 576, 48 Stat. 984), and if the provisions of said Act are made applicable to the said Reservation by the election provided for in said Act, this order shall thereafter cease to be of force and effect.

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT
The White House,
January 7, 1935.

California Laws and Legal Information

Welcome to California's laws and legal information collection: state laws, court information, links to state government offices, and more.

Need Professional Help? Talk to a Lawyer
Enter Your Zip Code to Connect with a Lawyer Serving Your Area

Welcome to Nolo's California Laws and Legal Information collection -- all of Nolo's California-specific resources in one place, links to key online information about California's government, and more.

California Code - Search or browse Nolo's easy-to-use California laws.

California Laws and Legal Information - The latest California law on common legal issues, straight from Nolo:

- Changing Your Name in California
- Divorce
- [Other topics]
California Laws on Smoking in the Workplace

Information on California workplace smoking laws, including accommodations for smokers and employer policies on smoking.

What kinds of workplaces are subject to California workplace smoking laws?
Workplace smoking laws apply to workplaces with more than five employees. Exceptions include designated lobby areas, meeting and banquet rooms when food is not being served, warehouses over 100,000 sq. ft. with fewer than 20 employees, and truck cabs if no nonsmoking employees are present.

In California, in what parts of the workplace is smoking prohibited? Where is smoking permitted?
An employer may not knowingly or intentionally permit smoking in any enclosed workplace and must take reasonable steps to prevent nonemployees from smoking. An employer may also designate an entire site as nonsmoking. Smoking is permitted in break rooms designated for smokers.

What are the requirements for designated smoking areas in California workplaces?
In California, smoking areas must be in a nonwork area. No employee may be required to enter that room as part of their job (this does not apply to custodial work). Smoking area exhaust cannot recirculate to other areas of the workplace.

Does California provide employees with protection from smoking-related discrimination?
An employer may not discharge or discriminate against an employee for engaging in lawful activity during nonwork hours away from the employer's premises.

Where can I find California law information on smoking in the workplace?
If you want to go right to the source and look up California law on workplace smoking laws -- or if you're writing a letter to your employer or employee and want to cite the applicable law -- the relevant statute(s) can be found at Cal. Lab. Code Sections 98, 98.6, 6404.5.

Workplace smoking information is also available in Nolo's books Your Rights in the Workplace, by Barbara Repa (Nolo) and The Employer's Legal Handbook, by Fred Steingold (Nolo).
http://scholar.google.com

Search for "weber grill" on Google Scholar.

Articles (include patents) and Legal documents are available.

Welcome to our new modern look! Learn more. Dismiss.

Stand on the shoulders of giants

New! Sort by date for legal search.
United States Patent

Schlosser et al.

OUTDOOR BARBECUE COOKER

Inventors: Erich J. Schlosser, Lindenhurst; James C. Stephen, Arlington Heights, both of Ill.

Assignee: -Stephen Products Co., Palatine, Ill.

Term: 14 Years

Appl. No.: 639,918

Filed: Aug. 10, 1984

U.S. Cl. 332

Field of Search: D7/331, 332, 331, 248

Patent Number: Des. 284,929

Date of Patent: ** Aug. 5, 1986

3,785,275 1/1974 Keats et al. .................................. 99/446
4,332,188 6/1982 Rhear ........................................ 99/446

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Best Catalog, Sep. 1982, p. 369, **.*

Housewares, vol. 176, Jan. 1979, p. 87, **.*

Primary Examiner—Catherine E. Kemper

Assistant Examiner—Ruth E. Takemoto

Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Robert E. Wagner; Ralph R. Rath

CLAIM

The ornamental design for an outdoor barbeque cooker, as shown and described.
U.S. Patent

Aug. 5, 1986

Des. 284,929

FIG. 1

FIG. 2

FIG. 3

FIG. 4

FIG. 5
http://www.ipo.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=IP_law_Advocacy

**Hot Topics**

The below topics are current issues in IP that we are watching closely.

- **USPTO Rules & Policy**
- **USPTO Funding**
- **Patent Legislation**
- **ICANN & NEW gTLDs**
- **Stop Counterfeiting and Piracy**
- **Trade Secret Legislation**

**Bills:**

- **S. 214** — **Preserve Access to Affordable Generics Act** — A bill to prohibit brand name drug companies from compensating generic drug companies to delay the entry of a generic drug into the market. (Sen. Klobuchar, D-MN; introduced 2/4/13; read twice and referred to the Committee on Judiciary).

- **H.R. 193** — **Seed Availability and Competition Act of 2013** — (Rep. Kaptur, D-OH; introduced 01/04/2013; referred to House Committee on Agriculture and House Committee on Ways and Means).

**Documents:**

- IPO Letter to Congress Opposing H.R. 3889, the "Promoting Automotive Repair, Trade, and Sales Act", 07/31/2012
- Comparison Chart of Selected Sections of Pre-AIA and AIA U.S. Patent Laws, 10/19/2011
- Senate Letter on Fee Diversion Re: H.R. 1249, 06/29/2011
- Chairman Rogers Letter on Fee Diversion, H.R. 1249, 06/22/2011
20th Century Documents: 1900 - 1999

- Act of Chapultepec; March 6, 1945
- Agreement Between the United Kingdom and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics: July 12, 1941
- Agreement Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the Prevention of Incidents On and Over the High Seas; May 25, 1972
- Agreement Between the United States and Cuba for the Lease of Lands for Coaling and Naval stations; February 23, 1903
- Agreement for the Provisional Administration of Venezia Giulia, June 9, 1945
- Agreement on Measures to Reduce the Risk of Outbreak of Nuclear War Between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics - September 30, 1971
- Agreement on the Cessation of Hostilities in Viet-Nam, July 20, 1954
- Agreement on the Rescue of Astronauts, the Return of Astronauts and the Return of Objects Launched into Outer Space, April 22, 1968
- Agreement Relating to Prisoners of War and Civilians Liberated by Forces Operating Under Soviet Command and Forces Operating Under United States of America Command, February 11, 1945
- American Treaty on Pacific Settlement (Pact of Bogota); April 30, 1948
- Americans with Disabilities Act; July 26, 1990
- Anglo-American Commission of Inquiry
The organization of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, for instance, is the same as it is in the U.S. Statutes at Large (so the top of the law may only be a table of contents; you will want to scroll further down the page for the actual language in each section)

**SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES. 42 USC 12101.**

(a) Findings. The Congress finds that

(1) some 43,000,000 Americans have one or more physical or mental disabilities, and this number is increasing as the population as a whole is growing older;

(2) historically, society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities, and, despite some improvements, such forms of discrimination against individuals with disabilities continue to be a serious and pervasive social problem;

(3) discrimination against individuals with disabilities persists in such critical areas as employment, housing, public accommodations, education, transportation, communication, recreation, institutionalization, health services, voting, and access to public services;

(4) unlike individuals who have experienced discrimination on the basis of race, color, sex, national origin, religion, or age, individuals who have experienced discrimination on the basis of disability have often had no legal recourse to redress such discrimination;
Tips for searching for legal materials

- Utilize multiple search engines: Google, Yahoo! Search, Ask.com, Lycos, Bing, etc.
- Utilize a search engine’s advanced search: allows for more search limiters
  - Example: http://www.google.com/advanced_search
- Try “metasearch” engines (which aggregate results from multiple search engines): Clusty, Dogpile, GrabAll, etc.
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terms appearing: anywhere in the page

[PDF] Cap and Trade: Essentials (PDF)
www.epa.gov/captrade/documents/ctessentials.pdf

Cap and Trade: Essentials. Cap and trade is an environmental policy tool that ...

Cap-and-Trade Program | California Air Resources Board
www.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/capandtrade.htm

This website provides information on implementing California's greenhouse gas (GHG) cap-and-trade program. The program is a central element of California's ...

Mass Emissions Cap and Trade Program —
www.tceq.texas.gov › Air Quality › Emissions Banking and Trading

Nov 14, 2012 – The MECT Program is a market-based cap-and-trade program that implements an annual nitrogen oxides emission cap for applicable facilities ...
QUESTIONS?

Law Library Reference Desk: (202) 707-5080

The Law Library Reference Desk is open 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Saturday

Law Library’s Ask a Librarian page: http://www.loc.gov/rr/askalib/ask-law.html

Matthew Braun
Senior Legal Research Specialist
Law Library of Congress
202-707-3190
mbra@loc.gov

Barbara Bavis
Legal Reference Librarian
Law Library of Congress
202-707-0874
bbav@loc.gov