

10th Annual Arbitration Training Institute


June 15-16, 2017 | ABA Offices | Chicago, IL

AGENDA

Thursday, June 15th

7:45am – 9:00am	Registration	Lobby of building (321 N. Clark St)
7:45am – 8:20am	Breakfast	21 st Floor Foyer / Board Room
8:20am – 8:45am	Welcome and Introductions Philip S. Cottone and Bruce Meyerson, Institute Co-Chairs	21 st Floor Board Room
8:45am – 9:45am	Setting the Stage for a Successful Arbitration Before the arbitration even starts, what should neutrals and advocates be thinking about? This Panel will have the answers about jurisdiction, arbitrator selection, disclosure, party arbitrators and other pre-hearing subjects. Moderator: Stuart Widman Arbitrator: Stanley Sklar Counsel: Julie Gottshall	21 st Floor Board Room
9:45am – 10:00am	Break	21 st Floor Foyer / Board Room
10:00am – 11:00am	The All Important Preliminary Conference This is where the detailed planning for the hearing occurs, and if done properly, it will assure a cost effective and efficient arbitration. This Panel will identify key topics and strategies regarding discovery, discovery disputes, motion practice, witnesses, exhibits, the hearing calendar, and other subjects. Moderator: Gilda Turitz Arbitrator: Deborah Coleman Counsel: Mitchell Marinello	21 st Floor Board Room
11:00am – 11:15am	Break	21 st Floor Foyer / Board Room

Thursday, June 15th cont.

11:15am – 12:15pm	<p>Break–Out Group Sessions on the Preliminary Conference and Setting the Stage Facilitator-led small group discussion on the "Preliminary Conference" and "Setting the Stage" plenaries. <i>Facilitators:</i></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="373 367 1209 604"> <tr> <td data-bbox="373 367 836 409">Deborah Mastin</td> <td data-bbox="836 367 1209 409">Saratoga Conference Room, Floor 20</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="373 409 836 451">Jean Baker</td> <td data-bbox="836 409 1209 451">Thurgood Marshall Conference Room, Floor 19</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="373 451 836 493">Philip Cottone</td> <td data-bbox="836 451 1209 493">Charles Evans Hughes Conference Room, Floor 21</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="373 493 836 535">Bruce Meyerson</td> <td data-bbox="836 493 1209 535">Margaret Brent Conference Room, Floor 18</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="373 535 836 577">Stuart Widman</td> <td data-bbox="836 535 1209 577">Simeon Baldwin Conference Room, Floor 17</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="373 577 836 604">Harrie Samaras</td> <td data-bbox="836 577 1209 604">International Conference Room, Floor 16</td> </tr> </table>	Deborah Mastin	Saratoga Conference Room, Floor 20	Jean Baker	Thurgood Marshall Conference Room, Floor 19	Philip Cottone	Charles Evans Hughes Conference Room, Floor 21	Bruce Meyerson	Margaret Brent Conference Room, Floor 18	Stuart Widman	Simeon Baldwin Conference Room, Floor 17	Harrie Samaras	International Conference Room, Floor 16	
Deborah Mastin	Saratoga Conference Room, Floor 20													
Jean Baker	Thurgood Marshall Conference Room, Floor 19													
Philip Cottone	Charles Evans Hughes Conference Room, Floor 21													
Bruce Meyerson	Margaret Brent Conference Room, Floor 18													
Stuart Widman	Simeon Baldwin Conference Room, Floor 17													
Harrie Samaras	International Conference Room, Floor 16													
12:15pm–12:30pm	Break	21 st Floor Foyer / Board Room												
12:30pm – 1:00pm	Lunch	21 st Floor Board Room												
1:00pm – 1:45pm	 <p>Decision Making In Court And Arbitration: Is There A Difference? Hon. Wayne R. Andersen, JAMS Neutral and Former Federal Judge</p> <p>Any judging requires rigor, discipline, and other unique skills. But does judging in arbitration have its own features and challenges? In arbitration, the arbitration clause governs jurisdiction; procedural rules may be less restrictive; the decision might be three-headed if there is a panel; equitable arguments may be considered, and review is both narrower and more process-based. Do these make judging in arbitration fundamentally different from court?</p>													
1:45pm–2:00pm	Break	21 st Floor Foyer / Board Room												
2:00pm – 3:00pm	<p>Managing the Hearing The A to Z of managing the hearing efficiently and fairly will be discussed, including pre-hearing conferences, evidence, subpoenas, opening and closing arguments, the when and how of arbitrator questions, and other issues.</p> <p>Moderator: Dana Welch Arbitrator: Deborah Rothman Counsel: Ian Fisher</p>	21 st Floor Board Room												
3:00pm – 3:15pm	Break	21 st Floor Foyer / Board Room												


Thursday, June 15th cont.

3:15pm – 4:15pm	Break-Out Group Sessions on Managing the Hearing Facilitator-led small group discussion on the "Managing the Hearing" plenary. <i>Facilitators:</i>	
	Susan Grody Ruben	Saratoga Conference Room, Floor 20
	Deborah Coleman	Thurgood Marshall Conference Room, Floor 19
	Joan Stearns Johnsen	Charles Evans Hughes Conference Room, Floor 21
	Bruce Meyerson	Margaret Brent Conference Room, Floor 18
	Louis Burke	Simeon Baldwin Conference Room, Floor 17
	Barbara Reeves	International Conference Room, Floor 16
4:15pm – 4:30pm	Break	21st Floor Foyer / Board Room
4:30pm – 5:30pm	Arbitration Ethics Explore the unique issues facing arbitrators and arbitration advocates in an interactive session drawing upon the skill and knowledge of the faculty. Presenter: Bruce Meyerson	21 st Floor Board Room
5:30pm	Adjourn	
6:15pm	Reception at Jenner & Block LLP Host: Michael A. Doornweerd	353 North Clark Street 45th Floor

Friday, June 16th

7:45am – 9:00am	Registration	Lobby of building (321 N. Clark St)
7:45am – 8:20am	Breakfast	21 st Floor Foyer / Board Room
8:20am – 9:30am	The Award and Post-Award Issues The hearing is over, so what is the best way of going about preparing the Award, including dissenting opinions, independent research, post-hearing briefing, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, enforcing or challenging the Award and other subjects. Moderator: Edward Lozowicki Arbitrator: Edna Sussman Counsel: Peter Baugher	21 st Floor Board Room
9:30am – 9:45am	Break	21st Floor Foyer / Board Room

Friday, June 16th cont.

9:45am – 10:45am	Break-Out Group Sessions on The Award and Post-Award Issues Facilitator-led small group discussion on the "The Award and Post-Award Issues" plenary. <i>Facilitators:</i>	
	Joan Stearns Johnsen	Saratoga Conference Room, Floor 20
	Edna Sussman	Thurgood Marshall Conference Room, Floor 19
	Paul Lurie	Charles Evans Hughes Conference Room, Floor 21
	Harrie Samaras	Margaret Brent Conference Room, Floor 18
	Jill Gross	Simeon Baldwin Conference Room, Floor 17
	Deborah Rothman	International Conference Room, Floor 16
10:45am – 11:00am	Break	21 st Floor Foyer / Board Room
11:00am – 12:15pm	Concurrent Sessions on Special Issues Find the small group discussion that best suits your practice or your interests. The facilitators will kick off the session with their thoughts, leaving plenty of time for group interaction.	
	Health Care Arbitration: Barbara Reeves	Saratoga, Fl. 20
	Employment Arbitration: Susan Grody Ruben	Thurgood, Fl. 19
	Construction Arbitration: Deborah Mastin	Margaret Brent, Fl. 18
	Securities Arbitration: Jill Gross	International, Fl. 16
12:15pm – 12:30pm	Break	21 st Floor Foyer / Board Room
12:30pm – 1:00pm	Lunch	21st Floor Board Room
1:00pm – 1:45pm	 Current Trends and Hot Topics in Arbitration Law Liz Kramer, Stinson Leonard Street LLP, Author of the Authoritative Blog: Arbitration Nation A high-level analysis of the major trends in how courts are interpreting arbitration law and how the 2016 election will impact the use of arbitration.	21 st Floor Board Room
1:45pm – 2:00pm	Break	21 st Floor Foyer / Board Room

Friday, June 16th cont.

2:00pm – 3:00pm	Break-Out Concurrent Sessions on Special Issues	
	Effective Award Writing for Arbitrators: Stuart Widman This break-out concurrent session is a practicum on effective award writing for arbitrators led by Stuart Widman.	Margaret Brent, Fl. 18
	Writing an Effective Arbitration Clause for Lawyers: Jean Baker Parties execute arbitration agreements because they expect the process to: 1. Result in a meaningful, enforceable outcome; 2. Minimize the intervention of state or federal courts in what should be a private dispute resolution process; 3. Grant the third party administrator and/or arbitrator the powers necessary to resolve the dispute; and 4. Be conducted in accordance with procedures that guarantee a fair, but efficient proceeding. If an arbitration agreement does not meet these four requirements, the process is inherently flawed and subject to court intervention or delay and inefficiency.	21 st Floor Board Room
3:00pm – 4:00pm	Wrap Up The chance to answer any questions you still might have!	21 st Floor Board Room
4:00pm	Adjourn	