### CHART VI
STATE-BY-STATE BUDGET FOR DISCIPLINE, 1999

**SOURCE:** Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 1999

ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE*</th>
<th>Total No. of Lawyers with Active Licenses</th>
<th>Total Lawyer Discipline System Budget ($)</th>
<th>Total Discipline Counsel or Comparable Prosecutorial Office Budget ($)</th>
<th>Total Discipline Board or Comparable Administrative/Adjudicative Budget ($)</th>
<th>Source of Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>11,963</td>
<td>665,531</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100% Legislative appropriation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>2,668</td>
<td>584,092</td>
<td>584,092</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100% Bar Association earmarked dues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>11,810</td>
<td>2,980,000</td>
<td>2,430,000</td>
<td>555,000</td>
<td>100% Bar Association earmarked dues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>135,897</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>For 1999, Supreme Court specially assessed fee of 74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>3,643,000</td>
<td>2,531,769</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100% Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>27,693</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100% Supreme Court general budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>2,154</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100% Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>49,410</td>
<td>3,135,616</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100% Bar Association earmarked dues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>59,741</td>
<td>8,259,954</td>
<td>7,964,697</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>96% Bar Association earmarked dues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>24,085</td>
<td>1,439,000</td>
<td>1,439,000</td>
<td>18,047</td>
<td>100% Bar Association earmarked dues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>3,755</td>
<td>916,000</td>
<td>916,000</td>
<td>916,000</td>
<td>100% Supreme Court assessed dues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>3,088</td>
<td>318,765</td>
<td>301,093</td>
<td>17,672</td>
<td>100% Bar Association earmarked dues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>73,514</td>
<td>9,651,411</td>
<td>7,706,965</td>
<td>1,371,715</td>
<td>92.65% Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>7,787</td>
<td>476,867</td>
<td>476,867</td>
<td>476,867</td>
<td>100% Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1California - As a result of system shutdown, other than 1999, source of funding is 100% Legislative Appropriation.
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<th>STATE</th>
<th>Total No. of Lawyers with Active Licenses</th>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>11,449</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>100% Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>12,844</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100% Bar Association general budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>18,107</td>
<td>2,856,750</td>
<td>1,769,500</td>
<td>1,087,250</td>
<td>100% Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>3,875</td>
<td>617,383</td>
<td>617,383</td>
<td>617,383</td>
<td>90% Supreme Court assessed fees 4% Bar Examiner Contract 2% Interest 2% Other Income 2% Fund Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>28,230</td>
<td>2,119,408</td>
<td>2,119,408</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100% Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>41,897</td>
<td>5,596,420</td>
<td>2,967,109</td>
<td>1,839,873</td>
<td>100% Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>33,087</td>
<td>3,263,570</td>
<td>2,499,464</td>
<td>764,106</td>
<td>100% Bar Association earmarked dues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>21,213</td>
<td>1,915,966</td>
<td>1,915,966</td>
<td>1,915,966</td>
<td>100% Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>6,200</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100% Bar Association membership fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>24,889</td>
<td>1,312,543</td>
<td>1,209,743</td>
<td>102,800</td>
<td>100% Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>5,840</td>
<td>342,313</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100% Bar Association general budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>5,020</td>
<td>654,530</td>
<td>654,530</td>
<td>654,530</td>
<td>100% dues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE*</th>
<th>Total No. of Lawyers with Active Licenses</th>
<th>Total Lawyer Discipline System Budget ($)</th>
<th>Total Discipline Counsel or Comparable Prosecutorial Office Budget ($)</th>
<th>Total Discipline Board or Comparable Administrative/Adjudicative Budget ($)</th>
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</thead>
</table>
| New Hampshire   | 3,973                                    | 411,166                                  | 411,166                                                           | N/A                                                               | 90% Supreme Court assessed fee  
10% NH Supreme Court pays pro-rate share of salaries, benefits, rent, and phone |
| New Jersey      | 70,264                                   | 6,645,915                                | 4,001,924                                                         | 1,380,011                                                         | 100% Supreme Court assessed fees |
| New Mexico      | 5,540                                    | 616,036                                  | 611,036                                                           | 616,036                                                           | 100% Bar association earmarked dues |
| New York 1st Jud. Dept. | 59,478                                | 2,176,288                                | 2,176,288                                                         | N/A                                                               | 100% Legislative appropriation |
| New York 2nd & 11th Jud. Dist. | 10,267                                | N/A                                      | 1,200,000                                                         | N/A                                                               | 100% Legislative appropriation |
| New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 9th Jud. Dist. | 9,235                                 | N/A                                      | 1,296,611                                                         | N/A                                                               | 100% Legislative appropriation |
| New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 10th Jud. Dist. | 14,063                                | N/A                                      | N/A                                                               | N/A                                                               | 100% Legislative appropriation |
| New York 3rd Jud. Dept. | 8,900                                  | N/A                                      | 754,000                                                           | N/A                                                               | 100% Legislative appropriation |
| New York 4th Jud. Dept. | 10,515                                 | N/A                                      | 1,440,707                                                         | N/A                                                               | 100% Registration fees |
| North Carolina  | 17,251                                   | N/A                                      | N/A                                                               | 58,914                                                            | 100% Bar Association earmarked dues |
| North Dakota¹   | 1,856                                    | 255,926                                  | 255,962                                                           | N/A                                                               | 55% Bar Association earmarked dues  
45% Legislative appropriation |

¹New Hampshire - Included in budget for Lawyer Discipline System.  
²North Dakota - Budget for lawyer discipline system and Disciplinary Counsel's office includes the Judicial Conduct Commission.
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>36,767</td>
<td>4,302,300</td>
<td>1,525,229</td>
<td>655,790 (100%) Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>14,605</td>
<td>659,818</td>
<td>Included in $659,818</td>
<td>100% Bar Association dues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>11,300</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>1,322,000</td>
<td>40,000 (100%) Bar Association earmarked dues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>54,052</td>
<td>6,292,698</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A (87%) Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>4,132</td>
<td>571,088</td>
<td>Included in $571,088</td>
<td>100% Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>9,916</td>
<td>278,245</td>
<td>500,633</td>
<td>278,245 (100%) Supreme Court general budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>15,219</td>
<td>1,440,500</td>
<td>1,440,500</td>
<td>6,000 (95%) Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>65,285</td>
<td>8,209,153</td>
<td>7,283,407</td>
<td>78,000 (100%) Bar Association earmarked dues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>2,159</td>
<td>288,129</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A (100%) Legislative appropriation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>22,720</td>
<td>6,397,800</td>
<td>2,423,880</td>
<td>N/A (100%) Legislative appropriation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>18,278</td>
<td>2,814,296</td>
<td>2,791,296</td>
<td>84,728 (90%) Bar Association earmarked licensing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>19,984</td>
<td>1,463,450</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Included in $1,463,450 (100%) Supreme Court assessed fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>1,807</td>
<td>114,844</td>
<td>15,700</td>
<td>7,200 Budgeted annually out of total revenue (license and non-license fees)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>