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I. The History of the Frye Standard in Florida
   a. Frye v. United States, 293 F. 1013 (D.C. Cir. 1923)
   b. The establishment of the Florida Evidence Code
   c. Stokes v. State, 548 So. 2d 188 (Fla. 1989)
   d. Hadden v. State, 690 So. 2d 573 (Fla. 1997)
   e. Brim v. State, 695 So. 2d 268 (Fla. 1997)
   f. Castillo v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., Inc. 854 So. 2d 1264 (Fla. 2003)
   g. The Court’s brief history of rejecting amendments to the Evidence Code (2000 to present)

   c. Daubert in Florida (pre-2013)
   d. Daubert and acceptance in other states
   e. Daubert vs. Frye – the debate on the standards

III. Florida Statutes Section 90.702
   a. The Legislature acts
   b. The history of the legislation
   c. Handling by federal courts

IV. DeLisle v. Crane Co., 258 So. 3d 1219 (Fla. 2018)
   a. Crane v. DeLisle, 206 So. 3d 94 (Fla. 4th DCA 2016)
   b. The jurisdictional debate
   c. Procedural v. substantive

V. The Now, and the Future
   a. Impact of DeLisle at the present
   b. Practical considerations in light of DeLisle
   c. Application of the DeLisle precedent in the future
   d. The future of Daubert in Florida