### WHAT WAS THE PAST YEAR’S MOST SIGNIFICANT LEGAL DEVELOPMENT FOR CIS DISPUTES PRACTITIONERS?

A debate in a fast-moving “PechaKucha” format  
(Each presenter gets 20 slides that automatically rotate every 20 seconds)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (HKT/MSK/GMT/ET)</th>
<th>Topics and Presenters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 7:50 - 8:50 pm HKT     | The new Russian law (adopted in June 2020) providing for the exclusive jurisdiction of the Russian state arbitrazh (commercial) courts over disputes involving Russian sanctioned individuals and entities (and any foreign entities controlled by them)  
  ○ Artem Doudko, Osborne Clarke, London, UK |
| 2:50 - 3:50 pm MSK     | Clarifications on Russian arbitration legislation – including the arbitrability of corporate disputes – issued by the Council for the Development of Arbitration at the Russian Ministry of Justice upon the joint request of Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre and the Vienna International Arbitration Centre (June 2020)  
  ○ Alice Fremuth-Wolf, Vienna International Arbitration Centre, Vienna, Austria |
| 11:50 am - 12:50 pm GMT | The UK court decisions in *Enka İnşaat ve Sanayi AŞ v OOO Insurance Co. Chubb, Chubb Russia Investments, et al*, setting out the criteria for determining the proper law governing an arbitration agreement  
  ○ Natalie Todd, PCB Litigation, London, UK |
| 7:50 am - 8:50 am ET   | Russian Supreme Court Resolution No. 53 (December 2019), “On Fulfilment by Courts of the Russian Federation of the Functions of Assistance and Oversight in Respect of Arbitral Proceedings and International Commercial Arbitration,” with clarifications on denial of enforcement on public policy grounds, arbitrability of disputes, provisional remedies, and other issues  
  ○ Dmitry Ivanov, Morgan Lewis, Moscow, Russia |
|                        | No signature, no problem? Recent trends on binding non-signatories to arbitration agreements  
  ○ Glenn Hendrix, Arnall Golden Gregory LLP, Atlanta, USA |
<p>|                        | China’s adoption of a new Civil Code on May 28, 2020 -- the first civil code since the founding of the People’s Republic of China in 1949 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3:50 – 4:10 pm MSK</td>
<td><strong>BREAK</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4:10 – 5:00 pm MSK | **INTERACTIVE “COFFEE SHOP” SESSIONS**  
**CONCURRENT**  
Group discussion will be facilitated by 2 or more moderators and the number of participants in each virtual room will be capped to encourage discussion  
**Arbitration Track**  
- Investment treaty arbitration in pandemic times and the new digital era  
  - Moderators: Olga Tsvetkova, Russian Ministry of Justice, Moscow, Russia  
  - Sarah Vasani, Addleshaw Goddard LLP, London, UK  
  - Olga Boltenko, Fangda Partners, Hong Kong  
- Data analytics in international arbitration and regulation of AI in IA  
  - Moderators: Paulius Docka, Primus Derling, Vilnius, Lithuania  
  - Wojciech Sadowski, Queritius, Warsaw, Poland  
- Taming the “unruly horse” – international arbitration and public policy  
  - Moderators: Anton Maurer, International Legal Services, Stuttgart, Germany  
  - Alfred Siwy, Zeiler Partners, Vienna, Austria  
<p>| 9:10 – 10:00 am ET | <strong>General Disputes Track</strong>                                                          |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5:00 – 5:15 pm MSK</td>
<td><strong>BREAK</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 5:15 – 6:15 pm MSK 10:15-11:15 am ET | **LIVE VIRTUAL ARBITRATION HEARING**  
**PART 1 : THE PRELIMINARY HEARING/CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**  
Watch an arbitration panel and counsel in a contentious case management conference as they address, among other issues, whether the merits hearing should proceed remotely over one party’s objection and, if so, the appropriate protocols for taking witness evidence.
| Arbitrators: | Grant Hanessian, Hanessian ADR, New York, USA  
Kathleen Paisley, Ambos Lawyers, Brussels, Belgium  
Jacomijn ("Jackie") van Haersolte-van Hof, London Court of International Arbitration, London, UK |
|---|---|
| Counsel: | Rupert D'Cruz, Littleton Chambers, London, UK  
Vladimir Khvalei, Baker & McKenzie, Moscow, Russia |
INTERACTIVE “COFFEE SHOP” SESSIONS
(CONCURRENT)

Arbitration Track

• Working from home as an arbitration practitioner in the Covid-19 era - juggling the often competing demands of clients, colleagues and family from the kitchen
  o Moderators: Anna Kozmenko, Schellenberg Whittmer, Zurich, Switzerland
  Fredrik Ringquist, Mannheimer Swartling, Moscow, Russia & Stockholm, Sweden

• Binding non-signatories to arbitration agreements – a comparative perspective
  o Moderators: Timur Aitkulov, Clifford Chance, Moscow, Russia
  Noah Rubins, Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer, Paris, France
  Patricia Shaughnessy, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

• Winning tips for documents-only arbitrations
  o Moderators: Jessica (Jia) Fei, King & Wood Mallesons, Beijing, China
  Drew Holiner, Monckton Chambers, London, UK

General Disputes Track

• COVID-related real estate disputes
  o Moderators: Aleksandr Khavin, Mango, Madrid, Spain
  David Marmins, Arnall Golden Gregory LLP, Atlanta, USA
  Amanda McNeil, Howard Kennedy, London, UK
  Andrey Zelenin, Lidings, Moscow, Russia

• Third party funding in pandemic times
  o Moderators: James Menz, Bombardier, Berlin, Germany
  Sergey Morozov, International Centre for Legal Protection, Moscow, Russia
**Nikolaus Pitkowitz**, Graf & Pitkowitz, Vienna, Austria

- “The state or not the state?” When state-owned companies are treated as a foreign state for the purposes of sovereign immunity?
  - Moderators: [Gene M. Burd](#), FisherBroyles LLP, Washington DC  
    [Antonia Mottironi](#), Monfrini Bitton Klein, Geneva, Switzerland

  The number of active participants in each virtual room will be capped at 25. In registering as an “active” participant, the registrant is committing to actually attend and contribute to the discussion.
  
  Group discussion will be facilitated by 2 or more moderators.
  
  There is no cap on the number of passive participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1:50 – 2:10 pm MSK</th>
<th>BREAK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:10 – 8:00 pm HKT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:10 am – 12:00 pm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:10 – 3:00 pm MSK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:10 – 8:00 am ET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INTERACTIVE “COFFEE SHOP” SESSIONS (CONCURRENT)**

**Arbitration Track**

- Tackling corruption and money laundering in international arbitration
  - Moderators: [Tatiana Minaeva](#), RPC, London, UK  
    [Alexey Dudko](#), Hogan Lovells, Moscow, Russia  
    [Marc Goldstein](#), MJG Arbitration, New York, USA

- Is the use of remote technology a new advantage for arbitration over litigation?
  - Moderators: [Oksana G. Wright](#), Fox Rothschild LLP, New York, USA  
    [Ed Crosse](#), Simmons & Simmons LLP, London, UK  
    [Alexey Yadykin](#), Freshfields, Moscow, Russia

- Arbitrability - who decides what is and is not arbitrable – judges or arbitrators?
  - Moderators: [Shelby R. Grubbs](#), Miller & Martin PLLC, Atlanta, USA  
    [Sabina Sacco](#), Lévy Kaufmann-Kohler, Geneva, Switzerland
Dos and don’ts in choosing an arbitral seat in the CIS
- Moderators: Bakhyt Tukulov, Tukulov & Kassilgov Litigation LLP, Almaty, Kazakhstan
  Peter Pettibone, Pettibone International ADR, New York, USA

General Disputes Track

Winning disputes over derailed M&A deals
- Moderators: Anastasia Bondarenko, Vannin Capital, Paris, France
  Tigran Ter-Martirosyan, Berkeley Research Group, Singapore
  Andre Yeap, Rajah & Tann, Singapore

Russian countersanctions responding to US/EU sanctions, including the June 2020 changes to the Russian Arbitrazh (Commercial) Procedure Code
- Moderators: Maxim Kulkov, Kulkov, Kolotilov & Partners, Moscow, Russia
  Yaroslav Klimov, Norton Rose Fulbright, Moscow, Russia
  Konstantin Kroll, Dentons, Moscow, Russia

The number of active participants in each virtual room will be capped at 25. In registering as an “active” participant, the registrant is committing to actually attend and contribute to the discussion. Group discussion will be facilitated by 2 or more moderators. There is no cap on the number of passive participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3:00 – 3:15 pm MSK</td>
<td><strong>BREAK</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:15 – 9:15 pm HKT</td>
<td><strong>LIVE VIRTUAL ARBITRATION HEARING</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15 – 4:15 pm MSK</td>
<td><strong>PART 2 : EXPERT WITNESS CROSS EXAMINATION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:15 – 9:15 am ET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Arbitrator:** George Bermann, Columbia Law School, New York, USA
- **Counsel:** Lisa M. Richman, McDermott Will & Emery, Washington DC, USA
### Richard Samuel, 3 Hare Court, London, UK

- Experts: Laura Hardin, Alvarez & Marsal, Houston, USA  
  Vladimir Nefediev, PWC, Moscow, Russia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4:15 – 4:30 pm MSK</th>
<th><strong>BREAK</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### INTERACTIVE “COFFEE SHOP” SESSIONS (CONCURRENT)

#### Arbitration Track

- Bankruptcy of a party to an international arbitration
  - Moderators: Sergey Petrachkov, Alrud, Moscow, Russia  
    Olga Savina, Parallel Legal Consulting, Moscow, Russia  
    Peter Ferrer, Harneys, British Virgin Islands

- What to tell your client about the security and privacy of case information in an arbitration: key points shared by ADR providers
  - Moderators: Miroslava Schierholz, International Centre for Dispute Resolution, New York, USA  
    Eric Ng, Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre, Hong Kong  
    Lise Alm, Stockholm Chamber of Commerce, Stockholm, Sweden

- 10 years on – have emergency arbitration procedures lived up to the promise?
  - Moderators: Peter Wolrich, Curtis Mallet-Prevost, Paris, France  
    Chanaka Kumarasinghe, HFW, Singapore

#### General Disputes Track

- Enforcing foreign bankruptcy decisions
  - Moderators: Thabiso ban den Bosch, Conway & Partners, Rotterdam, Netherlands  
    Dmitry Pentsov, Froriep, Geneva, Switzerland
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preparing for the post-coronavirus era in litigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>o Moderators: <a href="#">Brent Clinkscale</a>, Greenville, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valery Sidnev, EuroChem Group AG, Moscow, Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varvara Knutova, Kveidze &amp; Partners, Moscow, Russia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Managing parallel criminal investigations and civil litigation or arbitration proceedings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>o Moderators: <a href="#">Nikolas Ireland</a>, Macfarlanes LLP, London, UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall Coffey, Coffey Burlington, Miami, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vladimir Melnikov, Linklaters, Moscow, Russia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of active participants in each virtual room will be capped at 25. In registering as an “active” participant, the registrant is committing to actually attend and contribute to the discussion. Group discussion will be facilitated by 2 or more moderators. There is no cap on the number of passive participants.

All plenary sessions will be recorded and posted to YouTube. Our default plan is to also record the interactive “coffee shop” sessions; however, we will honor a request from the participants in any given session that the session not be recorded.