The Section of Criminal Justice recommends adoption of the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association, in implementation of the policy adopted in February, 1978, supporting efforts to combat family violence, recommends the following:

1. That shelters or other secure temporary residential facilities, together with counselling and other support services, be established for the victims of domestic violence.

2. That law enforcement officers who respond to domestic violence calls, after insuring that the victims of domestic assaults and their dependents have been removed to safe places as provided in #1, investigate the incidents, prepare written reports, and, in the event they conclude no criminal charges are appropriate, file written statements of the reasons for the decisions.

3. That prosecutors who decline to file criminal charges in domestic assault cases referred to them by the policy, state in writing the reasons for their decision not to prosecute, and provide the complainant with information as to alternative procedures.

4. That specific data related to the frequency, seriousness, and other characteristics of spousal assault, including disposition of complaints and the stated reasons for the particular disposition, as well as data on existing programs designed to respond to such assaults, be collected and analyzed by appropriate government agencies.
5. That the courts, in the determination of pretrial release, sentencing imposition or revocation of probation or parole, not treat the relationship between the parties as the primary factor.

6. That the state create a mechanism for responding to intrafamilial violence by establishing diversion programs and by providing counsel and other support services.

7. That statutes providing for arrest for violation of protective order (civil or criminal restraining orders) be enacted and enforced with regard to the relationship between the parties.

8. That the victims of domestic violence not be excluded from coverage under victim compensation legislation where they demonstrate the requisite quantum of injury and where they actually live separate and apart from assaulting spouses.

REPORT

Background

In February, 1978, the American Bar Association House of Delegates went on record supporting "federal, state and local efforts to combat the incidence, causes and effects of family violence," and "the implementation of programs to protect victims of family violence." The Section of Criminal Justice encourages ABA adoption of its recommendations as a means of making the prior House of Delegates action meaningful and of insuring its implementation through change in the criminal justice system itself. If the ABA is to play an effective role in helping to control family violence, it must provide leadership in bringing reform to the criminal justice system which, in the past, has too often ignored or sidestepped the problem. The Criminal Justice Section is well aware, from its 10-year program to implement the ABA Criminal Justice Standards, that procedures for modernization and change offered by the legal profession can have a significant impact in achieving true reform.

Domestic assaults constitute a widespread and often hidden crime. Measures are needed to insure that the criminal justice system responds to domestic violence as both a serious social and criminal problem. Going beyond the general state of principle adopted by the ABA in February, the Section urges backing for specific reforms which recognize the duality of the problem.

The Criminal Justice Section Council adopted these recommendations at its spring meeting in Dallas, Texas, in April, 1978. The report and recommendations were developed by its Committee on Women and Criminal Justice, chaired by Ruth Nordenbrook, a prosecutor in Seattle, Washington. The Section's Committee on the Police Function also studied the report and contributed suggestions to refine the final product.

Domestic Violence: Need for Data

Domestic assaults are acts perpetrated by one spouse upon another.


2. Good data on the extent of domestic violence nationally is scarce. Research by sociologist Richard J. Gelles, reported in The Violent Home: Physical Aggression Between Husbands and Wives (Sage Publications, 1974) that in one group of 80 lower and middle-class families, more than half reported incidents of marital violence; 26% participated in regular assaults.

3. For purposes of this report, "domestic assault" covers assaults by one partner, marital or quasi-marital partnership on the other, whether or not legally married.
For the most part, domestic assaults are not distinguished from other types of assaults by the statisticians of crime, the law, law enforcement officers, lawyers or the courts. Domestic assaults are, in fact, not considered criminal—or even wrong—by a significant proportion of Americans. \(^4\) Separate statistics on domestic assault are seldom kept and, since some observers contend that domestic assaults are the single most unreported crime in the country, \(^5\) it is clear that it will be some time after such statistics have begun to be collected before there can be a clear picture of the nature and extent of the problem.

There is also reason to believe that incidents of assault stemming from domestic quarrels are underreported in victimization surveys because some victims do not consider such acts to be crimes. \(^6\)

The limited amount of data available underscores the fact that domestic violence is an extremely serious social and criminal problem. According to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, in 1974 murder within the family made up approximately one quarter of all murder offenses. Further, over one half of these family killings involved one spouse killing the other. \(^7\) In its report on assaults on law enforcement officers the same year, the FBI reported that a large number of these incidents stemmed from situations in which the officer was responding to a domestic disturbance call. "Any officer who has answered disturbance calls remembers the situation when he became a substitute target in a husband and wife quarrel...", the Uniform Crime Reports noted. \(^8\)

A 1971 report on homicides in the State of California found that 33% of female homicide victims were murdered by their husbands. \(^9\) The figure for San Francisco in 1974 was 74%. \(^10\)

It is thus apparent that a very significant percentage of homicides in this nation are the result of domestic altercations. Interestingly, there is some indication that when the process of escalation of domestic violence finally culminates in a homicidal eruption, it is very often the husband who is left dead. \(^11\) The experience of one city, Kansas City, suggests that homicides stemming from domestic altercations are not totally unpredictable occurrences, committed suddenly and without warning. In fact, in Kansas City, police records indicate that in a fourth of the homicides and a little over a third of the aggravated assaults, one of the participants, either the victim or the perpetrator of the homicide or assault, had been arrested for disturbance in the two years prior to the event...In the two years prior to the assault or homicide, the police had been at the address of the incident for disturbance calls at least once in 85.4% of the cases and in 55%
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of the cases, they had been there five or more times. 12/

Another investigator, Commander James Bannon of the Detroit Police Depar-

The system cannot be held accountable for its respon-
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13. "Law Enforcement Problems with Intra-Family Violence," presentation at the

Despite the high correlation between spousal assaults and homicide, police departments across the country too often place a low priority on reports of domestic violence. Women are told to come into the police station and file a citizen's complaint at a later time. Some police departments have informal "stitch rules." As one writer has noted, "They will arrest a husband only if the wound he has inflicted is severe enough to require a specific--and considerable--number of stitches." 15/ Some police training manuals have, in fact, downplayed the significance of domestic assaults as criminal conduct, declaring that family disputes are "personal matters requiring no direct action," and counselling the officer that "once inside the home, the officer's sole purpose is to preserve the peace... attempt to soothe feelings, pacify parties...the power of arrest should be exercised as a last resort." 16/

The Section's Recommendation #2 is aimed at helping insure that police officers respond to incidents of violent behavior in the home by more than just cooling things down. If serious criminal injury has occurred, the mechanisms of the criminal justice system should be invoked. In line with Recommendation #1, the officer would first insure the safety of the victim and any dependents, and would thereafter investigate the incident and prepare a written report. If the officer concludes that no criminal charge is appropriate, a written statement of the reasons for that decision must be filed, thus insuring accountability for the action taken.

Once the police officer judges the incident serious enough to warrant further official action, however, there is no guarantee that the prosecutor will be willing to file a case. The prosecutor may have doubts as to the victim's enunciated willingness to proceed and as to a sufficient assurance of future cooperation. Too frequently, as one observer has written, the prosecutor bases that decision on his or her own general perception of such cases--rather than on the specifics of the case before him or her:

Prosecutors have been observed to reject cases not because of perceived witness noncooperation in those cases but in anticipation of noncooperation. For example, observations of prosecutors' practices during case screening indicate that attorneys occasionally make judgments about the likelihood of continued cooperation by those witnesses related to, or otherwise acquainted with, the defendant. There is a tendency for prosecutors, based on prior experience, to predict that those witnesses will become noncooperators notwithstanding the witness's current behavior to the contrary.17/

The Section's Recommendation #3 is an attempt to counter the prosecutor's inclination to treat some criminal conduct as inconsequential because of the relationship of the parties. Prosecutors who declined to file criminal charges in such cases would have to state in writing their reasons for deciding not to prosecute. This is a workable proposal, for many district attorney offices around the country already routinely require statement of reasons for declination of prosecution in such case. This practice protects the prosecutors, as well. If a prosecutor legitimately doubts the complaining witness' commitment to cooperate in the future--and can articulate those reasons--prosecution can be declined. In such cases, however, the complainant should be provided with information on alternative procedures.

16. Quotes from International Assn. of Chiefs of Police training bulletin cited by Ms. Gingold, Id.
The Section recognizes that many police and prosecutors have had mult
experiences with the spouse who complains of a beating, insists on arrest,
even files a complaint, and then decides not to proceed, or—more dramatic
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One attorney has even drawn the conclusion, in pointing out the inadequacy of civil remedies to the problem of battering, that some men are immunized from the normal legal process by virtue of their marriage to the victim. 22/

**Solutions**

While there are no instant solutions to the deep-seated problems of domestic violence, there are significant changes which can be made in the way the criminal justice system responds.

The Section's eight-part package includes recommendations for changes at a number of key points in the criminal justice system. Domestic violence must be viewed as a public, rather than a private, issue. The most urgent need is for the criminal justice system to treat serious domestic assaults as crimes, and to respond accordingly. The police, for example, should reconsider the decision not to arrest when the assault is committed in the officer's presence, when there have been prior assaults by the same suspect, when the victim strongly desires an arrest, when a weapon is present, or when a restraining order has been violated. The Section's Recommendation #2 would require the police officer to justify—and think through—a decision not to arrest. Looking at the problem of restraining orders, it should be noted that police officers may not arrest for violation of such orders per se without enabling legislation. The Section's Recommendation #7 addresses that problem. Models for progressive legislation along this line do exist—as in the State of Washington. 23/ It must be recognized, however, that unless police officers have an understanding of and commitment to the principle which such a statute embodies, that the law will remain an empty promise. (The New York lawsuit, for example, was necessary despite the existence of apparently adequate legislation.)

More responsive law enforcement is not enough, however. More must be done than simply punishing abusive husbands. As one writer has observed, "Increasingly, concerned community groups are turning their attention to the needs of women trapped in violent marriages. Their most urgent requirement is simply a place to go—a place where they can marshal their determination to improve their lives." 24/ Shelters for battered women and their children are beginning to grow in this country and in Great Britain. This has recently been the subject of greatly increased Congressional interest, where legislation to provide federal funding for community programs and neighborhood shelters has gained increasing support. 25/ The Section's Recommendation #1 calls for ABA support for creation of such temporary residential facilities.

Since many domestic altercations do not result in acts which are felonious, formal procedures short of arrest, trial and conviction should also be created. Recommendation #6 calls for creation of mechanisms for responding to intrafamilial violence by establishing diversion programs and providing counseling and other support services. Just as diversion programs have been set up for alcohol-related driving offenses—motivated by a recognition that drunk drivers are dangerous but that jail does not resolve the problem—so should such programs be set up for assaultive husbands. One attorney working in this area believes that diversion programs for assaultive spouses may constitute a means of interrupting a pattern of domestic violence before it culminates in death or maiming. 26/
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25. See, for example, comments of Congressman John Brademas during May 23, 1978 debate on H.R. 12299 (Domestic Violence Assistance Act), Congressional Record, at H.4425.
26. Comments of Kay Frank, Coordinator of Battered Women's Project at Seattle, Washington Legal Services to Ruth Nordenbrook.
Persons engaging in violent or threatening misdemeanors could be brought to court by means of a special citation. Such persons could also be given the option of engaging in a program of counseling which, if completed, would trigger the dismissal of the complaint and which—more importantly—might break the habit of abuse. If this alternative were unacceptable to the person, the complaint would be referred to a prosecutor for formal action. This necessitates, however, the prosecutor and court's following through by processing dropouts.

Victims of interspousal assaults are also now excluded from the provisions of most state crime victim compensation laws (where such statutes exist) because legislators' fears of collusion and fraudulent claims. Women are thus thrown on their one source of support—their husbands—and it is small wonder that they renego on their commitment to prosecute the source of the bread and butter. Fortunately, in the family where domestic violence is the rule, the quid pro quo the bread and butter is often blood. The Section's Recommendation #8, is aimed at insuring that domestic violence victims are not excluded from existing victim compensation legislation—when they can demonstrate the requisite quantum of effort and when they actually live separate and apart from the assaulting spouse.

Since the ABA has previously gone on record in support of crime victim legislation, Recommendation #8 is a logical extension of the existing efforts.

Conclusion
To make meaningful the general statement adopted in February encouraging the ABA to combat family violence, the ABA should go on record favoring specific recommendations in the criminal justice system to handle domestic assaults. The system's response has too often been a nonresponse. Concrete proposals are needed. The eight recommendations proposed herein would go a long way towards correcting past problems. They should be stressed that all these measures are needed; a piecemeal response to break the pattern of domestic violence. The ABA's recognition of the plight of crime victims has already been demonstrated by its support for crime victim compensation legislation, and the Criminal Justice Section's active initiatives through the Special Committee on Victims. This resolution would carry that work forward.

The legal profession can play a significant role in helping the criminal justice system respond effectively to the problem of domestic violence.

Respectfully submitted,

B. James George, Jr.
Chairperson

August, 1978

27. In 1967, the ABA supported pending federal crime victim compensation legislation in principle, and in 1973 supported the Uniform Crime Victims Reparations Act.