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SUMMARY: We are hereby issuing final regulations for the regulatory program of the Corps of Engineers. These regulations consolidate earlier final, interim final, and certain proposed regulations along with numerous changes resulting from the consideration of the public comments received. The major changes include modifications that provide for more efficient and effective management of the decision-making processes, clarifications and modifications of the enforcement procedures, modifications to the nationwide permit program, revision of the permit form, and implementation of special procedures for artificial reefs as required by the National Fishing Enhancement Act of 1984.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Sam Collinson or Mr. Bernie Goode, HQDA (DAEN-CWO-N), Washington, DC 20314-1000, (202) 272-0199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Consolidation of Corps Permit Regulations

These final regulations consolidate and complete the six following rulemaking events affecting the Corps regulatory program:

1. Interim Final Regulations. These regulations contained Parts 320-330 and were published (47 FR 31794) on July 22, 1982, to incorporate policy and procedural changes resulting from legislative, judicial, and administrative actions that had occurred since the previous final regulations had been published in 1977. Because it had been almost two years since we had proposed changes to the 1977 regulations, we published the 1982 regulations as "interim final" and asked for public comments. We received nearly 200 comments.

2. Proposed Regulatory Reform Regulations. On May 12, 1983, we published (48 FR 21466) proposed revisions to the interim final regulations to implement the May 7, 1982, directives of the Presidential Task Force on Regulatory Relief. The Task Force directed the Army to reduce uncertainty and delay, give the states more authority and responsibility, reduce conflicting and overlapping policies, expand the use of general permits, and redefine and clarify the scope of the permit program. Since these regulations proposed changes to our existing nationwide permits and the addition of two new nationwide permits, a public hearing was held in Washington, DC, on October 12, 1983, to obtain comments on these proposed changes. As a result of the public comments received, nearly 500 in response to the proposed regulations and 22 at the public hearing, we have determined that some of the proposed revisions should be adopted and some should not. We have adopted some of the provisions that were designed to clarify policies for evaluating permit applications, to revise certain permit processing procedures, to add additional conditions to existing nationwide permits, and to modify certain nationwide permit procedures. We have not adopted some of the other proposed changes, including the two proposed new nationwide permits.

3. Settlement Agreement Final Regulations. On October 5, 1984, we published (49 FR 39478) final regulations to implement a settlement agreement reached in a suit filed by 16 environmental organizations in December of 1982 against the Department of the Army and the Environmental Protection Agency (NWF v. Marsh) concerning several provisions of the July 22, 1982, interim final regulations. The court approved the settlement agreement on February 10, 1984, and on March 29, 1984, we published (49 FR 12660) the implementing proposed regulations. We received over 150 comments on these proposed regulations offering a full range of views. Those comments which were applicable to the provisions of the March 29, 1984, proposals were considered and addressed in the final regulations published on October 5, 1984. The remaining comments have been considered in the development of the final regulations we are issuing today.

In the October 5, 1984, final rule there were several new provisions relating to the 404(b)(1) guidelines. In 33 CFR 320.4(a)(1) we clarified the fact that no 404 permit can be issued unless it complies with the 404(b)(1) guidelines. If a proposed action complies with the guidelines, a permit will be issued unless the district engineer determines that it will be contrary to the public interest. In 33 CFR 323.6(a) we stated that district engineers will deny permits for discharges which fail to comply with the 404(b)(1) guidelines, unless the economic impact on navigation and anchorage necessitates permit issuance pursuant to section 404(b)(2) of the Clean Water Act. Although no 404 permit can be issued unless compliance with the 404(b)(1) guidelines is demonstrated (i.e., compliance is a prerequisite to issuance), the 404(b)(1) evaluation is conducted simultaneously with the public interest review set forth in 33 CFR 320.4(a).

4. Proposed Permit Form Regulations. On May 23, 1985, we published (50 FR 21311) proposed revisions to 33 CFR Part 325 (Appendix A), which contains the standard permit form used for the issuance of Corps permits and the related provisions concerning special conditions. This proposal provided for the complete revision of the permit form and its related provisions to make them easier for permittees to understand. General permit conditions were written in plain English and greatly reduced in number; unnecessary material was deleted; and material which is informational in nature was reformatted under a "FURTHER INFORMATION" heading. We received 18 comments on this proposal.

5. Proposed Regulations to Implement the National Fishing Enhancement Act of 1984 (NFEA). On July 26, 1985, we published (50 FR 30479) proposed regulations to implement a portion of the Corps regulatory responsibilities pursuant to the NFEA. Specialized procedures relative to the processing of Corps permits for artificial reefs were proposed for inclusion in Parts 322 and 325. Eight organizations commented on these proposed regulations. The NFEA also authorizes the Secretary of the Army to assess a civil penalty on any person who, after notice and an opportunity for a hearing, is found to have violated any provision of a permit issued for an artificial reef. Procedures for implementing such civil penalties will be proposed at a later date. In addition, we are hereby notifying potential applicants for artificial reef permits that the procedures contained in Part 323 relating to the discharge of dredged or fill materials and those in Part 324 relating to the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of dumping in ocean waters will be used in the processing of artificial reef permits when applicable.

6. Proposed Regulations (Portion of Part 323 and All of Part 326. On March 20, 1986, we published (51 FR 9691) a proposed change to 33 CFR 323.2(d), previously 323.2(j), to reflect the Army's policy regarding de minimis or incidental soil movements occurring...
during normal dredging operations and a proposed, complete revision of the
Corps of Engineers enforcement procedures (33 CFR Part 326). Seventeen comment letters were received on these proposed regulations. These comments and the resulting changes reflected in the final regulations for § 323.3(d) and Part 326 are discussed in detail below.

Environmental Documentation
We have determined that this action does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. Appropriate environmental documentation has been prepared for all permit decisions. Environmental assessments for each of the nationwide permits previously issued or being modified today are available from the Corps of Engineers. You may obtain these assessments by writing to the address listed in this preamble. Considering the potential impacts, we have determined that none required an environmental impact statement.

Discussion of Public Comments and Changes

Part 320—General Regulatory Policies

Section 320.4: In the May 12, 1983, proposed rule and the March 29, 1984, proposed rule we proposed changes to § 320.4(a)—public interest review, Section 320.4(b)—effect on wetlands, Section 320.4(c)—fish and wildlife, 320.4(g)—consideration of property ownership, and 320.4(i)—other Federal, state or local requirements. Changes to these paragraphs were adopted in the October 5, 1984, final rule. The various comments relating to these proposals have been fully discussed in the October 5, 1984 final rule (49 FR 39478).

Section 320.4(i)(3): Many commenters objected, some strongly, to the deletion in the October 5, 1984, final regulations of the term "great weight" from § 320.4(c), the paragraph concerning the consideration of opinions expressed by fish and wildlife agencies. Many stated that fish and wildlife agencies had the expertise and knowledge to know the impact of work in wetlands; therefore, their opinions should be given strong consideration. Some commenters supported removal of the "great weight" statement expecting less value would be given fish and wildlife agency views. It is not our intention to reduce or discount the value or expertise of fish and wildlife agency comments or those of any other experts in any field. Comments also varied from support of inactivation to the deletion of the "great weight" statement from the other policy statements such as energy and navigation in § 320.4. Therefore, we added a new paragraph (a)(3) to clarify our position on how we consider comments from the public, including those from persons or agencies with special expertise on particular factors in the public interest review.

Section 320.4(b)(1): One commenter objected to the placement of the word "some" in this paragraph as a rewrite of E.O. 11990 which places no qualifier on "wetlands" indicating that all wetlands are vital. We have found through experience in administering the Section 404 permit program that wetlands vary in value. While some are vital areas, others have very little value; however, most are important. We recognize that "some wetlands are vital . . ." is being read by some people as "Some wetlands are important . . ." This was not our intent. To avoid this confusion we have revised this paragraph by deleting "some wetlands are vital areas . . ." and indicating that "most" wetlands are important.

Section 320.4(b)(2)(v): We have included in the list of important wetlands those wetlands that are ground water discharge areas that maintain minimum baseflows important to aquatic resources. Scientific research now indicates that wetlands more often serve as discharge areas than recharge areas. Those discharge areas which are necessary to maintain a minimum baseflow necessary for the continued existence of aquatic plants and animals are recognized as important.

Section 320.4(b)(2)(viii): We have included in the list of important wetlands those which are unique in nature or scarce in quantity to the region or local area.

Section 320.4(d): We have revised this paragraph to clarify that impacts from both point source and non-point source pollution are considered in the Corps public interest review. However, section 208 of the Clean Water Act provides for control of non-point sources of pollution by the states.

Section 320.4(f)(1): Clarifying language has been added to this section to eliminate confusion regarding denial procedures when another Federal, state, and/or local authorization or certification has been denied.

Section 320.4(p): Some commenters felt that environmental considerations should take precedence over other factors. Other commenters believed that guidance should be given as to who determines whether there are environmental benefits to a project. Many commenters indicated that the regulation does not define the possible range of environmental benefits that will be considered. Environmental benefits are determined by the district engineer and the district staff based on responses received from the general public, special interest groups, other government agencies and staff evaluation of the proposed activity. Defining the possible range of environmental benefits would be almost impossible to cover in the rules in sufficient detail, since circumstances vary considerably for each permit application. After considering all the comments we have decided to make the change as proposed on May 12, 1983.

Section 320.4(q): Some commenters believed that this rule would distort review criteria by inserting inappropriate economic assumptions and minimizing environmental criteria. Some commenters suggested that the Corps revise this paragraph to include a provision to challenge an applicant's economic data and that of governmental agencies as well. Other commenters believe that economic factors do not belong in these regulations since the intent of the Clean Water Act is: "to restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation's waters"; therefore, any regulation under the CWA should have, as its primary objective, provisions which give environmental factors the greatest weight. They were concerned that this part may be applied to allow economic benefits to offset negative environmental effects. Some commenters, however, believed that the Corps should assume that projects proposed by state and local governmental interests and private industry are economically viable and are needed in the marketplace. They also believed that the Corps and other governmental agencies should not engage in detailed economic evaluations. Economics has been included in the Corps list of public interest factors since 1970. However, there has never been a specific policy on economics in the regulations. The Corps generally accepts an applicant's determination that a proposed activity is needed and will be economically viable, but makes its own decision on whether...
a project should occur in waters of the U.S. The district engineer may determine that the impacts of a proposed project on the public interest may require more than a cursory evaluation of the need for the project. The depth of the evaluation would depend on the significance of the impacts and in unusual circumstances could include an independent economic analysis. The Corps will balance the economic need for a project along with other factors of the public interest. Accordingly, § 320.4(q) has been modified from the proposed rule to provide that the district engineer may make an independent review of the need for a project from the perspective of the public interest.

Section 320.4(r): Many comments were offered as to the intent, scope and implementation of the proposed mitigation policy. Comments were almost equally divided between those who felt that the policy should be expanded and those that felt it should be more limited. The issues that were raised should not be used to outweigh negative public interest factors; mitigation should not be integrated into the public interest review; mitigation should be on-site to the maximum extent practicable; off-site mitigation extends the range of concerns beyond those required by Section 404. A wide range of views were expressed on our proposed mitigation policy, but virtually all commenters expressed need for a policy. The Corps has been requiring mitigation as permit conditions for many years based on our regulations and the 404(b)(1) guidelines. Because of the apparent confusion on this matter, we have decided to clarify our existing policy at 320.4(r).

The concept of "mitigation" is many-faceted, as reflected in the definition provided in the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) NEPA regulations at 40 CFR 1508.20. Viewing "mitigation" in its broadest sense, practically any permit condition or best management practice designed to avoid or reduce adverse effects could be considered "mitigation." Mitigation considerations occur throughout the permit application review process and are conducted in consultation with state and Federal agencies responsible for fish and wildlife resources. District engineers will normally discuss modifications to minimize project impacts with applicants at pre-application meetings (held for large and potentially controversial projects) and during the processing of applications. As a result of these discussions, district engineers may condition permits to require minor project modifications, even though that project may satisfy all legal requirements and the public interest review test without those modifications.

For applications involving Section 404 authority, mitigation considerations are required as part of the Section 404(b)(1) guidelines analysis; permit conditions requiring mitigation must be added when necessary to ensure that a project complies with the guidelines. To emphasize this, we have included a footnote to § 320.4(r) regarding mitigation requirements for Section 404. Clean Water Act, permit actions. Some types of mitigation measures are enumerated in Subpart H of the guidelines. Other laws such as the Endangered Species Act may also lead to mitigation requirements in order to ensure that the proposal complies with the law. In addition to the mitigation developed in preapplication consultations and through application of the 404(b)(1) guidelines and other laws, these regulations provide for further mitigation to the public interest review so indicate.

One form of mitigation is "compensatory mitigation," defined at 40 CFR 1508.20(e) to mean "compensating for the impact by replacing or providing substitute resources or environments." Federal and state natural resource agencies sometimes ask the Corps to require permit applicants to compensate for wetlands to be destroyed by permitted activities. Such compensatory mitigation might be provided by constructing or enhancing a wetland; by dedicating wetland acreage for public use; or by contributing to the construction, enhancement, acquisition or preservation of such "mitigation lands." Compensatory mitigation of this type is often referred to as "off-site" mitigation. However, it can be provided either on-site or off-site. Such mitigation can be required by permit conditions only in compliance with 33 CFR 325.4 and specifically with 33 CFR 325.4(a)(9). In addition to those restrictions, the Corps has for many years declined to use, and does now decline to use, the public interest review to require permit applicants to provide compensatory mitigation unless that mitigation is required to ensure that an applicant's proposed activity is not contrary to the public interest. If an applicant refuses to provide compensatory mitigation which the district engineer determines to be necessary to ensure that the proposed activity is not contrary to the public interest, the permit must be denied. If an applicant voluntarily offers to provide compensatory mitigation in excess of the amount needed to find that the project is not contrary to the public interest, the district engineer can incorporate a permit condition to implement that mitigation at the applicant's request.

Part 321—Permits for Dams and Dikes in Navigable Waters of the United States

The Secretary of the Army delegated his authority under Section 9 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, 33 U.S.C. 401 to the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works). The Assistant Secretary in turn delegated his authority under Section 9 for structures in intrastate navigable waters of the United States to the Chief of Engineers and his authorized representatives. District engineers have been authorized in 33 CFR 325.8 to issue or deny permits for dams or dikes in intrastate navigable waters of the United States" under Section 9 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. This section of the regulation and §§ 325.5(d) and 325.8(a) have been revised to reflect this delegation.

Part 322—Permits for Structures or Work in or Affecting Navigable Waters of the United States

Section 322.2(a): We have revised the term "navigable waters of the United States" to reference 33 CFR Part 329 since it and all other terms relating to the geographic scope of the Section 10 program are defined at 33 CFR Part 329.

Section 322.2(b): Commenters on the definition of structures indicated that several terms needed further amplification. It was suggested that the term "boom" be defined to exclude a float boom, as would be used in front of a spillway. The term was not redefined because those dams constructed in Section 10 waters do require a permit for a float boom. However, most dams in the United States are constructed in non-Section 10 waters and do not require a permit for a boom (floating or otherwise) unless it involves the discharge of dredged or fill material. It was suggested that the term "obstacle or obstruction" be modified to reinstate the language from the July 19, 1977, final regulations. We have adopted the suggestion which will clarify our intent that obstacles or obstructions, whether permanent or not, do require a permit; it will also assist in jurisdictional decisions on enforcement. It was suggested that "boat docks" and "boat ramps" be included in the list of structures, since these are frequently proposed structures. These have been included. It was suggested that the term "artificial gravel island" be added, as
Congress, by Section 4(e) of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act of 1953, extended the regulatory program to the Outer Continental Shelf, and specifically cited artificial islands as falling under Section 10 jurisdiction. This type of structure is also constructed on state lands within the territorial seas. Accordingly, artificial islands have been included.

Section 322.2(c): Two commenters discussed the definition of "work"; one stated that it was too broad and the other that it should be expanded. The present definition of the term "work" has remained unchanged for many years and has achieved general acceptance by the regulators and those requiring a permit. The present language has been retained.

Sections 322.2(f)(2) and 322.2(n)(2): Both of these sections are concerned with the definition of general permits. Several commenters expressed support for the additional criteria contained in the May 12, 1983 proposed rule. Other commenters expressed concern that the proposed criteria were illegal. Some commenters believed that the proposal would amount to a delegation of the Section 404 program to the states, and that this is not a prerogative of the Corps of Engineers. Many commenters expressed serious concern that state programs were not comprehensive enough to properly represent the public interest review. Still others objected to the proposal because there were no assurances that the state approved projects themselves were "similar in nature" or would have "minimal adverse environmental effects"; those objections extended to the proposal to assess the impacts of the differences in the State/Corps decisions. Some commenters suggested that an automatic "kick-out" provision, whereby concerned agencies could cause the Corps to require an individual application on a case-by-case basis, may provide sufficient safeguards for the proposal to go forward. Some commenters suggested that a preferred approach to reducing duplication would be for the Corps to express, in its regulations, direction for its districts to vigorously pursue joint processing, permit consolidation, pre-application consultation, joint applications, joint public notices and special area management planning. This change was proposed in 1983. At that time we believed that additional flexibility in the types of general permits which could be developed was necessary to effectively administer the regulatory program. Our experience since then has shown that the existing definitions of general permit at both of these sections is flexible enough to develop satisfactory general permits. Therefore we have decided not to adopt this proposed change. Because several definitions previously found in Part 328, § 323.2(n) has been redesignated § 323.2(h).

Section 322.2(g): This section adds the definition of the term "artificial reefs" from the National Fishing Enhancement Act and clarifies what activities or structures the term does not include. Two commenters suggested modifications, or clarifications, to this definition to ensure that old oil and gas production platforms can be considered for use as artificial reefs. We agree with their suggestion. The definition would include the use of some production platforms, either abandoned in place or relocated, as artificial reefs as long as they are evaluated and permitted as meeting the standards of Section 203 of the Act.

Section 322.2(h): This section was proposed to add the definition of the term "outer continental shelf" from the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (OCSLA). Two commenters suggested that the territorial sea off the Gulf Coast of Florida and Texas is greater than three nautical miles from the coast line. We have determined that this is not the case, and have decided not to include a definition of the term "outer continental shelf" in these regulations and to rely instead on the definition of this term that is already in the OCSLA.

Sections 322.3(a) and 322.4: Activities which do not require a permit have been moved from § 322.3 and included in § 322.4. The limitation of the applicability of Section 154 of the Water Resource Development Act of 1976 in certain waterbodies has been deleted because no such limitation exists in that Act.

Section 322.5(b): This section addresses the policies and procedures for processing artificial reef applications. One commenter suggested that the opportunity for a general permit should not be precluded by this section. A general permit for artificial reefs is not precluded by this regulation change. Furthermore, the opportunity for the issuance of general permits may be enhanced with the implementation of the National Artificial Reef Plan by the Department of Commerce.

Section 322.5(b)(1): This section cites the standards established under Section 203 of the National Fishing Enhancement Act. These standards are to be met in the siting and construction, and subsequent monitoring and managing, of artificial reefs. Two commenters insisted that these should be called goals or objectives, and several commenters said that more specific guidelines or criteria are needed to evaluate proposed artificial reefs against the standards established. Section 204 of the Act states that the Department of Commerce will develop a National Artificial Reef Plan which will be consistent with the standards established under Section 203, and will include criteria relating to sifting, constructing, monitoring, and managing artificial reefs. Specification of such criteria in these rules would be inappropriate in view of the intent of Congress to have the Department of Commerce perform this function. The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), acting for the Department of Commerce, has consulted with us in developing the National Artificial Reef Plan, and we will continue to consult with them to ensure permits are issued consistent with the criteria established in that plan. The Department of Commerce announced the availability of the National Artificial Reef Plan in the Federal Register on November 14, 1985.

The U.S. Coast Guard was particularly concerned that these rules be more specific with regard to information and criteria that will be used to ensure navigation safety and the prevention of navigational obstructions. Section 204 of the National Fishing Enhancement Act requires that the Department of Commerce consult the U.S. Coast Guard in the development of the National Artificial Reef Plan regarding the criteria to be established in the plan. One of the standards with which the criteria must be consistent is the prevention of unreasonable obstructions to navigation. In addition, the district engineer shall consult with any governmental agency or interested party, as appropriate, in issuing permits for artificial reefs. This includes pre-application consultation with the U.S. Coast Guard, and placing conditions in permits recommended by the U.S. Coast Guard to ensure navigational safety.

Section 322.5(b) (2) and (3): These sections state that the district engineer will consider the National Artificial Reef Plan, and that he will consult with governmental agencies and interested parties, as necessary, in evaluating a permit application. Two commenters supported this coordination. The NMFS requested notification of decisions to issue permits which either deviate from or comply with the plan. Paragraph (b)(2) requires the district engineer to notify the Department of Commerce of any need to deviate from the plan. In addition, the NMFS receives a monthly list of permit applications on which the
district engineer has taken final action. This should be sufficient notification for those permits which do not deviate from the plan.

Section 322.5(b)(4): Although some commenters strongly supported this section describing the liability of permittees authorized to build artificial reefs, several expressed concern that this provision was not clearly written or required specific criteria to assist the district engineer in determining financial responsibility. This paragraph has been rewritten to correspond closely with the wording in the National Fishing Enhancement Act, and examples of ways an applicant can demonstrate financial responsibility have been added.

Section 322.5(g): We have revised this paragraph on canals and other artificial waterways by eliminating procedurally-only provisions which are redundant with requirements in 33 CFR Parts 325 and 326.

Section 322.5(f): A new section on fairways and anchorage areas has been added. This section was formerly found at 33 CFR 209.135. We are moving this provision to consolidate all of the permit regulations on structures to this part. We will delete 33 CFR 209.135 by separate notice in the Federal Register.

Part 323—Permits for Discharges of Dredged or Fill Material Into Waters of the United States

Section 323.2: Several commenters supported moving the definitions relating to waters of the United States to a separate paragraph. As proposed on May 12, 1983, we have moved the term “waters of the United States” and all other terms related to the geographic scope of jurisdiction of Section 404 of the CWA to 33 CFR Part 328 which is titled “Definition of the Waters of the United States.” We believe that, by setting these definitions apart in a separate and distinct Part of the regulation and including in that Part all of the definitions of terms associated with the scope of the Section 404 permit program, we are better able to clarify the scope of our jurisdiction. We have not changed any existing definitions nor added any definitions proposed on May 12, 1983. Comments related to these definitions are addressed in Part 328 below.

We have not changed the definition of fill material at § 323.2(c). However, the Corps has entered into a Memorandum of Agreement with the Environmental Protection Agency to better identify the difference between section 402 and section 404 discharges under the Clean Water Act.

Section 323.2(d)—Previously 323.2(j): The proposed modification of this paragraph states that “de minimis or incidental soil movement occurring during normal dredging operations” is not a “discharge of dredged material,” the term defined by this paragraph.

Eight commenters raised concerns relating to this provision. Most of these supported the regulation of “de minimis or incidental soil movement occurring during normal dredging operations” in varying degrees. Two specifically expressed a belief that the fallback from dredging operations constituted a discharge within the intent of section 404 of the Clean Water Act. One of these states that the proposed provision was contrary to a binding decision by the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Ohio in Reid v. Marsh, No. C-81-690 (N.D. Ohio, 1984). Another commenter objected to the provision on the basis that it would force states that perceived a need to regulate dredging operations to regulate such activities under their National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System authority.

The recommendations of the above group of commenters included the regulation of dredging activities on an individual or general permit basis or on a selective basis that would take into account the scopes and anticipated effects of the projects involved. Two commenters expressed concern over the fact that discharge activities such as the sidecasting of dredged material might be considered “soil movement” that was “incidental” to a “normal dredging operation.” The final concern raised related to the list of dredging equipment cited as examples. This list was seen, alternatively, as too limited or as not limited enough in reference to the types of equipment that may be used in a “normal dredging operation.”

Four commenters supported the proposed provision as a reasonable interpretation of the section 404 authority of the Corps.

Section 404 clearly directs the Corps to regulate the discharge of dredged material, not the dredging itself. Dredging operations cannot be performed without a discharge. However, if we were to define this fallback as a “discharge of dredged material,” we would, in effect, be adding a discharge regulation of “normal dredging operations” and not to the disposal of the dredged material involved. If this material is disposed of in a water of the United States, by sidecasting or by other means, this disposal will be considered to be a “discharge of dredged material” and will be subject to regulation under section 404.

Section 323.4: We have made some minor corrections to this section to be consistent with EPA’s permit exemption regulations at 40 CFR Part 233.

Part 324—Ocean Disposal

Section 324.4(c): The language of this section on the EPA review process has been rewritten to clarify the procedures the district engineer will follow when the Regional Administrator advises that a proposed dumping activity does not comply with the criteria established pursuant to section 102(a) of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanitaries Act (MPRSA), or the restrictions established pursuant to section 102(c) thereof, in accordance with the provisions of 40 CFR 225.2(b).

Part 325—Permit Processing

Several minor changes have been made in this part. These changes involve requesting additional information from
an applicant, providing for a reasonable comment period, combining permit documentation, and documenting issues of national importance.

Section 325.1(b): This section has been rewritten to clarify the pre-application consultation process for major permit applications. No significant changes have been made in the content of this section.

Section 325.1(d)(1): One commenter on this content of applications paragraph asked that where, through experience, it has been found that specific items of additional information are routinely necessary for permit review, the district engineer should be allowed to develop supplemental information forms. Another observed that restricting production of local forms may inhibit joint permit application processes. If it becomes necessary to routinely request additional information, the Corps can change the application form, but that must be done at Corps headquarters with the approval of the Office of Management and Budget. This change does not place any additional restrictions on developing local forms. As is now the case, local forms may be developed for joint processing with a Federal or state agency.

Section 325.1(d)(8): This is a new section requiring an applicant to include provisions for siting, construction, monitoring and managing the artificial reef as part of his application for a permit. One commenter suggested that the criteria for accomplishing these activities must be completed in the National Artificial Reef Plan before establishment of such reefs can be encouraged. Another recommended that the regulation describe more specifically the information to be supplied by an applicant with regard to monitoring and maintaining an artificial reef. The plan includes general mechanisms and methodologies for monitoring the compliance of reefs with permit requirements, and managing the use of those reefs. It can be used as a guide for the information to be supplied by the permit applicant. Specific conditions for monitoring and managing, as well as for maintaining artificial reefs generally needed to be site-specific and should be developed during the permit processing.

The U.S. Coast Guard requested that they be provided copies of permit applications for artificial reefs, and that a permittee be required to notify the Coast Guard District Commander when reef construction begins and when it is completed so timely information can be included in notices to mariners. The district engineer may elect to consult with the Coast Guard, when appropriate, during the pre-application phase of the permit process. At any rate, the Coast Guard will receive public notices of permit applications, and may make recommendations to ensure navigational safety on a case-by-case basis. Appropriate conditions can be added to permits to provide for such safety.

Section 325.1(e): Several commenters expressed concern with language changes requiring only additional information “essential to complete an evaluation” rather than the former requirement for information to “assist in evaluation of the application.” They felt this change would reduce the data base on which decisions would be made. They indicated further that without necessary additional information, district engineers would not be able to make a reasonable decision, the public’s ability to provide meaningful comments would be limited, and resource agencies would have to spend more time contacting the applicant and gathering information. They felt this could increase delays rather than limiting them. Several commenters asked that the regulations be altered to specifically require submission of information necessary for a 404(b)(1) evaluation. Similar concerns were expressed with the change stating that detailed engineering plans and specifications would not be required for a permit application. Commenters advised that without adequate plans or the ability to routinely require supplemental information it may be impossible to assure compliance with applicable water quality criteria or make reasonable permit decisions. Other commenters wanted further restrictions placed on the district engineer’s ability to request additional information. Suggestions included altering the regulations to specify the type, need for, and level of detail which could be requested, and requiring the district engineer to prepare an analysis of costs and benefits of such information. Some commenters objected to requirements for providing information on project alternatives and on the source and composition of dredged or fill material.

This paragraph has been changed as proposed. The intent of this change was to assure that information necessary to make a decision would be obtained, while requests for non-essential information and delays associated with such requests would be limited.

Section 325.2(a)(8): The new requirement to document district engineer decisions contrary to state and local decisions was adopted essentially as proposed. The reference to state or local decisions in the middle of this paragraph incorrectly did not reference § 320.4(j)(4) in addition to § 320.4(j)(2).

The adopted paragraph references state and local decisions in both of these paragraphs.

Section 325.2(b)(1)(ii): The May 12, 1983, proposed regulations sought to speed up the process by reducing the 60 day comment/waiver period to 30 days for state water quality certifications. Commenters on this paragraph offered a complete spectrum of views from strong support for the proposed changes to strong opposition to the proposal. Comments within this spectrum included opinions that: states must have 60 days; certification time should be the same as allowed by EPA (i.e. 8 months); the proposal is illegal; it conflicts with some state water quality certification regulations and procedures; and it would reduce state and public input to the decision-making process. Most states objected to this reduction with many citing established water quality certification procedures required by statute and/or regulations which require notice to the public normally 30 days and which allow requests for public hearings which cannot be completed within the 30-day period. We have, therefore, retained the 60 day period in the July 22, 1982, regulations. Some Corps districts have developed formal or informal agreements with the states, which identify procedures and time limits for submittal of water quality certifications and waivers. Where these are in effect, problems associated with certifications are minimized.

Many commenters objected to the May 12, 1983, proposal to delete from the July 22, 1982, regulations the statement, “The request for certification must be made in accordance with the regulations of the certifying agency.” Deleting this statement will not delete the requirement that valid requests for certification must be made in accordance with State laws. However, we have found that, on a case-by-case basis in some states, the state certifying agency and the district engineer have found it beneficial to have some flexibility to determine what constitutes a valid request. Furthermore, we believe that the state has the responsibility to determine if it has received a valid request. If this statement were retained in the Corps regulation, it would require the Corps to determine if a request has been submitted in accordance with state law. To avoid this problem, we have decided to eliminate this statement.

Section 325.2(a)(2): Numerous commenters expressed concern with comment periods of less than 30 days. They were concerned that, in order to expedite processing times, 15 day
notices would become the norm. These commenters stated that 15 days was insufficient to prepare substantive comments and would not allow the public adequate participation in the permit process. The May 12, 1983, Proposed Rules was insufficient because it did not give the scope and location of the work to be covered. The commenters also indicated that the notice was insufficient for water quality certification and coastal zone consistency determination purposes.

General Conditions

General Condition 1: Several commenters stated that the specified three month lead time on the requesting of permit extensions was too long. We agree with these commenters and have, therefore, reduced this lead time from three to one month.

General Condition 2: One commenter recommended that the wording of this condition, relating to the maintenance of authorized work, be modified to indicate that restoration may be required if the permittee fails to comply with the conditions. We agree and have modified the condition accordingly. Another commenter stated that it would not be reasonable to enforce this condition when a permitted underground facility is abandoned. We generally agree with this statement. However, we believe the procedures governing the enforcement of permit conditions are flexible enough to allow a reasonable approach in such situations.

General Condition 3: One commenter indicated that this condition should be modified to require the permittee to halt work that could damage discovered historic resources and to protect those resources from inadvertent damage. That commenter also indicated that under certain circumstances it would not be necessary to notify the Corps or to halt work. This notification requirement has been in effect since 1982, and the continuation of this requirement provides for the Corps to be notified in a timely manner. With this notification, the Corps can react quickly to determine the appropriate course of action. We believe this approach has proven to be satisfactory. Therefore, this condition is being adopted as proposed.

Proposed General Condition 4: In our proposal, we specifically requested comments on this condition, which would require recording the permit on the property deed. More than half the comments received were on this proposal. All but one of the commenters who addressed this condition were critical of it to a greater or lesser degree. Institutional interest observed that this condition would only add to their costs, since once lands were purchased they were seldom sold. Institutional and industrial interests observed that permits often relate to easements and...
not to fee simple ownership and that compliance with the proposed condition, in such situations, would not be possible or meaningful under those circumstances. One commenter suggested that the recordation condition should not be necessary, provided permittees complied with proposed General Condition 5, which requires owners to notify the Corps when property is transferred. To strengthen the property transfer condition, we have modified the statement preceding the transferee’s signature to specify that the requirement to comply with the terms and conditions of the permit moves with the property. One commenter stated that a general condition requiring recordation where possible would be unfair, since it would not be uniformly applicable to all permittees. Further coordination with our field offices indicates that compliance with the use of the proposed condition probably occurs only in a few locations. This coordination also indicates that for some jurisdictions, where recordation is possible, the cost of recordation may be so great that it exceeds the benefits. Given that recordation may not be practical or appropriate for all Corps permits, we have deleted this general condition from the permit form and renumbered the remaining general conditions accordingly. On the other hand, the recordation requirement is appropriate and useful for many types of structures needing Corps permits, to provide fundamental fairness toward future purchasers of real property, to facilitate enforcement of permit conditions against future purchasers. For example, if the Corps were to issue a permit for a pier, that permit would require the owner to maintain the pier in good condition and in conformance with the terms and conditions of the permit. If the builder of the pier were to allow the pier to deteriorate, he could easily transfer the pier and associated property with no notice to the purchaser of the legal obligation to repair and maintain the pier, unless the permit were recorded along with the title documents relating to the associated property. This failure to give notice to prospective purchasers would be unfair, and would increase the Federal Government’s difficulty in enforcing permit conditions against future purchasers. Because of this important notice function, we have added a recordation condition under B. Special Conditions, for use wherever recordation is found to be reasonably practicable and appropriate.  

**General Condition 4 (Proposed General Condition 5):** One commenter suggested that this condition, relating to the transference of the permit with the property, be modified to provide for notice and approval from the Corps before the permit is transferred. The reason given for this suggestion was that the Corps may have special knowledge of the particular transferee’s history and capabilities and may wish to modify the terms and conditions of the permit accordingly. The suggested change would require the issuing office to conduct a review and prepare decision documentation every time property is transferred and there is a Corps permit involved. We believe that such a review in every case involving the transfer of a permit would constitute an inefficient use of available resources. Under the procedures contained in 33 CFR 325.7, a permit is subject to suspension, modification, or revocation at any time the Corps determines such action is warranted. We believe this is a better approach, and have, therefore, retained the proposed wording of this condition.  

**General Condition 5 (Proposed General Condition 6):** One commenter recommended that this proposed condition, which relates to compliance with the provisions of the water quality certification, be changed to provide for the modification of the Corps permit if EPA promulgates a revised Section 307 standard or prohibition which applies to the permitted activity. We agree that permits must be modified when circumstances warrant. Procedures governing modifications are contained in 33 CFR 325.7, and we advise permittees of these procedures in item 5 (Reevaluation of Permit Decision) under the “Further Information” heading. Therefore, since we believe this potential requirement for permit modifications is adequately covered under the “Further Information” heading, we have retained the proposed wording of this condition.  

**General Condition 6 (Proposed General Condition 7):** One commenter noted that compliance inspections should be conducted during normal working hours. As a general rule, this observation seems reasonable. However, since we believe that compliance inspections will be scheduled during normal working hours when possible, we have not made any changes to the proposed wording of this condition.
Service and the Corps before beginning work, be changed to allow verbal notifications followed by written confirmations. We have determined that this suggestion, if adopted, would greatly increase the chance of errors in notice documents published by the Government and would not be in the best interest of mariners. Two weeks advance notice is a reasonable period of time both for construction scheduling and for Government notification to mariners. Therefore, we have not adopted this suggestion.

One commenter suggested that a special condition be added, for use when appropriate, to require the permittee to carry out a historic preservation plan attached to the permit. The wording of special conditions are normally determined on a case-by-case basis. Only those that are used often and are subject to standardized wording are listed in Appendix A (B. Special Conditions). While we agree that special conditions in this nature may be required, we do not believe they lend themselves sufficiently to standardized wording to warrant adding a specific special condition to Appendix A.

Three comments were received which related to General Condition (n) on the previous permit form. This condition required the permittee to notify the issuing office of the date when the work authorized would start and of any prolonged suspensions before the work was complete. Two of the commentators recommended that this provision be retained as a general condition, and one commenter recommended that it be specified as a special condition. Our research indicates that this condition, as a general condition applicable to all permitted activities, has been virtually unenforceable in most areas and of limited use as a permit monitoring tool. We agree that special conditions requiring permittees to notify the Corps, in advance, of the dates permitted activities will start, are appropriate in certain situations. Two of these situations are covered by Special Condition 3 (maintenance dredging) and Special Condition 5 (charting of activities by National Ocean Service). Since we believe our field offices are in the best position to identify any other situations in which similar special conditions would be appropriate, we have not adopted these recommendations.

As discussed under Proposed General Condition 4 above, we have added a sixth special recordation condition for use where recordation is found to be reasonably practicable.

General: In addition to several editorial changes, we have added definitions for the word "you" and its derivatives and the term "this office" at the beginning of the permit form. We have substituted the term "this office" for references to the district engineer throughout the form.

Part 326—Enforcement

General: Three commenters objected to what they perceived as a lack of specific requirements and recommended that the word "should" be changed to "shall" throughout Part 326. Another commenter stated that the proposed regulations were too specific and recommended that a significant amount of the procedures in this Part be deleted and addressed in internal guidance. The word "should," where used, allows district engineers to base their enforcement actions on an assessment of what is the best approach on a case-by-case basis. The word "shall" would require district engineers to implement specified actions. Although such actions may be obviously inappropriate in relation to a particular case. We believe this flexibility is appropriate and have, therefore, retained the word "should" in most of the places where it occurred in the proposed regulations. However, the word "will" is used at various places in this Part where flexibility is not appropriate. We believe that the proposed language achieves a proper balance between the providing of necessary guidance and flexibility.

Finally, one commenter suggested that Part 326 be rewritten to include only two requirements: orders for immediate restoration of filled wetlands and referrals for legal action if these orders are not complied with. When Congress established the Corps regulatory authorities, it allowed for the issuance of permits. To ignore the issuance of permits as one means of resolving violations would be inappropriate. Section 326.1: As a result of further internal coordination, we have determined that it would be appropriate to make it clear that nothing in this Part establishes a non-discretionary duty on the part of a district engineer. Further, nothing in this Part should be considered as a basis for a private right of action against a district engineer. Therefore, we have rephrased and modified this paragraph accordingly.

Section 326.2: One commenter recommended that this statement of general enforcement policy be expanded to provide priority guidance on enforcement actions. Two other commenters recommended strengthening of this paragraph, with one recommending that it cite the firm and fair enforcement of the law to prohibit and deter damage, to require restoration, and to punish violators as the purpose of the Corps enforcement program. In that we refer in this paragraph to unauthorized activities, we are reflecting the fact that these activities are unauthorized and subject to enforcement actions pursuant to the legal authorities cited at the beginning of this Part. Further, the other recommended changes would simply duplicate the discussions of enforcement methods and procedures already contained in §§ 326.3, 326.4, and 326.5. However, we have added a statement to this provision to reflect the fact that EPA has independent enforcement authorities under the Clean Water Act, and thus, district engineers should normally coordinate with EPA.

Section 326.3(b): One commenter recommended that this paragraph be amended to require the establishment of numbered file systems for violations. Most Corps districts already assign control numbers to enforcement actions, and since this is an administrative function, we have determined that it would be inappropriate to include this requirement in a Federal regulation designed to provide enforcement policy.

Section 326.3(c)(2): One commenter suggested rewording of this paragraph to make it clear that a violation involving a completed activity may or may not be resolved through the issuance of a Corps permit. The reference in the proposed wording to not initiating "any additional work before obtaining required Department of the Army authorizations" apparently led to the commenter misunderstanding this paragraph. The intent of this wording related to warning a violator not to initiate work on other projects before obtaining required Corps permits. Since the violator is in the process of being made aware of the legal requirements for obtaining Corps permits, we have determined that this warning is unnecessary and have, therefore, deleted it.

Section 326.3(c)(3): One commenter recommended that this paragraph be amended to indicate that the information requested will also be used for determining whether legal action is appropriate in addition to determining what initial corrective measures may be required. We agree that the information obtained from violators may provide a basis for enforcement decisions other than those relating to interim corrective measures. Therefore, we have revised this provision to provide for notifying violators of potential enforcement consequences and for the more generalized use of the information provided by violators in the
identification of appropriate enforcement measures.

Section 326.3(f)(4): One commenter recommended that this provision be reworded to indicate that the limitations on unauthorized work of an emergency nature are to be established in conjunction with Federal and state resource agencies. We believe it is understandable that actions of this type will be completed on an expedited basis with the procedures in § 326.3(c-d) being followed concurrently. Since § 326.3(d) already provides for interagency consultations, in appropriate cases, we do not believe it is necessary to duplicate that guidance in this provision.

Section 326.3(f)(1): One commenter recommended that “initial corrective measures” be defined as measures “which substantially eliminate all current and future detrimental impacts resulting from the unauthorized work.” This commenter also recommended that the procedures in 33 CFR 320.4 and 40 CFR Part 230 be referenced for use in determining what “initial corrective measures” are required. Essentially, this commenter is recommending that all violators be denied a Corps authorization and required to undertake full corrective measures in the initial stage of an enforcement action. This would not be a reasonable or practical approach, since it would eliminate public participation and would result in the removal of work that may have been permitted under normal circumstances. Another commenter objected to the statement that further enforcement actions “should normally” be unnecessary if the initial corrective measures substantially eliminate all current and future detrimental impacts. This commenter believed that this provision as barring legal action in appropriate cases such as those involving willful, flagrant, or repeated violations. This is not the case. To say that such corrective measures “should normally” resolve a violation does not mean that they will “always” resolve a violation. Another commenter stated that consultations with the Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service should be made mandatory in this paragraph pursuant to the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act. The reason given was that this provision would result in the issuance of permits which would require such consultations. This paragraph deals with initial corrective measures and not with the issuance of permits. This will be given an opportunity to comment in response to a public notice before any decision is made on an after-the-fact permit application. In view of the above discussion, we have retained the proposed wording of this paragraph.

Section 326.3(f)(2): One commenter recommended that this paragraph be deleted on the basis that it provided the district engineer with too much discretion and questioned the cross-reference to § 326.3(d). This paragraph was intended to provide guidance to district engineers in situations involving prior initiations of litigation or denial of essential authorizations or certifications by other Federal, state, or local agencies. We believe district engineers should have the discretionary authority to determine what is a reasonable and practical course of action for the Corps under these circumstances. However, we have revised this paragraph to clarify its intent and to correct the cross-reference.

Section 326.3(d)(3): As a result of further review within the Corps, we have determined that the provision proposed as § 326.3(e)(1)(i), which states that it is not necessary to issue a Corps permit for initial corrective measures, should be moved to § 326.3(d) to more appropriately reflect the sequence of enforcement procedures. Therefore, we have modified this provision and established it as new § 326.3(d)(3).

Section 326.3(e): One commenter objected to the after-the-fact permit process, and observed that the process was generally seen as a mechanism to avoid compliance with the law. Exceptions to the processing of after-the-fact permit applications are contained in § 326.3(e)(i-iv). However, in most cases, the public participation associated with the processing of an application is necessary before a violation can be appropriately resolved. This commenter recommended that this paragraph be amended to specify the criteria for legal action and to require that public notices associated with after-the-fact permit applications clearly identify that a violation is involved. The criteria for legal actions are given in § 326.5(a), and permit decisions are based on whether an activity complies with the section 404(b)(1) Guidelines, where applicable, and on whether it is or is not found to be contrary to the public interest. Permit decisions are not based on whether a permit application is before or after-the-fact. We have, therefore, retained the proposed wording of this paragraph.

Proposed Section 326.3(e)(1)(i): We have deleted this provision here and have moved a modified version of it to new § 326.3(d)(3); see discussion under § 326.3(d)(3).

Section 326.3(e)(1)(i)—Proposed as § 326.3(e)(1)(i): This provision indicates that the processing of an after-the-fact permit application will not be necessary “when” detrimental impacts have been eliminated by restoration. One commenter recommended that district engineers be required to consult with EPA before determining that restoration has been completed that eliminates current and future detrimental impacts. We have addressed this comment by modifying § 326.2 and § 326.3(g) to provide for such coordination when the district engineer is aware of an enforcement action being considered by EPA under its independent enforcement authorities. Another commenter observed that the word “when” appeared to be in error and recommended substituting the word “unless.” This would indicate that the Corps should process an after-the-fact permit application only after restoration had taken place and there is no work requiring a permit. This obviously would not be reasonable. In view of the above discussion, we have retained the proposed wording of this provision.

Section 326.3(e)(1)(ii)—Proposed as § 326.3(e)(1)(iv): One commenter recommended that a provision be added to this paragraph to prohibit the acceptance of an application for a Corps permit where an activity is not in compliance with other Federal, state, or local authorizations or certifications. In essence, this amounts to requiring district engineers to take steps to enforce the terms and conditions of another agency’s authorization or certification. We believe this is the issuing agency’s responsibility and not the responsibility of the Corps. Of course, where that other agency has denied a requisite authorization, the Corps would not accept an application for processing.

Section 326.3(e)(1)(iii)—Proposed as § 326.3(e)(1)(iv): Two commentators recommended rewording of this paragraph to prohibit the acceptance or processing of any after-the-fact permit application when the Corps is aware of litigation or other enforcement actions that have been initiated by other Federal, state or local agencies. We believe the Corps should, in appropriate situations, be able to take positions on cases that are in conflict with the viewpoints of other agencies. Therefore, we have retained the wording of this paragraph essentially as proposed. However, since EPA has independent enforcement authorities, we have provided for coordination with EPA in §§ 326.2 and 326.3(g).

Section 326.3(g): One commenter indicated that this paragraph should delineate EPA’s responsibility over...
recognizing and reporting unpermitted discharges. This paragraph deals only with cases where EPA is considering an enforcement action. The reporting of violations is covered under § 326.3(a). Another commenter recommended that this paragraph be reworded to ensure that Corps actions under Part 326 are not in conflict with EPA enforcement actions. Another commenter, a state agency, suggested that this provision be expanded to require similar consultations with state agencies that have initiated enforcement actions. The reason we have provided for consultations with EPA in this paragraph is due to the fact that both the Corps and EPA have overlapping authorities pursuant to the Clean Water Act. This is not the case with state agencies. Nevertheless, we believe district engineers will wish to consult with state agencies in appropriate circumstances. In any event, as we stated in our discussion relating to the wording of § 326.3(e)(iv), we believe the Corps should have the right to take a position that may conflict with another agency's viewpoint. However, we have revised this provision to emphasize that district engineers should coordinate with EPA only when they are aware of enforcement actions being considered by EPA under its independent enforcement authorities.

Section 326.4(a-b): As a result of further internal coordination, we have determined that § 326.4(a) should make it clear that district engineers have the discretion to determine when the inspection of permitted activities is appropriate. We have modified § 326.4(a) accordingly. In addition, we have added a new § 326.4(b) to further discuss inspection limitations.

Section 326.4(d)—Proposed as 326.4(c): One commenter, a state agency, objected to the provisions in this paragraph for attempting to obtain voluntary compliance before issuing a formal compliance order. The rationale given was that the absence of a formal order would make coordination between the Corps and the state difficult. Another state agency recommended consultations with state agencies and with EPA. The proposed, no-compliance procedures do not prohibit early coordination with other regulatory agencies, when appropriate, and presumably, if the permitee quickly brings his work into compliance, such coordination should not be necessary.

One commenter objected to allowing a district engineer to issue a compliance order and to not making the use of Corps suspension/revocation procedures or legal actions mandatory. Another commenter recommended that suspension/revocation procedures or legal action be mandatory if a violator fails to comply with an order. The issuance of a compliance order is provided for in section 404 of the Clean Water Act, and in most cases, we believe that the methods available for obtaining voluntary compliance should be used before discretionary consideration is given to using the Corps suspension/revocation procedures or initiating legal action.

Another commenter objected to the term “significantly serious to require an enforcement action” on the basis that all violations are worthy of some enforcement action. Minor deviations from the terms and conditions of a Corps permit may not always warrant an enforcement action. For example, would a dock authorized to be constructed with a length of 50 feet but inadvertently constructed with a length of 51 feet constitute a violation warranting an enforcement action? We agree there may be extenuating circumstances, such as the additional length of the dock being just enough to impact the water access of a neighbor. However, this is a judgment that is best made by the district engineer involved.

One Commenter objected to the term “mutually agreeable solution” on the basis that such a solution could invalidate the prior results of coordination with resource agencies. Since this term refers to bringing the permitted activity into compliance or the resolution of the violation with a permit modification using the modification procedures in 33 CFR 325.7(b), such resolutions would not invalidate prior coordination. In view of the above discussion, we have retained the proposed wording of this paragraph.

Section 326.5(a): One commenter requested that the words “willful” and “repeated” be deleted from this paragraph, the rationale being, apparently, that most violators are not repeat or willful offenders and that the Corps should take the one opportunity it has to bring legal action against these one-time violators. We do not agree with this approach as being either reasonable or practical. Another commenter recommended adding violations resulting from substantial impacts to the list of violations that should be considered appropriate for legal action. We agree with this recommendation and have modified the wording of this provision accordingly.

Section 326.5(f): One commenter recommended rewording of this paragraph to require that copies be provided to EPA of Corps referrals to local U.S. Attorneys. We believe it would be more appropriate to address matters relating to the detailed aspects of interagency coordination in interagency agreements. Therefore, we have retained the proposed wording of this paragraph.

Section 326.5(f): As a result of further internal coordination, we have determined that litigation cases involving isolated water no longer need to be referred to the Washington level on a routine basis. Therefore, we have deleted this provision.

Section 326.5(f): One commenter recommended that the word “may” be replaced with the words “encouraged to” in the provision relating to sending litigation reports to the Office of the Chief of Engineers when the district engineer determines that an enforcement case warrants special attention and the local U.S. Attorney has declined to take legal action. We agree with this recommendation and have made the change.

Another commenter suggested that wording be added to this paragraph to address circumstances in which permits are not required. The fact that a legal option may not be available does not mean that a permit is not required. If the district engineer chooses to close the case record, the activity in question will still be unauthorized and therefore illegal. Such unauthorized activities will be taken into account if the responsible parties become involved in future violations. One commenter suggested that Corps attorneys initiate legal actions as an alternative to actions by local U.S. Attorneys. However, the Corps does not have the authority under existing Federal laws to initiate legal actions on its own.

Another commenter recommended that this paragraph be modified to provide for joint Federal/state prosecution of violators. Since this involves discretionary decisions on the part of the Department of Justice, it would not be appropriate to include a provision of this nature in the Corps enforcement regulations.

Part 328—Definition of Waters of the United States

This part is being added in order to clarify the scope of the Section 404 permit program. This part was added in direct response to many concerns expressed by both the public and the Presidential Task Force on Regulatory Relief. We have not made changes to existing definitions; however, we have provided clarification by simply setting
them apart in a separate and distinct Part 328 of the regulation.

The format for Part 328 has been changed slightly from the proposed regulation in order to improve clarity and reduce duplication. The content of the proposed § 328.2 "General Definitions" has been partially combined with § 328.3 "Definitions." The remainder has been reestablished as § 328.5, "Changes in Limits of Waters of the United States." Section 328.2 has been established as "General Scope." The proposed §§ 328.4 and 328.5 have been combined into § 328.4 and renamed "Limits of Jurisdiction."

A number of commenters appeared to have misinterpreted the intent of this part. Many thought we were trying to reduce the scope of jurisdiction while others believed we were trying to expand the scope of jurisdiction. Neither is the case. The purpose was to clarify the scope of the 404 program by defining the terms in accordance with the way the program is presently being conducted.

Section 328.3: Definitions. This section incorporates the definitions previously found in § 323.3 (a), (c), (d), (f) and (g). Paragraphs (c), (d), (f) and (g) were incorporated without change. EPA has clarified that waters of the United States at 40 CFR 328.3(a)(9) also include the following waters:

(a) Which are or would be used as habitat by birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties; or
(b) Which are or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds which cross state lines; or
(c) Which are or would be used as habitat for endangered species; or
d. Used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce.

For clarification it should be noted that we generally do not consider the following waters to be "Waters of the United States." However, the Corps reserves the right on a case-by-case basis to determine that a particular waterbody within these categories of waters is a water of the United States.

EPA also has the right to determine on a case-by-case basis if any of these waters are "waters of the United States."

(a) Non-tidal drainage and irrigation ditches excavated on dry land.
(b) Artificially irrigated areas which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.
(c) Artificial lakes or ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.

(d) Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.
(e) Waterfilled depressions created in dry land incidental to the special construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States (see 33 CFR 323.3(a)).

The term "navigable waters of the United States" has not been added to this section since it is defined in Part 329.

A number of comments were received concerning the proposed change to the definition of the terms "adjacent" and the proposed definitions for the terms "inundation", "saturated", "prevalence", and "typically adapted." A number of commenters believed that these terms may better define the scope of jurisdiction of the section 404 program, but such definitions should more rightfully be within the province of the Environmental Protection Agency in order to remain consistent with the opinion of Benjamin Civiletti, Attorney General (September 5, 1979). These definitions would require the prior approval of the Environmental Protection Agency, which has not been forthcoming. Therefore, these new proposed definitions will not be adopted at this time.

To respond to requests for clarification, we have added a definition for "tidal waters." The definition is consistent with the way the Corps has traditionally interpreted the term.

Section 328.4: Limits of Jurisdiction. Section 328.4(c)(1) defines the lateral limit of jurisdiction in non-tidal waters as the ordinary high water mark provided the jurisdiction is not extended by the presence of wetlands. Therefore, it should be concluded that in the absence of wetlands the upstream limit of Corps jurisdiction also stops when the ordinary high water mark is no longer perceptible.

Section 328.5: Changes in Limits of Waters of the United States. This section was changed to reflect both natural and man-made changes to the limits of waters of the United States. This change was made for clarification and resulted from consultation with the Environmental Protection Agency.

Section 328.6: Supplemental Clarification. Most commenters favored the Corps position that they should give special consideration to unique areas such as Arctic Tundra that do not easily fit the generic" wetlands definition. Several commenters indicated that the Corps should clarify its intended use of this section, and one questioned the need to "describe" unique areas in the Federal Register. A number of commenters indicated that criteria should be specified for determining wetland types to be included as unique areas. Some commenters stated that close coordination between the Corps and the Environmental Protection Agency will be necessary when selecting unique areas and developing procedures for making wetland determinations in such areas, since the Environmental Protection Agency has the final authority to determine the scope of "Waters of the United States."

While we believe that supplemental clarification of unique areas will be a positive step in clarifying the scope of jurisdiction under the section 404 permit program, we have determined that such supplemental clarification can be done under existing regulations of the Environmental Protection Agency and the Corps and therefore have deleted this section.

Part 329—Definition of Navigable Waters of the United States

We are currently planning to propose a complete revision of Part 329 in the near future, to simplify and clarify the procedures involved, while retaining the essential aspects of the relevant policy. In the interim, we are making the two minor changes discussed below.

Section 329.11: This section has been modified to clarify that the lateral extent of jurisdiction in rivers and lakes extends to the edge of all such waterbodies as it does in bays and estuaries (§ 328.12(b)).

Section 329.12(c): This section has been corrected to reflect that the territorial seas, for the purpose of Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 jurisdiction, extend 3 geographic miles everywhere and are measured from the baseline.

Part 330—Nationwide Permits

We are reissuing the 26 nationwide permits at § 330.5(a) as modified and conditioned. The nationwide permits will be in effect for 5 years beginning with the effective date of this regulation, unless sooner revised or revoked.

Section 330.1: This section was restructured and updated in order to improve its readability and technical accuracy. The definition concerning the division engineer's discretionary authority was deleted from this section since similar language appears in § 330.2. "Definitions." The discussion concerning the applicability of nationwide permits as they relate to
other Federal, state, and local authorizations was deleted from this section and relocated to § 330.5(d) “Further Information.”

Section 330.5: This nationwide permit for survey activities was clarified to show that it does not authorize the drilling of exploration-type bore holes for oil and gas exploration.

Section 330.5(b)(11) and (12): These conditions were adopted as proposed. They provide notification to the public that, within certain states, authorization for the activity may have been denied without prejudice as a result of state 401 water quality certification denial or nonconcurrence with Coastal Zone Management consistency. These conditions trigger the provisions of §§ 330.9 and 330.10.

Section 330.5(b)(13): This condition was added to alert the public that regional conditions may have been added by the division engineer in accordance with § 330.8(a).

Section 330.5(c): The Grandfathering provision included in the October 5, 1984, final regulations expires on April 5, 1986, before the effective date of these regulations and is, therefore, no longer needed and has been deleted. A new paragraph has been added to provide the public further information on nationwide permits as they relate to such things as compliance with conditions, other required authorizations, property rights, Federal projects, and revised or modified water quality standards.

Section 330.5(d): This paragraph has been added to clarify that the Chief of Engineers has the authority to modify, suspend, or revoke any nationwide permit.

Some states indicated in their comments that there might be other ways to reduce burdens on the public within their state other than the nationwide permits. One state suggested that it might be appropriate to revoke all the nationwide permits in favor of regional permits subject to interagency review. The authority exists for the Chief of Engineers to revoke some or all of the nationwide permits within a state. There are also existing provisions in the regulations for district engineers and the states to develop a permit system designed around specific state authorities. These existing provisions include regional general permits, programmatic general permits, transfer of the 404 program (see 33 CFR 323.5), joint processing, permit consolidation, preapplication consultation and special area management planning. Before adopting a permit system designed around specific state authorities, a public notice providing an opportunity for a public hearing would be issued outlining the proposed permit system within the state and the proposal to revoke the nationwide permits. If such a system is developed, the Chief of Engineers will consider revoking all or most of the nationwide permits within a state.
Section 330.8(c): The concept of case-by-case regional conditioning authority received overwhelming support. This new paragraph allows the division engineer through discretionary authority to add activity-specific conditions to nationwide permits on a case-by-case basis. The district engineer may do the same when there is mutual agreement with the permittee or when conditions are necessary based on conditions of a state 401 certification.

Section 330.8(c): This paragraph was modified to clarify that, although the division engineer has used discretionary authority to require individual permits, he may subsequently allow the activity to be authorized by nationwide permit if the impediment to using the nationwide permit, which triggered the discretionary authority, has been removed.

Section 330.8(c)(2): This paragraph has been modified to allow division engineers the discretionary authority to require individual permits for categories of activities or specific geographic areas. This authority was previously exercised by the Chief of Engineers. However, the Chief of Engineers is retaining this authority on a statewide or nationwide basis.

Section 330.9: Many commenters objected to the issuance of nationwide permits when a state denies a 401 certification. Their objections were based on the Clean Water Act requirement that "No license or permit shall be granted until the certification...has been obtained or has been waived." Commenters expressed strong concerns about the validity of such permits, and stated that issuance would constitute a de facto transfer of the administration of this portion of the 404 permit program to the objecting states. An attendant concern was that, if states were unable to respond within the time specified by the Corps, a waiver would be presumed, and the nationwide permit would become effective, whether or not this would have been the intent of the state. Some commenters suggested that states would be forced to deny certifications because of inadequate time to ensure that proposed activities would not violate water quality standards. Most commenters opposed district engineers having discretionary authority over conditions of the 401 certification. One commenter believes this authority conflicts with states' rights. Another suggested that the proposed action could prod states into adopting their own wetland bylaws and regulatory programs. Several commenters supported the proposal, stating that it was a means of preserving the utility of the general permit program.

Section 330.9 has been modified to provide that, if a state denies a required 401 certification for a particular nationwide permit, then authorization for all discharges covered by the nationwide permit within the state is denied without prejudice until the state issues an individual or generic water quality certification or waives its right to do so. We did not adopt the 30 day waiver period but rather will rely on the language at §325.2(b)(1) which defines a reasonable period of time. This section was also modified to notify the public that the district engineer will include conditions of the 401 water quality certification as special conditions of the nationwide permit.

Section 330.9(b): This subsection has been added to notify the public of the certification requirements of the various nationwide permits.

Section 330.10: A number of coastal states commented that consistency determination or waiver thereof must have been obtained prior to the promulgation of the nationwide permits. Some commenters asserted that such a requirement is not a statutory prerequisite to permit issuance. Others contend that assuming a waiver of certification preempts the individual state's authority and thwarts Congressional intent that the permit process involves oversight by the state as well as Federal agencies.

Section 330.10 has been modified to state that, in certain instances where a state has not concurred that a particular nationwide permit is consistent with its coastal zone management plan, authorization for all activities subject to such nationwide permit within or affecting the state coastal zone agency's area of authority is denied without prejudice until the applicant has furnished to the district engineer a coastal zone management consistency determination pursuant to section 307 of the Coastal Zone Management Act and the state has either concurred in that determination or waived its right to do so.

Section 330.11: This subsection was added to clarify existing procedures to establish a time limit in which a permittee may rely on confirmation from the district engineer that an activity is covered by a nationwide permit, and to specify procedures to modify, suspend, or revoke the permittee's right to proceed under the nationwide permit after the district engineer notified the permittee that the activity may proceed.

Section 330.12: This subsection was modified to provide a twelve month transition period for projects which may be affected by future changes in nationwide permits. After considering equity established in reliance on the nationwide permit and that the public will in all likelihood receive ample notice of proposed changes, we believe that this transition period is both reasonable and equitable. In addition, if necessary on a case-by-case basis we can, even though there is a grandfather provision, exercise discretionary authority pursuant to §330.8 or modify, suspend or revoke individual authorization pursuant to 33 CFR 325.7.

State Certification of Nationwide Permits

Most states have issued or waived 401 certification and/or Coastal Zone Management consistency concurrence for one or more of the twenty six nationwide permits. Many states have issued a conditional certification and some have denied certification/consistency concurrence. Final action is still pending in some of the states but is imminent. The primary mechanism for keeping the public informed of the status and/or changes in state certifications or Coastal Zone Management consistency concurrence will be public notices issued by the district engineers within the affected states. The district engineers will be issuing public notices concurrent with the publication of these regulations. Subsequent notices will be issued as changes occur.

Listed below are those states which, as of the date of this printing, have either denied or conditionally issued 401 certification and/or coastal zone management consistency concurrence for one or more of the nationwide permits. For more current and detailed information you should consult with the appropriate district engineer.


Determinations under Executive Order 12291 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act. The Department of the Army has determined that the revisions to these regulations do not contain a major proposal requiring the preparation of a regulatory analysis under E.O. 12291. The Department of the Army certifies, pursuant to section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, that these regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of entities.
Note 1.—The term “he” and its derivatives used in these regulations are generic and should be considered as applying to both male and female.

List of Subjects
33 CFR Part 320
Environmental protection, Intergovernmental relations, Navigation, Water pollution control, Waterways.

33 CFR Part 321
Dams, Intergovernmental relations, Navigation, Waterways.

33 CFR Part 322

33 CFR Part 323
Navigation, Water pollution control, Waterways.

33 CFR Part 324
Water pollution control.

33 CFR Part 325
Administrative practice and procedure, Intergovernmental relations, Environmental protection, Navigation, Water pollution control, Waterways.

33 CFR Part 326

33 CFR Part 327

33 CFR Part 328
Navigation, Water pollution control, Waterways.

33 CFR Part 329
Waterways.

33 CFR Part 330
Navigation, Water pollution control, Waterways.

Dated: November 4, 1986.
Robert K. Dawson,
Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works).

Accordingly, the Department of the Army is revising 33 CFR Parts 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 329, and 330 and adding Part 328 to read as follows:

PART 320—GENERAL REGULATORY POLICIES

Sec. 320.1 Purpose and scope.
320.2 Authorities to issue permits.
320.3 Related laws.

Sec. 320.4 General policies for evaluating permit applications.


§ 320.1 Purpose and scope.

(a) Regulatory approach of the Corps of Engineers. (1) The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has been involved in regulating certain activities in the nation’s waters since 1890. Until 1968, the primary thrust of the Corps’ regulatory program was the protection of navigation. As a result of several new laws and judicial decisions, the program has evolved to one involving the consideration of the full public interest by balancing the favorable impacts against the detrimental impacts. This is known as the “public interest review.” The program is one which reflects the national concerns for both the protection and utilization of important resources.

(2) The Corps is a highly decentralized organization. Most of the authority for administering the regulatory program has been delegated to the thirty-six district engineers and eleven division engineers. If a district or division engineer makes a final decision on a permit application in accordance with the procedures and authorities contained in these regulations (33 CFR Parts 320–330), there is no administrative appeal of that decision.

(3) The Corps seeks to avoid unnecessary regulatory controls. The general permit program described in 33 CFR Parts 325 and 330 is the primary method of eliminating unnecessary federal control over activities which do not justify individual control or which are adequately regulated by another agency.

(4) The Corps is neither a proponent nor opponent of any permit proposal. However, the Corps believes that applicants are due a timely decision. Reducing unnecessary paperwork and delays is a continuing Corps goal.

(5) The Corps believes that state and federal regulatory programs should complement rather than duplicate one another. The Corps uses general permits, joint processing procedures, interagency review, coordination, and authority transfers (where authorized by law) to reduce duplication.

(6) The Corps has authorized its district engineers to issue formal determinations concerning the applicability of the Clean Water Act or the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 to activities or tracts of land and the applicability of general permits or statutory exemptions to proposed activities. A determination pursuant to this authorization shall constitute a Corps final agency action. Nothing contained in this section is intended to affect any authority EPA has under the Clean Water Act.

(b) Types of activities regulated. This Part and the Parts that follow (33 CFR Parts 321–330) prescribe the statutory authorities, and general and special policies and procedures applicable to the review of applications for Department of the Army (DA) permits for controlling certain activities in waters of the United States or the oceans. This part identifies the various federal statutes which require that DA permits be issued before these activities can be lawfully undertaken; and related Federal laws and the general policies applicable to the review of those activities. Parts 321–324 and 330 address special policies and procedures applicable to the following specific classes of activities:

(1) Dams or dikes in navigable waters of the United States (Part 321);

(2) Other structures or work including excavation, dredging, and/or disposal activities, in navigable waters of the United States (Part 322);

(3) Activities that alter or modify the course, condition, location, or capacity of a navigable water of the United States (Part 322);

(4) Construction of artificial islands, installations, and other devices on the outer continental shelf (Part 322);

(5) Discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States (Part 323);

(6) Activities involving the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of disposal in ocean waters (Part 324); and

(7) Nationwide general permits for certain categories of activities (Part 330).

(c) Forms of authorization. DA permits for the above described activities are issued under various forms of authorization. These include individual permits that are issued following a review of individual applications and general permits that authorize a category or categories of activities in specific geographical regions or nationwide. The term “general permit” as used in these regulations (33 CFR Parts 320–330) refers to both those regional permits issued by district or division engineers on a regional basis and to nationwide permits which are issued by the Chief of Engineers through publication in the Federal Register and are applicable throughout the nation. The nationwide permits are found in 33 CFR Part 330. If an activity is covered by a general permit, an application for a DA permit.
does not have to be made. In such cases, a person must only comply with the conditions contained in the general permit to some extent of law for a DA permit. In certain cases pre-notification may be required before initiating construction. (See 33 CFR 330.7)

(d) General instructions. General policies for evaluating permit applications are found in this part. Special policies that relate to particular activities are found in Parts 321 through 324. The procedures for processing individual permits and general permits are contained in 33 CFR Part 325. The terms “navigable waters of the United States” and “waters of the United States” are used frequently throughout these regulations, and it is important from the outset that the reader understand the difference between the two. “Navigable waters of the United States” are defined in 33 CFR Part 329. These are waters that are navigable in the traditional sense where permits are required for certain work or structures pursuant to Sections 9 and 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. “Waters of the United States” are defined in 33 CFR Part 328. These waters include more than navigable waters of the United States and are the waters where permits are required for the discharge of dredged or fill material pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

§ 320.2 Authorities to issue permits.

(a) Section 9 of the Rivers and Harbors Act, approved March 3, 1899 (33 U.S.C. 401) (hereinafter referred to as section 9), prohibits the construction of any dam or dike across any navigable water of the United States in the absence of Congressional consent and approval of the plans by the Chief of Engineers and the Secretary of the Army. Where the navigable portions of the waterbody lie wholly within the limits of a single state, the structure may be built under authority of the legislature of that state if the location and plans or any modification thereof are approved by the Chief of Engineers and by the Secretary of the Army. The instrument of authorization is designated a permit (See 33 CFR Part 321.) Section 9 also pertains to bridges and causeways but the authority of the Secretary of the Army and Chief of Engineers with respect to bridges and causeways was transferred to the Secretary of Transportation under the Department of Transportation Act of October 15, 1966 (40 U.S.C. 1155g(6)(A)).

A DA permit pursuant to section 404 of the Clean Water Act is required for the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States associated with bridges and causeways. (See 33 CFR Part 323.)

(b) Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act, approved March 3, 1899, (33 U.S.C. 403) (hereinafter referred to as section 10), prohibits the unauthorized obstruction or alteration of any navigable water of the United States. The construction of any structure in or over any navigable water of the United States, the excavating from or depositing of material in such waters, or the accomplishment of any other work affecting the course, location, condition, or capacity of such waters is unlawful unless the work has been recommended by the Chief of Engineers and authorized by the Secretary of the Army. The instrument of authorization is designated a permit. The authority of the Secretary of the Army to prevent obstructions to navigation in navigable waters of the United States was extended to artificial islands, installations, and other devices located on the seabed, to the seaward limit of the outer continental shelf, by section 4(f) of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act of 1953 as amended (33 U.S.C. 1333(e)). (See 33 CFR Part 322.)

(c) Section 11 of the Rivers and Harbors Act approved March 3, 1899, (33 U.S.C. 404), authorizes the Secretary of the Army to establish harbor lines channelward of which no piers, wharves, bulkheads, or other works may be extended or deposits made without approval of the Secretary of the Army. Effective May 27, 1970, permits for work shoreward of those lines must be obtained in accordance with section 10 and, if applicable, section 404 of the Clean Water Act (see § 320.4(d) of this Part).

(d) Section 13 of the Rivers and Harbors Act approved March 3, 1899, (33 U.S.C. 407), provides that the Secretary of the Army, whenever the Chief of Engineers determines that anchorage and navigation will not be injured thereby, may permit the discharge of refuse into navigable waters. In the absence of a permit, such discharge of refuse is prohibited. While the prohibition of this section, known as the Refuse Act, is still in effect, the permit authority of the Secretary of the Army has been superseded by the permit authority provided the Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and thestatutes 402 and 405 of the Clean Water Act. (33 U.S.C. 1342 and 1345). (See 40 CFR Parts 124 and 125.)

(e) Section 14 of the Rivers and Harbors Act approved March 3, 1899, (33 U.S.C. 408), provides that the Secretary of the Army, on the recommendation of the Chief of Engineers, may grant permission for the temporary occupation or use of any sea wall, bulkhead, jetty, dike, levee, wharf, or other work built by the United States. This permission will be granted by an appropriate real estate instrument in accordance with existing real estate regulations.

(f) Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344) (hereinafter referred to as section 404) authorizes the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to issue permits, after notice and opportunity for public hearing, for the discharge of dredged or fill material into the waters of the United States at specified disposal sites. (See 33 CFR Part 323.) The selection and use of disposal sites will be in accordance with guidelines developed by the Administrator of EPA in conjunction with the Secretary of the Army and published in 40 CFR Parts 220. If these guidelines prohibit the selection or use of a disposal site, the Chief of Engineers shall consider the economic impact on navigation and anchorage of such a prohibition in reaching his decision. Furthermore, the Administrator can deny, prohibit, restrict or withdraw the use of any defined area as a disposal site whenever he determines, after notice and opportunity for public hearing and after consultation with the Secretary of the Army, that the discharge of such materials into such areas will have an unacceptable adverse effect on municipal water supplies, shellfish beds and fishery areas, wildlife, or recreational areas. (See 40 CFR Part 230).

(g) Section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1413) (hereinafter referred to as section 103), authorizes the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to issue permits, after notice and opportunity for public hearing, for the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of disposal in the ocean where it is determined that the disposal will not unreasonably degrade or endanger human health, welfare, or amenities, or the marine environment, ecological systems, or economic potentialities. The selection of disposal sites will be in accordance with criteria developed by the Administrator of the EPA in consultation with the Secretary of the Army and published in 40 CFR Parts 220-229. However, similar to the EPA Administrator's limiting authority cited in paragraph (f) of this section, the Administrator can prevent the issuance of a permit under this authority if he
finds that the disposal of the material will result in an unacceptable adverse impact on navigation, water supplies, shellfish beds, wildlife, fisheries, or recreational areas. (See 33 CFR Part 324.)

§ 320.3 Related laws.

(a) Section 401 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1341) requires any applicant for a federal license or permit to conduct any activity that may result in a discharge of a pollutant into waters of the United States to obtain a certification from the State in which the discharge originates or would originate, or, if appropriate, from the interstate water pollution control agency having jurisdiction over the affected waters at the point where the discharge originates or would originate, that the discharge will comply with the applicable effluent limitations and water quality standards. A certification obtained for the construction of any facility must also pertain to the subsequent operation of the facility.

(b) Section 307(c) of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1456(c)), requires federal agencies conducting activities, including development projects, directly affecting a state’s coastal zone, to comply to the maximum extent practicable with an approved state coastal zone management program. Indian tribes doing work on federal lands will be treated as a federal agency for the purpose of the Coastal Zone Management Act. The Act also requires any non-federal applicant for a federal license or permit to conduct an activity affecting land or water uses in the state’s coastal zone to furnish a certification that the proposed activity will comply with the state’s coastal zone management program. Generally, no permit will be issued until the state has concurred with the non-federal applicant’s certification. This provision becomes effective upon approval by the Secretary of Commerce of the state’s coastal zone management program. (See 15 CFR Part 930.)

(c) Section 302 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctoraries Act of 1972, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1432), authorizes the Secretary of Commerce, after consultation with other interested federal agencies and with the approval of the President, to designate as marine sanctuaries those areas of the ocean waters, of the Great Lakes and their connecting waters, or of other coastal waters which he determines necessary for the purpose of preserving or restoring such areas for their conservation, recreational, ecological, or aesthetic values. After designating such an area, the Secretary of Commerce shall issue regulations to control any activities within the area. Activities in the sanctuary and under other authorities are valid only if the Secretary of Commerce certifies that the activities are consistent with the purposes of Title III of the Act and can be carried out within the regulations for the sanctuary.

(d) The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370) declares the national policy to encourage a productive and enjoyable harmony between man and his environment. Section 102 of that Act directs that “to the fullest extent possible: (1) The policies, regulations, and public laws of the United States shall be interpreted and administered in accordance with the policies set forth in this Act, and (2) all agencies of the Federal Government shall * * * insure that presently unquantified environmental amenities and values may be given appropriate consideration in decision-making along with economic and technical considerations * * *.” (See Appendix B of 33 CFR Part 325.)

(e) The Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 (16 U.S.C. 742a, et seq.), the Migratory Bird Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 706c-2), the Am SESSION Act (16 U.S.C. 706c), the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (16 U.S.C. 661-666e) and other acts express the will of Congress to protect the quality of the aquatic environment as it affects the conservation, improvement and enjoyment of fish and wildlife resources. Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1970 transferred certain functions, including certain fish and wildlife-water resources coordination responsibilities, from the Secretary of the Interior to the Secretary of Commerce. Under the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act and Reorganization Plan No. 4, any federal agency that proposes to control or modify any body of water must first consult with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service, as appropriate, and with the head of the appropriate state agency exercising administration over the wildlife resources of the affected state.

(f) The Federal Power Act of 1920 (16 U.S.C. 791a et seq.), as amended, authorizes the Federal Energy Regulatory Agency (FERC) to issue licenses for the construction and the operation and maintenance of dams, water conduits, reservoirs, power houses, transmission lines, and other physical structures of a hydro-power project. However, where such structures will affect the navigable capacity of any navigable water of the United States (as defined in 16 U.S.C. 796), the plans for the dam or other physical structures affecting navigation must be approved by the Chief of Engineers and the Secretary of the Army. In such cases, the interests of navigation should normally be protected by a DA recommendation to FERC for the inclusion of appropriate provisions in the FERC license rather than the issuance of a separate DA permit under 33 U.S.C. 401 et seq. As to any other activities in navigable waters not constituting construction and the operation and maintenance of physical structures licensed by FERC under the Federal Power Act of 1920, as amended, the provisions of 33 U.S.C. 401 et seq. remain fully applicable. In all cases involving the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States or the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of disposal in ocean waters, section 404 or section 103 will be applicable.

(g) The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470) created the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation to advise the President and Congress on matters involving historic preservation. In performing its function the Council is authorized to review and comment upon activities licensed by the Federal Government which will have an effect upon properties listed in the National Register of Historic Places, or eligible for such listing. The concern of Congress for the preservation of significant historical sites is also expressed in the Preservation of Historical and Archeological Data Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 469 et seq.), which amends the Act of June 27, 1960. By this Act, whenever a federal construction project or federally licensed project, activity, or program alters any terrain such that significant historical or archeological data is threatened, the Secretary of the Interior may take action necessary to recover and preserve the data prior to the commencement of the project.

(h) The Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (15 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.) prohibits any developer or agent from selling or leasing any lot in a subdivision [as defined in 15 U.S.C. 1701(3)] unless the purchaser is furnished in advance a printed property report containing information which the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may, by rules or regulations, require for the protection of purchasers. In the event the lot in question is part of a project that requires DA authorization, the property report is required by Housing and Urban Development regulation to state whether.
or not a permit for the development has been applied for, issued, or denied by the Corps of Engineers under section 10 or section 404. The property report is also required to state whether or not any enforcement action has been taken as a consequence of non-application for or denial of such permit.

(i) The Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) declares the intention of the Congress to conserve threatened and endangered species and the ecosystems on which those species depend. The Act requires that federal agencies, in consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service, use their authorities in furtherance of its purposes by carrying out programs for the conservation of endangered or threatened species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of habitat of such species which is determined by the Secretary of the Interior or Commerce, as appropriate, to be critical. (See 50 CFR Part 17 and 50 CFR Part 402.)

(j) The Deepwater Port Act of 1974 (33 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.) prohibits the ownership, construction, or operation of a deepwater port beyond the territorial seas without a license issued by the Secretary of Transportation. The Secretary of Transportation may issue such a license to an applicant if he determines, among other things, that the construction and operation of the deepwater port is in the national interest and consistent with national security and other national policy goals and objectives. An application for a deepwater port license constitutes an application for all federal authorizations required for ownership, construction, and operation of a deepwater port, including applications for section 10, section 404 and section 103 permits which may also be required pursuant to the authorities listed in section 320.2 and the policies specified in section 320.4 of this Part.

(k) The Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361 et seq.) expresses the intent of Congress that marine mammals be protected and encouraged to develop in order to maintain the health and stability of the marine ecosystem. The Act imposes a perpetual moratorium on the harassment, hunting, capturing, or killing of marine mammals and on the importation of marine mammals and marine mammal products without a permit from either the Secretary of the Interior or the Secretary of Commerce, depending upon the species of marine mammal involved. Such permits may be issued only for purposes of scientific research and for public display if the purpose is consistent with the policies of the Act. The appropriate Secretary is also empowered in certain restricted circumstances to waive the requirement of the Act.

(l) Section 7(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1278 et seq.) provides that no department or agency of the United States shall assist by loan, grant, license, or otherwise in the construction of any water resources project that would have a direct and adverse effect on the values for which such river was established, as determined by the Secretary charged with its administration.

(m) The Ocean Thermal Energy Conversion Act of 1980, (42 U.S.C. section 9101 et seq.) establishes a licensing regime administered by the Administrator of NOAA for the ownership, construction, location, and operation of ocean thermal energy conversion (OTEC) facilities and plantships. An application for an OTEC license filed with the Administrator constitutes an application for all federal authorizations required for ownership, construction, location, and operation of an OTEC facility or plantship, except for certain activities within the jurisdiction of the Coast Guard. This includes applications for section 10, section 404, section 103 and other DA authorizations which may be required.

(n) Section 402 of the Clean Water Act authorizes EPA to issue permits under procedures established to implement the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program. The administration of this program can be, and in most cases has been, delegated to individual states. Section 402(b)(8) states that no NPDES permit will be issued if the Chief of Engineers, acting for the Secretary of the Army and after consulting with the U.S. Coast Guard, determines that navigation and anchorage in any navigable water will be substantially impaired as a result of a proposed activity.

(o) The National Fishing Enhancement Act of 1984 (Pub. L. 98-623) provides for the development of a National Artificial Reef Plan to promote and facilitate responsible and effective efforts to establish artificial reefs. The Act establishes procedures to be followed by the Corps in issuing DA permits for artificial reefs. The Act also establishes the liability of the permittee and the United States. The Act further creates a civil penalty for violation of any provision of a permit issued for an artificial reef.

§ 320.4 General policies for evaluating permit applications.

The following policies shall be applicable to the review of all applications for DA permits. Additional policies specifically applicable to certain types of activities are identified in CFR Parts 321–324.

(a) Public Interest Review. (1) The decision whether to issue a permit will be based on an evaluation of the probable impacts, including cumulative impacts, of the proposed activity and its intended use on the public interest. Evaluation of the probable impact which the proposed activity may have on the public interest requires a careful weighing of all those factors which become relevant in each particular case. The benefits which reasonably may be expected to accrue from the proposal must be balanced against its reasonably foreseeable detriments. The decision whether to authorize a proposal, and if so, the conditions under which it will be allowed to occur, are therefore determined by the outcome of this general balancing process. That decision should reflect the national concern for both protection and utilization of important resources. All factors which may be relevant to the proposal must be considered including the cumulative effects thereof: among those are conservation, economics, aesthetics, general environmental concerns, wetlands, historic properties, fish and wildlife values, flood hazards, floodplain values, land use, navigation, shore erosion and accretion, recreation, water supply and conservation, water quality, energy needs, safety, food and fiber production, mineral needs, considerations of property ownership and, in general, the needs and welfare of the people. For activities involving 404 discharges, a permit will be denied if the discharge that would be authorized by such permit would not comply with the Environmental Protection Agency's 404(b)(1) guidelines. Subject to the preceding sentence and any other applicable guidelines and criteria (see §§ 320.2 and 320.3), a permit will be granted unless the district engineer determines that it would be contrary to the public interest.

(2) The following general criteria will be considered in the evaluation of every application:

(i) The relative extent of the public and private need for the proposed structure or work:
(ii) Where there are unresolved conflicts as to resource use, the practicability of using reasonable alternative locations and methods to accomplish the objective of the proposed structure or work; and

(iii) The extent and permanence of the beneficial and/or detrimental effects which the proposed structure or work is likely to have on the public and private uses to which the area is suited.

(3) The specific weight of each factor is determined by its importance and relevance to the particular proposal. Accordingly, how important a factor is and how much consideration it deserves will vary with each proposal. A specific factor may be given great weight on one proposal, while it may not be present or as important on another. However, full consideration and appropriate weight will be given to all comments, including those of federal, state, and local agencies, and other experts on matters within their expertise.

(b) Effect on wetlands. (1) Most wetlands constitute a productive and valuable public resource, the unnecessary alteration or destruction of which should be discouraged as contrary to the public interest. For projects to be undertaken or partially or entirely funded by a federal, state, or local agency, additional requirements on wetlands considerations are stated in Executive Order 11990, dated 24 May 1977.

(2) Wetlands considered to perform functions important to the public interest include:

(i) Wetlands which serve significant natural biological functions, including food chain production, general habitat and nesting, spawning, rearing and resting sites for aquatic or land species;

(ii) Wetlands set aside for study of the aquatic environment or as sanctuaries or refuges;

(iii) Wetlands the destruction or alteration of which would affect detrimentally natural drainage characteristics, sedimentation patterns, salinity distribution, flushing characteristics, current patterns, or other environmental characteristics;

(iv) Wetlands which are significant in shielding other areas from wave action, erosion, or storm damage. Such wetlands are often associated with barrier beaches, islands, reefs and bars;

(v) Wetlands which serve as valuable storage areas for storm and flood waters;

(vi) Wetlands which are ground water discharge areas that maintain minimum baseflows important to aquatic resources and those which are prime natural recharge areas;

(vii) Wetlands which serve significant water purification functions; and

(viii) Wetlands which are unique in nature or scarce in quantity to the region or local area.

(3) Although a particular alteration of a wetland may constitute a minor change, the cumulative effect of numerous piecemeal changes can result in a major impairment of wetland resources. Thus, the particular wetland site for which an application is made will be evaluated with the recognition that it may be part of a complete and interrelated wetland area. In addition, the district engineer may undertake, where appropriate, reviews of particular wetland areas in consultation with the Regional Director of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Regional Director of the National Marine Fisheries Service of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, the Regional Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, the local representative of the Soil Conservation Service of the Department of Agriculture, and the head of the appropriate state agency to assess the cumulative effect of activities in such areas.

(4) No permit will be granted which involves the alteration of wetlands identified as important by paragraph (b)(2) of this section or because of provisions of paragraph (b)(3), of this section unless the district engineer concludes, on the basis of the analysis required in paragraph (a) of this section, that the benefits of the proposed alteration outweigh the damage to the wetlands resource. In evaluating whether a particular discharge activity should be permitted, the district engineer shall apply the section 409(b)(1) guidelines (40 CFR Part 230. 10(a) (1), (2), (3)).

(5) In addition to the policies expressed in this subpart, the Congressional policy expressed in the Estuary Protection Act, Pub. L. 90-454, and state regulatory laws or programs for classification and protection of wetlands will be considered.

(c) Fish and wildlife. In accordance with the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (paragraph 320.3(e) of this section) district engineers will consult with the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, and the head of the agency responsible for fish and wildlife for the state in which work is to be performed, with a view to the conservation of wildlife resources by prevention of their direct and indirect loss and damage due to the activity proposed in a permit application. The Army will give full consideration to the views of those agencies on fish and wildlife matters in deciding on the issuance, denial, or conditioning of individual or general permits.

(d) Water quality. Applications for permits for activities which may adversely affect the quality of waters of the United States will be evaluated for compliance with applicable effluent limitations and water quality standards, during the construction and subsequent operation of the proposed activity. The evaluation should include the consideration of both point and non-point sources of pollution. It should be noted, however, that the Clean Water Act assigns responsibility for control of non-point sources of pollution to the states. Certification of compliance with applicable effluent limitations and water quality standards required under provisions of section 401 of the Clean Water Act will be considered conclusive with respect to water quality considerations unless the Regional Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), advises of other water quality aspects to be taken into consideration.

(e) Historic, cultural, scenic, and recreational values. Applications for DA permits may involve areas which possess recognized historic, cultural, scenic, conservation, recreational or similar values. Full evaluation of the general public interest requires that due consideration be given to the effect which the proposed structure or activity may have on values such as those associated with wild and scenic rivers, historic properties and National Landmarks, National Rivers, National Wilderness Areas, National Seashores, National Recreation Areas, National Lakeshores, National Parks, National Monuments, estuarine and marine sanctuaries, archeological resources, including Indian religious or cultural sites, and such other areas as may be established under federal or state law for similar and related purposes.

Recognition of those values is often reflected by state, regional, or local land use classifications, or by similar federal controls or policies. Action on permit applications should, in so far as possible, be consistent with, and avoid significant adverse effects on the values or purposes for which those classifications, controls, or policies were established.

(f) Effects on limits of the territorial sea. Structures or work affecting coastal waters may modify the coast line or base line from which the territorial sea is measured for purposes of the Submerged Lands Act and international law. Generally, the coast line or base line is the line of ordinary low water on
the mainland; however, there are exceptions where there are islands or low tide elevations offshore (the Submerged Lands Act, 43 U.S.C. 1301(a) and United States v. California, 381 U.S. 139 (1965), 382 U.S. 448 (1966)). Applications for structures or work affecting coastal waters will therefore be reviewed specifically to determine whether the coast line or base line might be altered. If it is determined that such a change might occur, coordination with the Attorney General and the Solicitor of the Department of the Interior is required before final action is taken. The district engineer will submit a description of the proposed work and a copy of the plans to the Solicitor, Department of the Interior, Washington, DC 20240, and request his comments concerning the effects of the proposed work on the outer continental rights of the United States. These comments will be included in the administrative record of the application. After completion of standard processing procedures, the record will be forwarded to the Chief of Engineers. The decision on the application will be made by the Secretary of the Army after coordination with the Attorney General.

(g) Consideration of property ownership. Authorization of work or structures by the DA does not convey a property right, nor authorize any injury to property or invasion of other rights.

(1) An inherent aspect of property ownership is a right to reasonable private use. However, this right is subject to the rights and interests of the public in the navigable and other waters of the United States, including the federal navigational servitude and federal regulation for environmental protection.

(2) Because a landowner has the general right to protect property from erosion, applications to erect protective structures will usually receive favorable consideration. However, if the protective structure may cause damage to the property of others, adversely affect public health and safety, adversely impact floodplain or wetland values, or otherwise appears contrary to the public interest, the district engineer will so advise the applicant and inform him of possible alternative methods of protecting his property. Such advice will be given in terms of general guidance only so as not to compete with private engineering firms nor require undue use of government resources.

(3) A riparian landowner's general right of access to navigable waters of the United States is subject to the similar rights of access held by nearby riparian applicants. After completion of the general public's right of navigation on the water surface. In the case of proposals which create undue interference with access to, or use of, navigable waters, the authorization will generally be denied.

(4) Where it is found that the work for which a permit is desired is in navigable waters of the United States (see 33 CFR Part 329) and may interfere with an authorized federal project, the applicant should be apprised in writing of the fact and of the possibility that a federal project which may be constructed in the vicinity of the proposed work might necessitate its removal or reconstruction. The applicant should also be informed that the United States will in no case be liable for any damage or injury to the structures or work authorized by Sections 9 or 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 or by section 404 of the Clean Water Act which may be caused by, or result from, future operations undertaken by the Government for the conservation or improvement of navigation or for other purposes and no claims or right to compensation will accrue from any such damage.

(5) Proposed activities in the area of a federal project which exists or is under construction will be evaluated to insure that they are compatible with the purposes of the project.

(6) A DA permit does not convey any property rights, either in real estate or material, or any exclusive privileges. Furthermore, a DA permit does not authorize any injury to property or invasion of rights or any infringement of Federal, state or local laws or regulations. The applicant's signature on an application is an affirmation that the applicant possesses or will possess the requisite property interest to undertake the activity proposed in the application. The district engineer will not enter into disputes but will remind the applicant of the above. The dispute over property ownership will not be a factor in the Corps public interest decision.

(h) Activities affecting coastal zones. Applications for DA permits for activities affecting the coastal zones of those states having a coastal zone management program approved by the Secretary of Commerce will be evaluated with respect to compliance with that program. No permit will be issued a applicant until certification has been provided that the proposed activity complies with the coastal zone management program and the appropriate state agency has concurred with the certification or has waived its right to do so. However, a permit may be issued to a non-federal applicant if the Secretary of Commerce, upon his own initiative or upon appeal by the applicant, finds that the proposed activity is consistent with the objectives of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 or is otherwise necessary in the interest of national security. Federal agency and Indian tribe applicants for DA permits are responsible for complying with the Coastal Zone Management Act's directives for assuring that their activities directly affecting the coastal zone are consistent, to the maximum extent practicable, with approved state coastal zone management programs.

(i) Activities in marine sanctuaries. Applications for DA authorization for activities in a marine sanctuary established by the Secretary of Commerce under authority of section 305 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended, will be evaluated for impact on the marine sanctuary. No permit will be issued until the applicant provides a certification from the Secretary of Commerce that the proposed activity is consistent with the purposes of Title III of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended, and can be carried out within the regulations promulgated by the Secretary of Commerce to control activities within the marine sanctuary.

(j) Other Federal, state, or local requirements. (1) Processing of an application for a DA permit normally will proceed concurrently with the processing of other required Federal, state, and/or local authorizations or certifications. Final action on the DA permit will normally not be delayed pending action by another Federal, state or local agency (See 33 CFR 325.2 (d)(4)). However, where the required Federal, state and/or local authorization and/or certification has been denied for activities which also require a Department of the Army permit before final action has been taken on the Army permit application, the district engineer will, after considering the likelihood of subsequent approval of the other authorization and/or certification and the time and effort remaining to complete processing the Army permit application, either immediately deny the Army permit without prejudice or continue processing the application to a conclusion. If the district engineer continues processing the application, he will conclude by either denying the permit as contrary to the public interest, or denying it without prejudice indicating that except for the other Federal, state or local denial the Army permit could, under appropriate conditions, be issued. Denial without prejudice means that there is no prejudice to the right of the applicant to reinstate processing of the Army permit
application if subsequent approval is received from the appropriate Federal, state and/or local agency on a previously denied authorization and/or certification. Even if official certification and/or authorization is not required by state or federal law, but a state, regional, or local agency having jurisdiction or interest over the particular activity comments on the application, due consideration shall be given to those official views as a reflection of local factors of the public interest.

(2) The primary responsibility for determining zoning and land use matters rests with state, local and tribal governments. The district engineer will normally accept decisions by such governments on those matters unless there are significant issues of overriding national importance. Such issues would include but are not necessarily limited to national security, navigation, national economic development, water quality, preservation of special aquatic areas, including wetlands, with significant interstate importance, and national energy needs. Whether a factor has overriding importance will depend on the degree of impact in an individual case.

(3) A proposed activity may result in conflicting comments from several agencies within the same state. Where a state has not designated a single responsible coordinating agency, district engineers will ask the Governor to express his views or to designate one state agency to represent the official state position in the particular case.

(4) In the absence of overriding national factors of the public interest that may be revealed during the evaluation of the permit application, a permit will generally be issued following receipt of a favorable state determination provided the concerns, policies, goals, and requirements as expressed in 33 CFR Parts 320–324, and the applicable statutes have been considered and followed: e.g., the National Environmental Policy Act; the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act; the Historical and Archeological Preservation Act; the National Historic Preservation Act; the Endangered Species Act; the Coastal Zone Management Act; the Marine Protection, Research and Sanitaries Act of 1972, as amended; the Clean Water Act, the Archeological Resources Act, and the American Indian Religious Freedom Act. Similarly, a permit will generally be issued for Federal and Federally-authorized activities; another federal agency’s determination to proceed is entitled to substantial consideration in the Corps’ public interest review.

(5) Where general permits to avoid duplication are not practical, district engineers shall develop joint procedures with those local, state, and other Federal agencies having ongoing permit programs for activities also regulated by the Department of the Army. In such cases, applications for DA permits may be processed jointly with the state or other federal applications to an independent conclusion and decision by the district engineer and the appropriate Federal or state agency. (See 33 CFR 325.2(e).)

(6) The district engineer shall develop operating procedures for establishing official communications with Indian Tribes within the district. The procedures shall provide for appointment of a tribal representative who will receive all pertinent public notices, and respond to such notices with the official tribal position on the proposed activity. This procedure shall apply only to those tribes which accept this option. Any adopted operating procedures shall be distributed by public notice to inform the tribes of this option.

(k) Safety of impoundment structures. To insure that all impoundment structures are designed for safety, non-Federal applicants may be required to demonstrate that the structures comply with established state dam safety criteria or have been designed by qualified persons and, in appropriate cases, that the design has been independently reviewed (and modified as the review would indicate) by similarly qualified persons.

(1) Floodplain management.

Floodplains possess significant natural values and carry out numerous functions important to the public interest. These include:

(i) Water resources values (natural moderation of floods, water quality maintenance, and groundwater recharge);
(ii) Living resource values (fish, wildlife, and plant resources);
(iii) Cultural resource values (open space, natural beauty, scientific study, outdoor education, and recreation); and
(iv) Cultivated resource values (agriculture, aquaculture, and forestry).

(2) Although a particular alteration to a floodplain may constitute a minor change, the cumulative impact of such changes may result in a significant degradation of floodplain values and functions and in increased potential for harm to upstream and downstream activities. In accordance with the requirements of Executive Order 11988, district engineers, as part of their public interest review, should avoid to the extent practicable, long and short term significant adverse impacts associated with the occupancy and modification of floodplains, as well as the direct and indirect support of floodplain development whenever there is a practicable alternative. For those activities which in the public interest must occur in or impact upon floodplains, the district engineer shall ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, that the impacts of potential flooding on human health, safety, and welfare are minimized, the risks of flood losses are minimized, and, whenever practicable the natural and beneficial values served by floodplains are restored and preserved.

(3) In accordance with Executive Order 11988, the district engineer should avoid authorizing floodplain developments whenever practicable alternatives exist outside the floodplain. If there are no such practicable alternatives, the district engineer shall consider, as a means of mitigation, alternatives within the floodplain which will lessen any significant adverse impact to the floodplain.

(m) Water supply and conservation. Water is an essential resource, basic to human survival, economic growth, and the natural environment. Water conservation requires the efficient use of water resources in all actions which involve the significant use of water or that significantly affect the availability of water for alternative uses including opportunities to reduce demand and improve efficiency in order to minimize new supply requirements. Actions affecting water quantities are subject to Congressional policy as stated in section 101(g) of the Clean Water Act which provides that the authority of states to allocate water quantities shall not be superseded, abrogated, or otherwise impaired.

(n) Energy conservation and development. Energy conservation and development are major national objectives. District engineers will give high priority to the processing of permit actions involving energy projects.

(o) Navigation. (1) Section 11 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 authorized establishment of harbor lines shoreward of which no individual permits were required. Because harbor lines were established on the basis of navigation impacts only, the Corps of Engineers published a regulation on 27 May 1970 (35 CFR 209.150) which declared that permits would thereafter be required for activities shoreward of the harbor lines. Review of applications.
would be based on a full public interest evaluation and harbor lines would serve as guidance for assessing navigation impacts. Accordingly, activities constructed shoreward of harbor lines prior to 27 May 1970 do not require specific authorization.

(2) The policy of considering harbor lines as guidance for assessing impacts on navigation continues.

(3) Protection of navigation in all navigable waters of the United States continues to be a primary concern of the federal government.

(4) District engineers should protect navigational and anchorage interests in connection with the NPDES program by recommending to EPA or to the state, if the program has been delegated, that a permit be denied unless appropriate conditions can be included to avoid any substantial impairment of navigation and anchorage.

(p) Environmental benefits. Some activities that require Department of the Army permits result in beneficial effects to the quality of the environment. The district engineer will weigh these benefits as well as environmental detriments along with other factors of the public interest.

(q) Economics. When private enterprise makes application for a permit, it will generally be assumed that appropriate economic evaluations have been completed, the proposal is economically viable, and is needed in the market place. However, the district engineer in appropriate cases, may make an independent review of the need for the project from the perspective of the overall public interest. The economic benefits of many projects are important to the local community and contribute to needed improvements in the local economic base, affecting such factors as employment, tax revenues, community cohesion, community services, and property values. Many projects also contribute to the National Economic Development (NED), (i.e., the increase in the net value of the national output of goods and services).

(t) Mitigation.¹ (1) Mitigation is an important aspect of the review and balancing process on many Department of the Army permit applications. Consideration of mitigation will occur throughout the permit application review process and includes avoiding, minimizing, rectifying, reducing, or compensating for resource losses. Losses will be avoided to the extent practicable. Compensation may occur on-site or off-site at a different location. Mitigation requirements generally fall into three categories.

(i) Project modifications to minimize adverse project impacts should be discussed with the applicant at pre-application meetings and during application processing. As a result of these discussions and as the district engineer’s evaluation proceeds, the district engineer may require minor project modifications. Minor project modifications are those that are considered feasible (cost, constructability, etc.) to the applicant and that, if adopted, will result in a project that generally meets the applicant’s purpose and need. Such modifications can include reductions in scope and size; changes in construction methods, materials or timing; and operation and maintenance practices or other similar modifications that reflect a sensitivity to environmental quality within the context of the work proposed. For example, erosion control features could be required on a fill project to reduce sedimentation impacts or a pier could be reoriented to minimize navigational problems even though those projects may satisfy all legal requirements (paragraph (r)(1)(ii) of this section) and the public interest review test (paragraph (r)(1)(iii) of this section) without such modifications.

(ii) Further mitigation measures may be required to satisfy legal requirements. For Section 404 applications, mitigation shall be required to ensure that the project complies with the 404(b)(1) Guidelines. Some mitigation measures are enumerated at 40 CFR 230.70 through 40 CFR 230.77 (Subpart H of the 404(b)(1) Guidelines).

(iii) Mitigation measures in addition to those under paragraphs (r)(1) (i) and (ii) of this section may be required as a result of the public interest review process. (See 33 CFR 325.4(a).) Mitigation should be developed and incorporated within the public interest review process to the extent that the mitigation is found by the district engineer to be reasonable and justified. Only those measures required to ensure that the project is not contrary to the public interest may be required under this subparagraph.

(2) All compensatory mitigation will be for significant resource losses which are specifically identifiable, reasonably likely to occur, and of importance to the human or aquatic environment. Also, all mitigation will be directly related to the impacts of the proposal, appropriate to the scope and degree of those impacts, and reasonably enforceable. District engineers will require all forms of mitigation, including compensatory mitigation, only as provided in paragraphs (r)(1) (i) through (iii) of this section. Additional mitigation may be added at the applicants’ request.

PART 321—PERMITS FOR DAMS AND DIKES IN NAVIGABLE WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES

Sec. 321.1 General.
321.2 Definitions.
321.3 Special policies and procedures.

§ 321.1 General.

This regulation prescribes, in addition to the general policies of 33 CFR Part 320 and procedures of 33 CFR Part 325, those special policies, practices, and procedures to be followed by the Corps of Engineers in connection with the review of applications for Department of the Army (DA) permits to authorize the construction of a dike or dam in a navigable water of the United States pursuant to section 9 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 U.S.C. 401). See 33 CFR 320.2(a). Dams and dikes in navigable waters of the United States also require DA permits under section 404 of the Clean Water Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1344). Applicants for DA permits under this Part should also refer to 33 CFR Part 323 to satisfy the requirements of section 404.

§ 321.2 Definitions.

For the purpose of this regulation, the following terms are defined:

(a) The term “navigable waters of the United States” means those waters of the United States that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide shoreward to the mean high water mark and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible to use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. See 33 CFR Part 329 for a more complete definition of this term.

(b) The term “dike or dam” means, for the purposes of section 9, any impoundment structure that completely spans a navigable water of the United States and that may obstruct interstate waterborne commerce. The term does not include a weir. Weirs are regulated pursuant to section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. (See 33 CFR Part 322.)

¹ This is a general statement of mitigation policy which applies to all Corps of Engineers regulatory authorities covered by these regulations (33 CFR Parts 330-338). It is not a substitute for the mitigation requirements necessary to ensure that a permit action under section 404 of the Clean Water Act complies with the section 404(b)(1) Guidelines. There is currently an interagency Working Group formed to develop guidance on implementing mitigation requirements of the Guidelines.
§ 321.3 Special policies and procedures.

The following additional special policies and procedures shall be applicable to the evaluation of permit applications under this regulation:

(a) The Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works) will decide whether DA authorization for a dam or dike in an interstate navigable water of the United States will be issued, since this authority has not been delegated to the Chief of Engineers. The conditions to be imposed in any instrument of authorization will be recommended by the district engineer when forwarding the report to the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works), through the Chief of Engineers.

(b) District engineers are authorized to decide whether DA authorization for a dam or dike in an intrastate navigable water of the United States will be issued (see 33 CFR 325.8).

(c) Processing a DA application under section 8 will not be completed until the approval of the United States Congress has been obtained if the navigable water of the United States is an interstate waterbody, or until the approval of the appropriate state legislature has been obtained if the navigable water of the United States is an intrastate waterbody, or until the approval of the appropriate state legislature has been obtained if the navigable water of the United States is solely within the boundaries of one state). The district engineer, upon receipt of such an application, will notify the applicant that the consent of Congress or the state legislature must be obtained before a permit can be issued.

PART 322—PERMITS FOR STRUCTURES OR WORK IN OR AFFECTING NAVIGABLE WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES

Sec. 322.1 General.

322.2 Definitions.

322.3 Activities requiring permits.

322.4 Activities not requiring permits.

322.5 Special policies.


§ 322.1 General.

This regulation prescribes, in addition to the general policies of 33 CFR Part 320 and procedures of 33 CFR Part 325, those special policies, practices, and procedures to be followed by the Corps of Engineers in connection with the review of applications for Department of the Army (DA) permits to authorize certain structures or work in or affecting navigable waters of the United States pursuant to section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 U.S.C. 403) (hereinafter referred to as section 10).

See 33 CFR 320.2(b). Certain structures or work in or affecting navigable waters of the United States are also regulated under other authorities of the DA. These include discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States, including the territorial seas, pursuant to section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1944; see 33 CFR Part 329) and the transportation of dredged material by vessel for purposes of dumping in ocean waters, including the territorial seas, pursuant to section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1413; see 33 CFR Part 324). A DA permit will also be required under these additional authorities if they are applicable to structures or work in or affecting navigable waters of the United States. Applicants for DA permits under this part should refer to the other cited authorities and implementing regulations for these additional permit requirements to determine whether they also are applicable to their proposed activities.

§ 322.2 Definitions.

For the purpose of this regulation, the following terms are defined:

(a) The term "navigable waters of the United States" and all other terms relating to the geographic scope of jurisdiction are defined at 33 CFR Part 329. Generally, they are those waters of the United States that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide shoreward to the mean high water mark, and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible to use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

(b) The term "structure" shall include, without limitation, any pier, boat dock, boat ramp, wharf, dolphin, weir, boom, breakwater, bulkhead, revetment, riprap, jetty, artificial island, artificial reef, permanent mooring structure, power transmission line, permanently moored floating vessel, piling, aid to navigation, or any other obstacle or obstruction.

(c) The term "work" shall include, without limitation, any dredging or disposal of dredged material, excavation, filling, or other modification of a navigable water of the United States.

(d) The term "letter of permission" means a type of individual permit issued in accordance with the abbreviated procedures of 33 CFR 325.2(e).

(e) The term "individual permit" means a DA authorization that is issued following a case-by-case evaluation of a specific structure or work in accordance with the procedures of this regulation and 33 CFR Part 325, and a determination that the proposed structure or work is in the public interest pursuant to 33 CFR Part 320.

(f) The term "general permit" means a DA authorization that is issued on a nationwide or regional basis for a category or categories of activities when:

(1) Those activities are substantially similar in nature and cause only minimal individual and cumulative environmental impacts; or

(2) The general permit would result in avoiding unnecessary duplication of the regulatory control exercised by another Federal, state, or local agency provided it has been determined that the environmental consequences of the action are individually and cumulatively minimal. (See 33 CFR 325.2(e) and 33 CFR Part 330.)

(g) The term "artificial reef" means a structure which is constructed or placed in the navigable waters of the United States or in the waters overlying the outer continental shelf for the purpose of enhancing fishery resources and commercial and recreational fishing opportunities. The term does not include activities or structures such as wing deflectors, bank stabilization, grade stabilization structures, or low flow key ways, all of which may be useful to enhance fisheries resources.

§ 322.3 Activities requiring permits.

(a) General. DA permits are required under section 10 for structures and/or work in or affecting navigable waters of the United States except as otherwise provided in § 322.4 below. Certain activities specified in 33 CFR Part 330 are permitted by that regulation ("nationwide general permits"). Other activities may be authorized by district or division engineers on a regional basis ("regional general permits"). If an activity is not exempted by section 322.4 of this part or authorized by a general permit, an individual section 10 permit will be required for the proposed activity. Structures or work are in navigable waters of the United States if they are within limits defined in 33 CFR Part 330. Structures or work outside these limits are subject to the provisions of law cited in paragraph (a) of this section, if these structures or work affect the course, location, or condition of the waterbody in such a manner as to impact on its navigable capacity.

For purposes of a section 10 permit, a tunnel or other structure or work under or over a navigable water of the United States is considered to have an impact on the navigable capacity of the waterbody.

(b) Outer continental shelf. DA permits are required for the construction...
of artificial islands, installations, and other devices on the seabed, to the seaward boundary of the continental shelf, pursuant to section 4(f) of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act as amended. (See 33 CFR 320.2(b).)

(c) Activities of Federal agencies. (1) Except as specifically provided in this paragraph, activities of the type described in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, done by or on behalf of any Federal agency are subject to the authorization procedures of these regulations. Work or structures in or affecting navigable waters of the United States that are part of the civil works activities of the Corps of Engineers, unless covered by a nationwide or regional general permit issued pursuant to these regulations, are subject to the procedures of separate regulations. Agreement for construction or engineering services performed for other agencies by the Corps of Engineers does not constitute authorization under this regulation. Division and district engineers will therefore advise Federal agencies accordingly, and cooperate to the fullest extent in expediting the processing of their applications.

(2) Congress has delegated to the Secretary of the Army in section 10 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1974 authority to issue or deny section 10 permits. The following additional special policies and procedures shall also be applicable to the evaluation of permit applications under this regulation.

§ 322.4 Activities not requiring permits.

(a) Activities that were commenced or completed before section 10 permits shall not be required. Federal harbor lines before May 27, 1970 (see 33 CFR 320.4(a)) do not require section 10 permits; however, if those activities involve the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States after October 18, 1972, a section 404 permit is required. (See 33 CFR Part 323.)

(b) Pursuant to section 154 of the Water Resource Development Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 96-587), Department of the Army permits are not required under section 10 to construct wharves and piers in any waterbody, located entirely within a single state, that is a navigable water of the United States solely on the basis of its historical use to transport interstate commerce.

§ 322.5 Special policies.

The Secretary of the Army has delegated to the Chief of Engineers the authority to issue or deny section 10 permits. The following additional special policies and procedures shall also be applicable to the evaluation of permit applications under this regulation.

(a) General. DA permits are required for structures or work in or affecting navigable waters of the United States. However, certain structures or work specified in 33 CFR Part 330 are permitted by that regulation. If a structure or work is not permitted by that regulation, an individual or regional section 10 permit will be required.

(b) Artificial Reefs. (1) When considering an application for an artificial reef, as defined in 33 CFR 322.22(g), the district engineer will review the applicant's provisions for siting, constructing, monitoring, operating, maintaining, and managing the proposed artificial reef and shall determine if those provisions are consistent with the following standards:

(i) The enhancement of fishery resources to the maximum extent practicable;

(ii) The facilitation of access and utilization by United States recreational and commercial fishermen;

(iii) The minimization of conflicts among competing uses of the navigable waters or waters overlying the outer continental shelf and of the resources in such waters;

(iv) The minimization of environmental risks and risks to personal health and property;

(v) Generally accepted principles of international law; and

(vi) The prevention of any unreasonable obstructions to navigation. If the district engineer decides that the applicant's provisions are not consistent with these standards, he shall deny the permit. If the district engineer decides that the provisions are consistent with these standards, and if he decides to issue the permit after the public interest review, he shall make the provisions part of the permit.

(2) In addition, the district engineer will consider the National Artificial Reef Plan developed pursuant to section 204 of the National Fishing Enhancement Act of 1984, and if he decides to issue the permit, will notify the Secretary of Commerce of any need to deviate from that plan.

(3) The district engineer will comply with all coordination provisions required by a written agreement between the DOD and the Federal agencies relative to artificial reefs. In addition, if the district engineer decides that further consultation beyond the normal public commenting process is required to evaluate fully the proposed artificial reef, he may initiate such consultation with any Federal agency, state or local government, or other interested party.

(4) The district engineer will issue a permit for the proposed artificial reef only if the applicant demonstrates to the district engineer's satisfaction, that the title to the artificial reef construction material is unambiguous, that responsibility for maintenance of the reef is clearly established, and that he has the financial ability to assume liability for all damages that may arise with respect to the proposed artificial reef. A demonstration of financial responsibility might include evidence of insurance, sponsorship, or available assets.

(i) A person to whom a permit is issued in accordance with these regulations and any insurer of that person shall not be liable for damages caused by activities required to be undertaken under any terms and conditions of the permit, if the permittee is in compliance with such terms and conditions.

(ii) A person to whom a permit is issued in accordance with these regulations and any insurer of that person shall not be liable for damages caused by activities required to be undertaken under any terms and conditions of the permit, if the permittee is in compliance with such terms and conditions.

(iii) Any person who has transferred title to artificial reef construction materials to a person to whom a permit is issued in accordance with these regulations shall not be liable for damages arising from the use of such materials in an artificial reef, if such materials meet applicable requirements
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(2) Congress has delegated to the Secretary of the Army in section 10 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1974 authority to issue or deny section 10 permits. The following additional special policies and procedures shall also be applicable to the evaluation of permit applications under this regulation.

(a) General. DA permits are required for structures or work in or affecting navigable waters of the United States. However, certain structures or work specified in 33 CFR Part 330 are permitted by that regulation. If a structure or work is not permitted by that regulation, an individual or regional section 10 permit will be required.

(b) Artificial Reefs. (1) When considering an application for an artificial reef, as defined in 33 CFR 322.22(g), the district engineer will review the applicant's provisions for siting, constructing, monitoring, operating, maintaining, and managing the proposed artificial reef and shall determine if those provisions are consistent with the following standards:

(i) The enhancement of fishery resources to the maximum extent practicable;

(ii) The facilitation of access and utilization by United States recreational and commercial fishermen;

(iii) The minimization of conflicts among competing uses of the navigable waters or waters overlying the outer continental shelf and of the resources in such waters;

(iv) The minimization of environmental risks and risks to personal health and property;
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(vi) The prevention of any unreasonable obstructions to navigation. If the district engineer decides that the applicant's provisions are not consistent with these standards, he shall deny the permit. If the district engineer decides that the provisions are consistent with these standards, and if he decides to issue the permit after the public interest review, he shall make the provisions part of the permit.

(2) In addition, the district engineer will consider the National Artificial Reef Plan developed pursuant to section 204 of the National Fishing Enhancement Act of 1984, and if he decides to issue the permit, will notify the Secretary of Commerce of any need to deviate from that plan.

(3) The district engineer will comply with all coordination provisions required by a written agreement between the DOD and the Federal agencies relative to artificial reefs. In addition, if the district engineer decides that further consultation beyond the normal public commenting process is required to evaluate fully the proposed artificial reef, he may initiate such consultation with any Federal agency, state or local government, or other interested party.

(4) The district engineer will issue a permit for the proposed artificial reef only if the applicant demonstrates to the district engineer's satisfaction, that the title to the artificial reef construction material is unambiguous, that responsibility for maintenance of the reef is clearly established, and that he has the financial ability to assume liability for all damages that may arise with respect to the proposed artificial reef. A demonstration of financial responsibility might include evidence of insurance, sponsorship, or available assets.

(i) A person to whom a permit is issued in accordance with these regulations and any insurer of that person shall not be liable for damages caused by activities required to be undertaken under any terms and conditions of the permit, if the permittee is in compliance with such terms and conditions.

(ii) A person to whom a permit is issued in accordance with these regulations and any insurer of that person shall not be liable for damages caused by activities required to be undertaken under any terms and conditions of the permit, if the permittee is in compliance with such terms and conditions.

(iii) Any person who has transferred title to artificial reef construction materials to a person to whom a permit is issued in accordance with these regulations shall not be liable for damages arising from the use of such materials in an artificial reef, if such materials meet applicable requirements
of the plan published under section 204 of the National Artificial Reef Plan, and are not otherwise defective at the time title is transferred.

(c) Non-Federal dredging for navigation. (1) The benefits which an authorized Federal navigation project are intended to produce will often require similar and related operations by non-Federal agencies (e.g., dredging access channels to docks and berthing facilities or deepening such channels to correspond to the Federal project depth). These non-Federal activities will be considered by Corps of Engineers officials in planning the construction and maintenance of Federal navigation projects and, to the maximum extent, will be coordinated with interested Federal, state, regional and local agencies and the general public simultaneously with the associated Federal projects. Non-Federal activities which are not so coordinated will be individually evaluated in accordance with these regulations. In evaluating the public interest in connection with applications for permits for such coordinated operations, equal treatment will be accorded to the fullest extent possible to both Federal and non-Federal operations. Permits for non-Federal dredging operations will normally contain conditions requiring the permittee to comply with the same practices or requirements utilized in connection with related Federal dredging operations with respect to such matters as turbidity, water quality, containment of material, nature and location of approved spoil disposal areas (non-Federal use of Federal contained disposal areas will be in accordance with laws authorizing such areas and regulations governing their use), extent and period of dredging, and other factors relating to protection of environmental and ecological values.

2) A permit for the dredging of a channel, slip, or other such project for navigation may also authorize the periodic maintenance dredging of the project. Authorization procedures and limitations for maintenance dredging shall be as prescribed in 33 CFR 325.6(e). The permit will require the permittee to give advance notice to the district engineer each time maintenance dredging is to be performed. Where the maintenance dredging involves the discharge of dredged material into waters of the United States or the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of dumping it in ocean waters, the procedures in 33 CFR Parts 323 and 324 respectively shall also be followed.

(d) Structures for small boats. (1) In the absence of overriding public interest, favorable consideration will generally be given to applications from riparian owners for permits for piers, boat docks, moorings, platforms and similar structures for small boats. Particular attention will be given to the location and general design of such structures to prevent possible obstructions to navigation with respect to both the public’s use of the waterway and the neighboring proprietors’ access to the waterway. Obstructions can result from both the existence of the structure, particularly in conjunction with other similar facilities in the immediate vicinity, and from its inability to withstand wave action or other forces which can be expected. District engineers will inform applicants of the hazards involved and encourage safety in location, design, and operation. District engineers will encourage cooperative or group use facilities in lieu of individual proprietary use facilities.

2) Floating structures for small recreational boats or other recreational purposes in lakes controlled by the Corps of Engineers under a resource manager are normally subject to permit authorities cited in § 322.3, of this section, when those waters are regarded as navigable waters of the United States. However, such structures will not be authorized under this regulation but will be regulated under applicable regulations of the Chief of Engineers published in 36 CFR 327.19 if the land surrounding those lakes is under complete Federal ownership. District engineers will delineate those portions of the navigable waters of the United States where this provision is applicable and must be authorized by a permit in the vicinity of the lake resource manager’s office.

(e) Aids to navigation. The placing of fixed and floating aids to navigation in a navigable water of the United States is within the purview of Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Furthermore, these aids are of particular interest to the U.S. Coast Guard because of its control of marking, lighting and standardization of such navigation aids. A Section 10 nationwide permit has been issued for such aids provided they are approved by, and installed in accordance with the requirements of the U.S. Coast Guard (33 CFR 300.5(a)[1]). Electrical service cables to such aids are not included in the nationwide permit (an individual permit under Section 10 permit will be required).

2) Applications for permits for the construction, operation, maintenance, or connection of facilities at the borders of the United States are subject to Executive control and must be authorized by the President, Secretary of State, or other delegated official.

(f) Outer continental shelf. Artificial islands, installations, and other devices located on the seabed, to the seaward limit of the outer continental shelf, are subject to the standard permit procedures of this regulation. Where the islands, installations and other devices are to be constructed on lands which are under mineral lease from the Mineral Management Service, Department of the Interior, that agency, in cooperation with other federal agencies, fully evaluates the potential effect of the leasing program on the total environment. Accordingly, the decision whether to issue a permit on lands which are under mineral lease from the Department of the Interior will be limited to an evaluation of the impact of the proposed work on navigation and national security. The public notice will so identify the criteria.

(g) Canals and other artificial waterways connected to navigable waters of the United States. A canal or similar artificial waterway is subject to the regulatory authorities discussed in § 322.3, of this Part, if it constitutes a navigable water of the United States, or if it is connected to navigable waters of the United States in a manner which affects their course, location, condition, or capacity, or if at some point in its construction or operation it results in an effect on the course, location, condition, or capacity of navigable waters of the United States. In all cases the connection to navigable waters of the United States requires a permit. Where the canal itself constitutes a navigable water of the United States, evaluation of the permit application and further exercise of regulatory authority will be in accordance with the standard procedures of these regulations. For all other canals, the exercise of regulatory authority is restricted to those activities which affect the course, condition, or capacity of the navigable waters of the United States. The district engineer will consider, for applications for canal work, a proposed plan of the entire development and the location and description of anticipated docks, piers and other similar structures which will be placed in the canal.

(h) Facilities at the borders of the United States. (1) The construction, operation, maintenance, or connection of facilities at the borders of the United States are subject to Executive control and must be authorized by the President, Secretary of State, or other delegated official.

2) Applications for permits for the construction, operation, maintenance, or connection at the borders of the United States of facilities for the transmission of electric energy between the United States and a foreign country, or for the exportation or importation of natural
gas to or from a foreign country, must be made to the Secretary of Energy.
717(b), as amended by Executive Order 12038, February 3, 1978, and 18 CFR
Parts 32 and 153).

(3) Applications for the landing or operation of submarine cables must be
made to the Federal Communications
Commission. (Executive Order 10530,
May 10, 1954, 47 U.S.C. 34 to 39, and 47
CFR 1.766).

(4) The Secretary of State is to receive applications for permits for the
construction, connection, operation, or
maintenance, at the borders of the
United States, of pipelines, conveyor
belts, and similar facilities for the
exportation or importation of petroleum
products, coals, minerals, or other
products to or from a foreign country;
facilities for the exportation or
importation of water or sewage to or
from a foreign country; and monorails,
aerial cable cars, aerial tramways, and
similar facilities for the transportation of
persons and/or things, to or from a
foreign country. (Executive Order 11423,
August 16, 1968).

(5) A DA under section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 is
also required for all of the above
facilities which affect the navigable
waters of the United States, but in each
case in which a permit has been issued
as provided above, the district engineer,
in evaluating the general public interest,
may consider the basic existence and
operation of the facility to have been
primarily examined and permitted as
provided by the Executive Orders.
Furthermore, in those cases where the
construction, maintenance, or operation
at the above facilities involves the
discharge of dredged material in
waters of the United States or the
transportation of dredged material for
the purpose of dumping it into ocean
waters, appropriate DA authorizations
under section 404 of the Clean Water
Act or under section 103 of the Marine
Protection, Research and Sanctuaries
Act of 1972, as amended, are also
required. (See 33 CFR Parts 323 and 324.)

(1) Power transmission lines. (1) Permits under section 10 of the Rivers
and Harbors Act of 1899 are required for
power transmission lines crossing
navigable waters of the United States
unless those lines are part of a water
power project subject to the regulatory
authorities of the Department of Energy
under the Federal Power Act of 1920.
If an application is received for a permit
for lines which are part of such a water
power project, the applicant will be
instructed to submit the application to
the Department of Energy. If the lines
are not part of such a water power
project, the application will be
processed in accordance with the
procedures of these regulations.

(2) The following minimum clearances are required for aerial electric power
transmission lines crossing navigable
waters of the United States. These
clearances are related to the clearances
over the navigable channel provided by
existing fixed bridges, or the clearances
which would be required by the U.S.
Coast Guard for new fixed bridges, in
the vicinity of the proposed power line
crossing. The clearances are based on
the low point of the line under
conditions which produce the greatest
sag, taking into consideration
temperature, load, wind, length or span,
and type of support as outlined in the

(3) Clearances for communication
lines, stream gaging cables, ferry cables,
and other aerial crossings are usually
required to be a minimum of ten feet
above clearances required for bridges.
Greater clearances will be required if
the public interest so indicates.

(4) Corps of Engineer regulation ER
1110–2–4401 prescribes minimum
vertical clearances for power and
communication lines over Corps lake
projects. In instances where both this
regulation and ER 1110–2–4401 apply,
the greater minimum clearance is
required.

(i) Seaplane operations. (1) Structures
in navigable waters of the United States
associated with seaplane operations
require DA permits, but close
coordination with the Federal Aviation
Administration (FAA), Department of
Transportation, is required on such
applications.

(2) The FAA must be notified by
an applicant whenever he proposes to
establish or operate a seaplane base.
The FAA will study the proposal and
advise the applicant, district engineer,
and other interested parties as to the
effects of the proposal on the use of
airspace. The district engineer will,
therefore, refer any objections regarding
the effect of the proposal on the use of
airspace to the FAA, and give due
consideration to its recommendations
when evaluating the general public
interest.

(3) If the seaplane base would serve
air carriers licensed by the Department
of Transportation, the applicant must
receive an airport operating certificate
from the FAA. That certificate reflects
a determination and conditions relating
to the installation, operation, and
maintenance of adequate air navigation
facilities and safety equipment.
Accordingly, the district engineer may,
in evaluating the general public interest,
consider such matters to have been
previously evaluated by the FAA.

(4) For regulations pertaining to
seaplane landings at Corps of Engineers
projects, see 36 CFR 327.4.

(k) Foreign trade zones. The Foreign
Trade Zones Act (46 Stat. 998–1003, 19
U.S.C. 81a to 81u, as amended)
authorizes the establishment of foreign-
trade zones in or adjacent to United
States ports of entry under terms of a
grant and regulations prescribed by the
Foreign-Trade Zones Board. Pertinent
regulations are published at Title 15 of
the Code of Federal Regulations, Part
400. The Secretary of the Army is a
member of the Board, and construction
of a zone is under the supervision of the
district engineer. Laws governing the
navigable waters of the United States
remain applicable to foreign-trade
zones, including the general
requirements of the regulations.

Evaluation by a district engineer of a
permit application may give recognition
to the consideration by the Board of the
general economic effects of the zone on
local and foreign commerce, general
location of wharves and facilities, and
other factors pertinent to construction,
operation, and maintenance of the zone.

(i) Shipping safety fairways and
anchorage areas. DA permits are
required for structures located within
shipping safety fairways and anchorage
areas established by the U.S. Coast
Guard.

(1) The Department of the Army will
grant no permits for the erection of
structures in areas designated as
fairways, except that district engineers
may permit temporary anchors and
attendant cables or chains for floating or
semisubmersible drilling rigs to be
placed within a fairway provided the
following conditions are met:

(i) The installation of anchors to
stabilize semisubmersible drilling
rigs within fairways must be temporary
and shall be allowed to remain only 120
days. This period may be extended by
the district engineer provided
reasonable cause for such extension can

HeinOnline -- 51 Fed. Reg. 41231 1986
be shown and the extension is otherwise justified.

(ii) Drilling rigs must be at least 500 feet from any fairway boundary or whatever distance necessary to insure that minimum clearance over an anchor line within a fairway will be 125 feet.

(iii) No anchor buoys or floats or related rigging will be allowed on the surface of the water or to a depth of 125 feet from the surface, within the fairway.

(iv) Drilling rigs may not be placed closer than 2 nautical miles of any other drilling rig situated along a fairway boundary, and not closer than 3 nautical miles to any drilling rig located on the opposite side of the fairway.

(v) The permittee must notify the district engineer, Bureau of Land Management, Mineral Management Service, U.S. Coast Guard, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and the U.S. Navy Hydrographic Office of the approximate dates (commencement and completion) the anchors will be in place to insure maximum notification to mariners.

(vi) Navigation aids or danger markings must be installed as required by the U.S. Coast Guard.

(2) District engineers may grant permits for the erection of structures within an area designated as an anchorage area, but the number of structures will be limited by spacing, as follows: The center of a structure to be erected shall be not less than two (2) nautical miles from the center of any existing structure. In a drilling or production complex, associated structures shall be as close together as practicable having due consideration for the safety factors involved. A complex of associated structures, when connected by walkways, shall be considered one structure for the purpose of spacing. A vessel fixed in place by moorings and used in conjunction with the associated structures of a drilling or production complex, shall be considered an attendant vessel and its extent shall include its moorings. When a drilling or production complex includes an attendant vessel and the complex extends more than five hundred (500) yards from the center or the complex, a structure to be erected shall be not closer than two (2) nautical miles from the near outer limit of the complex. An underwater completion installation in and anchorage area shall be considered a structure and shall be marked with a lighted buoy as approved by the United States Coast Guard.

PART 323—PERMITS FOR DISCHARGES OF DREDGED OR FILL MATERIAL INTO WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES
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§ 323.1 General.

This regulation prescribes, in addition to the general policies of 33 CFR Part 320 and procedures of 33 CFR Part 325, those special policies, practices, and procedures to be followed by the Corps of Engineers in connection with the review of applications for DA permits to authorize the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States pursuant to section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) [33 U.S.C. 1344] (hereinafter referred to as section 404). (See 33 CFR 520.2(g).) Certain discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States are also regulated under other authorities of the Department of the Army. These include dams and dikes in navigable waters of the United States pursuant to section 9 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 U.S.C. 401; see 33 CFR Part 321) and certain structures or work in or affecting navigable waters of the United States pursuant to section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 U.S.C. 403; see 33 CFR Part 322). A DA permit will also be required under these additional authorities if they are applicable to activities involving discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States. Applicants for DA permits under this part should refer to the other cited authorities and implementing regulations for these additional permit requirements to determine whether they also are applicable to their proposed activities.

§ 323.2 Definitions.

For the purpose of this part, the following terms are defined:

(a) The term “waters of the United States” and all other terms relating to the geographic scope of jurisdiction are defined at 33 CFR Part 328.

(b) The term “lake” means a standing body of open water that occurs in a natural depression fed by one or more streams from which a stream may flow, that occurs due to the widening of natural blockage or cutoff of a river or stream, or that occurs in an isolated natural depression that is not a part of a surface river or stream. The term also includes a standing body of open water created by artificially blocking or restricting the flow of a river, stream, or tidal area. As used in this regulation, the term does not include artificial lakes or ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, cooling, or rice growing.

(c) The term “dredged material” means material that is excavated or dredged from waters of the United States.

(d) The term “discharge of dredged material” means any addition of dredged material into the waters of the United States. The term includes, without limitation, the addition of dredged material to a specified discharge site located in waters of the United States and the runoff or overflow from a contained land or water disposal area. Discharges of pollutants into waters of the United States resulting from the onshore subsequent processing of dredged material that is extracted for any commercial use (other than fill) are not included within this term and are subject to section 402 of the Clean Water Act even though the extraction and deposit of such material may require a permit from the Corps of Engineers. The term does not include plowing, cultivating, seeding and harvesting for the production of food, fiber, and forest products (See § 323.4 for the definition of these terms). The term does not include de minimis, incidental soil movement occurring during normal dredging operations.

(e) The term “fill material” means any material used for the primary purpose of replacing an aquatic area with dry land or of changing the bottom elevation of a waterbody. The term does not include any pollutant discharged into the water primarily to dispose of waste, as that activity is regulated under section 402 of the Clean Water Act.

(f) The term “discharge of fill material” means the addition of fill material into waters of the United States. The term generally includes, without limitation, the following activities: Placement of fill that is necessary for the construction of any structure in a water of the United States; the building of any structure or impoundment requiring rock, sand, dirt, or other material for its construction; site-development fills for recreational, industrial, commercial, residential, and other uses; causeways or road fills; dams and dikes; artificial islands; property protection and/or reclamation devices such as riprap, groins, seawalls, breakwaters, and revetments; beach nourishment; levees; fill for structures such as sewage treatment facilities,
intake and outfall pipes associated with power plants and subaqueous utility lines; and artificial reefs. The term does not include plowing, cultivating, seeding and harvesting for the production of food, fiber, and forest products (See § 322.4 for the definition of these terms).

(g) The term "individual permit" means a Department of the Army authorization that is issued following a case-by-case evaluation of a specific project involving the proposed discharge(s) in accordance with the procedures of this part and 33 CFR Part 325 and a determination that the proposed discharge is in the public interest pursuant to 33 CFR Part 320.

(h) The term "general permit" means a Department of the Army authorization that is issued on a nationwide or regional basis for a category or categories of activities when:

(1) Those activities are substantially similar in nature and cause only minimal individual and cumulative environmental impacts; or

(2) The general permit would result in avoiding unnecessary duplication of regulatory control exercised by another Federal, state, or local agency provided it has been determined that the environmental consequences of the activities are individually and cumulatively minimal. (See 33 CFR 325.2(e) and 33 CFR Part 330.)

§ 323.3 Discharges requiring permits.

(a) General. Except as provided in § 323.4 of this Part, DA permits will be required for the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States. Certain discharges specified in 33 CFR Part 330 are permitted by that regulation ("nationwide permits"). Other discharges may be authorized by district or division engineers on a regional basis ("regional permits"). If a discharge of dredged or fill material is not exempted by § 323.4 of this Part or permitted by 33 CFR Part 330, an individual or regional section 404 permit will be required for the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States.

(b) Activities of Federal agencies. Discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States done by or on behalf of any Federal agency, other than the Corps of Engineers (see 33 CFR Part 209.145), are subject to the authorization procedures of these regulations. Agreement for construction or engineering services performed for other agencies by the Corps of Engineers does not constitute authorization under the regulations. Division and district engineers will therefore advise Federal agencies and instrumentalities accordingly and cooperate to the fullest extent in expediting the processing of their applications.

§ 323.4 Discharges not requiring permits.

(a) General. Except as specified in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, any discharge of dredged or fill material that may result from any of the following activities is not prohibited by or otherwise subject to regulation under section 404:

(1) Normal farming, silviculture and ranching activities such as plowing, seeding, cultivating, minor drainage, and harvesting for the production of food, fiber, and forest products, or upland soil and water conservation practices, as defined in paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of this section.

(ii) To fall under this exemption, the activities specified in paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section must be part of an established (i.e., on-going) farming, silviculture, or ranching operation and must be in accordance with definitions in § 323.4(a)(1)(iii). Activities on areas lying fallow as part of conventional rotational cycle are part of an established operation. Activities which bring an area into farming, silviculture, or ranching use are not part of an established operation. An operation ceases to be established when the area on which it was conducted has been converted to another use or has lain idle so long that modifications to the hydrological regime are necessary to resume operations. If an activity takes place outside the waters of the United States, or if it does not involve a discharge, it does not need a section 404 permit, whether or not it is part of an established farming, silviculture, or ranching operation.

(iii) (A) Cultivating means physical methods of soil treatment employed within established farming, ranching and silviculture lands on farm, ranch, or forest crops to aid and improve their growth, quality or yield.

(B) Harvesting means physical measures employed directly upon farm, forest, or ranch crops within established agricultural and silvicultural lands to bring about their removal from farm, forest, or ranch land, but does not include the construction of farm, forest, or ranch roads.

(C)(i) Minor Drainage means:

(1) The discharge of dredged or fill material incidental to connecting upland drainage facilities to waters of the United States, adequate to effect the removal of excess soil moisture from upland croplands. (Construction and maintenance of upland (dryland) facilities, such as ditching and tiling, incidental to the planting, cultivating, protecting, or harvesting of crops, involve no discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States, and as such never require a section 404 permit):

(ii) The discharge of dredged or fill material for the purpose of installing ditching or other such water control facilities incidental to planting, cultivating, protecting, or harvesting of rice, cranberries or other wetland crop species, where these activities and the discharge occur in waters of the United States which are in established use for such agricultural and silvicultural wetland crop production:

(iii) The discharge of dredged or fill material for the purpose of manipulating the water levels of, or regulating the flow or distribution of water within, existing impoundments which have been constructed in accordance with applicable requirements of CWA, and which are in established use for the production of rice, cranberries, or other wetland crop species. (The provisions of paragraphs (a)(1)(i)(ii)(C)(ii) and (iii) of this section apply to areas that are in established use exclusively for wetland crop production as well as areas in established use for conventional wetland/non-wetland crop rotation (e.g., the rotations of rice and soybeans) where such rotation results in the cyclical or intermittent temporary dewatering of such areas.)

(iv) The discharges of dredged or fill material incidental to the emergency removal of sandbars, gravel bars, or other similar blockages which are formed during flood flows or other events, where such blockages close or extend the dimensions of, or changing the bottom elevations of, the affected drainageway as it existed prior to the formation of the blockage. Removal must be accomplished within one year of discovery of such blockages in order to be eligible for exemption.

(2) Minor drainage in waters of the U.S. is limited to drainage within areas that are part of an established farming or silviculture operation. It does not include drainage associated with the immediate or gradual conversion of a wetland to a non-wetland (e.g., wetland species to upland species not typically adapted to life in saturated soil conditions), or conversion from one wetland use to another (for example, silviculture to farming). In addition,
minor drainage does not include the construction of any canal, ditch, dike or other waterway or structure which drains or otherwise significantly modifies a stream, lake, swamp, bog or any other wetland or aquatic area constituting waters of the United States. Any discharge of dredged or fill material into the waters of the United States incidental to the construction of any such structure or waterway requires a permit.

(D) Plowing means all forms of primary tillage, including moldboard, chisel, or wide-blade plowing, discing, harrowing and similar physical means utilized on farm, forest or ranch land for the breaking up, cutting, turning over, or stirring of soil to prepare it for the planting of crops. The term does not include the redistribution of soil, rock, sand, or other surficial materials in a manner which changes any area of the waters of the United States to dry land. For example, the redistribution of surface materials by blading, grading, or other means to fill in wetland areas is not plowing. Rock crushing activities which result in the loss of natural drainage characteristics, the reduction of water storage and recharge capabilities, or the overburden of natural water filtration capacities do not constitute plowing. Plowing as described above will never involve a discharge of dredged or fill material.

(E) Seeding means the sowing of seed and placement of seedlings to produce farm, ranch, or forest crops and includes the placement of soil beds for seeds or seedlings on established farm and forest lands.

(2) Maintenance, including emergency reconstruction of recently damaged parts, of currently serviceable structures such as dikes, dams, levees, groins, riprap, breakwaters, causeways, bridge abutments or approaches, and transportation structures. Maintenance does not include any modification that changes the character, scope, or size of the original fill design. Emergency reconstruction must occur within a reasonable period of time after damage occurs in order to qualify for this exemption.

(3) Construction or maintenance of farm or stock ponds or irrigation ditches, or the maintenance (but not construction) of drainage ditches. Discharges associated with siphons, pumps, headgates, wingwalls, weirs, diversion structures, and such other facilities as are appurtenant and functionally related to irrigation ditches are included in this exemption.

(4) Construction of temporary sedimentation basins on a construction site which does not include placement of fill material into waters of the U.S. The term "construction site" refers to any site involving the erection of buildings, roads, and other discrete structures and the installation of support facilities necessary for construction and utilization of such structures. The term also includes any other land areas which involve land-disturbing excavation activities, including quarrying or other mining activities, where an increase in the runoff of sediment is controlled through the use of temporary sedimentation basins.

(5) Any activity with respect to which a state has an approved program under section 208(b)(4) of the CWA which meets the requirements of sections 208(b)(4)(B) and (C).

(6) Construction or maintenance of farm roads, forest roads, or temporary roads for moving mining equipment, where such roads are constructed and maintained in accordance with best management practices (BMPs) to assure that flow and circulation patterns and chemical and biological characteristics of waters of the United States are not impaired, that the reach of the waters of the United States is not reduced, and that any adverse effect on the aquatic environment will be otherwise minimized. These BMPs which must be applied to satisfy this provision shall include those detailed BMPs described in the state's approved program description pursuant to the requirements of 40 CFR Part 233.22(i), and shall also include the following baseline provisions:

(i) Permanent roads (for farming or forestry activities), temporary access roads (for mining, forestry, or farm purposes) and skid trails (for logging) in waters of the U.S. shall be held to the minimum feasible number, width, and total length consistent with the purpose of specific farming, silvicultural or mining operations, and local topographic and climatic conditions;

(ii) All roads, temporary or permanent, shall be located sufficiently far from streams or other water bodies (except for portions of such roads which must cross water bodies) to minimize discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the U.S.;

(iii) The road fill shall be bridged, culverted, or otherwise designed to prevent the restriction of expected flood flows;

(iv) The fill shall be properly stabilized and maintained during and following construction to prevent erosion;

(v) Discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States to construct a road fill shall be made in a manner that minimizes the encroachment of trucks, tractors, bulldozers, or other heavy equipment within waters of the United States (including adjacent wetlands) that lie outside the lateral boundaries of the fill itself;

(vi) In designing, constructing, and maintaining roads, vegetative disturbance in the waters of the U.S. shall be kept to a minimum;

(vii) The design, construction and maintenance of the road crossing shall not disrupt the migration or other movement of those species of aquatic life inhabiting the water body;

(viii) Borrow material shall be taken from upland sources whenever feasible;

(ix) The discharge shall not take, or jeopardize the continued existence of, a threatened or endangered species as defined under the Endangered Species Act, or adversely modify or destroy the critical habitat of such species;

(x) All temporary fills shall be removed in their entirety and the area restored to its original elevation.

(b) If any discharge of dredged or fill material resulting from the activities listed in paragraphs (a) (1)-(6) of this section contains any toxic pollutant listed under section 307 of the CWA such discharge shall be subject to any applicable toxic pollutant standard or prohibition, and shall require a Section 404 permit.

(c) Any discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States incidental to any of the activities identified in paragraphs (a) (1)-(6) of this section must have a permit if it is part of an activity whose purpose is to convert an area of the waters of the United States into a use to which it was not previously subject, where the flow or circulation of waters of the United States may be impaired or the reach of such waters reduced. Where the proposed discharge will result in significant discernible alterations to flow or circulation, the presumption is that flow or circulation may be impaired by such alteration. For example, a...
permit will be required for the conversion of a cypress swamp to some other use or the conversion of a wetland from silvicultural to agricultural use when there is a discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States in conjunction with construction of dikes, drainage ditches or other works or structures used to effect such conversion. A conversion of a Section 404 wetland to a non-wetland is a change in use of an area of waters of the United States. A discharge which elevates the bottom of waters of the United States without converting it to dry land does not thereby reduce the reach of, but may alter the flow or circulation of, waters of the United States.

(d) Federal projects which qualify under the criteria contained in section 404(r) of the CWA are exempt from section 404 permit requirements, but may be subject to other state or Federal requirements.

§ 323.5 Program transfer to states.

Section 404(h) of the CWA allows the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to transfer administration of the section 404 permit program for discharges into certain waters of the United States to qualified states. (The program cannot be transferred for those waters which are presently used, or are susceptible to use in their natural condition or by reasonable improvement as a means to transport interstate or foreign commerce shoreward to their ordinary high water mark, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide shoreward to the high tide line, including wetlands adjacent thereto). See 40 CFR Parts 233 and 124 for procedural regulations for transferring Section 404 programs to states. Once a state’s 404 program is approved and in effect, the Corps of Engineers will suspend processing of section 404 applications in the applicable waters and will transfer pending applications to the state agency responsible for administering the program. District engineers will assist EPA and the states in any way practicable to effect transfer and will develop appropriate procedures to ensure orderly and expeditious transfer.

§ 323.6 Special policies and procedures.

(a) The Secretary of the Army has delegated to the Chief of Engineers the authority to issue or deny section 404 permits. The district engineer will review applications for permits for the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Administrator, EPA, under authority of section 404(b)(1) of the CWA. (see 40 CFR Part 230) Subject to consideration of any economic impact on navigation and anchorage pursuant to section 404(b)(2), a permit will be denied if the discharge that would be authorized by such a permit would not comply with the 404(b)(1) guidelines. If the district engineer determines that the proposed discharge would comply with the 404(b)(1) guidelines, he will grant the permit unless issuance would be contrary to the public interest.

(b) The Corps will not issue a permit where the regional administrator of EPA has notified the district engineer and applicant in writing pursuant to 40 CFR 231.3(a)(1) that he intends to issue a public notice of a proposed determination to prohibit or withdraw the specification, or to deny, restrict or withdraw the use for specification, of any defined area as a disposal site in accordance with section 404(c) of the Clean Water Act. However the Corps will continue to complete the administrative processing of the application while the section 404(c) procedures are underway including completion of final coordination with EPA under 33 CFR Part 325.
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§ 324.1 General.

This regulation prescribes in addition to the general policies of 33 CFR Part 320 and procedures of 33 CFR Part 325, those special policies, practices and procedures to be followed by the Corps of Engineers in connection with the review of applications for Department of the Army (DA) permits to authorize the transportation of dredged material by vessel or other vehicle for the purpose of dumping it in ocean waters at dumping sites designated under 40 CFR Part 228 pursuant to section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1413) (hereinafter referred to as section 103). See 33 CFR 320.2(h). Activities involving the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of dumping in the ocean waters also require DA permits under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 U.S.C. 403) for the dredging in navigable waters of the United States. Applicants for DA permits under this Part should also refer to 33 CFR Part 322 to satisfy the requirements of Section 10.

§ 324.2 Definitions.

For the purpose of this regulation, the following terms are defined:

(a) The term “ocean waters” means those waters of the open seas lying seaward of the base line from which the territorial sea is measured, as provided for in the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone (15 UST 1906: TIAS 5639).

(b) The term “dredged material” means any material excavated or dredged from navigable waters of the United States.

(c) The term “transport” or “transportation” refers to the conveyance and related handling of dredged material by a vessel or other vehicle.

§ 324.3 Activities requiring permits.

(a) General. DA permits are required for the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of dumping it in ocean waters.

(b) Activities of Federal agencies. (1) The transportation of dredged material for the purpose of disposal in ocean waters done by or on behalf of any Federal agency other than the activities of the Corps of Engineers is subject to the procedures of this regulation. Agreement for construction or engineering services performed for other agencies by the Corps of Engineers does not constitute authorization under these regulations. Division and district engineers will therefore advise Federal agencies accordingly and cooperate to the fullest extent in the expeditious processing of their applications. These activities of the Corps of Engineers that involve the transportation of dredged material for disposal in ocean waters are regulated by 33 CFR 209.145.

(2) The policy provisions set out in 33 CFR 320.4(j) relating to state or local authorizations do not apply to work or structures undertaken by Federal agencies, except where compliance with non-Federal authorization is required by Federal law or Executive policy. Federal agencies are responsible for conformance with such laws and policies. (See EO 12088, October 18, 1978.) Federal agencies are not required to obtain and provide certification of compliance with effluent limitations and water quality standards from state or interstate water pollution control agencies in connection with activities involving the transport of dredged material for dumping into ocean waters beyond the territorial sea.
§ 324.4 Special procedures.
The Secretary of the Army has delegated to the Chief of Engineers the authority to issue or deny section 103 permits. The following additional procedures shall be applicable under this regulation.
(a) Public notice. For all applications for section 103 permits, the district engineer will issue a public notice which shall contain the information specified in 33 CFR 325.3.
(b) Evaluation. Applications for permits for the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of dumping it in ocean waters will be evaluated to determine whether the proposed dumping will unreasonably degrade or endanger human health, welfare, amenities, or the marine environment, ecological systems or economic potentialities. District engineers will apply the criteria established by the Administrator of EPA pursuant to section 102 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 in making this evaluation. (See 40 CFR Parts 220-229) Where ocean dumping is determined to be necessary, the district engineer will, to the extent feasible, specify disposal sites using the recommendations of the Administrator pursuant to section 102(c) of the Act.
(c) EPA review. When the Regional Administrator, EPA, in accordance with 40 CFR 225.2(b), advises the district engineer, in writing, that the proposed dumping will comply with the criteria, the district engineer will complete his evaluation of the application under this Part and 33 CFR Parts 320 and 325. If, however, the Regional Administrator advises the district engineer, in writing, that the proposed dumping does not comply with the criteria, the district engineer will proceed as follows:
1. The district engineer will determine whether there is an economically feasible alternative method or site available other than the proposed ocean disposal site. If there are other feasible alternative methods or sites available, the district engineer will evaluate them in accordance with 33 CFR Parts 320, 322, 323, and 325 and this Part, as appropriate.
2. If the district engineer determines that there is no economically feasible alternative method or site available, and the proposed project is otherwise found to be not contrary to the public interest, he will so advise the Regional Administrator setting forth his reasons for such determination. If the Regional Administrator has not removed his objection within 15 days, the district engineer will submit a report of his determination to the Chief of Engineers for further coordination with the Administrator, EPA, and decision. The report forwarding the case will contain the analysis of whether there are other economically feasible methods or sites available to dispose of the dredged material.
(d) Chief of Engineers review. The Chief of Engineers shall evaluate the permit application and make a decision to deny the permit or recommend its issuance. If the decision of the Chief of Engineers is that ocean dumping at the proposed disposal site is required because of the unavailability of economically feasible alternatives, he shall so certify and request that the Secretary of the Army seek a waiver from the Administrator, EPA, of the criteria or of the critical site designation in accordance with 40 CFR 225.4.
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Appendix B—Reserved (For Future NEPA Regulation)
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§ 325.1 Applications for permits.
(a) General. The processing procedures of this Part apply to any Department of the Army (DA) permit. Special procedures and additional information are contained in 33 CFR Parts 320 through 324, 327 and Part 330. This Part is arranged in the basic timing sequence recommended by the Corps of Engineers in processing applications for DA permits.
(b) Pre-application consultation for major applications. The district staff element having responsibility for administering, processing, and enforcing federal laws and regulations relating to the Corps of Engineers regulatory program shall be available to advise potential applicants of studies or other information foreseeablely required for later federal action. The district engineer will establish local procedures and policies including appropriate publicity programs which will allow potential applicants to contact the district engineer or the regulatory staff element to request pre-application consultation. Upon receipt of such request, the district engineer will assure the conduct of an engineering process which may involve other staff elements and affected agencies (Federal, state, or local) and the public. This early process should be brief but thorough so that the potential applicant may begin to assess the viability of some of the more obvious potential alternatives in the application. The district engineer will endeavor, at this stage, to provide the potential applicant with all helpful information necessary in pursuing the application, including factors which the Corps must consider in its permit decision making process. Whenever the district engineer becomes aware of planning for work which may require a DA permit and which may involve the preparation of an environmental document, he shall consult the principals involved to advise them of the requirement for the permit(s) and the attendant public interest review including the development of an environmental document. Whenever a potential applicant indicates the intent to submit an application for work which may require the preparation of an environmental document, a single point of contact shall be designated within the district's regulatory staff to effectively coordinate the regulatory process, including the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) procedures and all attendant reviews, meetings, hearings, and other actions, including the scoping process if appropriate, leading to a decision by the district engineer. Effort devoted to this process should be commensurate with the likelihood of a permit application actually being submitted to the Corps. The regulatory staff coordinator shall maintain an open relationship with each potential applicant or his consultants so as to assure that the potential applicant is fully aware of the substance (both quantitative and qualitative) of the data required by the district engineer for use in preparing an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement (EIS) in accordance with 33 CFR Part 320, Appendix B.
(c) Application form. Applicants for all individual DA permits must use the standard application form (ENG Form 4943). OMB Approval No. OMB 49– R0420. Local variations of the application form for purposes of facilitating coordination with federal, state and local agencies may be used. The appropriate form may be obtained from the district office having...
jurisdiction over the waters in which the activity is proposed to be located. Certain activities have been authorized by general permits and do not require submission of an application form but may require a separate notification.

(d) Content of application. (1) The application must include a complete description of the proposed activity including necessary drawings, sketches, or plans sufficient for public notice (detailed engineering plans and specifications are not required); the location, purpose and need for the proposed activity; scheduling of the activity; the names and addresses of adjoining property owners; the location and dimensions of adjacent structures; and a list of authorizations required by other federal, interstate, state, or local agencies for the work, including all approvals received or denials already made. See § 325.3 for information required to be in public notices. District and division engineers are not authorized to develop additional information forms but may request specific information on a case-by-case basis. (See § 325.1(e)).

(2) All activities which the applicant plans to undertake which are reasonably related to the same project and for which a DA permit would be required should be included in the same permit application. District engineers should reject, as incomplete, any permit application which fails to comply with this requirement. For example, a permit application for a marina will include dredging required for access as well as any fill associated with construction of the marina.

(3) If the activity would involve dredging in navigable waters of the United States, the application must include a description of the type, composition and quantity of the material to be dredged, the method of dredging, and the site and plans for disposal of the dredged material.

(4) If the activity would include the discharge of dredged or fill material into the waters of the United States or the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of disposing of it in ocean waters the application must include the source of the material; the purpose of the discharge, a description of the type, composition and quantity of the material; the method of transportation and disposal of the material; and the location of the disposal site.

Certification under section 401 of the Clean Water Act is required for such discharges into waters of the United States.

(5) If the activity would include the construction of a filled area or pile or float-supported platform the project description must include the use of, and specific structures to be erected on, the fill or platform.

(6) If the activity would involve the construction of an impoundment structure, the applicant may be required to demonstrate that the structure complies with established state dam safety criteria or that the structure has been designed by qualified persons and, in appropriate cases, independently reviewed (and modified as the review would indicate) by similarly qualified persons. No specific design criteria are to be prescribed nor is an independent detailed engineering review to be made by the district engineer.

(7) Signature on application. The application must be signed by the person who desires to undertake the proposed activity (i.e. the applicant) or by a duly authorized agent. When the applicant is represented by an agent, that information will be included in the space provided on the application or by a separate written statement. The signature of the agent will be an affirmation that the applicant possesses or will possess the requisite property interest to undertake the activity proposed in the application, except where the lands are under the control of the Corps of Engineers, in which cases the district engineer will coordinate the transfer of the real estate and the permit action. An application may include the activity of more than one owner provided the character of the activity of each owner is similar and in the same general area and each owner submits a statement designating the same agent.

(8) If the activity would involve the construction or placement of an artificial reef, as defined in 33 CFR 322.2(g), in the navigable waters of the United States or in the waters overlying the outer continental shelf, the application must include provisions for siting, constructing, monitoring, and managing the artificial reef.

(9) Complete application. An application will be determined to be complete when sufficient information is received to issue a public notice (See 33 CFR 325.1(d) and 325.3[a]). The issuance of a public notice will not be delayed to obtain information necessary to evaluate an application.

(e) Additional information. In addition to the information indicated in paragraph (d) of this section, the applicant will be required to furnish only such additional information as the district engineer deems essential to make a public interest determination, including, where applicable, a determination of compliance with the section 404(b)(1) guidelines or ocean dumping criteria. Such additional information may include environmental data and information on alternate methods and sites as may be necessary for the preparation of the required environmental documentation.

(f) Fees. Fees are required for permits under section 404 of the Clean Water Act, section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended, and sections 9 and 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. A fee of $100.00 will be charged when the planned or ultimate purpose of the project is commercial or industrial in nature and is in support of operations that charge for the production, distribution or sale of goods or services. A $10.00 fee will be charged for permit applications when the proposed work is non-commercial in nature and would provide personal benefits that have no connection with a commercial enterprise. The final decision as to the basis for a fee (commercial vs. non-commercial) shall be solely the responsibility of the district engineer. No fee will be charged if the applicant withdraws the application at any time prior to issuance of the permit or if the permit is denied. Collection of the fee will be deferred until the proposed activity has been determined to be not contrary to the public interest. Multiple fees are not to be charged if more than one law is applicable. Any modification significant enough to require publication of a public notice will also require a fee. No fee will be assessed when a permit is transferred from one property owner to another. No fees will be charged for time extensions, general permits or letters of permission. Agencies or instrumentalities of federal, state or local governments will not be required to pay any fee in connection with permits.

§ 325.2 Processing of applications.

(a) Standard procedures. (1) When an application for a permit is received the district engineer shall immediately assign it a number for identification, acknowledge receipt thereof, and advise the applicant of the number assigned to it. He shall review the application for completeness, and if the application is incomplete, request from the applicant within 15 days of receipt of the application any additional information necessary for further processing.

(2) Within 15 days of receipt of an application the district engineer will either determine that the application is complete (see 33 CFR 325.1(d)[9] and issue a public notice as described in § 325.3 of this Part, unless specifically exempted by other provisions of this
regulation or that it is incomplete and notify the applicant of the information necessary for a complete application. The district engineer will issue a supplemental, revised, or corrected public notice if in his view there is a change in the application data that would affect the public's review of the proposal.

(3) The district engineer will consider all comments received in response to the public notice in his subsequent actions on the permit application. Receipt of the comments will be acknowledged, if appropriate, and they will be made a part of the administrative record of the application. Comments received as form letters or petitions may be acknowledged as a group to the person or organization responsible for the form letter or petition. If comments relate to matters requiring the special expertise of another federal agency, the district engineer may seek the advice of that agency. If the district engineer determines, based on comments received, that he must have the views of the applicant on a particular issue to make a public interest determination, the applicant will be given the opportunity to furnish his views on such issue to the district engineer (see § 325.2(d)(5)). At the earliest practicable time other substantive comments will be furnished to the applicant for his information and any views he may wish to offer. A summary of the comments, the actual letters or portions thereof, or representative comment letters may be furnished to the applicant. The applicant may voluntarily elect to contact objectors in an attempt to resolve objections but will not be required to do so. District engineers will ensure that all parties are informed that the Corps alone is responsible for reaching a decision on the merits of any application. The district engineer may also offer Corps regulatory staff to be present at meetings between applicants and objectors, where appropriate, to provide information on the process, to mediate differences, or to gather information to aid in the decision process. The district engineer should not delay processing of the application unless the applicant requests a reasonable delay, normally not to exceed 30 days, to provide additional information or comments.

(4) The district engineer will follow Appendix B of 33 CFR Part 230 for environmental procedures and documentation required by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. A decision on a permit application will require either an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement unless it is included within a categorical exclusion.

(5) The district engineer will also evaluate the application to determine the need for a public hearing pursuant to 33 CFR Part 327.

(6) After all above actions have been completed, the district engineer will determine in accordance with the record and applicable regulations whether or not the permit should be issued. He shall prepare a statement of findings (SOF) or, where an EIS has been prepared, a record of decision (ROD), on all permit decisions. The SOF or ROD shall include the district engineer's views on the probable effect of the proposed work on the public interest including conformity with the guidelines published for the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States (40 CFR Parts 220 to 229), if applicable, and the conclusions of the district engineer. The SOF or ROD shall be dated, signed, and included in the record prior to final action on the application. Where the district engineer has delegated authority to sign permits for and in his behalf, he may similarly delegate the signing of the SOF or ROD. If a district engineer makes a decision on a permit application which is contrary to state or local decisions (33 CFR 320.4(j) (2) & (4)), the district engineer will include in the decision document the significant national issues and explain how they are overriding in importance. If a permit is warranted, the district engineer will determine the special conditions, if any, and duration which should be incorporated into the permit. In accordance with the authorities specified in Section 325.8 of this Part, the district engineer will take final action or forward the application with all pertinent comments, records, and studies, including the final EIS or environmental assessment, through channels to the official authorized to make the final decision. The report forwarding the application for decision will be in a format prescribed by the Chief of Engineers. District and division engineers will notify the applicant and interested federal and state agencies that the application has been forwarded to higher headquarters. The district or division engineer may, at his option, disclose his recommendation to the news media and other interested parties, with the caution that it is only a recommendation and not a final decision. Such disclosure is encouraged in permit cases which have become controversial and have been the subject of stories in the media or have generated strong public interest. In those cases where the application is forwarded for decision in the format prescribed by the Chief of Engineers, the report will serve as the SOF or ROD. District engineers will generally combine the SOF, environmental assessment, and findings of no significant impact (FONSI), 404(b)(1) guideline analysis, and/or the criteria for dumping of dredged material in ocean waters into a single document.

(7) If the final decision is to deny the permit, the applicant will be advised in writing of the reason(s) for denial. If the final decision is to issue the permit and a standard individual permit form will be used, the issuing official will forward the permit to the applicant for signature accepting the conditions of the permit. The permit is not valid until signed by the issuing official. Letters of permission require only the signature of the issuing official. Final action on the permit application is the signature on the letter notifying the applicant of the denial of the permit or signature of the issuing official on the authorizing document.

(6) The district engineer will publish monthly a list of permits issued or denied during the previous month. The list will identify each action by public notice number, name of applicant, and brief description of activity involved. It will also note that relevant environmental documents and the SOF & ROD's are available upon written request and, where applicable, upon the payment of administrative fees. This list will be distributed to all persons who may have an interest in any of the public notices listed.

(9) Copies of permits will be furnished to other agencies in appropriate cases as follows:

(i) If the activity involves the construction of artificial islands, installations or other devices on the outer continental shelf, to the Director, Defense Mapping Agency, Hydrographic Center, Washington, DC 20390 Attention, Code NS12, and to the Charting and Geodetic Services, N/C/G222, National Ocean Service NOAA, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

(ii) If the activity involves the construction of structures to enhance fish propagation (e.g., fishing reefs) along the coasts of the United States, to the Defense Mapping Agency, Hydrographic Center and National Ocean Service as in paragraph (a)(9)(i) of this section and to the Director, Office of Marine Recreational Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, DC 20235.

(iii) If the activity involves the erection of an aerial transmission line, submerged cable, or submerged pipeline.
across a navigable water of the United States, to the Charting and Geodetic Services NCG222, National Ocean Service NOAA, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

(iv) If the activity is listed in paragraphs (a)(9)(i), (ii), or (iii) of this section, or involves the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of dumping it in ocean waters, to the appropriate District Commander, U.S. Coast Guard.

(b) Procedures for particular types of permit situations.—(1) Section 401 Water Quality Certification. If the district engineer determines that water quality certification for the proposed activity is necessary under the provisions of section 401 of the Clean Water Act, he shall so notify the applicant and obtain from him or the certifying agency a copy of such certification.

(i) The public notice for such activity, which will contain a statement on certification requirements (see § 325.3(a)(6)), will serve as the notification to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) pursuant to section 401(a)(2) of the Clean Water Act. If EPA determines that the proposed discharge may affect the quality of the waters of any state other than the state in which the discharge will originate, it will so notify such other state, the district engineer, and the applicant. If such notice or a request for supplemental information is not received within 30 days of issuance of the public notice, the district engineer will assume EPA has made a negative determination with respect to section 401(a)(2). If EPA determines another state's waters may be affected, such state has 60 days from receipt of EPA's notice to determine if the proposed discharge will affect the quality of its waters so as to violate any water quality requirement in such state, to notify EPA and the district engineer in writing of its objection to permit issuance, and to request a public hearing. If such occurs, the district engineer will hold a public hearing in the objecting state. Except as stated above, the hearing will be conducted in accordance with 33 CFR Part 327. The issues to be considered at the public hearing will be limited to water quality impacts. EPA will submit its evaluation and recommendations at the hearing with respect to the state's objection to permit issuance. Based upon the recommendations of the objecting state, EPA, and any additional evidence presented at the hearing, the district engineer will condition the permit, if issued, in such a manner as may be necessary to insure compliance with applicable water quality requirements. If the imposition of such conditions is not, in the district engineer's opinion, insur such compliance, he will deny the permit.

(ii) No permit will be granted until required certification has been obtained or has been waived. A waiver may be explicit, or will be deemed to occur if the certifying agency fails or refuses to act on a request for certification within sixty days after receipt of such a request unless the district engineer determines a shorter or longer period is reasonable for the state to act. In determining whether or not a waiver period has commenced or waiver has occurred, the district engineer will verify that the certifying agency has received a valid request for certification. If, however, special circumstances identified by the district engineer require that action on an application be taken within a more limited period of time, the district engineer shall determine a reasonable lesser period of time, advise the certifying agency of the need for action by a particular date, and that, if certification is not received by that date, it will be considered that the required certification for the proposed activity has been waived. Similarly, if it appears that circumstances may reasonably require a period of time longer than sixty days, the district engineer, based on information provided by the certifying agency, will determine a longer reasonable period of time, not to exceed one year, at which time a waiver will be deemed to occur.

(2) Coastal Zone Management Consistency. If the proposed activity is to be undertaken in a state operating under a coastal zone management program approved by the Secretary of Commerce pursuant to the Coastal Zone Management (CZM) Act (see 33 CFR 320.3(b)), the district engineer shall proceed as follows:

(i) If the applicant is a federal agency, and the application involves a federal activity in or affecting the coastal zone, the district engineer shall forward a copy of the public notice to the agency of the state responsible for reviewing the consistency of federal activities. The federal agency applicant shall be responsible for complying with the CZM Act's directive for ensuring that federal agency activities are undertaken in a manner which is consistent, to the maximum extent practicable, with approved CZM Programs. (See 15 CFR Part 930.) If the state coastal zone agency objects to the proposed federal activity on the basis of its inconsistency with the state's approved CZM Program, the district engineer shall not make a final decision on the application until the disagreeing parties have had an opportunity to utilize the procedures specified by the CZM Act for resolving such disagreements.

(ii) If the applicant is not a federal agency and the application involves an activity affecting the coastal zone, the district engineer shall obtain from the applicant a certification that his proposed activity complies with and will be conducted in a manner that is consistent with the approved state CZM Program. Upon receipt of the certification, the district engineer will forward a copy of the public notice (which will include the applicant's certification statement) to the state coastal zone agency and request its concurrence or objection. If the state agency objects to the certification or issues a decision indicating that the proposed activity requires further review, the district engineer shall not issue the permit until the state concurs with the certification statement or the Secretary of Commerce determines that the proposed activity is consistent with the purposes of the CZM Act or is necessary in the interest of national security. If the state agency fails to concur or object to a certification statement within six months of the state agency's receipt of the certification statement, state agency concurrence with the certification statement shall be conclusively presumed. District engineers will seek agreements with state CZM agencies that the agency's failure to provide comments during the public notice comment period will be considered as a concurrence with the certification or waiver of the right to concur or non-concur.

(iii) If the applicant is requesting a permit for work on Indian reservation lands which are in the coastal zone, the district engineer shall treat the application in the same manner as prescribed for a Federal applicant in paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section. However, if the applicant is requesting a permit on non-trust Indian lands, and the state CZM agency has decided to assert jurisdiction over such lands, the district engineer shall treat the application in the same manner as prescribed for a non-Federal applicant in paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section.

(3) Historic Properties. If the proposed activity would involve any property listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, the district engineer will proceed in accordance with Corps National Historic Preservation Act implementing regulations.
(4) Activities Associated with Federal Projects. If the proposed activity would consist of the dredging of an access channel and/or berthing facility associated with an authorized federal navigation project, the activity will be included in the planning and coordination of the construction or maintenance of the federal project to the maximum extent feasible. Separate notice, hearing, and environmental documentation will not be required for activities so included and coordinated, and the public notice issued by the district engineer for these federal and associated non-federal activities will be the notice of intent to issue permits for those included non-federal dredging activities. The decision whether to issue or deny such a permit will be consistent with the decision on the federal project. Activities described above which are found in paragraphs (a)(2) (i) through (iv) of this section, and other pertinent factors, the district engineer may extend the comment period up to an additional 30 days if warranted.

(3) District engineers will decide on all applications not later than 60 days after receipt of a complete application, unless (i) preliminary procedures required by law or procedures required by law (see below), (ii) the case must be referred to higher authority (see § 325.8 of this Part), (iii) the comment period is extended, (iv) a timely submittal of information or comments is not received from the applicant or (v) information needed by the district engineer for a decision on the application cannot reasonably be obtained within the 60-day period. Once the cause for preventing the decision from being made within the normal 60-day period has been satisfied or eliminated, the 60-day clock will start running again from where it was suspended. For example, if the comment period is extended by 30 days, the district engineer will, absent other restraints, decide on the application within 90 days of receipt of a complete application. Certain laws (e.g., the Clean Water Act, the CZM Act, the National Environmental Protection Act, the National Historic Preservation Act, the Preservation of Historical and Archeological Data Act, the Endangered Species Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act) require procedures such as state or other federal agency certifications, public hearings, environmental impact statements, consultation, special studies, and testing which may prevent district engineers from being able to decide certain applications within 60 days.

(a) Once the district engineer has sufficient information to make his public interest determination, he should decide the permit application even though other agencies which may have regulatory jurisdiction have not yet granted their authorizations, except where such authorizations are, by federal law, a prerequisite to making a decision on the DA permit application. Permits granted prior to other (non-prerequisite) authorizations by other agencies should, where appropriate, be conditioned in such a manner as to give other authorities an opportunity to undertake their review without the applicant biasing such review by making substantial resource commitments on the basis of the DA permit. In unusual cases the district engineer may decide that due to the nature or scope of a specific proposal, it would be prudent to defer taking final action until another agency has acted on its authorization. In such cases, he may advise the other agency of his position on the DA permit while deferring his final decision.

(5) The applicant will be given a reasonable time, not to exceed 30 days, to respond to requests of the district engineer. The district engineer may make such requests by certified letter and clearly inform the applicant that if he does not respond with the requested information or a justification why additional time is necessary, then his application will be considered withdrawn or a final decision will be made, whichever is appropriate. If additional time is requested, the district engineer will either grant the time, make a final decision, or consider the application as withdrawn.

(6) The time requirements in these regulations are in terms of calendar days rather than in terms of working days.

(e) Alternative procedures. Division and district engineers are authorized to use alternative procedures as follows:

(1) Letters of permission. Letters of permission are a type of permit issued through an abbreviated processing procedure which includes coordination with Federal and state fish and wildlife agencies, as required by the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, and a public interest evaluation, but without the publishing of an individual public notice. The letter of permission will not be used to authorize the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of dumping it in ocean waters. Letters of permission may be used:

(i) In those cases subject to section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 when, in the opinion of the district engineer, the proposed work would be minor, would not have significant individual or cumulative impacts on environmental values, and should encounter no appreciable opposition.

(ii) In those cases subject to section 404 of the Clean Water Act after:

(A) The district engineer, through consultation with Federal and state fish and wildlife agencies, the Regional
4. Emergency procedures. Division engineers are authorized to approve special processing procedures in emergency situations. An "emergency" is a situation which would result in an unacceptable hazard to life, a significant loss of property, or an immediate, unforeseen, and significant economic hardship if corrective action requiring a permit is not undertaken within a time period less than the normal time needed to process the application under standard procedures. In emergency situations, the district engineer will explain the circumstances and recommend special procedures to the division engineer who will instruct the division engineer as to further processing of the application. Even in an emergency situation, reasonable efforts will be made to receive comments from interested Federal, state, and local agencies and the affected public. Also, notice of any special procedures authorized and their rationale is to be appropriately published as soon as practicable.

§ 325.3 Public notice.
(a) General. The public notice is the primary method of advising all interested parties of the proposed activity for which a permit is sought and of soliciting comments and information necessary to evaluate the probable impact on the public interest. The notice must, therefore, include sufficient information to give a clear understanding of the nature and magnitude of the activity to generate meaningful comment. The notice should include the following items of information:
(1) Applicable statutory authority or authorities;
(2) The name and address of the applicant;
(3) The name or title, address and telephone number of the Corps employee from whom additional information concerning the application may be obtained;
(4) The location of the proposed activity;
(5) A brief description of the proposed activity, its purpose and intended use, so as to provide sufficient information concerning the nature of the activity to generate meaningful comments, including a description of the type of structures, if any, to be erected on fills or piles or float-supported platforms, and a description of the type, composition, and quantity of materials to be discharged or disposed of in the ocean;
(6) A plan and elevation drawing showing the general and specific site location and character of all proposed activities, including the size relationship of the proposed structures to the size of the impacted waterway and depth of water in the area;
(7) If the proposed activity would occur in the territorial seas or ocean waters, a description of the activity's relationship to the baseline from which the territorial sea is measured;
(8) A list of other government authorizations obtained or requested by the applicant, including required certifications relative to water quality, coastal zone management, or marine sanctuaries;
(9) If appropriate, a statement that the activity is a categorical exclusion for purposes of NEPA (see paragraph 7 of Appendix B to 33 CFR Part 230);
(10) A statement of the district engineer's current knowledge on historic properties;
(11) A statement of the district engineer's current knowledge on endangered species (see § 325.2(b)(5));
(12) A statement(s) on evaluation factors (see § 325.3(c));
(13) Any other available information which may assist interested parties in evaluating the likely impact of the proposed activity, if any, on factors affecting the public interest;
(14) The comment period based on § 325.2(d)(2);
(15) A statement that any person may request, in writing, within the comment period specified in the notice, that a public hearing be held to consider the application. Requests for public hearings shall state, with particularity, the reasons for holding a public hearing;
(16) For non-federal applications in states with an approved CZM Plan, a statement on compliance with the approved Plan; and
(17) In addition, for section 103 (ocean dumping) activities:
(i) The specific location of the proposed disposal site and its physical boundaries;
(ii) A statement as to whether the proposed disposal site has been designated for use by the Administrator, EPA, pursuant to section 102(c) of the Act;
(iii) If the proposed disposal site has not been designated by the Administrator, EPA, a description of the characteristics of the proposed disposal site and an explanation as to why no previously designated disposal site is feasible;
(iv) A brief description of known dredged material discharges at the proposed disposal site;
(v) Existence and documented effects of other authorized disposals that have been made in the disposal area (e.g.,
heavy metal background reading and organic carbon content); and
(vi) A statement of the length of time during which disposal would continue at the proposed site; and
(vii) Information on the characteristics and composition of the dredged material.
(b) Public notice for general permits. District engineers will publish a public notice for all proposed regional general permits which are under significant modifications to, or reissuance of, existing regional permits within their area of jurisdiction. Public notices for statewide regional permits may be issued jointly by the affected Corps districts. The notice will include all applicable information necessary to provide a clear understanding of the proposal. In addition, the notice will state the availability of information at the district office which reveals the Corps' provisional determination that the proposed activities comply with the requirements for issuance of general permits. District engineers will publish a public notice for nationwide permits in accordance with 33 CFR 330.4.
(c) Evaluation factors. A paragraph describing the various evaluation factors on which decisions are based shall be included in every public notice.
(1) Except as provided in paragraph (c)(3) of this section, the following will be included:
"The decision whether to issue a permit will be based on an evaluation of the probable impact including cumulative impacts of the proposed activity on the public interest. That decision will reflect the national concern for both protection and utilization of important resources. The benefit which reasonably may be expected to accrue from the proposal must be balanced against its reasonably foreseeable detriments. All factors which may be relevant to the proposal will be considered including the cumulative effects thereof; among those are conservation, economics, aesthetics, general environmental concerns, wetlands, historic properties, fish and wildlife values, flood hazards, floodplain values, land use, navigation, shoreline erosion and accretion, recreation, water supply and conservation, water quality, energy needs, safety, food and fiber production, mineral needs, considerations of property ownership and, in general, the needs and welfare of the people."
(2) If the activity would involve the discharge of dredged or fill material into the waters of the United States or the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of disposing of it in ocean waters, the public notice shall also indicate that the evaluation of the impact of the activity on the public interest will include application of the guidelines promulgated by the Administrator, EPA, (40 CFR Part 230) or of the criteria established under authority of section 102(a) of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctorums Act of 1972, as amended (33 U.S.C. Parts 224, 229), as appropriate. (See 33 CFR Parts 323 and 324).
(3) In cases involving construction of artificial islands, installations and other devices on outer continental shelf lands which are under mineral lease from the Department of the Interior, the notice will contain the following statement: "The decision as to whether a permit will be issued will be based on an evaluation of the impact of the proposed work on navigation and national security."
(d) Distribution of public notices. (1) Public notices will be distributed for posting in post offices or other appropriate public places in the vicinity of the site of the proposed work and will be sent to the applicant, to appropriate city and county officials, to adjoining property owners, to appropriate state agencies, to appropriate Indian Tribes or tribal representatives, to concerned Federal agencies, to local, regional and national shipping and other concerned business and conservation organizations, to appropriate River Basin Commissions, to appropriate state and areawide clearing houses as prescribed by OMB Circular A-95, to local news media and to any other interested party. Copies of public notices will be sent to all parties who have specifically requested copies of public notices, to the U.S. Senators and Representatives for the area where the work is to be performed, the field representative of the Secretary of the Interior, the Regional Director of the Fish and Wildlife Service, the Regional Director of the National Park Service, the Regional Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Regional Director of the National Marine Fisheries Service of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), the head of the state agency responsible for fish and wildlife resources, the State Historic Preservation Officer, and the District Commander, U.S. Coast Guard.
(2) In addition to the general distribution of public notices cited above, notices will be sent to other addressees in appropriate cases as follows:
(i) If the activity would involve structures or dredging along the shores of the seas or Great Lakes, to the Coastal Engineering Research Center, Washington, DC 20016.
(ii) If the activity would involve construction of fixed structures or artificial islands on the outer continental shelf or in the territorial seas, to the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower, Installations, and Logistics (ASD(M&I)), Washington, DC 20310; the Director, Defense Mapping Agency (Hydrographic Center) Washington, DC 20390, Attention, Code NS12; and the Charting and Geodetic Services, N/C 222, National Ocean Service NOAA, Rockville, Maryland 20852, and to affected military installations and activities.
(iii) If the activity involves the construction of structures to enhance fish propagation (e.g., fishing reefs) along the coasts of the United States, to the Director, Office of Marine Recreational Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, DC 20235.
(iv) If the activity involves the construction of structures which may affect aircraft operations or for purposes associated with seaplane operations, to the Regional Director of the Federal Aviation Administration.
(v) If the activity would be in connection with a foreign-trade zone, to the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230 and to the appropriate District Director of Customs as Resident Representative, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.
It is presumed that all interested parties and agencies will wish to respond to public notices; therefore, a lack of response will be interpreted as meaning that there is no objection to the proposal. A copy of the public notice with the list of the addresses to whom the notice was sent will be included in the record. If a question develops with respect to an activity for which another agency has responsibility and that other agency has not responded to the public notice, the district engineer may request its comments. Whenever a response to a public notice has been received from a member of Congress, either in behalf of a constituent or himself, the district engineer will inform the member of Congress of the final decision.
(4) District engineers will update public notice mailing lists at least once every two years.
§ 325.4. Conditioning of permits.
(a) District engineers will add special conditions to Department of the Army permits when such conditions are necessary to satisfy legal requirements or to otherwise satisfy the public interest requirement. Permit conditions will be directly related to the impacts of the proposal, appropriate to the scope and degree of those impacts, and reasonably enforceable.
§ 325.5 Forms of permits.  
(a) General discussion. 1 DA permits under this regulation will be in the form of individual permits or general permits. The basic format shall be ENG Form 1721, DA Permit (Appendix A).  
(b) Works. Permits for construction work, discharge of dredged or fill material, or other activity and any construction period for a structure with a permit of indefinite duration under paragraph (b) of this section will specify time limits for completing the work or activity. The permit may also specify a date by which the work must be started, normally within one year from the date of issuance. The date will be established by the issuing official and will provide reasonable times based on the scope and nature of the work involved. Permits issued for the transport of dredged material for the purpose of disposing of it in ocean waters will specify a completion date for the disposal not to exceed three years from the date of permit issuance.  
(c) Maintenance dredging. If the authorized work includes periodic maintenance dredging, an expiration date for the authorization of that maintenance dredging will be included in the permit. The expiration date, which in no event is to exceed ten years from the date of issuance of the permit, will be established by the issuing official after evaluation of the proposed method of dredging and disposal of the dredged material in accordance with the requirements of 33 CFR Parts 320 to 325. In such cases, the district engineer shall require notification of the maintenance dredging prior to actual performance to insure continued compliance with the requirements of this regulation and 33 CFR Parts 320 to 324. If the permittee desires to continue maintenance
dredging beyond the expiration date, he must request a new permit. The permittee shall be advised to apply for the new permit six months prior to the time he wishes to do the maintenance work.

§ 325.7 Modification, suspension, or revocation of permits.

(a) General. The district engineer may reevaluate the circumstances and conditions of any permit, including regional permits, either on his own motion, at the request of the permittee, or a third party, or as the result of periodic progress inspections, and initiate action to modify, suspend, or revoke a permit as may be made necessary by considerations of the public interest. In the case of regional permits, this reevaluation may cover individual activities, categories of activities, or geographic areas. Among the factors to be considered are the extent of the permittee’s compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit; whether or not circumstances relating to the authorized activity have changed since the permit was issued or extended, and the continuing adequacy of or need for the permit conditions; any significant objections to the authorized activity which were not earlier considered; revisions to applicable statutory and/or regulatory authorities; and the extent to which modification, suspension, or other action would adversely affect plans, investments and actions the permittee has reasonably made or taken in reliance on the permit. Significant increases in scope of a permitted activity will be processed as new applications for permits in accordance with § 325.2 of this Part, and not as modifications under this section.

(b) Modification. Upon request by the permittee or, as a result of reevaluation of the circumstances and conditions of a permit, the district engineer may determine that the public interest requires a modification of the terms or conditions of the permit. In such cases, the district engineer will hold informal consultations with the permittee to ascertain whether the terms and conditions can be modified by mutual agreement. If a mutual agreement is reached on modification of the terms and conditions of the permit, the district engineer will give the permittee written notice of the modification, which will then become effective on such date as the district engineer may establish. In cases where the modification cannot be reached by the district engineer and the permittee, the district engineer will proceed in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section if immediate suspension is warranted. In cases where immediate suspension is not warranted but the district engineer determines that the permit should be modified, he will notify the permittee of the proposed modification and reasons therefor, and that he may request a meeting with the district engineer and/or a public hearing. The modification will become effective on the date set by the district engineer which shall be at least ten days after receipt of the notice by the permittee unless a hearing or meeting is requested within that period. If the permittee fails or refuses to comply with the modification, the district engineer will proceed in accordance with 33 CFR Part 326. The district engineer shall consult with resource agencies before modifying any permit terms or conditions, that would result in greater impacts, for a project about which that agency expressed a significant interest in the term, condition, or feature being modified prior to permit issuance.

(c) Suspension. The district engineer may suspend a permit after preparing a written determination and finding that immediate suspension would be in the public interest. The district engineer will notify the permittee in writing by the most expeditious means available that the permit has been suspended with the reasons therefor, and order the permittee to stop those activities previously authorized by the suspended permit. The permittee will also be advised that following this suspension a decision will be made to either reinstate, modify, or revoke the permit, and that he may within 10 days of receipt of notice of the suspension, request a meeting with the district engineer and/or a public hearing to present information supporting his position. If a hearing is requested, the procedures prescribed in 33 CFR Part 327 will be followed. After the completion of the meeting or hearing (or within a reasonable period of time after issuance of the notice to the permittee that the permit has been suspended if no hearing or meeting is requested), the district engineer will take action to reinstate, modify, or revoke the permit.

(d) Revocation. Following completion of the suspension procedures in paragraph (c) of this section, if revocation of the permit is found to be in the public interest, the authority who made the decision on the original permit may revoke it. The permittee will be advised in writing of the final decision.

(e) Regional permits. In cases where the permitting official may, by following the procedures of this section, revoke regional permits for individual activities, categories of activities, or geographic areas. Where groups of permittees are involved, such as for categories of activities or geographic areas, the informal discussions provided in paragraph (b) of this section may be waived and any written notification may be made through the general public notice procedures of this regulation. If a regional permit is revoked, any permittee may then apply for an individual permit which shall be processed in accordance with these regulations.

§ 325.8 Authority to issue or deny permits.

(a) General. Except as otherwise provided in this regulation, the Secretary of the Army, subject to such conditions as he or his authorized representative may from time to time impose, has authorized the Chief of Engineers and his authorized representatives to issue or deny permits for dams or dikes in intrastate waters of the United States pursuant to section 9 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899; for construction or other work in or affecting navigable waters of the United States pursuant to section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899; for the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States pursuant to section 404 of the Clean Water Act; or for the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of disposing of it into ocean waters pursuant to section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended. The authority to issue or deny permits in interstate navigable waters of the United States pursuant to section 9 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of March 3, 1899 has not been delegated to the Chief of Engineers or his authorized representatives.

(b) District engineer’s authority. District engineers are authorized to issue or deny permits in accordance with these regulations pursuant to sections 9 and 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899; section 404 of the Clean Water Act; and section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended, in all cases not required to be referred to higher authority (see below). It is essential to the legality of a permit that it contain the name of the district engineer as the issuing officer. However, the permit need not be signed by the district engineer in person but may be signed for and in behalf of him by whomever he designates. In cases where permits are denied for reasons other than navigation or failure to obtain required local, state, or other federal approvals or certifications, the Statement of Findings must conclusively justify a denial decision. District
engineers are authorized to deny permits without issuing a public notice or taking other procedural steps where required local, state, or other federal permits for the proposed activity have been denied or where he determines that the activity will clearly interfere with navigation except in all cases required to be referred to higher authority (see below). District engineers are also authorized to add, modify, or delete special conditions in permits in accordance with § 325.4 of this Part, except for those conditions which may have been imposed by higher authority, and to modify, suspend and revoke permits according to the procedures of § 325.7 of this Part. District engineers will refer the following applications to the division engineer for resolution:

1. When a referral is required by a written agreement between the head of a Federal agency and the Secretary of the Army;
2. When the recommended decision is contrary to the written position of the Governor of the state in which the work would be performed;
3. When there is substantial doubt as to authority, law, regulations, or policies applicable to the proposed activity;
4. When higher authority requests the application be forwarded for decision;
5. When the district engineer is precluded by law or procedures required by law from taking final action on the application (e.g., section 9 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, or territorial sea baseline changes).

division engineer's authority.

Division engineers will review and evaluate all permit applications referred by district engineers. Division engineers may authorize the issuance or denial of permits pursuant to section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899; section 404 of the Clean Water Act; and section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended; and the inclusion of conditions in accordance with § 325.4 of this Part in all cases not required to be referred to the Chief of Engineers. Division engineers will refer the following applications to the Chief of Engineers for resolution:

1. When a referral is required by a written agreement between the head of a Federal agency and the Secretary of the Army;
2. When there is substantial doubt as to authority, law, regulations, or policies applicable to the proposed activity;
3. When higher authority requests the application be forwarded for decision;
4. When the division engineer is precluded by law or procedures required by law from taking final action on the application.

§ 325.9 Authority to determine jurisdiction.

District engineers are authorized to determine the area defined by the terms "navigable waters of the United States" and "waters of the United States" except:

(a) When a determination of navigability is made pursuant to 33 CFR 329.14 (division engineers have this authority); or
(b) When EPA makes a section 404 jurisdiction determination under its authority.

§ 325.10 Publicity.

The division engineer will establish and maintain a program to assure that potential applicants for permits are informed of the requirements of this regulation and of the steps required to obtain permits for activities in waters of the United States or ocean waters. Whenever the district engineer becomes aware of plans being developed by either private or public entities which might require permits for implementation, he should advise the potential applicant in writing of the statutory requirements and the provisions of this regulation. Whenever the division engineer is aware of changes in Corps of Engineers regulatory jurisdiction, he will issue appropriate public notices.

Appendix A—Permit Form and Special Conditions

A. Permit Form

Department of the Army Permit

Permittee: 

Permit No.: 

Issuing Office: 

Note.—The term "you" and its derivatives, as used in this permit, means the permittee or any future transferee. The term "this office" refers to the appropriate district or division office of the Corps of Engineers having jurisdiction over the permitted activity or the appropriate official of that office acting under the authority of the commanding officer.

You are authorized to perform work in accordance with the terms and conditions specified below.

Project Description: (Describe the permitted activity and its intended use with references to any attached plans or drawings that are considered to be a part of the project description. Include a description of the types and quantities of dredged or fill materials to be discharged in jurisdictional waters.)

Project Location: (Where appropriate, provide the names of and the locations on the waters where the permitted activity and any off-site disposals will take place. Also, use name, distance, and direction, locate the permitted activity in reference to a nearby landmark such as a town or city.)

Permit Conditions:

General Conditions:
1. The time limit for completing the authorized activity ends on . If you find that you need more time to complete the authorized activity, submit your request for a time extension to this office for consideration at least one month before the above date is reached.
2. You must maintain the activity authorized by this permit in good condition and in conformance with the terms and conditions of this permit. You are not relieved of this requirement if you abandon the permitted activity, although you may make a good faith transfer to a third party in compliance with General Condition 4 below. Should you wish to cease to maintain the authorized activity or should you desire to abandon it without a good faith transfer, you must obtain a modification of this permit from this office, which may require restoration of the area.
3. If you discover any previously unknown historic or archeological remains while accomplishing the activity authorized by this permit, you must immediately notify this office of what you have found. We will initiate the Federal and state coordination required to determine if the remains warrant a greater effort or if the site is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.
4. If you sell the property associated with this permit, you must obtain the signature of the new owner in the space provided and forward a copy of the permit to this office to validate the transfer of this authorization.
5. If a conditioned water quality certification has been issued for your project, you must comply with the conditions specified in the certification as special conditions to this permit. For your convenience, a copy of the certification is attached if it contains such conditions.
6. You must allow representatives from this office to inspect the authorized activity at any time deemed necessary to ensure that it is being or has been accomplished in accordance with the terms and conditions of your permit.

Special Conditions: (Add special conditions as required in this space with reference to a continuation sheet if necessary.)

Further Information:
1. Congressional Authorities: You have been authorized to undertake the activity described above pursuant to:
   ( ) Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 U.S.C. 403).
   ( ) Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344).
2. Limits of this authorization.
   a. This permit does not obviate the need to obtain other Federal, state, or local authorities or permits.
   b. This permit does not grant any property rights or exclusive privileges.
   c. This permit does not authorize any injury to the property or rights of others.
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This permit does not authorize interference with any existing or proposed Federal project.

3. Limits of Federal Liability. In issuing this permit, the Federal Government does not assume any liability for the following:
   a. Damages to the permitted project or uses thereof as a result of other permitted or unpermitted activities or from natural causes.
   b. Damages to the permitted project or uses thereof as a result of current or future activities undertaken by or on behalf of the United States in the public interest.
   c. Damages to persons, property, or to other permitted or unpermitted activities or structures caused by the activity authorized by this permit.
   d. Defects or construction deficiencies associated with the permitted work.
   e. Damage claims associated with any future modification, suspension, or revocation of this permit.

4. Reliance on Applicant’s Data: The determination of this office that issuance of this permit is not contrary to the public interest was made in reliance on the information you provided.

5. Reevaluation of Permit Decision. This office may reevaluate its decision on this permit at any time the circumstances warrant. Circumstances that could require a reevaluation include, but are not limited to, the following:
   a. You fail to comply with the terms and conditions of this permit.
   b. The information provided by you in support of your permit application proves to have been false, incomplete, or inaccurate. (See 4 above).
   c. Significant new information surfaces which this office did not consider in reaching the original public interest decision.

Such a reevaluation may result in a determination that it is appropriate to use the suspension, modification, and revocation procedures contained in 33 CFR 325.7 or enforcement procedures such as those contained in 33 CFR 326.4 and 326.5. The referenced enforcement procedures provide for the issuance of an administrative order requiring you to comply with the terms and conditions of your permit and for the initiation of legal action where appropriate. You will be required to pay for any corrective measures ordered by this office, and if you fail to comply with such directive, this office may in certain situations (such as those specified in 33 CFR 209.170) accomplish the corrective measures by contract or otherwise and bill you for the cost.

6. Extensions. General condition 1 establishes a time limit for the completion of the activity authorized by this permit. Unless there are circumstances requiring either a prompt completion of the authorized activity or a reevaluation of the public interest decision, the Corps will normally give favorable consideration to a request for an extension of this time limit.

Your signature below, as permittee, indicates that you accept and agree to comply with the terms and conditions of this permit.

You must take the actions required to record this permit with the Registrar of Deeds or other appropriate official charged with the responsibility for maintaining records of title to or interest in real property.

Appendix B—[Reserved] (For Future NEPA Regulation)

Appendix C—[Reserved] (For Historic Properties Regulation)

PART 326—ENFORCEMENT

Sec. 326.1 Purpose.

326.2 Policy.

326.3 Unauthorized activities.

326.4 Supervision of authorized activities.

326.5 Legal action.


§ 326.1 Purpose.

This Part prescribes enforcement policies (§ 326.2) and procedures applicable to activities performed without required Department of the Army permits (§ 326.3) and to activities not in compliance with the terms and conditions of issued Department of the Army permits (§ 326.4). Procedures for initiating legal actions are prescribed in § 326.5. Nothing contained in this Part shall establish a non-discretionary duty on the part of district engineers nor shall deviation from these procedures give rise to a private right of action against a district engineer.

§ 326.2 Policy.

Enforcement, as part of the overall regulatory program of the Corps, is based on a policy of regulating the waters of the United States by discouraging activities that have not been properly authorized and by requiring corrective measures, where appropriate, to ensure those waters are not misused and to maintain the integrity of the program. There are several methods discussed in the remainder of this part which can be used either singly or in combination to implement this policy, while making the most effective use of the enforcement resources available. As EPA has independent enforcement authority under the Clean Water Act for unauthorized discharges, the district engineer should normally coordinate with EPA to determine the most effective and efficient manner by which resolution of a section 404 violation can be achieved.

§ 326.3 Unauthorized activities.

(a) Surveillance. To detect unauthorized activities requiring permits, district engineers should make the best use of all available resources.
Corps employees; members of the public; and representatives of state, local, and other Federal agencies should be encouraged to report suspected violations. Additionally, district engineers should consider developing joint survey procedures with Federal, state, or local agencies having similar regulatory responsibilities, special expertise, or interest.

(b) Initial investigation. District engineers should take steps to investigate suspected violations in a timely manner. The scheduling of investigations will reflect the nature and location of the suspected violations, the anticipated impacts, and the most effective use of inspection resources available to the district engineer. These investigations should confirm whether a violation exists, and if so, will identify the extent of the violation and the parties responsible.

(c) Formal notifications to parties responsible for violations. Once the district engineer has determined that a violation exists, he should take appropriate steps to notify the responsible parties.

(1) If the violation involves a project that is not complete, the district engineer's notification should be in the form of a cease and desist order prohibiting any further work pending resolution of the violation in accordance with the procedures contained in this part. See paragraph (c)(4) of this section for exception to this procedure.

(2) If the violation involves a completed project, a cease and desist order should not be necessary. However, the district engineer should still notify the responsible parties of the violation.

(3) All notifications, pursuant to paragraphs (c)(1) and (2) of this section, should identify the relevant statutory authorities, indicate potential enforcement consequences, and direct the responsible parties to submit any additional information that the district engineer may need at that time to determine what course of action he should pursue in resolving the violation; further information may be requested, as needed, in the future.

(4) In situations which would, if a violation were not involved, qualify for emergency procedures pursuant to 33 CFR Part 325.2(e)(4), the district engineer may decide it would not be appropriate to direct that the unauthorized work be stopped. Therefore, in such situations, the district engineer may, at his discretion, allow the work to continue, subject to appropriate limitations and conditions as he may prescribe, while the violation is being resolved in accordance with the procedures contained in this part.

(5) When an unauthorized activity requiring a permit has been undertaken by American Indians (including Alaskan natives, Eskimos, and Aleuts, but not including Native Hawaiians) on reservation lands or in pursuit of specific treaty rights, the district engineer should use appropriate means to coordinate proposed directives and orders with the Assistant Chief Counsel for Indian Affairs (DAEN-CCI).

(6) When an unauthorized activity requiring a permit has been undertaken by an official acting on behalf of a foreign government, the district engineer should use appropriate means to coordinate proposed directives and orders with the Office, Chief of Engineers, ATTN: DAEN-CC.

(d) Initial corrective measures. (1) The district engineer should, in appropriate cases, depending upon the nature of the impacts associated with the unauthorized, completed work, solicit the views of the Environmental Protection Agency; the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; the National Marine Fisheries Service, and other Federal, state, and local agencies to facilitate his decision on what initial corrective measures are required. If the district engineer determines as a result of his investigation, coordination, and preliminary evaluation that initial corrective measures are required, he should issue an appropriate order to the parties responsible for the violation. In determining what initial corrective measures are required, the district engineer should consider whether serious jeopardy to life, property, or important public resources (see 33 CFR Part 320.4) may be reasonably anticipated to occur during the period required for the ultimate resolution of the violation. In his order, the district engineer will specify the initial corrective measures required and the time limits for completing this work. In unusual cases where initial corrective measures substantially eliminate all current and future detrimental impacts resulting from the unauthorized work, further enforcement actions should normally be unnecessary. For all other cases, the district engineer's order should normally specify that compliance with the order will not foreclose the Government's options to initiate appropriate legal action or to later require the submission of a permit application.

(2) An order requiring initial corrective measures that resolve the violation may also be issued by the district engineer in situations where the acceptance or processing of an after-the-fact permit application is prohibited or considered not appropriate pursuant to § 326.3(e)(1) (iii)-(iv) below. However, such orders will be issued only when the district engineer has reached an independent determination that such measures are necessary and appropriate.

(3) It will not be necessary to issue a Corps permit in connection with initial corrective measures undertaken at the direction of the district engineer.

(e) After-the-fact permit applications.

(1) Following the completion of any required initial corrective measures, the district engineer will accept an after-the-fact permit application unless he determines that one of the exceptions listed in subparagraphs i-iv below is applicable. Applications for after-the-fact permits will be processed in accordance with the applicable procedures in 33 CFR Parts 320-325.

(i) No permit application will be processed when restoration of the waters of the United States has been completed that eliminates current and future detrimental impacts to the satisfaction of the district engineer.

(ii) No permit application will be accepted in connection with a violation where the district engineer determines that legal action is appropriate (§ 326.5(a)) until such legal action has been completed.

(iii) No permit application will be accepted where a Federal, state, or local authorization or certification, required by Federal law, has already been denied.

(iv) No permit application will be accepted nor will the processing of an application be continued when the district engineer is aware of enforcement litigation that has been initiated by other Federal, state, or local regulatory agencies, unless he determines that concurrent processing of an after-the-fact permit application is clearly appropriate.

(2) Upon completion of his review in accordance with 33 CFR Parts 320-325, the district engineer will determine if a permit should be issued, with special conditions if appropriate, or denied. In reaching a decision to issue, he must determine that the work involved is not contrary to the public interest, and if section 404 is applicable, that the work also complies with the Environmental Protection Agency's section 401(b)(1) guidelines. If he determines that a denial is warranted, his notification of denial should prescribe any final corrective
actions required. His notification should also establish a reasonable period of time for the applicant to complete such actions unless he determines that further information is required before the corrective measures can be specified. If further information is required, the final corrective measures may be specified at a later date. If an applicant refuses to undertake prescribed corrective actions ordered subsequent to permit denial or refuses to accept a conditioned permit, the district engineer may initiate legal action in accordance with § 326.5.

(f) Combining steps. The procedural steps in this section are in the normal sequence. However, these regulations do not prohibit the streamlining of the enforcement process through the combining of steps.

(g) Coordination with EPA. In all cases where the district engineer is aware that EPA is considering enforcement action, he should coordinate with EPA to attempt to avoid conflict or duplication. Such coordination applies to interim protective measures and after-the-fact permitting, as well as to appropriate legal enforcement actions.

§ 326.4 Supervision of authorized activities.

(a) Inspections. District engineers will, at their discretion, take reasonable measures to inspect permitted activities, as required by the regulations governing such funds. If a district engineer determines that a permittee has violated the terms or conditions of the permit and that the violation is sufficiently serious to require an enforcement action, then he should, unless at his discretion he deems it inappropriate: (1) First contact the permittee; (2) request corrected plans reflecting actual work, if needed; and (3) attempt to resolve the violation. If an order is issued, it will not, however, a prerequisite to legal action in accordance with § 326.5 Legal action.

(c) Inspection expenses. The expenses incurred in connection with the inspection of permitted activities will normally be paid by the Federal Government unless data or supervision or other unusual expenses are involved. In such unusual cases, the district engineer may condition permits to require permittees to pay inspection expenses pursuant to the authority contained in Section 9701 of Pub L. 97–258 (33 U.S.C. 9701). The collection and disposition of inspection expense funds obtained from applicants will be administered in accordance with the relevant Corps regulations governing such funds.

(d) Non-compliance. If a district engineer determines that a permittee has failed to comply with the terms or conditions of the permit and that the violation is sufficiently serious to require an enforcement action, then he should, unless at his discretion he deems it inappropriate: (1) First contact the permittee; (2) request corrected plans reflecting actual work, if needed; and (3) attempt to resolve the violation.

Resolution of the violation may take the form of the permitted project being voluntarily brought into compliance or of a permit modification (33 CFR 325.7(b)). If a mutually agreeable solution cannot be reached, a written order requiring compliance should normally be issued and delivered by personal service. Issuance of an order is not, however, a prerequisite to legal action. If an order is issued, it will specify a time period of not more than 30 days for bringing the permitted project into compliance, and a copy will be sent to the appropriate state official pursuant to section 404(s)(2) of the Clean Water Act. If the permittee fails to comply with the order within the specified period of time, the district engineer may consider using the suspension/revocation procedures in 33 CFR 325.7(c) and/or he may recommend legal action in accordance with § 326.5 Legal action.

§ 326.5 Legal action.

(a) General. For cases the district engineer determines to be appropriate, he will recommend criminal or civil actions to obtain penalties for violations, compliance with the orders and directives he has issued pursuant to §§ 326.3 and 326.4, or other relief as appropriate. Appropriate cases for criminal or civil action include, but are not limited to, violations which, in the district engineer's opinion, are willful, repeated, flagrant, or of substantial impact.

(b) Preparation of case. If the district engineer determines that legal action is appropriate, he will prepare a litigation report or such other documentation that he and the local U.S. Attorney have mutually agreed to, which contains an analysis of the information obtained during his investigation of the violation or during the processing of a permit application and a recommendation of appropriate legal action. The litigation report or alternative documentation will also recommend what, if any, restoration or mitigative measures are required and will provide the rationale for any such recommendation.

(c) Referral to the local U.S. Attorney. Except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section, district engineers are authorized to refer cases directly to the U.S. Attorney. Because of the unique legal system in the Trust Territories, all cases over which the Department of Justice has no authority will be referred to the Attorney General for the Trust Territories. Information copies of all letters of referral shall be forwarded to the appropriate division counsel, the Office, Chief of Engineers, ATTN: DAEN–CCK, the Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works), and the Chief of the Environmental Defense Section, Lands and Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice.

(d) Referral to the Office, Chief of Engineers. District engineers will forward litigation reports with recommendations through division offices to the Office, Chief of Engineers, ATTN: DAEN–CCK, for all cases that qualify under the following criteria:

(1) Significant precedential or controversial questions of law or fact;

(2) Requests for elevation to the Washington level by the Department of Justice;

(3) Violations of section 9 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899;

(4) Violations of section 103 the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972;

(5) All cases involving violations by American Indians (original of litigation report to DAEN–CCK with copy to DAEN-CCK) on reservation lands or in pursuit of specific treaty rights;

(6) All cases involving violations by officials acting on behalf of foreign governments; and

(7) Cases requiring action pursuant to paragraph (e) of this section.

(e) Legal option not available. In cases where the local U.S. Attorney declines to take legal action, it would be appropriate for the district engineer to close the enforcement case record unless he believes that the case warrants special attention. In that situation, he is encouraged to forward a litigation report to the Office, Chief of Engineers, ATTN: DAEN–CCK, for
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direct coordination through the Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works) with the Department of Justice. Further, the case record should not be closed if the district engineer anticipates that further administrative enforcement actions, taken in accordance with the procedures prescribed in this part, will identify remedial measures which, if not complied with by the parties responsible for the violation, will result in appropriate legal action at a later date.

PART 327—PUBLIC HEARINGS

§ 327.1 Purpose.

This regulation prescribes the policy, practice and procedures to be followed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in the conduct of public hearings conducted in the evaluation of a proposed DA permit action or Federal project as defined in § 327.3 of this Part including those held pursuant to section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344) and section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act (MPRSA), as amended (33 U.S.C. 1413).

§ 327.2 Applicability.

This regulation is applicable to all divisions and districts responsible for the conduct of public hearings.

§ 327.3 Definitions.

(a) Public hearing means a public proceeding conducted for the purpose of acquiring information or evidence which will be considered in evaluating a proposed DA permit action, or Federal project, and which affords the public an opportunity to present their views, opinions, and information on such permit actions or Federal projects.

(b) Permit action, as used herein means the evaluation of and decision on an application for a DA permit pursuant to sections 9 or 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or section 103 of the MPRSA, as amended, or the modification, suspension or revocation of any DA permit (see 33 CFR 325.7).

(c) Federal project means a Corps of Engineers project (work or activity of any nature for any purpose which is to be performed by the Chief of Engineers pursuant to Congressional authorizations) involving the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States or the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of dumping it in ocean waters subject to section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or section 103 of the MPRSA.

§ 327.4 General policies.

(a) Public hearing will be held in connection with the consideration of a DA permit application or a Federal project whenever a public hearing is needed for making a decision on such permit application or Federal project. In addition, a public hearing may be held when it is proposed to modify or revoke a permit. (See 33 CFR 325.7).

(b) Unless the public notice specifies that a public hearing will be held, any person may request, in writing, within the comment period specified in the public notice on a DA permit application or a Federal project, that a public hearing be held to consider the material matters at issue in the permit application or with respect to Federal project. Upon receipt of any such request, stating with particularity the reasons for holding a public hearing, the district engineer may expeditiously attempt to resolve the issues informally. Otherwise, he shall promptly set a time and place for the public hearing, and give due notice thereof, as prescribed in § 327.11 of this Part. Requests for a public hearing under this paragraph shall be granted, unless the district engineer determines that the issues raised are insubstantial or otherwise no valid interest to be served by a hearing. The district engineer will make such a determination in writing, and communicate his reasons therefor to all requesting parties. Comments received as form letters or petitions may be acknowledged as a group to the person or organization responsible for the form letter or petition.

§ 327.5 Presiding officer.

(a) The district engineer, in whose district a matter arises, shall normally serve as the presiding officer. When the district engineer is unable to serve, he may designate the deputy district engineer or other qualified person as presiding officer. In cases of unusual interest, the Chief of Engineers or the division engineer may appoint such person as he deems appropriate to serve as the presiding officer.

(b) The presiding officer shall include in the administrative record of the permit action the request or requests for the hearing and any data or material submitted in justification thereof, materials submitted in opposition to or in support of the proposed action, the hearing transcript, and such other material as may be relevant or pertinent to the subject matter of the hearing. The administrative record shall be available for public inspection with the exception of material exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

§ 327.6 Legal adviser.

At each public hearing, the district counsel or his designee may serve as legal advisor to the presiding officer. In appropriate circumstances, the district engineer may waive the requirement for a legal advisor to be present.

§ 327.7 Representation.

At the public hearing, any person may appear on his own behalf, or may be represented by counsel, or by other representatives.

§ 327.8 Conduct of hearings.

(a) The presiding officer shall make an opening statement outlining the purpose of the hearing and prescribing the general procedures to be followed.

(b) Hearings shall be conducted by the presiding officer in an orderly but expeditious manner. Any person shall be permitted to submit oral or written statements concerning the subject matter of the hearing, to call witnesses who may present oral or written statements, and to present recommendations as to an appropriate decision. Any person may present written statements for the hearing record prior to the time the hearing record is closed to public submissions, and may present proposed findings and recommendations. The presiding officer shall afford participants a reasonable opportunity for rebuttal.

(c) The presiding officer shall have discretion to establish reasonable limits upon the time allowed for statements of witnesses, for arguments of parties or their counsel or representatives, and upon the number of rebuttals.

(d) Cross-examination of witnesses shall not be permitted.

(e) All public hearings shall be reported verbatim. Copies of the transcripts of proceedings may be


purchased by any person from the Corps of Engineers or the reporter of such hearing. A copy will be available for public inspection at the office of the appropriate district engineer.

(f) All written statements, charts, tabulations, and similar data offered in evidence at the hearing shall, subject to exclusion by the presiding officer for reasons of redundancy, be received in evidence and shall constitute a part of the record.

(g) The presiding officer shall allow a period of not less than 10 days after the close of the public hearing for submission of written comments.

(h) In appropriate cases, the district engineer may participate in joint public hearings with other Federal or state agencies, provided the procedures of those hearings meet the requirements of this regulation. In those cases in which the other Federal or state agency allows a cross-examination in its public hearing, the district engineer may still participate in the joint public hearing but shall not require cross examination as a part of his participation.

§ 327.9 Filing of the transcript of the public hearing.

Where the presiding officer is the initial action authority, the transcript of the public hearing, together with all evidence introduced at the public hearing, shall be made a part of the administrative record of the permit action or Federal project. The initial action authority shall fully consider the matters discussed at the public hearing in arriving at his initial decision or recommendation and shall address, in his decision or recommendation, all substantial and valid issues presented at the hearing. Where a person other than the initial action authority serves as presiding officer, such person shall forward the transcript of the public hearing and all evidence received in connection therewith to the initial action authority together with a report summarizing the issues covered at the hearing. The report of the presiding officer and the transcript of the public hearing and evidence submitted thereat shall in such cases be fully considered by the initial action authority in making his decision or recommendation to higher authority as to such permit action or Federal project.

§ 327.10 Authority of the presiding officer.

Presiding officers shall have the following authority:

(a) To regulate the course of the hearing including the order of all sessions and the scheduling thereof, after any initial session, and the adjournment, reconvening, and adjournment thereof; and

(b) To take any other action necessary or appropriate to the discharge of the duties vested in them, consistent with the statutory or other authority under which the Chief of Engineers functions, and with the policies and directives of the Chief of Engineers and the Secretary of the Army.

§ 327.11 Public notice.

(a) Public notice shall be given of any public hearing to be held pursuant to this regulation. Such notice should normally provide for a period of not less than 30 days following the date of public notice during which time interested parties may prepare themselves for the hearing. Notice shall also be given to all Federal agencies affected by the proposed action, and to state and local agencies and other parties having an interest in the subject matter of the hearing. Notice shall be sent to all persons requesting a hearing and shall be posted in appropriate government buildings and provided to newspapers of general circulation for publication. Comments received as form letters or petitions may be acknowledged as a group to the person or organization responsible for the form letter or petition.

(b) The notice shall contain time, place, and nature of hearing; the legal authority and jurisdiction under which the hearing is held; and location of and availability of the draft environmental impact statement or environmental assessment.

PART 328—DEFINITION OF WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES

Sec. 328.1 Purpose.

This section defines the term "waters of the United States" as it applies to the jurisdictional limits of the authority of the Corps of Engineers under the Clean Water Act. It prescribes the policy, practice, and procedures to be used in determining the extent of jurisdiction of the Corps of Engineers concerning "waters of the United States." The terminology used by section 404 of the Clean Water Act includes "navigable waters" which is defined at section 502(7) of the Act as "waters of the United States including the territorial seas." To provide clarity and to avoid confusion with other Corps of Engineer regulatory programs, the term "waters of the United States" is used throughout 33 CFR Parts 320–330. This section does not apply to authorities under the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 except that some of the same waters may be regulated under both statutes (see 33 CFR Parts 322 and 329).

§ 328.2 General scope.

Waters of the United States include those waters listed in § 328.3(a). The lateral limits of jurisdiction in those waters may be divided into three categories. The categories include the territorial seas, tidal waters, and nontidal waters (see 33 CFR 328.4 (a), (b), and (c), respectively).

§ 328.3 Definitions.

For the purpose of this regulation these terms are defined as follows:

(a) The term "waters of the United States" means

(1) All waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide;

(2) All interstate waters including interstate wetlands;

(3) All other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate or foreign commerce including any such waters:

(i) Which are or could be used by interstate or foreign transportation for recreational or other purposes; or

(ii) From which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; or

(iii) Which are used or could be used for industrial purpose by industries in interstate commerce;

(4) All impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the United States under the definition;

(5) Tributaries of waters identified in paragraphs (a) (1)-(4) of this section;

(6) The territorial seas;

(7) Wetlands adjacent to waters (other than waters that are themselves wetlands) identified in paragraphs (a) (1)-(6) of this section.

Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of CWA (other than cooling ponds as defined in 40 CFR 123.11(m) which also meet the criteria of this definition) are not waters of the United States.
(b) The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas.

(c) The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the United States by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like are "adjacent wetlands."

(d) The term "high tide line" means the line of intersection of the land with the water's surface at the maximum height reached by a rising tide. The high tide line may be determined, in the absence of actual data, by a line of oil or scum along shore objects, a more or less continuous deposit of fine shell or debris on the foreshore or berm, other physical markings or characteristics, vegetation lines, tidal gages, or other suitable means that delineate the general height reached by a rising tide. The line encompasses spring high tides and other high tides that occur with periodic frequency but does not include storm surges in which there is a departure from the normal or predicted reach of the tide due to the piling up of water against a coast by strong winds such as those accompanying a hurricane or other intense storm.

(e) The term "ordinary high water mark" means that line on the shore established by the fluctuations of water and indicated by physical characteristics such as clear, natural line impressed on the bank, shelving, changes in the character of soil, destruction of terrestrial vegetation, the presence of litter and debris, or other appropriate means that consider the characteristics of the surrounding areas.

(f) The term "tidal waters" means those waters that rise and fall in a predictable and measurable rhythm or cycle due to the gravitational pulls of the moon and sun. Tidal waters end where the rise and fall of the water surface can no longer be practically measured in a predictable rhythm due to masking by hydrologic, wind, or other effects.

§ 328.4 Limits of jurisdiction.

(a) Territorial Seas. The limit of jurisdiction in the territorial seas is measured from the baseline in a seaward direction a distance of three nautical miles. (See 33 CFR 329.12)
(a) Past, present, or potential presence of interstate or foreign commerce;
(b) Physical capabilities for use by commerce as in paragraph (a) of this section; and
(c) Defined geographic limits of the waterbody.

§ 329.6 Interstate or foreign commerce.

(a) Nature of commerce: type, means, and extent of use. The types of commercial waterway are extremely varied and will depend on the character of the region, its products, and the difficulties or dangers of navigation. It is the waterbody's capability of use by the public for purposes of transportation of commerce which is the determinative factor, and not the time, extent or manner of that use. As discussed in §329.9 of this Part, it is sufficient to establish the potential for commercial use at any past, present, or future time. Thus, sufficient commerce may be shown by historical use of canoes, bateau, or other frontier craft, as long as that type of boat was common or well-suited to the place and period. Similarly, the particular items of commerce may vary widely, depending again on the region and period. The goods involved might be grain, furs, or other commerce of the time. Logs are a common example; transportation of logs has been a substantial and well-recognized commercial use of many navigable waters of the United States. Note, however, that the mere presence of floating logs will not of itself make the river "navigable"; the logs must have been related to a commercial venture. Similarly, the presence of recreational craft may indicate that a waterbody is capable of bearing some forms of commerce, either presently, in the future, or at a past point in time.

(b) Nature of commerce: interstate and intrastate. Interstate commerce may of course be existent on an intrastate voyage which occurs only between places within the same state. It is only necessary that goods may be brought from, or eventually be destined to go to, another state. (For purposes of this regulation, the term "interstate commerce" hereinafter includes "foreign commerce" as well.)

§ 329.7 Intrastate or interstate nature of waterway.

A waterbody may be entirely within a state, yet still be capable of carrying interstate commerce. This is especially clear when it physically connects with a generally acknowledged avenue of interstate commerce, such as the ocean or one of the Great Lakes, and is yet wholly within one state. Nor is it necessary that there be a physically navigable connection across a state boundary. Where a waterbody extends through one or more states, but substantial portions, which are capable of bearing interstate commerce, are located in only one of the states, the entire of the waterway up to the head (upper limit) of navigation is subject to Federal jurisdiction.

§ 329.8 Improved or natural conditions of the waterbody.

Determination are not limited to the natural or original condition of the waterbody. Navigability may also be found where artificial aids have been or may be used to make the waterbody suitable for use in navigation.

(a) Existing improvements: artificial waterbodies. (1) An artificial channel may often constitute a navigable water of the United States, even though it has been privately developed and maintained, or passes through private property. The test is generally as developed above, that is, whether the waterbody is capable of use to transport interstate commerce. Canals which connect two navigable waters of the United States and which are used for commerce clearly fall within the test, and themselves become navigable. A canal open to navigable waters of the United States on only one end is itself navigable where it in fact supports interstate commerce. A canal or other artificial waterbody that is subject to ebb and flow of the tide is also a navigable water of the United States.

(2) The artificial waterbody may be a major portion of a river or harbor area or merely a minor backwash, slip, or turning area (see paragraph 329.12(b) of this Part).

(b) Private ownership of the lands underlying the waterbody, or of the lands through which it runs, does not preclude a finding of navigability. Ownership does become a controlling factor if a privately constructed and operated canal is not used to transport interstate commerce nor used by the public; it is then not considered to be a navigable water of the United States. However, a private waterbody, even though not itself navigable, may so affect the navigable capacity of nearby waters as to nevertheless be subject to certain regulatory authorities.

(b) Non-existing improvements, post or potential. A waterbody may also be considered navigable depending on the feasibility of use to transport interstate commerce after the construction of whatever "reasonable" improvements may potentially be made. The improvement need not exist, be planned, nor even authorized; it is enough that potentially they could be made. What is a "reasonable" improvement is always a matter of degree; there must be a balance between cost and need at a time when the improvement would be (or would have been) useful. Thus, if an improvement were "reasonable" at a time of past use, the water was therefore navigable in law from that time forward. The changes in engineering practices or the coming of new industries with varying classes of freight may affect the type of the improvement; those which may be entirely reasonable in a thickly populated, highly developed industrial region may have been entirely too costly for the same region in the days of the pioneers. The determination of reasonable improvement is often similar to the cost analyses presently made in Corps of Engineers studies.

§ 329.9 Time at which commerce exists or determination is made.

(a) Post use. A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in paragraph 329.8(b) of this Part) retains its character as "navigable in law" even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions. Nor does absence of use because of changed economic conditions affect the legal character of the waterbody. Once having attained the character of "navigable in law," the Federal authority remains in existence, and cannot be abandoned by administrative officers or court action. Nor is mere inattention or ambiguous action by Congress an abandonment of Federal control. However, express statutory declarations by Congress that described portions of a waterbody are non-navigable, or have been abandoned, are binding upon the Department of the Army. Each statute must be carefully examined, since Congress often reserves the power to amend the Act, or assigns special duties of supervision and control to the Secretary of the Army or Chief of Engineers.

(b) Future or potential use. Navigability may also be found in a waterbody's susceptibility for use in its ordinary condition or by reasonable improvement to transport interstate commerce. This may be either in its natural or improved condition, and may thus be existent although there has been no actual use to date. Non-use in the past therefore does not prevent recognition of the potential for future use.
§ 329.10 Existence of obstructions.

A stream may be navigable despite the existence of falls, rapids, sand bars, bridges, portages, shifting currents, or similar obstructions. Thus, a waterway in its original condition might have had substantial obstructions which were overcome by frontier boats and/or portages, and nevertheless be a "channel" of commerce, even though boats had to be removed from the water in some stretches, or logs be brought around an obstruction by means of artificial chutes. However, the question is ultimately a matter of degree, and it must be recognized that there is some point beyond which navigability could not be established.

§ 329.11 Geographic and jurisdictional limits of rivers and lakes.

(a) Jurisdiction over entire bed. Federal regulatory jurisdiction, and powers of improvement for navigation, extend laterally to the entire water surface and bed of a navigable waterbody, which includes all the land and waters below the ordinary high water mark. Jurisdiction thus extends to the edge (as determined above) of all such waterbodies, even though portions of the waterbody may be extremely shallow, or obstructed by shoals, vegetation or other barriers. Marshlands and similar areas are thus considered navigable in law, but only so far as the area is subject to inundation by the ordinary high waters.

(1) The "ordinary high water mark" on non-tidal rivers is the line on the shore established by the fluctuations of water and indicated by physical characteristics such as a clear, natural line impressed on the bank; shelving; changes in the character of soil; destruction of terrestrial vegetation; the presence of litter and debris; or other appropriate means that consider the characteristics of the surrounding areas.

(2) Ownership of a river or lake bed or of the lands between high and low water marks will vary according to state law; however, private ownership of the underlying lands has no bearing on the existence or extent of the dominant Federal jurisdiction over a navigable waterbody.

(b) Upper limit of navigability. The character of a river will, at some point along its length, change from navigable to non-navigable. Very often that point will be at a major fall or rapids, or other place where there is a marked decrease in the navigable capacity of the river. The upper limit will therefore often be the same point traditionally recognized as the head of navigation, but may, under some of the tests described above, be at some point yet farther upstream.

§ 329.12 Geographic and jurisdictional limits of oceanic and tidal waters.

(a) Ocean and coastal waters. The navigable waters of the United States over which Corps of Engineers regulatory jurisdiction extends include all ocean and coastal waters within a zone three geographic (nautical) miles seaward from the baseline (The Territorial Seas). Wider zones are recognized for special regulatory powers exercised over the outer continental shelf. (See 33 CFR 322.3(b)).

(1) Baseline defined. Generally, where the shore directly contacts the open sea, the line on the shore reached by the ordinary low tides comprises the baseline from which the distance of three geographic miles is measured. The baseline has significance for both domestic and international law and is subject to precise definitions. Special problems arise when offshore rocks, islands, or other bodies exist, and the baseline may have to be drawn seaward of such bodies.

(2) Shoreward limit of jurisdiction. Regulatory jurisdiction in coastal areas extends to the line on the shore reached by the plane of the mean (average) high water. Where precise determination of the actual location of the line becomes necessary, it must be established by survey with reference to the available tidal datum, preferably averaged over a period of 18.6 years. Less precise methods, such as observation of the "apparent shoreline" which is determined by reference to physical markings, lines of vegetation, or changes in type of vegetation, may be used only where an estimate is needed of the line reached by the mean high water.

(b) Boys and estuaries. Regulatory jurisdiction extends to the entire surface and bed of all waterbodies subject to tidal action. Jurisdiction thus extends to the edge (as determined by paragraph (a)(2) of this section) of all such waterbodies, even though portions of the waterbody may be extremely shallow, or obstructed by shoals, vegetation, or other barriers. Marshlands and similar areas are thus considered "navigable in law," but only so far as the area is subject to inundation by the mean high waters.

(i) Type: (river, bay, slough, estuary, etc.)

(ii) Length:

(iii) Approximate discharge volumes: Maximum, Minimum, Mean:

(iv) Fall per mile:

(v) Extent of tidal influence:

(vi) Range between ordinary high and ordinary low water:
(vii) Description of improvements to navigation not listed in paragraph (c)(5) of this section:
(4) Nature and location of significant obstructions to navigation in portions of the waterbody used or potentially capable of use in interstate commerce:
(5) Authorized projects:
(i) Nature, condition and location of any improvements made under projects authorized by Congress:
(ii) Description of projects authorized but not constructed:
(iii) List of known survey documents or reports describing the waterbody:
(6) Past or present interstate commerce:
(i) General types, extent, and period in time:
(ii) Documentation if necessary:
(7) Potential use for interstate commerce, if applicable:
(i) If in natural condition:
(ii) If improved:
(8) Nature of jurisdiction known to have been exercised by Federal agencies if any:
(9) State or Federal court decisions relating to navigability of the waterbody, if any:
(10) Remarks:
(11) Finding of navigability (with date) and recommendation for determination:

§ 329.15 Inquiries regarding determinations.
(a) Findings and determinations should be made whenever a question arises regarding the navigability of a waterbody. Where no determination has been made, a report of findings will be prepared and forwarded to the division engineer, as described above. Inquiries may be answered by an interim reply which indicates that a final agency determination must be made by the division engineer. If a need develops for an emergency determination, district engineers may act in reliance on a finding prepared as in Section 329.14 of this Part. The report of findings should then be forwarded to the division engineer on an expedited basis.
(b) Where determinations have been made by the division engineer, inquiries regarding the navigability of specific portions of waterbodies covered by these determinations may be answered as follows:

This Department, in the administration of the laws enacted by Congress for the protection and preservation of the navigable waters of the United States, has determined that __________ (River) (Bay) (Lake, etc.) is a navigable water of the United States from ______________ to ______________. Actions which modify or otherwise affect those waters are subject to the jurisdiction of this Department, whether such actions occur within or outside the navigable areas.

(c) Specific inquiries regarding the jurisdiction of the Corps of Engineers can be answered only after a determination whether (1) the waters are navigable waters of the United States or (2) if not navigable, whether the proposed type of activity may nevertheless affect the navigable waters of the United States that the assertion of regulatory jurisdiction is deemed necessary.

§ 329.16 Use and maintenance of lists of determinations.
(a) Tabulated lists of final determinations of navigability are to be maintained in each district office, and be updated as necessitated by court decisions, jurisdictional inquiries, or other changed conditions.
(b) It should be noted that the lists represent only those waterbodies for which determinations have been made; absence from that list should not be taken as an indication that the waterbody is not navigable.
(c) Deletions from the list are not authorized. If a change in status of a waterbody from navigable to non-navigable is deemed necessary, an updated finding should be forwarded to the division engineer; changes are not considered final until a determination has been made by the division engineer.
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§ 330.1 General.
The purpose of this regulation is to describe the Department of the Army's (DA) nationwide permit program and to list all current nationwide permits which have been issued by publication herein. A nationwide permit is a form of general permit which may authorize activities throughout the nation. Another type of general permit is a "regional permit" and is issued by division or district engineers on a regional basis in accordance with 33 CFR Part 325). Copies of regional conditions and modifications, if any, to the nationwide permits can be obtained from the appropriate district engineer.

Nationwide permits are designed to allow certain activities to occur with little, if any, delay or paperwork.

Nationwide permits are valid only if the conditions applicable to the nationwide permits are met. Failure to comply with a condition does not necessarily mean the activity cannot be authorized but rather that the activity can only be authorized by an individual or regional permit. Several of the nationwide permits require notification to the district engineer prior to commencement of the authorized activity. The procedures for this notification are located at § 330.7 of this Part.

Nationwide permits can be issued to satisfy the requirements of section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, section 404 of the Clean Water Act, and/or section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act. The applicable authority is indicated at the end of each nationwide permit.

§ 330.2 Definitions.
(a) The definitions of 33 CFR Parts 321–329 are applicable to the terms used in this Part.
(b) The term "headwaters" means the point on a non-tidal stream above which the average annual flow is less than five cubic feet per second. The district engineer may estimate this point from available data by using the mean annual area precipitation, area drainage basin maps, and the average runoff coefficient, or by similar means. For streams that are dry for long periods of the year, district engineers may establish the "headwaters" as that point on the stream where a flow of five cubic feet per second is equaled or exceeded 50 percent of the time.

(c) Discretionary authority means the authority delegated to division engineers in § 330.8 of this part to override provisions of nationwide permits, to add regional conditions, or to require individual permit application.

§ 330.3 Activities occurring before certain dates.
The following activities were permitted by nationwide permits issued on July 19, 1977, and unless modified do not require further permitting:
(a) Discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States outside the limits of navigable waters of the United States that occurred before the phase-in dates which began July 25, 1975, and extended section 404 jurisdiction to all waters of the United...
States. (These phase-in dates are: After July 25, 1975, discharges into navigable waters of the United States and adjacent wetlands; after September 1, 1976, discharges into navigable waters of the United States and their primary tributaries, including adjacent wetlands, and into natural lakes, greater than 5 acres in surface area; and after July 1, 1977, discharges into all waters of the United States.) (Section 404) 

(b) Structures or work completed before December 18, 1968, or in waterbodies over which the district engineer had not asserted jurisdiction at the time the activity occurred provided, in both instances, there is no interference with navigation. (Section 10) 

§ 330.4 Public notice. 

(a) Chief of Engineers. Upon proposed issuance of new nationwide permits, modification to, or reissuance of, existing nationwide permits, the Chief of Engineers will publish a notice in the Federal Register seeking public comments and including the opportunity for a public hearing. This notice will state the availability of information at the Office of the Chief of Engineers and at all district offices which reveals the Corps' provisional determination that the proposed activities comply with the requirements for issuance under general permit authority. The Chief of Engineers will prepare this information which will be supplemented, if appropriate, by division engineers. 

(b) District engineers. Concurrent with publication in the Federal Register of proposed, new, or reissued nationwide permits by the Chief of Engineers, district engineers will so notify the known interested public by an appropriate notice. The notice will include regional conditions, if any, developed by the division engineer. 

§ 330.5 Nationwide permits. 

(a) Authorized activities. The following activities are hereby permitted provided they meet the conditions listed in paragraph (b) of this section and, where required, comply with the notification procedures, of § 330.7. 

(1) The placement of aids to navigation and regulatory markers which are approved by and installed in accordance with the requirements of the U.S. Coast Guard (33 CFR Part 46, Subchapter C). (Section 10) 

(2) Structures constructed in artificial canals within principally residential developments where the connection of the canal to navigable waters of the United States has been previously authorized (see 33 CFR Part 322.5(g)). (Section 10) 

(3) The repair, rehabilitation, or replacement of any previously authorized, currently serviceable, structure or fill, or of any currently serviceable structure or fill constructed prior to the requirement for authorization provided such repair, rehabilitation, or replacement does not result in a deviation from the plans of the original structure or fill, and further provided that the structure or fill has not been put to uses differing from uses specified for it in any permit authorizing its original construction. Minor deviations due to changes in materials or construction techniques and which are necessary to make repair, rehabilitation, or replacement are permitted. Maintenance dredging and beach restoration are not authorized by this nationwide permit. (Section 10 and 404) 

(4) Fish and wildlife harvesting devices and activities such as pound nets, crab traps, eel pots, lobster traps, duck blinds, and clam and oyster digging. (Section 10) 

(5) Staff gages, tide gages, water recording devices, water quality testing and improvement devices, and similar scientific structures. (Section 10) 

(6) Survey activities including core sampling, seismic exploratory operations, and plugging of seismic shot holes and other exploratory-type bore holes. Drilling of exploration-type bore holes for oil and gas exploration is not authorized by this nationwide permit; the plugging of such holes is authorized. (Sections 10 and 404). 

(7) Outfall structures and associated intake structures where the effluent from that outfall has been permitted under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System program (Section 402 of the Clean Water Act) (see 40 CFR Part 122) provided that the district or division engineer makes a determination that the individual and cumulative adverse environmental effects of the structure itself are minimal in accordance with § 330.7(c)(2) and (d). Intake structures per se are not included—only those directly associated with an outfall structure are covered by this nationwide permit. This permit includes minor excavation, filling and other work associated with installation of the intake and outfall structures. (Sections 10 and 404) 

(8) Structures for the exploration, production, and transportation of oil, gas, and minerals on the outer continental shelf within areas leased for such purposes by the Department of Interior, Mineral Management Service, provided those structures are not placed within the limits of any designated shipping safety fairway or traffic separation scheme (where such limits have not been designated or where changes are anticipated, district engineers will consider recommending the discretionary authority provided by 330.8 of this Part, and further subject to the provisions of the fairway regulations in 33 CFR 322.51) (Section 10). 

(9) Structures placed within anchorage or fleeting areas to facilitate moorage of vessels where such areas have been established for that purpose by the U.S. Coast Guard. (Section 10) 

(10) Non-commercial, single-boat, mooring buoys. (Section 10) 

(11) Temporary buoys and markers placed for recreational use such as water skiing and boat racing provided that the buoy or marker is removed within 30 days after its use has been discontinued. At Corps of Engineers reservoirs, the reservoir manager must approve each buoy or marker individually. (Section 10) 

(12) Discharge of material for backfill or bedding for utility lines, including outfall and intake structures, provided there is no change in preconstruction bottom contours (excess material must be removed to an upland disposal area). A "utility line" is defined as any pipe or pipeline for the transportation of any gaseous, liquid, liquidifiable, or slurry substance, for any purpose, and any cable, line, or wire for the transmission for any purpose of electrical energy, telephone and telegraph messages, and radio and television communication. (The utility line and outfall and intake structures will require a Section 10 permit if in navigable waters of the United States. See 33 CFR Part 322. See also paragraph (a)(7) of this section). (Section 404) 

(13) Bank stabilization activities provided: 

(i) The bank stabilization activity is less than 500 feet in length; 

(ii) The activity is necessary for erosion prevention; 

(iii) The activity is limited to less than an average of one cubic yard per running foot placed along the bank within waters of the United States; 

(iv) No material is placed in excess of the minimum needed for erosion protection; 

(v) No material is placed in any wetland area; 

(vi) No material is placed in any location or in any manner so as to impair surface water flow into or out of any wetland area; 

(vii) Only clean material free of waste metal products, organic materials, unsightly debris, etc. is used; and 

(viii) The activity is a single and complete project. (Sections 10 and 404)
(14) Minor road crossing fills including all attendant features, both temporary and permanent, that are part of a single and complete project for crossing of a non-tidal waterbody, provided that the crossing is culvert, bridge or otherwise designed to prevent the restriction of, and to withstand, expected high flows and provided further that discharges into any wetlands adjacent to the waterbody do not extend beyond 100 feet on either side of the ordinary high water mark of that waterbody. A "minor road crossing fill" is defined as a crossing that involves the discharge of less than 200 cubic yards of fill material below the plane of ordinary high water. The crossing may require a permit from the US Coast Guard if located in navigable waters of the United States. Some road fills may be eligible for an exemption from the need for a Section 404 permit altogether (see 33 CFR 323.4). District engineers are authorized, where local circumstances indicate the need, to define the term "expected high flows" for the purpose of establishing applicability of this nationwide permit. (Sections 10 and 404)

(15) Discharges of dredged or fill material incidental to the construction of bridges across navigable waters of the United States, including cofferdams, abutments, foundation seals, piers, and temporary construction and access fills provided such discharge has been authorized by the US Coast Guard as part of the bridge permit. Causeways and approach fills are not included in this nationwide permit and will require an individual or regional Section 404 permit. (Section 404)

(16) Return water from an upland, contained dredged material disposal area (see 33 CFR 323.2(d)) provided the state has issued a site specific or generic certification under section 401 of the Clean Water Act (see also 33 CFR 323.2(b)(1)). The dredging itself requires a Section 10 permit if located in navigable waters of the United States. The return water or runoff from a contained disposal area is administratively defined as a discharge of dredged material by 33 CFR 323.2(d) even though the disposal itself occurs on the upland and thus does not require a section 404 permit. This nationwide permit satisfies the technical requirement for a section 404 permit for the return water where the quality of the return water is controlled by the state through the section 401 certification procedures. (Section 404)

(17) Fills associated with small hydropower projects at existing reservoirs where the project which includes the fill is licensed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) under the Federal Power Act of 1920, as amended; has a total generating capacity of not more than 1500 kw (2,000 horsepower); qualifies for the short-form licensing provided by the FERC (see 18 CFR 4.61); and the district or division engineer makes a determination that the individual and cumulative adverse effects on the environment are minimal in accordance with § 330.7 (c)(2) and (d). (Section 404)

(18) Discharges of dredged or fill material into all waters of the United States other than wetlands that do not exceed ten cubic yards as part of a single and complete project provided the material is not placed for the purpose of stream diversion. (Sections 10 and 404)

(19) Dredging of no more than ten cubic yards from navigable waters of the United States as part of a single and complete project. This permit does not authorize the connection of canals or other artificial waterways to navigable waters of the United States (see Section 33 CFR 322.5(g)). (Section 10)

(20) Structures, work, and discharges for the containment and cleanup of oil and hazardous substances which are subject to the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan, (40 CFR Part 300), provided the Regional Response Team which is activated under the Plan concurs with the proposed containment and cleanup action. (Sections 10 and 404)

(21) Structures, work, discharges associated with surface coal mining activities were authorized by the Department of the Interior, Office of Surface Mining, or by states with approved programs under Title V of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977; the appropriate district engineer is given the opportunity to review the Title V permit application and all relevant Office of Surface Mining or state (as the case may be) documentation prior to any decision on that application; and the district or division engineer makes a determination that the individual and cumulative adverse effects on the environment from such structures, work, or discharges are minimal in accordance with §§ 330.7 (c) (2) and (3) and (d). (Sections 10 and 404)

(22) Minor work, fills, or temporary structures required for the removal of wrecked, abandoned, or disabled vessels, or the removal of man-made obstructions to navigation. This permit does not authorize maintenance dredging, shoal removal, or river bank snagging. (Sections 10 and 404)

(23) Activities, work, and discharges undertaken, assisted, authorized, regulated, funded, or financed, in whole or in part, by another federal agency or department where that agency or department has determined, pursuant to the CEQ Regulation for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (40 CFR Part 1500 et seq.), that the activity, work, or discharge is categorically excluded from environmental documentation because it is included within a category of actions which neither individually nor cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment, and the Office of the Chief of Engineers (ATTN: DAEN-CWO-N) has been furnished notice of the agency's or department's application for the categorical exclusion and concurs with that determination. Prior to approval for purposes of this nationwide permit of any agency's categorical exclusions, the Chief of Engineers will solicit comments through publication in the Federal Register. (Sections 10 and 404)

(24) Any activity permitted by a state administering its own Section 404 permit program for the discharge of dredged or fill material authorized at 33 U.S.C. 1344(g)-(l) is permitted pursuant to section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Those activities which do not involve a section 404 state permit are not included in this nationwide permit but many will be exempted by section 154 of Pub. L. 95-657. (See 33 CFR 322.3(a)(2)). (Section 10)

(25) Discharge of concrete into tightly sealed forms or cells where the concrete is used as a structural member which would not otherwise be subject to Clean Water Act jurisdiction. (Section 404)

(26) Discharges of dredged or fill material into the waters listed in paragraphs (a)(28) (i) and (ii) of this section except those which cause the loss or substantial adverse modification of 10 acres or more of such waters of the United States, including wetlands. For discharges which cause the loss or substantial adverse modification of 1 to 10 acres of such waters, including wetlands, notification to the district engineer is required in accordance with section 330.7 of this section. (Section 404)

(i) Non-tidal rivers, streams, and their lakes and impoundments, including adjacent wetlands, that are located above the headwaters.

(ii) Other non-tidal waters of the United States, including adjacent wetlands, that are not part of a surface tributary system to interstate waters or
Navigable waters of the United States (i.e., isolated waters).

(b) Conditions. The following special conditions must be followed in order for the nationwide permits identified in paragraph (a) of this section to be valid:

(1) That any discharge of dredged or fill material will not occur in the vicinity of a public water supply intake.

(2) That any discharge of dredged or fill material will not occur in areas of concentrated shellfish production unless the discharge is directly related to a shellfish harvesting activity authorized by paragraph (a)(4) of this section.

(3) That the activity will not jeopardize a threatened or endangered species as identified under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), or destroy or adversely modify the critical habitat of such species. In the case of federal agencies, it is the agencies' responsibility to comply with the requirements of the ESA. If the activity may adversely affect any listed species of aquatic life indigenous to the waterbody (unless the primary purpose of the fill is to impound water), then, upon completion, authorize the activity under the nationwide permit by adding, if appropriate, activity specific conditions, or

(ii) Prior to or concurrent with section 7 consultation he may recommend discretionary authority (See section 7 consultation) he may recommend, or the division engineer may initiate Section 7 consultation in accordance with the ESA. In such cases, the district engineer may:

(i) Initiate section 7 consultation and then, upon completion, authorize the activity under the nationwide permit by adding, if appropriate, activity specific conditions, or

(ii) Prior to or concurrent with section 7 consultation he may recommend discretionary authority (See section 303.8) or use modification, suspension, or revocation procedures (See 33 CFR 325.7).

(4) That the activity shall not significantly disrupt the movement of those species of aquatic life indigenous to the waterbody (unless the primary purpose of the fill is to impound water).

(5) That any discharge of dredged or fill material shall consist of suitable material free from toxic pollutants (see section 307 of the Clean Water Act) in toxic amounts;

(6) That any structure or fill authorized shall be properly maintained.

(7) That the activity will not occur in a component of the National Wild and Scenic River System; nor in a river officially designated by Congress as a "study river" or as possible inclusion in the system, while the river is in an official study status;

(8) That the activity shall not cause an unacceptable interference with navigation;

(9) That, if the activity may adversely affect historic properties which the National Park Service has listed, or determined eligible for listing on, the National Register of Historic Places, the permittee will notify the district engineer. If the district engineer determines that such historic properties may be adversely affected, he will provide the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation an opportunity to comment on the effects on such historic properties or he will consider modification, suspension, or revocation in accordance with 33 CFR 325.7.

(10) That the construction or operation of the activity will not impair reserved tribal rights, including, but not limited to, reserved water rights and treaty fishing and hunting rights.

(11) That in certain states, an individual state water quality certification must be obtained or waived (See § 330.9);

(12) That in certain states, an individual state coastal zone management consistency concurrence must be obtained or waived (See § 330.10);

(13) That the activity will comply with regional conditions which may have been added by the district engineer (See § 330.5(a)(3)); and

(14) That the management practices listed in § 330.6 of this part shall be followed to the maximum extent practicable.

(c) Further information. (1) District engineers are authorized to determine if an activity complies with the terms and conditions of a nationwide permit unless that decision must be made by the division engineer in accordance with § 330.7.

(2) Nationwide permits do not obviate the need to obtain other Federal, state, or local authorizations required by law.

(3) Nationwide permits do not grant any property rights or exclusive privileges.

(4) Nationwide permits do not authorize any injury to the property or rights of others.

(5) Nationwide permits do not authorize interference with any existing or proposed Federal project.

(d) Modification, Suspension or Revocation of Nationwide Permits. The Chief of Engineers may modify, suspend, or revoke nationwide permits in accordance with the relevant procedures of 33 CFR 325.7. Such procedures are contained in § 330.8.

(e) Revocation of Nationwide Permits. The Chief of Engineers may modify, suspend, or revoke nationwide permits in accordance with the relevant procedures of 33 CFR 325.7. Such procedures are contained in § 330.8.

§ 330.8 Management practices.

(a) In addition to the conditions specified in § 330.5 of this Part, the following management practices shall be followed, to the maximum extent practicable, in order to minimize the adverse effects of these discharges on the aquatic environment. Failure to comply with these practices may cause for the district engineer to recommend, or the division engineer to take, discretionary authority to regulate the activity on an individual or regional basis pursuant to § 330.8 of this Part.

(1) Discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States shall be avoided or minimized through the use of other practical alternatives.

(2) Discharges in spawning areas during spawning seasons shall be avoided.

(3) Discharges shall not restrict or impede the movement of aquatic species indigenous to the waters or the passage of normal or expected high flows or cause the relocation of the water (unless the primary purpose of the fill is to impound waters).

(4) If the discharge creates an impoundment of water, adverse impacts on the aquatic system caused by the accelerated passage of water and/or the restriction of its flow shall be minimized.

(5) Discharge in wetlands areas shall be avoided.

(6) Heavy equipment working in wetlands shall be placed on mats.

(7) Discharges into breeding areas for migratory waterfowl shall be avoided.

(8) All temporary fills shall be removed in their entirety.

§ 330.7 Notification procedures.

(a) The general permittee shall not begin discharges requiring pre-discharge notification pursuant to the nationwide permit at § 330.5(a)(26): (1) Until notified by the district engineer that the work may proceed under the nationwide permit with any special conditions imposed by the district or division engineer; or

(2) If notified by the district or division engineer that an individual permit may be required; or

(3) Unless 20 days have passed from receipt of the notification by the district engineer and no notice has been
received from the district or division engineer.

(b) Notification pursuant to the nationwide permit at § 330.5(a)(26) must be in writing and include the information listed below. Notification is not an admission that the proposed work would result in more than minimal impacts to waters of the United States; it simply allows the district or division engineer to evaluate specific activities for compliance with general permit criteria.

(1) Name, address, and phone number of the general permittee;

(2) Location of the planned work;

(3) Brief description of the proposed work, its purpose, and the approximate size of the waters, including wetlands, which would be lost or substantially adversely modified as a result of the work; and

(4) Any specific information required by the nationwide permit and any other information that the permittee believes is appropriate.

(c) District engineer review of notification. Upon receipt of notification, the district engineer will promptly review the general permittee's notification to determine which of the following procedures should be followed:

(1) If the nationwide permit at § 330.5(a)(26) is involved and the district engineer determines either, (i) the proposed activity falls within a class of activities, or in specific geographic areas, for which the nationwide permit is required, or (ii) the particular discharge has not been previously identified but he believes it may be of importance to those agencies, he will promptly forward the notification to the division engineer and the head and appropriate staff officials of those agencies to afford those agencies an adequate opportunity before such discharge occurs to consider such notification and express their views, if any, to the district engineer concerning whether individual permits should be required.

(2) If the nationwide permits at § 330.5(a)(7), (17), or (21) are involved and the Environmental Protection Agency, the Fish and Wildlife Service, the National Marine Fisheries Service, or the heads of the appropriate state natural resource agencies as being of particular interest to those agencies; or (ii) the particular discharge has not been previously identified but he believes it may be of importance to those agencies, he will promptly forward the notification to the division engineer and the head and appropriate staff officials of those agencies to afford those agencies an adequate opportunity before such discharge occurs to consider such notification and express their views, if any, to the district engineer concerning whether individual permits should be required.

(3) If the nationwide permit at § 330.5(a)(21) is involved the district engineer will give notice to the Environmental Protection Agency and the appropriate state water quality agency. This notice will include as a minimum the information required by paragraph (b) of this section.

(d) Division engineer review of notification. The division engineer will review all notifications referred to him in accordance with paragraph (c)(1) or (c)(2) of this section. The division engineer will require an individual permit when he determines that an activity does not comply with the terms or conditions of a nationwide permit or does not meet the definition of a general permit (see 33 CFR 322.2(f) and 323.2(n)) including discharges under the nationwide permit at § 330.5(a)(26) which have more than minimal adverse environmental effects on the aquatic environment when viewed either cumulatively or separately. In reaching his decision, he will review factors pertinent to a determination of the environmental effects of the proposed discharge, including those set forth in the 404(b)(1) guidelines, and will give full consideration to the views, if any, of the federal and state natural resource agencies identified in paragraph (c) of this section. If the division engineer decides that an individual permit is not required, and a federal or appropriate state natural resource agency has indicated in writing that an activity may result in more than minimal adverse environmental effects he will prepare a written statement, available to the public on request, which sets forth his response to the specific points raised by the commenting agency. When the division engineer reaches his decision he will notify the district engineer, who will immediately notify the general permittee of the division engineer's decision.

§ 330.8 Discretionary authority.

Except as provided in paragraphs (c)(2) and (d) of this section, division engineers on their own initiative or upon recommendation of a district engineer are authorized to modify nationwide permits by adding regional conditions or to override nationwide permits by requiring individual permit applications on a case-by-case basis, for a category of activities, or in specific geographic areas. Discretionary authority will be based on concerns for the aquatic environment as expressed in the guidelines published by EPA pursuant to section 404(b)(1). (40 CFR Part 230)

(a) Activity Specific conditions. Division engineers are authorized to modify nationwide permits by adding individual conditions on a case-by-case basis applicable to certain activities within their division. Activity specific conditions may be added by the District Engineer in instances where there is mutual agreement between the district engineer and the permittee. Furthermore, district engineers will condition NWPs with conditions which have been imposed on a state section 401 water quality certification issued pursuant to § 330.9 of this Part.

(b) Regional conditions. Division engineers are authorized to modify nationwide permits by adding conditions on a generic basis applicable to certain activities or specific geographic areas within their divisions. In developing regional conditions, division and district engineers will follow standard permit processing procedures as prescribed in 33 CFR Part 325 applying the evaluation criteria of 33 CFR Part 320 and appropriate parts of 33 CFR Parts 321, 322, 323, and 324. Division and district engineers will take appropriate measures to inform the public of the additional conditions.

(c) Individual permits—(1) Case-by-Case. In nationwide permit cases where additional individual or regional conditioning may not be sufficient to address concerns for the aquatic environment or where there is not sufficient time to develop such conditions under paragraphs (a) or (b) of this section, the division engineer may suspend use of the nationwide permit and require an individual permit application on a case-by-case basis. The district engineer will evaluate the application and either issue or deny a permit. However, if at any time the reason for taking discretionary authority is satisfied, then the division engineer may remove the suspension, reactivating authority under the nationwide permit. Where time is of the essence, the district engineer may telephonically recommend that the division engineer assert discretionary authority to require an individual permit application for a specific activity. If the division engineer concurs, he may orally authorize the district engineer to implement that authority. Oral authorization should be followed by written confirmation.

(2) Category. Additionally, after notice and opportunity for public hearing, division engineers may decide that individual permit applications...
should be required for categories of activities, or in specific geographic areas. However, only the Chief of Engineers may modify, suspend, or revoke nationwide permits on a statewide or nationwide basis. The division engineer will announce the decision to persons affected by the action. The district engineer will then regulate the activity or activities by processing an application(s) for an individual permit(s) pursuant to 33 CFR Part 325.

(d) For the nationwide permit found at § 330.5(a)(26), after the applicable provisions of § 330.7(a) (1) and (3) have been satisfied, the permittee's right to proceed under the general permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked only in accordance with the procedure set forth in 33 CFR 325.7.

(e) A copy of all modifications or revocations of activities covered by nationwide permits will be forwarded to the Office of the Chief of Engineers, ATTN: DAEN-CWO-N.

§ 330.9 State water quality certification.

(a) State water quality certification is required for nationwide permits which may result in any discharge into waters of the United States. If a state issues a water quality certification which includes special conditions, the district engineer will add these conditions as conditions of the nationwide permit in that state. However, if such conditions do not comply with the provisions of 33 CFR 325.4 or if a state denies a required 401 certification for a particular nationwide permit, authorization for all discharges covered by the nationwide permit within the state is denied without prejudice until the state issues an individual or generic water quality certification or waives its right to do so. A district engineer will not process an individual permit application for an activity for which authorization has been denied without prejudice under the nationwide permit program. However, if the division engineer determines that it would otherwise be appropriate to exercise his discretionary authority, pursuant to § 330.8, to override the nationwide permit or permits in question, he may do so, and the district engineer may proceed with the processing of individual permit applications. In instances where a state has denied the 401 water quality certification for discharges under a particular nationwide permit, applicants must furnish the district engineer with an individual or generic 401 certification or a copy of the application to the state for the certification. If a state fails to act within a reasonable period of time (see § 325.2(b)(1)(iii)), a waiver will be presumed. Upon receipt of an individual or generic certification or a waiver of certification, the permittee's right to proceed under the nationwide permit is authorized.

If a state issues a conditioned individual certification, the district engineer will include those conditions that comply with 33 CFR 325.4 as special conditions of the nationwide permit (see 33 CFR Part 330.8(a)) and notify the applicant that the work is authorized under the nationwide permit provided all conditions are met.

(b) Certification requirements for nationwide permits fall into the following general categories:

(1) No certification required. Nationwide permits numbered 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 19 do not involve activities which may result in a discharge and therefore 401 certification is not applicable.

(2) Certification sometimes required. Nationwide permits numbered 3, 6, 7, 13, 20, 21, 22, and 23 each involve various activities, some of which may result in a discharge and require certification, and other of which do not. State denial of certification for any specific nationwide permit in this category affects only those activities involving discharges. Those not involving discharges remain in effect.

(3) Certification required. Nationwide permits numbered 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24, 25, and 26 involve activities which would result in discharges and therefore 401 certification is required.

(c) District engineers will take appropriate measures to inform the public of which waterbodies or regions within the state, and for which nationwide permits, an individual 401 water quality certification is required.

§ 330.10 Coastal zone management consistency determination.

In instances where a state has not concurred that a particular nationwide permit is consistent with an approved coastal zone management plan, authorization for all activities subject to such nationwide permit within or affecting the state coastal zone agency's area of authority is denied without prejudice until the applicant has furnished to the district engineer a coastal zone management consistency determination pursuant to section 307 of the Coastal Zone Management Act and the state has concurred in it. If a state does not act on an applicant's consistency statement within six months after receipt by the state, consistency shall be presumed. District engineers will take appropriate measures to inform the public of which waterbodies or regions within the state, and for which nationwide permits, such individual consistency determination is required. District engineers will not process any permit application for an activity which has been denied without prejudice under the nationwide permit program. However, if the division engineer determines that it would otherwise be appropriate to exercise his discretionary authority, pursuant to § 330.8, to override the nationwide permit or permits in question, he may do so, and the district engineer may proceed with the processing of individual permit applications.

§ 330.11 Nationwide permit verification.

(a) General permittees may, and in some cases must, request from a district engineer confirmation that an activity complies with the terms and conditions of a nationwide permit. When a request is received, a district engineer will respond promptly to such requests. The response will state that the verification is valid for a period of no more than two years or a lesser period of time if deemed appropriate. Section 330.12 takes precedence over this section, therefore, it is incumbent upon the permittee to remain informed of changes to nationwide permits.

(b) If the district engineer decides that an activity does not comply with the terms or conditions of a nationwide permit, he will so notify the person desiring to do the work and indicate that an individual permit is required (unless covered by a regional permit).

(c) If the district engineer decides that an activity does comply with the terms and conditions of a nationwide permit he will so notify the general permittee. In such cases, as with any activity which qualifies under a nationwide permit, the general permittee's right to proceed with the activities under the nationwide permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked only in accordance with the procedures of 33 CFR 325.7.

§ 330.12 Expiration of nationwide permits.

The Chief of Engineers will review nationwide permits on a continual basis, and will decide to either modify, reissue (extend) or revoke the permits at least every five years. If a nationwide permit is not modified or reissued within five years of publication in the Federal Register, it automatically expires and becomes null and void. Authorization of activities which have commenced or are under contract to commence in reliance upon a nationwide permit will remain in effect provided the activity is completed within twelve months of the date a nationwide permit has expired or was revoked unless discretionary permit authority has been exercised in
accordance with § 330.8 of this Part or modification, suspension, or revocation procedures are initiated in accordance with the relevant provisions of 33 CFR 325.7. Activities completed under the authorization of a nationwide permit which was in effect at the time the activity was completed continue to be authorized by that nationwide permit.
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