Serious problem areas persist in operation of Kentucky’s death penalty

- High Error Rate in Death Penalty Cases
- Inadequate Protections to Guard Against Wrongful Conviction
- Excessive Caseloads and Low Pay for Public Defenders Handling Death Penalty Cases
- Capital Juror Confusion
- Inconsistent Application of the Death Penalty
- Inadequate Protections for the Severely Mentally Ill
- Lack of Data on Capital Cases

Source: ABA Kentucky Death Penalty Assessment Report
A majority of likely voters in Kentucky—regardless of where they live or their political party—support a temporary halt to executions.
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