Legal Opinion Principles

By the Committee on Legal Opinions*

In the Committee’s 1991 Third Party Legal Opinion Report, the Committee undertook to monitor developments respecting the Report and the Legal Opinion Accord contained in the Report. It also undertook in due course to take such further action as might seem appropriate. These Legal Opinion Principles are a product of those undertakings.

The Report and the Accord have made an important contribution to the learning on legal opinions. While the Accord has not gained the national acceptance the Committee had hoped, the Guidelines in the Report are frequently looked to for guidance regarding customary legal opinion practice. In Section 152 of the recently adopted Restatement (Third) of the Law Governing Lawyers, the American Law Institute affirmed the importance of customary practice in the preparation and interpretation of legal opinions. The Committee has prepared these Principles to provide further guidance regarding the application of customary practice to third-party “closing” opinions that do not adopt the Accord. The Committee hopes that these Principles will prove useful both to lawyers and their clients and to courts that from time to time are called upon to address legal opinion issues.

The Committee intends to consider the possible extension of these Principles to issues they do not now address. The Committee would welcome the assistance of all who are interested in participating in that effort.

*Thomas L. Ambro, Chair. Donald W. Glazer and Steven O. Weise, Co-Reporters.

LEGAL OPINION PRINCIPLES

I. GENERAL

A. At the closing of many business transactions legal counsel for one party delivers legal opinion letter(s) to one or more other parties. Those opinion letters, often referred to as third party opinion letters, are the subject of these Legal Opinion Principles.

B. The matters usually addressed in opinion letters, the meaning of the language normally used, and the scope and nature of the work counsel is expected to perform are based (whether or not so stated) on the customary practice of lawyers who regularly give, and lawyers who regularly advise opinion recipients regarding, opinions of the kind involved. These Legal Opinion Principles are intended to provide a ready reference to selected aspects of customary practice.

C. An opinion giver may vary the customary meaning of an opinion or the scope and nature of the work customarily required to support it by including an express statement in the opinion letter or by reaching an express understanding with the opinion recipient or its counsel.

D. The opinions contained in an opinion letter are expressions of professional judgment regarding the legal matters addressed and not guarantees that a court will reach any particular result.

E. In accepting an opinion letter, an opinion recipient ordinarily need not take any action to verify the opinions it contains.

F. The lawyer or lawyers preparing an opinion letter and the opinion recipient and its legal counsel are each entitled to assume that the others are acting in good faith with respect to the opinion letter.

II. LAW

A. Opinion letters customarily specify the jurisdiction(s) whose law they are intended to cover and sometimes limit their coverage to specified statutes or regulations of the named jurisdiction(s). When that is done, an opinion letter should not be read to cover the substance or effect of the law of other jurisdiction(s) or other statutes or regulations.

B. An opinion letter covers only law that a lawyer in the jurisdiction(s) whose law is being covered by the opinion letter (see ILA) exercising customary professional diligence would reasonably be expected to recognize as being applicable to the entity, transaction, or agreement to which the opinion letter relates.

C. An opinion letter should not be read to cover municipal or other local laws unless it does so expressly.

D. Even when they are generally recognized as being directly applicable, some laws (such as securities, tax, and insolvency laws) are
understood as a matter of customary practice to be covered only when an opinion refers to them expressly.

**III. FACTS**

A. The lawyers who are responsible for preparing an opinion letter do not ordinarily have personal knowledge of all of the factual information needed to support the opinions it contains. Thus, those lawyers necessarily rely in large measure on factual information obtained from others, particularly company officials. Customary practice permits such reliance unless the factual information on which the lawyers preparing the opinion letter are relying appears irregular on its face or has been provided by an inappropriate source.

B. As a matter of customary practice the lawyers preparing an opinion letter are not expected to conduct a factual inquiry of the other lawyers in their firm or a review of the firm’s files, except to the extent the lawyers preparing the opinion letter have identified a particular lawyer or file as being reasonably likely to have or contain information not otherwise known to them that they need to support an opinion.

C. An opinion should not be based on a factual representation that is tantamount to the legal conclusion being expressed. An opinion ordinarily may be based, however, on legal conclusions contained in a certificate of a government official.

D. Opinions customarily are based in part on factual assumptions. Some factual assumptions need to be stated expressly. Others ordinarily do not. Examples of factual assumptions that ordinarily do not need to be stated expressly are assumptions of general application that apply regardless of the type of transaction or the nature of the parties. These include assumptions that copies of documents are identical to the originals, signatures are genuine and the parties other than the opinion giver’s client have the power to enter into the transaction.

**IV. DATE**

An opinion letter speaks as of its date. An opinion giver has no obligation to update an opinion letter for subsequent events or legal developments.