Papers are due by September 15, 2019 and decisions of accepted papers will be made by October 10.

Please send submissions to Danny Sokol at sokold@law.ufl.edu

FRIDAY, JANUARY 26, 2018

8:20 – 8:50a  REGISTRATION AND CONTINENTAL BREAKFAST
8:50 – 9:00a  WELCOME/OPENING REMARKS
9:00 – 10:45a SESSION 1: COLLUSION

Collusion has been described by the Supreme Court as the “supreme evil of antitrust.” This session explores a number of different elements to collusion both in domestic and international markets.

Session Chair:   Richard STEUER, The Mayer Brown Practices, New York, NY
Presenter:      Jordan BARRY, University of San Diego School of Law, San Diego, CA
                Collusion in Markets with Syndication Collusion
Discussant:    Rosa ABRANTES METZ, New York University Stern School of Business, New York, NY
Presenter:      Marek MARTYNISZYN, Queen’s University Belfast School of Law, Belfast
                Competitive Harm Crossing Borders: Regulatory Gaps and a Way Forward
Discussant:    Andy GAVIL, Howard University School of Law, Washington, DC
Presenter:      Wentong ZHENG, University of Florida Levin College of Law, Gainesville, FL
                Signaling and Collusion
Discussant:    Edward CAVANAUGH, St. John’s University School of Law, Jamaica, NY

10:45 – 11:00a  BREAK

11:00a – 1:00p  SESSION 2: ANTITRUST AND INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES

Antitrust has complex forms of interactions with other types of regulation. It also needs to address institutional design issues within antitrust doctrines. This session explores interactions both within antitrust institutions and across institutions that intersect with antitrust as part of competition policy.

Session Chair:   Geralyn TRUJILLO, Attorney, Northeast Regional Office, Federal Trade Commission, New York, NY
Presenters:      Marco Claudio CORRADI and Julian NOWAG, Lund University, Lund
                Enforcing Corporate Opportunities Rules: Antitrust Risks and Antitrust Failures
Discussant:    Scott HEMPHILL, New York University School of Law, New York, NY
Presenter:      Jorge CONTRERAS, University of Utah Quinney College of Law, Salt Lake City, UT
                Much Ado About Hold-Up
Discussant:    Michael CARRIER, Rutgers University School of Law, Camden, NJ
Presenter:      Rory VAN LOO, Boston University School of Law, Boston, MA
                Consumer Law and Inequality
Discussant:    Daniel SOKOL, University of Florida Levin College of Law, Gainesville, FL
Presenter:      John NEWMAN, University of Memphis School of Law, Memphis, TN
                Procompetitive Justifications in Antitrust Law
Discussant:    Harry FIRST, New York University School of Law, New York, NY

1:00 – 1:45p  LUNCHEON
FRIDAY, JANUARY 26, 2018

1:45 – 3:15p  **SESSION 3: ANTITRUST GLOBAL ISSUES**

Antitrust has become global in its reach. A number of antitrust issues are problems of first impression in other jurisdictions. In some cases, the very understanding of the assumptions of antitrust may differ based on larger political, economic and cultural factors. Papers in this session explore the nuances of an increasingly globalized world and its implications for antitrust.

**Session Chair:** Lisl DUNLOP, Manatt Phelps & Phillips LLP, New York, NY

**Presenter:**
- Wendy NG, University of Melbourne School of Law, Melbourne
  *The influence of socialist principles on the legal regulation of markets in China: the Anti-Monopoly Law*

**Discussant:**
- Frank UPHAM, New York University School of Law, New York, NY

**Presenter:**
- Viktoria ROBERTSON, University of Graz Institute of Corporate & Commercial Law, Graz
  *Market Definition Between Law and Economics: Spectrum or Prism*

**Discussant:**
- Marina LAO, Seton Hall University School of Law, Newark, NJ

**Presenter:**
- Anne WITT, University of Leicester Law School, Leicester
  *The enforcement of Article 101 TFEU – What Has Happened to the Effects?*

**Discussant:**
- Anu BRADFORD, Columbia University School of Law, New York, NY

**Overall Discussants:**
- Rachel BRANDENBURGER, Hogan Lovells LLP, New York, NY
- Alanna C. RUTHERFORD, Boies Schiller Flexner, New York, NY

3:15 – 03:30p  **BREAK**

Antitrust has important implications for business issues and with regard to firm behavior and conduct. Corporate governance also impacts antitrust outcomes.

3:30 – 5:00p  **SESSION 4: ANTITRUST AND BUSINESS LAW**

Antitrust has important implications for business issues and with regard to firm behavior and conduct. Corporate governance also impacts antitrust outcomes.

**Session Chair:** William ROONEY, Wilkie Farr & Gallagher LLP, New York, NY

**Presenter:**
- Samuel WEINSTEIN, Yeshiva University Cardozo School of Law, New York, NY
  *Financial Regulation in the (Receding) Shadow of Antitrust*

**Discussant:**
- Larry WHITE, New York University, Stern School of Business, New York, NY

**Presenter:**
- Ramsi WOODCOCK, Georgia State Legal Studies, Atlanta, GA
  *Antitrust as Corporate Governance*

**Discussant:**
- Ed ROCK, New York University School of Law, New York, NY

**Presenter:**
- Itay PALDOR, Hebrew University School of Law, Jerusalem
  *Cross-Holding by Institutional Investors – Is There Really Anything to Fear?*

**Discussant:**
- Barak ORBACH, University of Arizona James E. Rodgers College of Law, Tuscon, AZ

**Overall Discussants:**
- Elai KATZ, Cahill Gordon, New York, NY
- Maria A. RAPTIS, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, New York, NY

5:00 - 5:30p  **COCKTAIL RECEPTION**
CONFERENCE INFORMATION

Scholarship:
Financial scholarship applications are available for this conference. To request an application or receive additional information, contact: at-registrar@americanbar.org
For courses costing over $500, attorneys who qualify will receive at least a 50% reduction in the course fees. This does not include any reduction in meals, lodging, or travel costs associated with the course.

Continuing Legal Education (CLE)
Sign in for MCLE (U.S. CLE)
All Attendees: Sign-in for CLE upon Arrival
DE/IL Attendees: Your states require you to sign into each session
NY Attendees: You are required by your state to sign in and out each session.

The ABA directly applies for and ordinarily receives CLE credit for ABA programs in AK, AL, AR, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, GA, GU, HI, IA, IL, IN, KS, KY, LA, ME, MN, MS, MO, MP, MT, NH, NJ, NM, NV, NY, NC, ND, OH, OK, OR, PA, SC, TN, TX, UT, VT, VA, VI, WA, WI, and WV. These states sometimes do not approve a program for credit before the program occurs. This transitional program is approved for both newly admitted and experienced attorneys in NY. Attorneys may be eligible to receive CLE credit through reciprocity or attorney self-submission in other states. For more information about CLE accreditation in your state, visit www.ambar.org/atfallforum

Special rules apply to lawyers from the following states:

Arizona: The State Bar of Arizona does not certify MCLE courses or providers. You must independently review Arizona MCLE regulations and make your own determination as to whether a program qualifies for credit towards your MCLE requirements.

Florida: You are eligible to receive credit for this program through Florida’s reciprocity provision. ABA programs are approved in New York and many other states. To have credit for this program posted to your CLE total, email or fax the Uniform Certificate of Attendance and the program agenda to the Florida Bar. View contact information for the Florida Bar. There is no fee for you to use the reciprocity provision.

Idaho: You may self-submit this program for CLE approval by sending the Application for CLE credit to the Idaho State Bar with the required attachments. You can download a copy of the program brochure/agenda from an ABA program’s website, or print a copy of the program web page if no separate brochure available for submission with your application. There is no fee for this submission.

Maine: You are eligible to receive credit for this program through Maine’s reciprocity provision that allows credit hours for courses or activities approved by another MCLE state and certified by that state’s CLE regulatory authority to be accepted for identical credit by the Maine Board of Overseers of the Bar upon the board’s receipt of evidence of such certification as issued by that state, or the ABA Uniform Certificate of Attendance. ABA programs are approved in New York and many other states.
Nebraska: You may self-submit this program for CLE approval after attending the program by logging into your personal MCLE account with the Nebraska MCLE Commission. There is no fee for this submission and a response is generally received within 3-5 days.

New Hampshire: The New Hampshire MCLE Board does not certify MCLE courses or providers. You must self-determine whether a program is eligible for credit, and self-report your attendance online at www.nhbar.org/NHMCLE.

New Jersey: You are eligible for credit for this program under New Jersey reciprocity provision if another state grants credit for it. ABA programs are approved in New York and many other states.

New York: This transitional CLE program is approved for all New York-licensed attorneys in accordance with the requirements of the New York State CLE Board for transitional MCLE credits. Both experienced and newly admitted attorneys may earn New York credit with this program.

Rhode Island: You may self-submit this program for CLE approval online, under Course Accreditation (Appendix D), at the time of attendance reporting. There is no fee for self-submission.

Wyoming: You may self-submit this program for CLE approval within a reasonable time after attending the program by completing Application for CLE credit. This is the same form that is currently required for attendance reporting. There is no fee for self-submission.

All attorneys may click here to view additional MCLE information for your jurisdiction.