

Index

A

- abuse
 - confidentiality and, 276–278
 - difficult parties and, 178–181
- accepting or rejecting case
 - competency in, 84–87
 - conflicts of interest in, 91–96
 - difficult parties and, 171–181
 - dual functions and, 95–96
 - integrity in, 87–90
 - party incapacity and, 97–98
 - power imbalance in, 98–102
 - quality of process and, 90
 - self-determination in, 97–102
 - subject matter in, 95
- accountability
 - mediator, 295–297
 - voluntary organizations and, 314–316
- ADR. *See* alternative dispute resolution (ADR)
- advisory opinions, 71–79
- agreement. *See* mediated agreements
- Alabama, 4, 73–74, 84, 323, 349
- Alaska, 349
- alternative dispute resolution (ADR),
 - duty to inform client of options in, 196–197
- American Arbitration Association (AAA), 314–315
- amygdala, 168
- Arizona, 349
- Arkansas, 349
- aspiration, ethical, 8, 14
- Association for Conflict Resolution (ACR), 314–315

B

- bargaining behaviors, 194
- bias
 - impartiality and, 61–62
 - implicit, continuing mediator
 - ethics education and, 332, 341–344
- braided arbitration, 215
- breach of contract, as mediator
 - liability, 298–300

C

- California, 70, 99, 124, 196, 203, 208, 219, 250–251, 253, 273, 278, 290, 307, 349
- candor, toward mediator, 200–201
- caucusing
 - confidentiality and, 129–130, 132–141
 - cross, 127–128
 - defining, 127–128
 - and ending mediation, 138–140
 - impartiality and, 131–141
 - online mediation and, 140–141
 - as polarizing, 128–129
 - quality of process and, 131
 - self-determination and, 130–141
- causation, challenges establishing, 305–306
- child abuse, 276–278
- civil liability, of mediators, 298–309
- client preparation, and lawyer representation, 193
- CMEE. *See* continuing mediator ethics education (CMEE)

- Code of Ethics for Arbitrators in
Commercial Disputes, 229–232
- codes of conduct. *See also* Model
Code of Conduct for Mediators;
Model Code of Judicial
Conduct
- competence in, 65–67
 - confidentiality in, 67–68
 - conflicts of interest in, 62–65
 - ethical force of, 57–59
 - impartiality in, 60–62
 - key mediation values in, 59–70
 - legal force of, 57–59
 - mediation, 56–71
 - purpose of, 55
 - quality of process in, 68–70
 - self-determination in, 59–60
 - by state, 349–360
 - tension between values in, 70–71
- Colorado, 56, 166, 350
- Commercial Arbitrators Code,
229–232
- communication, with difficult parties,
167–171
- competence
- in codes of conduct, 65–67
 - in decision to accept or reject case,
84–87
 - duty of, 192
 - ethical duty of, 7, 35–37
 - in evaluative mediation, 115–116
 - lawyer representation and,
197–199, 205–206
 - proposals and, 160–162
- conduct reporting, in mediator ethical
advisory committee opinions,
75–77
- confidentiality
- abuse and, 276–278
 - applicable law, 288–289
 - caucus and, 129–130, 132–141
 - child abuse and, 276–278
 - in codes of conduct, 67–68
 - court reporting and, 286–288
 - criminal conduct and, 283–284
 - departure from, ethical grounds
for, 275–290
 - and duty to disclose, 275–280
 - and effectiveness of process,
270–271
 - elder abuse and, 276–278
 - ethical violations and, 279–280
 - in evaluative mediation, 122–125
 - fee disputes and, 286
 - impartiality and, 273–274
 - lawyer representation and,
202–204, 207–208
 - in lawyer-client relationship,
202–203
 - maintenance of, 268–270
 - med-arb and, 224–225, 231
 - med-arb not promising, 217
 - mediated agreements and,
252–254, 264, 284–285
 - mediation programs and, 286–288
 - in mediator ethical advisory
committee opinions, 73–74
 - misconduct reporting and, 44–45
 - permissible grounds for departure
from, 280–289
 - professional misconduct and,
285–286
 - as professional obligation,
268–275
 - proposals and, 159–160
 - quality of process and, 270–271
 - self-determination and, 271–273
 - subpoena and, 289–293
 - violence and, 278–279
 - waiver of, 281–282
- conflicts of interest
- in codes of conduct, 62–65
 - in decision to accept or reject case,
91–96
 - ethics and, 37–44
 - lawyer representation and,
195–196
 - med-arb and, 225–228, 231–232

- mediation agreements and, 40–44, 261–262
 - relationships and, 91–95
 - severity of, 65
 - subsequent to mediation, 37–39
 - Connecticut, 350
 - consent, in evaluative mediation, 111–113. *See also* informed consent
 - consent award, 213 n.1
 - consent decree, 213 n.1
 - continuing mediator ethics education (CMEE)
 - articles in, 338, 344
 - books in, 338, 344
 - court-ordered mediation and, 327–328
 - and current events in field, 337
 - decision-making skills and, 334–335
 - ethical analysis and, 334
 - as ethical obligation, 322–325
 - ethics advisory opinions and, 326–327, 343–344
 - implicit bias and, 332, 341–344
 - importance of, 321
 - law schools and, 346
 - as mandatory, 323–324
 - mindfulness and, 340–341, 345
 - necessity of, 325–334
 - online mediation and, 332–334
 - peer consultation and, 338
 - pro se parties and, 328–329
 - as required, 341–343
 - and research on human decision making, 330–332
 - resources, 343
 - self-assessment and, 339–340
 - self-reflection tools and, 342–344
 - and variety of disputes, 329–330
 - webinars and, 337
 - workshops and, 337
 - contract, breach of, as mediator liability, 298–300
 - court reporting, confidentiality and, 286–288
 - court-ordered mediation, continuing mediator ethics education and, 327–328
 - criminal conduct, confidentiality and, 283–284
 - current events, 337
- D**
- damages, challenges establishing, 306–307
 - decision making, ethical, 19–21. *See also* accepting or rejecting case
 - Delaware, 350
 - deliberation
 - and foundation of trust, 191
 - lawyer representation and, 190
 - preconditions for, 190
 - deliberative model, 189–191
 - dementia, 175–177
 - dependent personality disorder, 173
 - difficult parties
 - abuse and, 178–181
 - adaptations with, 182–184
 - and decision to take case, 171–181
 - defined, 166–167
 - dementia in, 175–177
 - ethical communication with, 167–171
 - extreme language with, 183–184
 - personality disorders in, 172–174
 - and premediation screening, 182
 - proposals and, 184
 - requiring presence of lawyers with, 182
 - separate arrival of, 182–183
 - shuttle diplomacy and, 179, 182
 - substance abuse and, 177–178
 - support person for, 183
 - violence and, 178–181
 - and withdrawal from case, 171–181
 - diligence, med-arb and, 230–231

Index

A

- abuse
 - confidentiality and, 276–278
 - difficult parties and, 178–181
- accepting or rejecting case
 - competency in, 84–87
 - conflicts of interest in, 91–96
 - difficult parties and, 171–181
 - dual functions and, 95–96
 - integrity in, 87–90
 - party incapacity and, 97–98
 - power imbalance in, 98–102
 - quality of process and, 90
 - self-determination in, 97–102
 - subject matter in, 95
- accountability
 - mediator, 295–297
 - voluntary organizations and, 314–316
- ADR. *See* alternative dispute resolution (ADR)
- advisory opinions, 71–79
- agreement. *See* mediated agreements
- Alabama, 4, 73–74, 84, 323, 349
- Alaska, 349
- alternative dispute resolution (ADR),
 - duty to inform client of options in, 196–197
- American Arbitration Association (AAA), 314–315
- amygdala, 168
- Arizona, 349
- Arkansas, 349
- aspiration, ethical, 8, 14
- Association for Conflict Resolution (ACR), 314–315

B

- bargaining behaviors, 194
- bias
 - impartiality and, 61–62
 - implicit, continuing mediator
 - ethics education and, 332, 341–344
- braided arbitration, 215
- breach of contract, as mediator
 - liability, 298–300

C

- California, 70, 99, 124, 196, 203, 208, 219, 250–251, 253, 273, 278, 290, 307, 349
- candor, toward mediator, 200–201
- caucusing
 - confidentiality and, 129–130, 132–141
 - cross, 127–128
 - defining, 127–128
 - and ending mediation, 138–140
 - impartiality and, 131–141
 - online mediation and, 140–141
 - as polarizing, 128–129
 - quality of process and, 131
 - self-determination and, 130–141
- causation, challenges establishing, 305–306
- child abuse, 276–278
- civil liability, of mediators, 298–309
- client preparation, and lawyer representation, 193
- CMEE. *See* continuing mediator ethics education (CMEE)

- Code of Ethics for Arbitrators in
Commercial Disputes, 229–232
- codes of conduct. *See also* Model
Code of Conduct for Mediators;
Model Code of Judicial
Conduct
- competence in, 65–67
 - confidentiality in, 67–68
 - conflicts of interest in, 62–65
 - ethical force of, 57–59
 - impartiality in, 60–62
 - key mediation values in, 59–70
 - legal force of, 57–59
 - mediation, 56–71
 - purpose of, 55
 - quality of process in, 68–70
 - self-determination in, 59–60
 - by state, 349–360
 - tension between values in, 70–71
- Colorado, 56, 166, 350
- Commercial Arbitrators Code,
229–232
- communication, with difficult parties,
167–171
- competence
- in codes of conduct, 65–67
 - in decision to accept or reject case,
84–87
 - duty of, 192
 - ethical duty of, 7, 35–37
 - in evaluative mediation, 115–116
 - lawyer representation and,
197–199, 205–206
 - proposals and, 160–162
- conduct reporting, in mediator ethical
advisory committee opinions,
75–77
- confidentiality
- abuse and, 276–278
 - applicable law, 288–289
 - caucus and, 129–130, 132–141
 - child abuse and, 276–278
 - in codes of conduct, 67–68
 - court reporting and, 286–288
 - criminal conduct and, 283–284
 - departure from, ethical grounds
for, 275–290
 - and duty to disclose, 275–280
 - and effectiveness of process,
270–271
 - elder abuse and, 276–278
 - ethical violations and, 279–280
 - in evaluative mediation, 122–125
 - fee disputes and, 286
 - impartiality and, 273–274
 - lawyer representation and,
202–204, 207–208
 - in lawyer-client relationship,
202–203
 - maintenance of, 268–270
 - med-arb and, 224–225, 231
 - med-arb not promising, 217
 - mediated agreements and,
252–254, 264, 284–285
 - mediation programs and, 286–288
 - in mediator ethical advisory
committee opinions, 73–74
 - misconduct reporting and, 44–45
 - permissible grounds for departure
from, 280–289
 - professional misconduct and,
285–286
 - as professional obligation,
268–275
 - proposals and, 159–160
 - quality of process and, 270–271
 - self-determination and, 271–273
 - subpoena and, 289–293
 - violence and, 278–279
 - waiver of, 281–282
- conflicts of interest
- in codes of conduct, 62–65
 - in decision to accept or reject case,
91–96
 - ethics and, 37–44
 - lawyer representation and,
195–196
 - med-arb and, 225–228, 231–232

- discipline, mediator
- accountability and, 295–297, 314–316
 - breach of contract and, 298–300
 - and challenges establishing breach, 304–305
 - and challenges establishing causation, 305–306
 - and challenges establishing damages, 306–307
 - civil liability and, 298–309
 - education and, 314
 - and establishment of duties, 302–303
 - exclusion from mediation rosters in, 312
 - institutional, 309–314
 - invisible harms and, 301–302
 - malpractice and, 300–309
 - malpractice exposure and, 309
 - mediator immunity and, 307–309
 - professional organizations and, 314–316
 - rehabilitation and, 314
 - sanctions in, 312–313
- disclosure, duty of, 275–280
- domestic violence, 100–102
- dual functions, in decision to accept or reject case, 95–96
- E**
- EAR. *See* empathy, attention, respect (EAR) statements
- education, mediator, 314. *See also* continuing mediator ethics education (CMEE)
- elder abuse, 276–278
- empathy, attention, respect (EAR) statements, 168–171
- ethical advisory opinions, 71–79, 326–327, 349–360
- ethical ideals, 4, 8–9, 17
- ethical relationships, 8–9
- ethics, arbitration, med-arb and, 229–233
- ethics, lawyer
- competence and, 35–37
 - confidentiality and, 44–45
 - conflicts of interest and, 37–44
 - honesty and, 39–40
 - impartiality and, 46–50
 - integrity and, 46–50
 - with judge as mediator, 50–53
 - misconduct reporting and, 44–45
 - misrepresentations and, 39–40
 - power imbalances and, 46–50
 - self-determination and, 46–50
 - truthfulness and, 39–40
- ethics, mediator
- of accepting or rejecting a case, 83–102
 - bar of aspiration *vs.* threshold of duty, 8
 - case illustration, 22–31
 - competence and, 7
 - concepts in, 3
 - conflicts of interest and, 37–39
 - content and meaning in, 13–15
 - decision making and, 19–21
 - defined, 4
 - ethical *vs.* legal thresholds of duties, 6–7
 - in evaluative mediation, 110–125
 - law and, 4–5
 - in med-arb, 221–235
 - mediated agreement and, 244–246
 - minimum, 10
 - Model Code of Conduct and, 17–19
 - no hierarchy in standards of, 15–17
 - obligations, 5–6
 - of proposals, 148–162
 - role-ethics, 4–5, 10–11
 - shared norms of, 11
 - sources of, 11
 - standards of, 10–17
- evaluative mediation
- competence in, 115–116
 - confidentiality in, 122–125

defined, 106–110
 ethical rules implicated in,
 110–125
 impartiality in, 116–118
 informed consent in, 111–113
 integrity in, 118–122
 quality of process in, 118–122
 self-determination in, 111–115
 settlement decision and, 113–115
 ex parte communication, med-arb
 and, 231
 extreme language, 183–184

F

fee disputes, confidentiality and, 286
 fee issues, in mediator ethical
 advisory committee opinions,
 78
 Florida, 4, 7, 45, 58, 65, 72, 77,
 90, 93, 114, 116, 120, 123,
 133, 153–156, 158, 161, 181,
 204–206, 208–210, 224, 226,
 250–251, 253, 255–256, 259,
 262–264, 273, 277, 279–280,
 282, 287, 289, 291–292, 308,
 310–312, 323, 326–327, 342,
 350

G

Georgia, 73, 77, 156, 258, 262, 264,
 271–272, 288, 290, 312, 323,
 350
 gifts, in mediator ethical advisory
 committee opinions, 79
 good-faith participation, 201–202

H

harms, invisible, 301–302
 Hawaii, 56, 149, 350
 HCPs. *See* high-conflict people
 (HCPs)
 high-conflict people (HCPs), 169. *See*
also difficult parties
 honesty, ethics and, 39–40

I

Idaho, 57, 323, 351
 Illinois, 42, 44, 64, 75, 209, 280, 351
 immunity, mediator, 307–309
 impartiality
 bias and, 61–62
 caucus and, 131–141
 confidentiality and, 273–274
 defined, 60–61
 in evaluative mediation, 116–118
 and hierarchies of standards, 15–17
 med-arb and, 225–229
 mediated agreements and, 261–262
 overarching nature of
 requirements, 62
 proposals and, 158–159
 relationship issues and, 63–65
 as standard, 13–14
 subject matter and, 63
 as value, 60–62
 implicit bias, continuing mediator
 ethics education and, 332,
 341–344
 Indiana, 251, 323, 351
 informed consent
 in context of mediation, 191
 in evaluative mediation, 111–113
 lawyer representation and,
 189–191
 in med-arb, 237–238
 institutional discipline, 309–314. *See*
also discipline, mediator
 integrity
 as standard, 12, 25–26
 in decision to accept or reject case,
 87–90
 in evaluative mediation, 118–122
 lawyer ethics and, 46–50
 med-arb and, 225–229
 proposals and, 159–160
 intimate partner violence (IPV),
 100–102
 invisible harms, 301–302
 Iowa, 57, 65, 351

J

judge

- in med-arb, 214
- as mediator, 50–53

K

Kansas, 351

Kentucky, 52, 149–151, 351

Kiryat Ono Community Mediation Center, 22, 23

KO Code, 23, 25–31

L

Labor Arbitration Code, 232–233

language, extreme, 183–184

law, ethics and, 4–5

lawyer ethics. *See* ethics, lawyer

lawyer representation

- bargaining behaviors and, 194
- and candor toward mediator, 200–201
- client preparation in, 193
- competence and, 197–199, 205–206
- confidentiality and, 202–204, 207–208
- conflicts of interest and, 195–196
- courts in conflicts with, 208–210
- and dealings with other parties, 199
- deliberation and, 190
- in deliberative model, 189–191
- with difficult parties, 182
- with disruptive lawyer, 210–211
- duties in, 191–204
- and duty to expedite, 197
- and duty to explain, 197
- and duty to inform, 200
- and duty to inform of alternative dispute resolution, 196–197
- and ethical duties during mediation, 197–204
- and foundation of trust, 191

- and good-faith participation, 201–202

- informed consent and, 189–191

- mediation agreement and, 192–193

- mediator assistance and, 198–199

- mediator selection and, 193–194

- misconduct disclosure and, 207–208

- obligations in, lawyer conduct and, 205–211

- online mediation and, 195

- opening statement and, 197–198

- premediation submissions and, 194

- settlement agreement and, 199

- state ethics committees and, 208–210

- and unauthorized practice of law, 204

- liability, civil, of mediators, 298–309

- Louisiana, 56, 352

M

Maine, 65, 352

malpractice, 300–309

malpractice exposure, 309

Maryland, 61, 147, 352

Massachusetts, 65, 196, 219, 352

MEACs. *See* mediator ethical advisory committees (MEACs)

med-arb

- arbitration ethics and, 229–233

- cautions with, 220–221

- classic same-neutral, 214–215

- confidentiality and, 224–225, 231

- conflicts of interest and, 225–228, 231–232

- contexts for use of, 217–220

- context-specific mediator ethics codes and, 228–229

- defined, 213

- diligence and, 230–231

- ethical difficulties with, 221–222

- ethics management in, 233–235

- ethics provisions relevant to, 222–233
- ex parte communication and, 231
- impartiality and, 225–229
- incorporating confidential information learned during caucuses, 216
- informed consent to, 237–238
- integrity and, 225–229
- legal questions arising in, 217–220
- mediation ethics in, 222–229
- Model Standards and, 222–228
- not incorporating confidential information learned during caucuses, 216
- not promising confidentiality, 217, 234–235
- opt-in, 216, 235
- opt-out, 235
- organizational rules and, 220–221
- overlapping, 215, 234
- plenary, 216, 234
- problematic variations, 235–237
- quality of process and, 223–224
- self-determination and, 223–224
- sequential, 215, 233–234
- mediated agreements
 - basic qualities of, 247–249
 - confidentiality and, 252–254, 264, 284–285
 - conflicts of interest and, 40–44, 261–262
 - ethical obligations of mediators and, 254–257
 - ethics and, 244–246
 - form for, 249–251
 - impartiality and, 261–262
 - lawyer representation and, 192–193
 - and mediator serving in another dispute-resolution capacity, 263
 - memorialization of, 246–247
 - oral, 250
 - postmediation ethical obligations and, 260–264
 - prevention of formation of, as ethical obligation, 257–260
 - requirements specific to, 249–252
 - substance of, 251–252
 - written, 250–251
- mediation, defined, 9–10
- mediation programs, confidentiality and, 286–288
- mediator(s)
 - candor toward, 200–201
 - civil liability of, 298–309
 - immunity of, 307–309
 - judge as, 50–53
 - lawyer competence and, 205–206
 - lawyer ethics judgments of, 207–210
 - role of, 9–10
 - role of, proposals and, 150–152
 - standby, 215, 233–234
- mediator ethical advisory committees (MEACs), 71–79, 88, 134, 154–155
- mediator selection, lawyer representation and, 193–194
- med-last offer arbitration (MEDLOA), 217, 235
- MEDLOA. *See* med-last offer arbitration (MEDLOA)
- Michigan, 179, 182, 352
- mindfulness, 340–341, 345
- Minnesota, 65, 149, 250–252, 313, 323, 352
- mirror neurons, 168
- misconduct reporting. *See also* discipline, mediator confidentiality and, 44–45, 285–286 withdrawal *vs.*, 210
- misrepresentations, ethics and, 39–40

Mississippi, 72, 323, 352
 Missouri, 43, 56, 353
 Model Code of Conduct for
 Mediators, 17–19
 Model Code of Judicial Conduct,
 51–52
 Model Rules of Professional Conduct,
 33–35
 bargaining behaviors and, 194
 conflicts of interest in, 37–38
 informed consent in, 189–190
 mediated agreements and, 256,
 261–262
 misrepresentations in, 39
 Model Standards of Conduct for
 Mediators
 caucus and, 129–130
 competence in, 84–85
 confidentiality in, 123
 conflicts of interest and, 38–39
 continuing ethical education in,
 322
 difficult parties in, 170
 ethics and, 3, 10, 23–25
 information provision in, 49–50
 med-arb and, 222–228
 Model Rules of Professional
 Conduct and, 33
 truthfulness in, 39–40
 Montana, 64, 97, 150, 353

N

Nebraska, 57, 158, 353
 Nevada, 323, 353
 New Hampshire, 354
 New Jersey, 57, 65, 196, 323, 355
 New Mexico, 149, 151, 355
 New York, 43, 65, 73, 75–78, 88, 99,
 123, 160, 271, 284, 356
 North Carolina, 45, 73–74, 78–79,
 122–123, 149, 151, 154, 181,
 206, 210, 226–227, 356
 North Dakota, 356

O

ODR. *See* online dispute resolution
 (ODR)
 Ohio, 43, 56–57, 219, 356
 Oklahoma, 357
 online dispute resolution (ODR)
 caucus and, 140–141
 continuing mediator ethics
 education and, 332–334
 lawyer representation and, 195
 opening statement, lawyer
 representation and, 197–198
 Oregon, 45, 65, 323, 357

P

party incapacity, self-determination
 and, 97–98
 peer consultation, 338
 Pennsylvania, 56, 357
 personality disorders, 172–174
 polyvagal theory, 168
 power imbalance
 in decision to accept or reject case,
 98–102
 lawyer ethics and, 46–50
 premediation screening, 182
 premediation submissions, 194
 pro se parties, continuing mediator
 ethics education and, 328–329
 professional organizations, discipline
 and, 314–316
 proposals
 as common, 143
 competence and, 160–162
 confidentiality and, 159–160
 cons of, 147–148
 contents of, 145–146
 defined, 144–146
 difficult parties and, 184
 for efficiency, 146
 ethical provision of, 162–164
 ethical standards and, 148–150
 ethics of, 148–162

for fulfilling expectations, 146
 impact on parties of, 148
 impartiality and, 158–159
 integrity and, 159–160
 knowledge and expertise for, 162
 mediation process implications of, 148
 mediator role and, 150–152
 opinions on, 143–144
 outcome stability and, 147
 for overcoming barriers, 146
 process, 163–164
 pros of, 146
 quality of process and, 159–160
 self-determination and, 152–157
 “sophisticated” parties and, 162
 timing of, 162–163
 as unauthorized practice of law, 147–148

Q

quality of process
 caucus and, 131
 in codes of conduct, 68–70
 confidentiality and, 270–271
 in decision to accept or reject case, 90
 in evaluative mediation, 118–122
 med-arb and, 223–224
 in mediator ethical advisory committee opinions, 77–78
 proposals and, 159–160

R

recording, in mediator ethical advisory committee opinions, 75
 rehabilitation, mediator, 314
 rejecting case. *See* accepting or rejecting case
 relationships
 in decision to accept or reject case, 91–95
 impartiality and, 63–65

reputational sanctions, 312–313
 Rhode Island, 56, 357
 role-ethics, 4–5, 10–11
 rosters, exclusion from mediation, 312

S

sanctions, as discipline, 312–313
 screening, premediation, 182
 self-assessment, 339–340
 self-determination
 caucus and, 130–141
 confidentiality and, 271–273
 in decision to accept or reject case, 97–102
 in evaluative mediation, 111–113
 lawyer ethics and, 46–50
 med-arb and, 223–224
 party incapacity and, 97–98
 power imbalance and, 98–102
 proposals and, 152–157
 settlement decisions and, 113–115
 as value, 59–60
 self-esteem, 173
 self-reflection tools, 342–344
 seminars, 337
 settlement, self-determination and, 113–115
 settlement agreement, lawyer representation and, 199
 shuttle diplomacy, 179, 182
 situational couple violence (SPV), 101–102
 South Carolina, 289, 358
 South Dakota, 57, 358
 state bar association opinions, 71–72
 state mediator ethical advisory opinions, 72–79
 subject matter
 in decision to accept or reject case, 95
 impartiality and, 63
 subpoena, confidentiality and, 289–293

substance abuse, 177–178
support persons, with difficult parties,
183

T

Tennessee, 73, 76, 79, 84, 358
Texas, 4, 43, 90, 359
truthfulness, ethics and, 39–40

U

UMA. *See* Uniform Mediation Act
(UMA)
unauthorized practice of law (UPL),
147–148, 204
Uniform Mediation Act (UMA),
44–45, 56–57, 68, 208, 250
UPL. *See* unauthorized practice of
law (UPL)
Utah, 42, 57, 359

V

vagus nerve, 168
values
mediation, 59–70
tension between, 70–71

Vermont, 57, 359

violence

confidentiality and, 278–279
difficult parties and, 178–181

Virginia, 45, 85, 90, 151, 196, 204,
253, 258, 263, 273, 323–324,
339, 342, 360

W

waiver, of confidentiality, 281–282
Washington, 57, 65, 360
webinars, 337
West Virginia, 360
Wisconsin, 45, 345, 360
withdrawal from case
difficult parties and, 171–181
vs. reporting misconduct, 210
workshops, 337
Wyoming, 360