

CONTENTS

Foreword.....	ix
Preface	xi
Chapter I	1
Introduction.....	1
A. The Text and Background of Section 1	1
1. Why Congress Determined That a Federal Statute Was Needed	1
2. Legislative Background of Section 1	3
3. Common Law and the Content of Section 1	5
a. Contracts in Restraint of Trade	6
b. Combinations in Restraint of Trade	7
c. Conspiracies in Restraint of Trade.....	8
B. Early Decisions Interpreting Section 1	9
C. Other Antitrust Statutes and Laws	15
Chapter II	19
What Constitutes a Conspiracy?	19
A. What Is an Agreement?	19
1. Early Supreme Court Cases Defining Antitrust Agreements.....	21
2. The Modern Standard: <i>Monsanto v. Spray-Rite Service Corp.</i> ...	25
B. What Is a Conscious Commitment and a Common Scheme?.....	26
1. A Conscious Commitment.....	26
a. Criminal Enforcement of Section 1	26
b. Civil Actions under Section 1	32
2. Form of Agreement.....	34
a. Express Agreements.....	34
b. Tacit or Non-Express Agreements.....	37
3. Horizontal and Vertical Agreements	42
a. Horizontal Restraint Cases.....	42
b. Vertical Restraint Cases.....	45
c. Hybrid Horizontal and Vertical Restraint Cases.....	47

C.	Proof of Participation in a Conspiracy.....	49
1.	Participation in an Existing Conspiracy.....	49
a.	Voluntary vs. Coerced Participation.....	49
b.	Is There a “Slight Evidence” Rule?	51
2.	Unwitting Beneficiary of a Conspiracy	53
3.	Withdrawing from an Existing Conspiracy	53
a.	Burden of Proof of Withdrawal	54
b.	Elements of Proof of Withdrawal	54
c.	What Constitutes the Affirmative Conduct Necessary to Withdraw?.....	55
d.	Effect of Withdrawal on Statute of Limitations.....	57
e.	Effect of Withdrawal on Damages.....	58
f.	The Difficulty of Withdrawal	59
 Chapter III		61
	Proof of the Existence of a Conspiracy.....	61
A.	Proving an Agreement	61
B.	Using Direct Evidence to Prove an Agreement.....	62
C.	Circumstantial Evidence Must “Tend to Exclude” the Possibility of Independent Action	66
D.	Examples of Plaintiffs Successfully Using Circumstantial Evidence to Prove the Existence of an Agreement.....	68
E.	How Courts Evaluate Whether Defendants’ Conduct Raises a Permissible Inference of an Agreement.....	71
1.	Plausibility	71
2.	Conscious Parallelism.....	74
a.	Proof of Parallel Behavior	74
b.	Proof That Parallel Behavior Was Conscious.....	77
c.	Proof of Consciously Parallel Behavior Is Not Sufficient	77
3.	Plus Factors.....	82
a.	Actions Against the Defendant’s Independent Self-Interest.	83
b.	Motive to Conspire	88
c.	Opportunity to Conspire	90
d.	Market Concentration and Structure	92
e.	Pretextual Explanations for Anticompetitive Conduct	94
f.	Coordinating Price Announcements	96
g.	Sharing of Price Information	97
h.	Signaling.....	103
i.	Involvement in Other Conspiracies	105
j.	Criticism of the Current Plus-Factor Framework.	106

Chapter IV	109
The Special Issues of Coconspirator Evidence and Parallel Government Enforcement.....	109
A. Statements by Coconspirators.....	109
1. <i>Bourjaily v. United States</i>	110
2. The Current Rule: Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(2)(E)	111
a. “A Statement by a Coconspirator of a Party”	112
b. “During the Course” of the Conspiracy	115
c. “In Furtherance of the Conspiracy”	115
B. Effect of Witness Invocation of Fifth Amendment Rights	117
1. Admissibility of Parties’ Invocation of Fifth Amendment in Civil Actions	118
2. Admissibility of Non-Parties’ Invocation of Fifth Amendment in Civil Actions	119
C. Effects of Participation in Leniency Programs and Plea Agreements.....	120
1. Leniency Program Participants	121
2. Alleged Coconspirators of Leniency Program Participants	122
D. Effect of Parallel U.S. Government Actions.....	123
1. Collateral Estoppel.....	124
2. Prima Facie Evidentiary Effects	126
E. Effect of Parallel State Government Actions.....	129
 Chapter V	 133
Forms of Joint Conduct and Collaboration.....	133
A. Identifying Conspiracy Within Corporate Families.....	133
1. A Company and Its Wholly Owned Subsidiary.....	134
2. A Company and Its Employees	135
3. A Company and Its Multiple Wholly Owned Subsidiaries.....	137
4. A Company and Its Partially-Owned Affiliate	137
5. A Company and Its Independent Dealers or Distributors	138
6. Companies with Common or Overlapping Equity Holders.....	139
B. Identifying Conspiracy Within Particular Types of Ventures	140
1. Joint Ventures	140
2. Networks.....	143
3. Joint Buying, Selling or Marketing Arrangements	147
4. Standards Setting Organizations	150
5. Health-Care Collaboration.....	155
6. Cooperative Research Agreements	159
7. Trade Associations.....	160
8. State Actors.....	163

9. Sports Leagues.....	167
Chapter VI	173
Initial Pleading.....	173
A. Historical Overview of Pleading in Federal Courts.....	173
1. Pleadings before the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	173
2. Pleading as Drafted under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	174
B. The Supreme Court Sets the Standard for a Rule 8 Pleading	175
1. <i>Conley v. Gibson</i>	176
2. <i>Conley v. Gibson</i> as Applied in Antitrust Actions.....	176
C. <i>Twombly</i> Interprets Rule 8(a)	178
D. In the Wake of <i>Twombly</i>	180
1. Policy Rationales Motivating a Shift in the Pleading Standard	180
a. Costs to Defendants	180
b. Judicial Failure to Manage Cases	181
2. Pleading an Antitrust Claim Post- <i>Twombly</i>	182
a. Direct Allegations of a Conspiracy.....	184
b. Evidence of Parallel Conduct and Allegations of Complex and Historically Unprecedented Changes in Pricing Structures Made for No Other Discernible Reason.....	187
c. Evidence of Parallel Conduct and Factual Allegations Placed in a Context That Raises a Suggestion of a Preceding Agreement.....	188
E. Clarification of <i>Twombly</i> : <i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i>	189
1. Overview of <i>Iqbal</i>	189
2. Illuminating <i>Twombly</i> 's Two Working Principles.....	190
F. Lower Court Trends.....	193
G. Implications for Current Pleading Practices	196
1. Filing Amended Complaints.....	196
2. Delaying the Race to the Courthouse.....	198
3. State Antitrust Laws.....	199
Chapter VII	201
Summary Judgment in Conspiracy Cases.....	201
A. Historical Context: from <i>Poller</i> to <i>Matsushita</i>	201
B. Legal Considerations in Moving for Summary Judgment in Conspiracy Cases.....	205
1. Direct Evidence of Conspiracy	206
2. Circumstantial Evidence of a Conspiracy.....	207

a. Parallel Behavior: Insufficient by Itself to Establish Conspiracy	208
b. Other Forms of Circumstantial Evidence.....	209
3. Plausibility of Conspiracy.....	211
C. How Courts Apply <i>Matsushita</i> : a Circuit-by-Circuit Summary ...	213
D. Further Considerations in Advocating for or Against Summary Judgment.....	224
1. Practical Barriers to Obtaining Summary Judgment	224
2. Use of Experts.....	225
a. Experts on Circumstantial Evidence: The Rationality of Behavior.....	226
b. Expert Testimony on the Ultimate Issue: Admissibility of Legal Conclusions.....	227
c. Summary Judgment as Gatekeeper of Expert Evidence	228
Chapter VIII	231
Economic Expert Testimony.....	231
A. The Use of Economic Evidence to Support or Detract from an Inference of Conspiracy.....	231
B. The Distinction Between Tacit Collusion and Explicit Collusion	233
C. Economic Analysis of the Likelihood of Collusion.....	235
1. The Central Problem for Successful Collusion.....	235
2. Structural Conditions for Successful Collusion.....	236
3. Susceptibility to Collusion.....	237
D. Economic Analysis of Market Outcomes May Be Used to Detect or Infer Collusive Behavior.....	242
1. Analysis of Data on Prices.....	243
2. Analysis of Data on Output and Capacity.....	246
3. Analysis of Market Shares.....	246
4. Analysis of the Economic Rationality of Alleged Collusion...	247
5. Analysis of Disclosure and Exchange of Nonpublic Information	248
6. Analysis of Whether Observed Behavior Is Consistent with Unilateral Incentives.....	248
E. The Use of Econometrics to Infer Collusive Conduct and Estimate Its Impact	249
1. Alternate Reduced Form Models.....	251
a. “Before-and-After”	251
b. Predictive Modeling.....	253
c. Benchmark Comparisons.....	255

d. Structural Breaks in the Relationship between Price and Cost 255	
2. Reduced Form vs. Structural Models.....	256
3. Best Practices for Econometric Models.....	257
4. A Disconnect Between Bids and Costs.....	258
5. Inexplicable Gap Between Winning and Losing Bids.....	260
F. The Standards for Admissibility of Expert Testimony in Antitrust Conspiracy Cases.....	260
1. Qualifications.....	263
2. Reliability.....	264
3. Fit.....	266
Chapter IX	269
Trying Conspiracy Cases.....	269
A. Pretrial Issues.....	269
1. Jury Consultants.....	269
2. Pretrial Motions.....	274
B. Special Considerations in Cases Involving Criminal Allegations	278
C. Juror Perceptions and Predispositions.....	280
D. Proving Knowing Participation in an Unlawful Agreement.....	288
1. Direct Evidence vs. Circumstantial Evidence.....	289
2. Types of Circumstantial Evidence: Competitor Communications and Pricing Practices.....	290
3. The Problem of Parallel Conduct.....	290
4. Special Difficulties.....	292
a. Defending a Corporation.....	292
b. Proving Knowing Participation in a Conspiracy.....	292
c. Proving Withdrawal from a Conspiracy.....	293
E. Juror Comprehension of Economic Testimony.....	295
1. General Principles.....	295
2. Persuading the Jury Through Effective Direct Examination of an Economic Expert.....	296
a. Substance.....	296
b. Style.....	300
3. Persuading the Jury Through Effective Cross-Examination of an Economic Expert.....	302
4. Juror Reaction to Expert Testimony.....	304
Table of Cases.....	306