

Contents

Foreword xxiii
Preface xxvii

Chapter 1

The Constants of Persuasion 1

I. The Importance of Persuasion 2
II. Every Trial Lawyer’s Goal. 2
 A. The Constants of Persuasion 3
 1. Think *inside* the box 3
 2. Tell a compelling story 3
 3. Humanize your client, key witnesses—and yourself . . . 4
 4. Earn the jurors’ trust; trust the jurors in return 4
 5. Set the agenda 5
 6. Make impeachment matter. 5
 7. Never deny the undeniable. 5
 8. Prove that standards have been violated or upheld. . . . 6
 9. Make the jury mad (at the other side) 6
 10. Answer the jury questions for the jury 6
 B. Conclusion 7

Chapter 2

Preparing to Win 9

I. The Importance of Pretrial Preparation 12
II. The Goals of the Pretrial Period 12

III. Informal Discovery	13
A. Get to Know the Clients	13
1. The jury will pick up on your relationship	14
2. Representing friends at war: a case of vodka	15
3. Bad blood follows you into the courtroom.	16
4. You will learn something useful for trial	17
5. Humanize corporate clients	18
a. What sort of people work here?	18
b. How does the company treat the employees?	19
c. How does the company treat customers and competitors?	20
d. Are there witnesses who cannot be humanized? Or, “What is ‘chairman of the board,’ Alex?”	20
6. Choose corporate representatives carefully.	21
7. Globalization and the neighborhood trial lawyer.	22
B. Investigate the Case Personally	24
1. Visit the site	25
a. The <i>Missouri Pacific</i> case	25
2. Visit the city	29
C. Nonparty Witnesses: Tips, Tactics, and Precautions to Take	30
1. Encourage the witness to give voice to her concerns	30
2. Never meet with a witness alone	31
3. When possible, have the witness write up her statement—not you	31
4. Reluctant witnesses may be walking red flags.	32
5. Willing witnesses can be dangerous, too.	32
6. Take the risk to get the testimony.	33
D. Google the Witness’s Name and See What Pops Up	33
IV. Formal Discovery	34
A. It’s All about Summary Judgment	34
1. The judge is now your jury	36
B. Taking Depositions	37
1. Do not choose between “destructive” and “informational” depositions	37
2. Ask questions using words and phrases of the questions the jury will have to answer	38

- a. *Uribe v. E-Systems*: proof of the elements of course and scope when the employee is not at work 40
- C. Preparing Clients and Key Witnesses for Deposition 47
 - 1. Make certain your witnesses know that deposition testimony is trial testimony 47
 - 2. Clients and key witnesses must know their part of the case 48
 - 3. Witnesses must give direct answers 49
 - 4. Listen carefully to the question—especially the premise. 49
 - 5. Listen carefully to the question—and pause before answering 50
 - 6. Avoid certainty unless the witness is absolutely certain 50
 - 7. Ignore opposing counsel’s demeaning looks and comments 51
 - 8. Explain the things the witness can do to protect herself 51
 - 9. Remove the mystery to reduce the tension 52
- D. Special Advice for Lawyers 53
 - 1. Rehearsal should be rough. 53
 - 2. The new normal: you may not want to reserve your questions 53
 - 3. The lawyer as stagehand: make sure the scene looks good 54
 - 4. Reassure the witness that she will do well 54
- E. Be a Bulldog about Document Production. 54
 - 1. Heresy in the courthouse: Joe Jamail did not file motions to compel 56
- F. Let Them Eat Tape 56
 - 1. Effective impeachment 56
 - a. Act the part 56
 - b. Poison the well before the witness takes the stand 57
 - 2. Edit from the tape, not from the transcript. 57
 - 3. Game changers: inaccurate excerpts. 58
- V. Diversity Sends its own Tailored Message. 61

Chapter 3
Jury Studies and Graphics **63**

- I. The Importance of “Trial Science” 65
- II. The Goals of Trial “Science” 65
- III. Everything You Need to Know About Jury Studies 65
 - A. Understanding Focus Groups and Mock Trials 65
 - 1. Safeguards to ensure a *valid* procedure and *reliable* result. 66
 - a. Put your thumb on the scale: Weight the jury study against your client 67
 - b. Move the needle in your direction: “If you had known” 68
 - c. Nuclear winter in Matagorda County. 68
 - 2. Uncover compelling themes 69
 - 3. Open your eyes 70
 - 4. Develop a juror profile 75
 - B. Unplugged: Joe Jamail and the Acoustic Juror Profile 77
 - C. When You Can’t Pay the Freight 77
 - 1. Modified jury studies 77
 - 2. Focus groups without the panelists 78
 - 3. Focus groups without the experts. 79
 - 4. Enlist academics. 79
 - D. Nontraditional Uses of Forensic (and Other) Help 79
 - 1. Focus-group a friend 79
 - 2. Mock everything 81
 - 3. Vetting questions about representation. 82
 - 4. Vetting questions about venue 82
 - E. A Word of Caution 83
- IV. Some Thoughts on Graphics 83
 - A. The Courtroom as Classroom 83
 - 1. The easiest cases to illustrate 84
 - 2. Making commercial cases accessible. 84
 - 3. Don’t overspend. 85
 - 4. Graphics must be 100 percent accurate 86
 - 5. Test-drive your graphics. 86
 - 6. Adjustments on the fly 87
 - B. Overkill. 87

Chapter 4
The Pennzoil Primer with Cliff Notes **89**

- I. Some Opening Thoughts on *Pennzoil v. Texaco* 91
 - A. The Basics of the *Pennzoil* Case 92
 - 1. The memorandum of agreement. 93
 - 2. The Getty Oil Company board approves the “Pennzoil Plan” by a vote of 15–1 94
 - 3. The January 4 press releases announcing an “agreement in principle”. 95
 - 4. Texaco makes its move. 96
 - B. Pennzoil’s Sole Claim. 96
 - C. Texaco’s Twofold Strategy 97
 - D. Pennzoil’s Monster Damages 98
 - E. A Side Battle: If a Delaware Chancellor Finds That Pennzoil Probably Had a Contract. 100
- II. Key Documents 101
 - A. 1/2/84: The Memorandum of Agreement 101
 - B. 1/4/84: The Identical Pennzoil–Getty Oil Press Releases . . . 101
 - C. 1/2–3/84: Getty Oil G.C. David Copley’s Notes/ Minutes of the Getty Oil Board Meeting 102
 - D. 1/2/84: The “Dear Hugh” Letter 102
 - E. 1/4–1/5/84 Lynch Notes 102
 - F. 1/5/84: The Martin Siegel Affidavit 102
- III. Cast of Characters: Witnesses and Trial Teams. 102
- IV. The Cliff Notes 104
 - A. The Pennzoil–Texaco Timeline 104
- Appendix A: “Hot Docs” in *Pennzoil v. Texaco*. 106

Chapter 5
Voir Diring for Dollars **137**

- I. The Importance of Voir Dire 141
- II. The Goals of Lawyer Voir Dire 141
 - A. Successful Challenges for Cause 141
 - B. Committing Panelists to Your Client’s Case and Cause . . . 142
- III. More of Everything: Crucial Motions in Voir Dire 143
 - A. Overcoming Obstacles to Effective Voir Dire. 143

- B. Attacking Restrictions on Voir Dire:
 - Five Motions That Can Make a Difference 143
 - 1. For lawyer (individual) voir dire 143
 - 2. For a jury questionnaire 145
 - 3. For additional time 146
 - 4. For additional questions. 146
 - 5. To renew challenges for cause 146
- IV. Process and Procedure During Voir Dire. 146**
 - A. The Two Basic Methods of Lawyer Voir Dire 146
 - 1. Questioning panelists one-at-a-time during voir dire 147
 - 2. “Full Panel” voir dire: questioning the venire where they were seated 148
 - 3. Author’s note to lawyers new to the art of jury selection 148
 - 4. Assumptions about the panel in this section. 149
 - B. Jury Charts: The Diagnostic Tools of Jury Selection. 149
 - 1. Put the chart to work immediately 150
 - 2. Never take notes during your own voir dire. 151
 - 3. Put other eyes and ears on the panelists 151
 - 4. Once the panel is seated: hardship and statutory disqualifications 152
 - 5. Mark an X through panelists dismissed for any reason 153
 - 6. Mandatory math: How many panelists are needed to fill the jury? 154
 - 7. An inherent problem: The last panelist eligible for jury duty is an unknown. 154
 - 8. “Question mark panelists” and something of a solution. 155
 - 9. Move for additional time 156
 - 10. Increase your time for voir dire—without asking the judge 157
 - 11. Minute by minute, row by row 157
- V. Questioning and Persuading**
 - During Voir Dire 158**
 - A. Take Real Command of the Courtroom 158
 - B. Six Steps to Successful Voir Dire 159

- 1. Put the panelists at ease 160
- 2. Humanize your clients 163
 - a. Nuclear scientists with pocket protectors 164
- 3. Ask questions of the panel as a whole 167
 - a. Ask open-ended questions 168
 - i. Loop answers into alliances 170
 - ii. Test the reliability of answers. 171
 - b. Link panelists' lives to your client's
and his cause 171
 - i. Linkage and the law 171
 - ii. Linkage and your themes. 173
 - iii. Linkage and your worst weaknesses;
a case study for the defense 175
 - c. Create challenges for cause. 177
 - i. Flush out unacceptable panelists,
all at once 178
 - ii. Flush out unacceptable panelists,
one at a time 179
 - d. Prod unresponsive panels 180
- 4. Ask questions of individual jurors 181
 - a. Don't accept silence 181
 - b. Question panelists who raised concerns 182
 - c. Head fakes 182
 - d. Nominate the "presiding juror" 183
 - e. Make a motion to ask additional questions 184
- 5. Disqualify panelists for cause. 185
 - a. The standard for disqualification for cause 185
 - b. Eliminating panelists at the bench. 185
 - i. Praise the panelist's candor 186
 - ii. Make disqualification commendable 186
 - iii. Get agreement on what the
panelist said. 187
 - iv. Elevate what was said to a matter
of principle 187
 - v. Elevate the principle to
a disqualifying opinion 188
 - vi. Preempt rehabilitation 189
 - vii. Obtain everyone's agreement 190

- VI. The Highest and Best Use of Peremptory Strikes:
 - The Art of “Striking” a Great Jury 191
 - A. Organization and Efficiency While Eliminating Panelists 191
 - 1. “Full Panel” jury selection 191
 - 2. Striking jurors one-by-one 192
 - 3. Jury selection is not a team sport 192
 - B. Eight Rules for Striking a Great Jury 193
 - 1. Consult with your clients in front of the venire 193
 - 2. Refine your ranking: Compare and contrast unwanted panelists. 194
 - 3. Identify your opponent’s likely strikes 195
 - 4. Limit strategic back-strikes 196
 - 5. Avoid double-strikes. 197
 - 6. Forfeit a strike 197
 - 7. Move to renew challenges for cause 198
 - 8. Trust your instincts 199
- VII. Why Joe Jamail Only Won a \$10.53 Billion Verdict in *Pennzoil* 200
 - A. Jamail’s Mystical Connection with People 200
 - 1. Going retro: Questions that commit panelists to a verdict for your client 200
 - a. The “hot docs” questions 202
 - b. The four questions: deconstructing retro voir dire 204
 - i. Questions that educate 205
 - ii. Questions that condition 205
 - iii. Questions that commit 206
 - iv. Questions that select (litmus-test questions) 206
 - B. Dick Miller: Righting the Ship in Record Time 206
 - 1. An unforced error 207
- VIII. Voir Dire in Handcuffs 208
 - A. Zip Disk Voir Dire: Time Limits 208
 - B. Emergency Room Voir Dire: When the Judge Asks the Questions. 210
 - 1. The hurry-up offense in the courtroom 210
 - 2. Getting a leg up on the opposition 211
 - 3. Online investigation: a bonanza for jury selection 212

IX. When They are Seated in the Box 213
 Appendix A: Voir Dire Checklist 214
 Appendix B: Sample Juror Questionnaire 216

Chapter 6
Opening Argument **221**

I. The Importance of Opening Argument 223
 II. The Goals of Opening Argument 223
 A. Trial Lawyers Must Be Good Storytellers 223
 B. Cicero Is Not Just a City Near Chicago 224
 III. Delivery 224
 A. Strike the Right Tone 224
 1. Jurors give verdicts to people they like 225
 2. Speak with conviction 226
 B. Nonverbal Communication 226
 1. Make eye contact 227
 2. Stand still 227
 3. Be quiet 227
 4. Gesture 228
 5. Power ties and other urban legends 228
 C. Watch Your Words 229
 1. Keep it simple 229
 2. Use forceful words 229
 3. Analogize 230
 D. The Trial Lawyer’s Teaching Tools 230
 1. Streamline timelines 231
 2. Less is always more 231
 IV. Essentials in Opening Argument 232
 A. Never Bury Your Lead 232
 1. Leora Ben-Ami’s pithy message 232
 2. The fire chief under fire 233
 B. Inoculate Your Argument Against Objections 233
 C. Never Overpromise 234
 D. Make No Mistakes About Major Matters 234
 V. Organization for Every Argument 235
 A. Establish Common Ground Between Jurors
 and Clients: ETHOS 235
 1. Iranian fugitives in an American court 236

- B. Appeal to the Jurors' Intelligence and Common Sense: LOGOS 238
 - 1. Use your draft jury questions 239
 - 2. Set the agenda: the battle over the skull-shaped bottles 239
 - a. The defense responds 245
 - 3. Simplify the evidence 247
 - 4. A crucial lesson: Embrace and explain your own bad facts 249
 - a. A tangled web in *Westinghouse* 250
 - 5. John Jeffers and Benjamin Disraeli: punching back in *Pennzoil* 251
 - a. Pull the teeth: Leave nothing but the gums 251
 - b. Humanize, don't canonize 255
- C. Make Jurors Care: PATHOS 257
 - 1. Take your themes to the next level 257
 - 2. Make the jurors mad 258
 - 3. Know when to quit 261
- D. Fructose. 261

Chapter 7

Preparing and Presenting Witnesses 263

- I. The [Obvious] Importance of Credible Witnesses 266
- II. Goals for Witnesses and Lawyers on Direct and Cross 266
- III. Preparing Witnesses (and Lawyers) for Direct. 266
 - A. Witnesses on Direct 266
 - 1. Put witnesses at ease 267
 - 2. Let them be themselves. 267
 - 3. Dig deep with difficult people 269
 - 4. Encourage appropriate nonverbal communication 271
 - B. Lawyers During Direct 272
 - 1. Simplify the story 272
 - 2. The story should be about relationships. 273
 - a. In a cow pasture with a father and his son 273
 - 3. Disappear on direct (when you can). 274
 - 4. Dominate direct (when you have to) 275

5. Humanize witnesses	277
a. Getting to know Hugh	278
b. Law, like life, is all about relationships	279
6. Pull the teeth out of cross	280
a. Example 1: when your client gets caught lying . . .	281
b. Example 2: winning the culture war in the courtroom.	282
i. Better than graphics: an artist and his images	284
ii. Through an artist’s eyes: a trip to Mexico . . .	286
iii. The artist at work	290
iv. The defendants attack success	291
v. Redirect: pulling the trigger on a trap	293
7. Don’t overreact	294
8. Ask questions the witness doesn’t know are coming	295
9. Tailor testimony to the leaders (rarely).	297
C. Experts	298
1. <i>Daubert and Kumho Tire</i> : the high cost of justice in modern American courts	298
a. Get what you pay for: Prepare all experts to meet the <i>Daubert</i> standards—and then some . . .	299
b. Pennzoil’s “dream witness”: an expert who refused a fee	301
c. Experts must cooperate	304
d. Experts must communicate.	305
e. Experts must not advocate	306
2. Dan Aykroyd takes the stand: the lay witness as expert witness	307
a. Kathryn Berg and the tilted spout.	307
b. <i>Inside the Actors Studio</i> comes to the courthouse	308
c. The caps	310
d. The ashtray	312
e. The measurements	314
f. The law	315
g. The unshakeable expert on cross	316
D. A Difficult Call: Should the Defense Put on a Damage Expert?	318

IV. Preparing Witnesses for Cross-Examination	319
A. Witnesses Should Do More Than Survive	319
1. Rehearsal should be rough	320
2. Witnesses must know their parts of the case.	320
3. Witnesses must give direct answers	320
4. The most dangerous point in every trial	322
5. Witnesses are on their own on cross.	324
6. Witnesses must be honest but never passive	324
7. <i>The Natural</i> : Arthur Liman under cross in <i>Pennzoil</i>	325
a. A digression: transactional advice for trial lawyers	329
B. Witnesses Should Be Opportunists.	329
1. Adverse witnesses must get the defendant's story told	329
2. All witnesses—especially for the defense— must lie in wait	331
3. Witnesses should not anticipate questions	332
4. Witnesses at their best: at home in the witness chair	332
V. Redirect	335
A. Come Out Smokin'	335
1. A superb redirect: Irv Terrell and Arthur Liman in sync.	336
B. Quit While You Are Ahead. Quit While You Are Behind	338
VI. Tips, Tactics, and Strategies	339
A. The Order of Witnesses	339
1. The plaintiff's order	339
2. The first witness effect	341
3. Call your next	343
4. The defendant's disorder	344
B. Just Before Your Client Takes the Stand.	344
C. The First Days of Trial.	345
1. Nothing happens in a vacuum: Create a seamless transition from opening argument to the first witness.	345

- 2. Make objections that matter 348
 - a. Objections are not the first option 348
 - b. Objections should make sense to the jury 349
 - c. Good objections gone bad 349
- 3. Two objections that can make a difference. 351
 - a. Unresponsive 351
 - b. Rule 106: optional completeness 352
- 4. Motions for mistrials 352
- D. Witnesses and the Judge 353
 - 1. When the judge cross-examines 353
 - 2. When the judge gets mad 354
 - 3. When the witness rules on objections. 354
- E. When Your Witness Craters on the Stand 355

Chapter 8

Killer Cross

357

- I. The Importance of Cross-Examination. 360
- II. The Goals of Cross-Examination 360
- III. The Fundamentals of Cross-Examination. 361
 - A. The Gateway to Successful Cross 361
 - 1. Keep a calm mind 362
 - 2. Know your case cold 362
 - 3. Listen to every answer 362
 - 4. Set some cross in stone. 363
 - 5. Set aside the set pieces (go rogue). 364
 - 6. Formulate follow-up 364
 - 7. Review evidence through trial eyes. 366
 - 8. If you don't need to cross, don't cross 366
 - B. Don't Forget the Jury. 366
 - 1. Never bury your lead 366
 - 2. Do not get ahead of jurors emotionally 367
 - 3. Stay under the witness emotionally 367
 - 4. Let jurors know where you're headed next 368
 - 5. Answer the jury questions for the jurors 368
 - 6. Include jurors in your anger and indignation 369
 - 7. Cross *about* documents, not *out* of them 369
 - 8. Keep cross brief, or at least, keep it interesting. 370

IV. Becoming a Master	371
A. A Premise for All Cross-Examination: Admissions and the Elements	372
1. Prior inconsistent statements: the cross- examination of KAH Tequila’s Kim Brandi	372
a. Denying the undeniable: witnesses at their least credible	373
b. Moving in for the kill: witnesses at their most vulnerable	375
2. Take the adverse witness at his word: Jamail’s brutal cross of Texaco chairman John McKinley	377
a. Proving <i>knowing</i> interference: What did McKinley know, and when did he know it?	378
b. Proving <i>interference</i> itself: the “but for” world of personal injury (“sore back”) lawyers	379
3. Honesty and irony in hypotheticals	382
a. Apply Jamail’s hypotheticals in all manner of cases	384
B. Control Unresponsive Witnesses	385
1. Insist on the answer to your question	386
2. Never ignore an unresponsive answer	386
3. Four categories of unresponsive answers	386
i. Speeches	387
ii. Recasting your questions	388
iii. Quibbling	388
iv. (Never ignore) offers to explain	391
4. Enlist the judge	392
C. Gore the Witness When You have Got the Goods: Inconsistent Statements, Admissions, and Dirt You Dig up	392
1. Demand documents the witness reviewed before testifying	392
2. Ask questions when you know the answers	396
3. Take nothing for granted: Perry Mason at the Crystal Head trial	396
a. Not even death is certain	396
b. Tattoo artist Buddy: some ugly truths about Ms. Brandi and her bottle	399

- D. Cross Like a Criminal Lawyer (“Blind Cross”) 400
 - 1. Cross the whole person 401
 - 2. Take the witness at his word and his word to extremes 402
 - 3. The best example ever of blind cross 403
 - 4. Use gestures and silence 404
 - 5. Ask questions when you do not know the answers 404
- E. Do Not Let Cross Get Dull 406
 - 1. The paradox of responsive answers 406
 - 2. Undoing the paradox: Shun Irving Younger 407
 - a. Ask open-ended questions (like “why?”) 408
 - b. Ask one too many questions (“seal off the exits”) 410
 - c. Befriend adverse witnesses (if you can) 414
 - d. Make cross memorable. 416
- V. Cross-Examining Experts 417
 - A. There Is Rarely an Expert You Can’t Impeach 417
 - B. Build Your Cross around the Expert Pyramid 419
 - 1. Attack the expert’s credentials 420
 - a. Take the expert witness on voir dire 420
 - b. Never take an expert’s resume at face value 420
 - c. Show the expert lacks the relevant expertise. 423
 - 2. Attack the expert’s objectivity 424
 - a. Highlight excessive fees 424
 - b. Reveal the expert as a captive witness. 425
 - 3. Attack reliability and relevance: the *Daubert* world 425
 - a. Get experts under oath as often as possible 425
 - b. Undermine the underpinnings—and the opinion itself 426
 - c. Let the air out of subjective determinations: *Kuhmo Tire*. 427
 - d. Garbage in, garbage out 428
 - e. “Would that mine enemy had written a book” 430
 - 4. Nail down every opinion 431

VI. Jamail's Most Effective Strategy 431

A. Who's on First? 431

 1. A case in point: *Donald Eubanks v. Hughes*
 Drilling Fluids, Inc. 432

 2. The subpoena *duces tecum* as motion to compel . . . 433

Appendix A: The jury verdict in *Globefill v. Elements*
 and Kim Brandi 439

Appendix B: Jury instructions in *Pennzoil v. Texaco* 441

Chapter 9

It Is Never Too Late to Win—or Lose 447

I. The Importance of Closing Argument 449

II. The Goals of Closing Argument 449

III. Essentials in Closing Argument 450

A. The Added Ingredient of Closing Argument: Passion . . . 450

 1. Hyperbole 450

 2. Metaphor 451

 3. Allusions 452

B. Arm Your Friends/Disarm Your Enemies 453

C. Empower the Jury 454

 1. Remind them how they got there 454

 2. Playing the bigotry card 455

 3. Nullify the jury (just not the one
 in the courtroom). 455

 4. Empower a particular juror 456

D. Use Demonstratives and Exhibits Sparingly 458

IV. Make Arguments That Can Change Minds 459

A. Elements of Arguments that Actually
 Made a Difference 460

 1. Betrayal in the family 460

 2. Betrayal of the jury 460

 3. Emotion if it is real 462

 4. Admissions when they actually make
 a difference 465

 5. Rhetorical questions when they
 are telling 466

 6. Argument without words 469

B. Arguing Jury Instructions: Your Voice,
the Judge’s Words 470

1. Miller disputes the judge’s very own words:
“agreement” versus “contract” in the
instructions and jury questions. 470
2. A key instruction in *Pennzoil*: good faith
in negotiations 471
3. A killer instruction: distinctiveness and the
skull-shaped bottle. 472
4. Merging jury instructions and jury questions:
knowing interference and punitive damages. 474

C. Put It in Writing: Answer the Jury Questions
for Them 474

D. Take Opponents at Their Word 476

E. Tell the Jury Precisely What You Want:
Jamail Asks for Jillions 477

- a. Jamail is clear about actual damages,
down to the last dollar 477
- b. Jamail is clear about punitive damages,
down to the last dollar 478
- c. The *Pennzoil* jury returns 479

F. Closing Arguments in Crystal Head Vodka 480

1. The law’s own circular firing squad:
ad hominin attacks. 480
2. Running away from hard facts. 482
3. An exhortation to do the right thing 483
4. The jury returns 484

Epilogue. 485

Index 487

About the Author. 000