

Contents

About the Editor	xxiii
About the Contributors	xxv
Introduction	lvii

PART I

Claims and Defenses 1

CHAPTER ONE

Air Carrier Liability for Passenger Injury or Death Occurring during International Carriage by Air: An Overview of the Montreal Convention of 1999 3

ANDREW J. HARAKAS

I. The Warsaw Convention System of Liability	3
A. The Warsaw Convention of 1929	6
B. The Amendments and Supplements to the Warsaw Convention	7
II. The Montreal Convention of 1999	10
A. Article 1—Scope of Application	11
B. Article 3—Passenger Documentation	15
C. Article 17.1—Death and Injury of Passengers	16
1. “Accident”	17
2. “Bodily Injury”	23
3. “On Board the Aircraft” or “Embarking or Disembarking”	24
D. Article 20—Contributory/Comparative Fault	25
E. Article 21—Compensation in Case of Death or Bodily Injury	26
F. Article 28—Advance Payments	27
G. Article 29—Basis of Claim/Exclusivity/Damages	27
1. Basis of Claim/Exclusivity	28
2. Recoverable Damages and Claimants	29
H. Article 30—Application of the Montreal Convention to Servants and Agents	30
I. Article 33—Jurisdiction	31
1. The Domicile and Principal Place of Business of the Carrier	32
2. The Place of Business through Which the Contract Has Been Made	32

3. The Place of Destination	33
4. The Principal and Permanent Residence of the Passenger (for Injury/Death Actions Only)	33
5. Forum Non Conveniens	34
6. Personal Jurisdiction	35
J. Article 35—Period of Limitations	35
K. Article 36—Successive Carriage	36
L. Article 37—Right of Recourse against Third Parties	37
M. Articles 39–48—Carriage by Air Performed by a Person Other Than the Contracting Carrier	38

CHAPTER TWO

Practical Case Considerations for the Plaintiff's Aviation Attorney **43**

ROBERT J. SPRAGG

I. Being Retained by Clients	43
II. Crash of Airliner versus Private Aircraft: Liability Considerations	44
III. Domestic Crash versus Foreign Crash	45
IV. Determining Defendants	48
V. Choosing a Forum: What Law Governs a Case?	49
VI. International Flights: The Montreal Convention Treaty	50
VII. Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act (FSIA)	52
VIII. General Aviation Revitalization Act (GARA)	52
IX. Suing the United States Government	53
X. Conclusion	53

CHAPTER THREE

Preemption of State and Local Aviation Law **55**

JEFFREY J. ELLIS

I. Introduction	55
II. The U.S. Constitution and Supreme Court Case Law Provide the Legal Construct for Any Preemption Analysis	57
III. The Supreme Court Has Repeatedly Recognized That Congress Intended to Regulate Aviation Exclusively at the Federal Level	58
IV. The Legislative History for Federal Aviation Legislation Has Always Indicated a Desire for Uniform Federal Regulation with No Safety Oversight Role Reserved for the Individual States	60
V. Courts That Acknowledge Congress's Intent to Establish an Exclusively Federal Regulatory Regime Require a Violation of Federal Standards in Order to Establish Liability	61
VI. The Contention That State Common Law Can Be Used to Establish "Higher" Standards for Aviation Safety Is Difficult to Support	67
A. The 1978 ADA Has No Regulatory Impact on Aviation Safety Standards	68

B. The Remedies Savings Clause in the 1958 Act Does Not Void Clear Congressional Intent to Establish an Exclusively Federal Scheme of Regulation	68
C. The Use of the Term “Minimum Standards” in the 1958 Act Does Not Mean or Imply That Congress Intended to Allow the States to Supplement and/or Enforce Purportedly “Higher” State Law Standards	70
D. The Nature of Aviation Is Such That There Should Be No Beginning Presumption against Preemption	71
VII. Preemption in Practice	72
VIII. Conclusion	78

CHAPTER FOUR

Unruly Passenger Litigation under Domestic and International Law **79**

WILLIAM V. O’CONNOR, ELLEN NUDELMAN ADLER, AND DEAN SEIF ATYIA

I. Domestic Law and Applications to Unruly Passenger Incidents	80
A. Permissive Refusal under 49 U.S.C. § 44902(b)	80
B. Reporting under 49 U.S.C. § 44941(b)	82
II. International Law and Application to Unruly Passenger Incidents	83
A. The Tokyo Convention Text	83
1. <i>Zikry v. Air Canada</i>	84
2. <i>Eid v. Alaska Airlines</i>	85
B. The Montreal Protocol of 2014	87
III. Conclusion	88

CHAPTER FIVE

Prosecuting and Defending a Product Liability Action against an Aircraft or Component Manufacturer **91**

ROBERT A. MILLER AND BENJAMIN M. WATSON

I. Introduction	91
II. Investigating the Accident	92
III. Forum Selection and Choice of Law	93
A. Forum Selection and Personal Jurisdiction	94
B. Choice of Law	96
IV. Statutes of Limitation and Repose	97
V. Product Liability Causes of Action	99
A. Negligence	99
B. Strict Liability	100
C. Breach of Warranty	102
1. Express Warranties	102
2. Implied Warranty of Merchantability	103

VI. Effectively Utilizing and Defending against Expert Testimony	104
A. Experience and Qualifications	105
B. Reliability	106
VII. Conclusion	109

CHAPTER SIX

Emergency Response and NTSB Form 6120.1: At the Intersection of Tort, Coverage, and Regulatory Litigation **111**

PAUL A. LANGE

I. Overview of NTSB 6120.1 Form	111
II. Why Is the 6120.1 Form Problematic?	113
III. When Is a 6120.1 Form Required to Be Completed?	114
A. Serious Injury	115
B. Substantial Damage	115
IV. Importance of the 6120.1 Form	115
A. Who Completes the Form?	116
B. Potential Ramifications of Completing the 6120.1 Form Incorrectly	117
V. What Parties Should Do When Faced with Completing the 6120.1 Form	117
VI. What to Expect after Submission of the 6120.1 Form to the NTSB	118
A. CVR Audition	118
VII. Legal Issues That the ERP Should Address	119
A. Statements	120
B. Common Problems	121
VIII. Conclusion	121

CHAPTER SEVEN

Navigating the General Aviation Revitalization Act of 1994 **123**

OLIVER BEIERSDORF

I. Introduction	123
II. Overview of the GARA Statute	124
A. Application of the Statute of Repose	124
B. Statutory Exceptions to the Statute of Repose	126
III. Notable Issues Arising under GARA	127
A. Application of the “Rolling” Exception to GARA	127
B. What Is a “Part” under GARA	129
C. Proving the Fraud Exception to GARA	130
IV. Conclusion	131

CHAPTER EIGHT**Litigating with the U.S. Government:
The Discretionary Function Exception in Aviation Cases 133**

BARRY F. BENSON

I. Introduction	133
II. Overview of the FTCA and the Discretionary Function Exception	134
III. Recent Aviation Cases Involving the Discretionary Function Exception	137
A. Weather	137
B. Wake Turbulence	140
C. Radar Systems	142
D. Inspection and Certification	143
E. Independent Contractors	145
IV. Conclusion	147

CHAPTER NINE**Litigating the Aviation Maintenance Case 149**

DANE B. JAQUES AND MATTHEW J. CLARK

I. Introduction	149
II. Key Theories of Liability against MROs	149
A. MRO Negligence	150
1. Minimum Standard of Care and the Service Bulletin Dilemma	153
2. Negligence Per Se	155
3. FAR Violations as Negligence Per Se versus Evidence of Negligence	157
B. Strict Liability against Maintenance Providers	158
1. When Is a Maintenance Provider a “Manufacturer”?	159
2. Hybrid Sales-Service Transactions	163
C. Breach of Warranty	166
1. Express and Implied Warranties	166
2. Warranties and the Hybrid Sale-Service Transaction	168
3. Public Policy Considerations	168
III. MRO Key Defense Theories	170
A. Limitations of Liability	170
B. The Economic Loss Doctrine	171
IV. Getting Discovery Right: Knowing What to Ask For	174
A. FAR Recordkeeping Requirements	174
1. Owner and Operator Maintenance Records	175
2. Individual and MRO Maintenance Records	176
B. Key Maintenance Documents	177
1. Sources of Approved and Accepted Maintenance Practices	177
2. Repair and Overhaul Records	178
3. Service Difficulty Reports	179
4. Audits, Investigations, and Enforcement Action Records	180
5. Other Miscellaneous Records	180
V. Conclusion	181

CHAPTER TEN**Defending Claims against Ground Service Providers 183**

ROBERTA MIRANDA

I. Introduction	183
II. State or Federal Court?	183
III. Tender of Defense and Indemnification Disputes	184
IV. Available Defenses	188
A. The 1999 Montreal Convention	189
B. Preemption	193
C. Economic Loss Doctrine	198
D. A Service Provider May Be Able to Successfully Argue That It Is Not Liable to Third Parties with Whom the Service Provider Has No Contractual Relationship	202
V. Expired Ground-Handling Contract	203
VI. Conclusion	204

CHAPTER ELEVEN**The Government Contractor Defense
in Aviation Litigation 205**

STEVEN S. BELL, MICHAEL E. SCOVILLE, DAVID G. LASSEN, AND SARA E. BAYNARD-COOKE

I. Introduction	205
II. <i>Boyle v. United Technologies Corp.</i>	206
III. <i>Boyle's</i> Three Prongs	207
IV. <i>Boyle</i> in Practice	208
A. First Prong: USG Approval of Reasonably Precise Specifications	208
B. Second Prong: Conformance to Specifications	212
C. Third Prong: Warning the USG	214
V. Failure to Warn Claims under the GCD	215
VI. Conclusion	216

CHAPTER TWELVE**Liability of Owners/Lessors and Negligent Entrustment 217**

CHRISTOPHER R. BARTH AND MATTHEW J. KALAS

I. Introduction	217
II. Plaintiff's Claims against Owners/Lessors	218
A. Negligent Entrustment	218
B. Bailment	221
C. Other Claims	221
1. Products Liability—Defective Product	221
2. Products Liability—Failure to Warn	223
3. Breach of Warranty	223
4. Negligent Supervision	224
5. State Statutory Liability	225

III. Lessor’s Defenses	225
A. Federal Aviation Act—49 U.S.C. § 44112	225
1. Statutory History	226
2. Variation in Federal versus State Interpretations	227
B. Lack of Operator Negligence	230
C. Act of State/Political Question/Lack of Judicially Identifiable Standard of Care	230
D. No Breach of Warranty Claims under Aircraft Lease	233
E. No Bailment Created	234

CHAPTER THIRTEEN

Defending Air Carriers against Claims Involving Parental Child Abductions **235**

CHRISTOPHER CARLSEN

I. Introduction	235
II. Government Efforts to Prevent Parental Child Abductions	235
III. Challenges Faced by Air Carriers	238
IV. The Reported Decisions	238
V. Discussion of the Legal Defenses Available to Air Carriers	242
A. Preemption under the Airline Deregulation Act of 1978	242
B. Preemption under the Montreal Convention	244
1. The Elements of a Claim Governed by the Montreal Convention	244
2. Preemption of the Claims Asserted on Behalf of the Minor Children	246
3. Preemption of the Left-Behind Parent’s Claims	247
C. The Absence of a Duty Owed by the Carrier	248
VI. Conclusion	250

CHAPTER FOURTEEN

Class Action Litigation **251**

CHRIS R. CHRISTENSEN AND ANTHONY U. BATTISTA

I. Introduction	251
II. Background	251
A. Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	251
B. Pros and Cons of Class Actions	252
III. Defending the Airline Class Action: Identifying Common Issues and Possible Defenses	253
A. Contract Language as a Defense	253
1. Contract Modification Clauses	254
2. ADA Preemption	255
B. The Challenges of Litigating Antitrust Class Actions against Airlines	258
1. <i>In Re Air Cargo Shipping Services Litigation</i>	259
2. <i>In Re Transpacific Passenger Air Transportation Litigation</i>	260

C. Baggage Class Actions Come in Many Varieties	262
1. Lost, Damaged, or Delayed Baggage	262
2. Fees for Lost Baggage and Intentional Baggage Delays	263
3. Alleged Price-Fixing of Baggage Fees	265
D. Litigating Foreign Consumer Protection Claims in U.S. Class Action Litigation	267
1. EU 261	268
2. U.S. Passengers' Pursuit of EU 261 Compensation in U.S. Class Action Litigation	269
3. EU 261 Class Actions in the European Union?	275
E. The Class Certification Requirement of Commonality and Claims for Montreal Convention Delay Damages Arising from Multiple Flights Disruptions	275
F. The Emergence of Class Action Waiver Provisions in Consumer Contracts	277
IV. Conclusion	278

CHAPTER FIFTEEN

Complete Preemption and the Montreal Convention: Ending the Decades-Long Debate **279**

PHILIP WEISSMAN

I. An Introduction to the Warsaw and Montreal Conventions	279
II. Removal and the Doctrine of Complete Preemption	283
III. United States Case Law Addressing Warsaw/Montreal Convention Complete Preemption	286
A. Case Law Finding Complete Preemption	287
B. Case Law Finding No Complete Preemption	293
IV. Courts Should Find the Montreal Convention to Completely Preempt State-Law Claims	293
V. Conclusion	297

CHAPTER SIXTEEN

The Economic Loss Rule and Aviation Accident Litigation **299**

THAD T. DAMERIS, DAVID J. WEINER

I. Introduction	299
II. The Economic Loss Rule: The Supreme Court Defines the Rule	300
III. "Other Property"	302
IV. Privity of Contract, Noncommercial Purchasers, and Bargaining Power	305
V. Injury to Third Parties and Damage to Property Belonging to Third Parties	309
VI. Service Contracts	310
VII. Other Exceptions to the Economic Loss Rule	312
VIII. Conclusion	313

PART II**Jurisdiction, Venue, and Applicable Law 315****CHAPTER SEVENTEEN****The Doctrine of *Forum Non Conveniens*: Its Historical Application to Aviation Accident Litigation and a Preview of Its Future Viability 317**

J. THOMPSON THORNTON

I. Introduction	317
II. The Evolution of the Doctrine of <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i>	317
III. <i>Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno</i> and Its Progeny	319
IV. The Motion to Dismiss	321
A. The Adequate Alternative Forum Analysis	322
B. The Private Interest Factors	323
C. The Public Interest Factors	326
V. Recent Cases: <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> in the Age of the Montreal Convention	329
A. The Warsaw Convention: <i>Hosaka v. United Airlines</i>	329
B. The Montreal Convention: <i>In re West Caribbean Airways, S.A.</i> ; <i>In Re Air Cover Mid-Atlantic on June 1, 2009</i> ; and <i>Tazoe v. Airbus, S.A.S.</i>	330
1. <i>In re West Caribbean, S.A.</i>	330
2. <i>In re Air Crash over the Mid-Atlantic on June 1, 2009</i>	332
3. <i>Tazoe v. Airbus, S.A.S.</i>	333
VI. Attempts to Return to the United States	334
A. <i>In re West Caribbean, Redux</i>	334
VII. Cases for and Cases against Dismissal	337
A. <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> Granted: Cases for Dismissal	337
1. <i>In re Disaster at Riyadh Airport, Saudi Arabia on August 19, 1980</i>	337
2. <i>Ahmed v. Boeing Co.</i>	338
3. <i>Boskoff v. Transportes Aeros Portugeses</i>	338
4. <i>Jennings v. Boeing Co.</i>	339
5. <i>Leon v. Millon Air</i>	339
6. <i>Satz v. McDonnell Douglas Corp.</i>	340
7. <i>King v. Cessna Aircraft Co.</i>	340
8. <i>In re Air Crash near Peixoto De Azeveda, Brazil,</i> <i>on September 29, 2006</i>	341
B. <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> Denied: Cases against Dismissal	341
1. <i>Tokio Marine & Fire Insurance Co. v. Bell Helicopter Textron</i>	341
2. <i>In re Air Crash near Bombay, India, on January 1, 1978</i>	342
3. <i>In re Air Crash Disaster near New Orleans, Louisiana on July 9, 1982</i>	342
4. <i>In re Air Crash Disaster off Long Island, New York, on July 17, 1996</i>	342
5. <i>In re Air Disaster near Palembang, Indonesia, on December 19, 1999</i>	343
VIII. State versus Federal Procedure: The Differences May Not Be So Subtle!	343

CHAPTER EIGHTEEN**The Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act:
A History of Restrictive Immunity****349**

DEBORAH A. ELSASSER

I. Introduction	349
II. The Statutory Framework	350
III. The Agency or Instrumentality Determination	351
A. Direct Ownership	351
B. Political Subdivision or Organ of a Foreign State	353
IV. Exceptions to Immunity	354
A. Waiver	355
B. Commercial Activity	357
C. Noncommercial Torts	360
D. State-Sponsored Terrorism under 1605A	361
V. Procedural Ramifications	364
A. Service of Process and Time to Answer	364
B. Right to Remove to Federal Court	364
C. Right to a Non-Jury Trial	365
D. Choice of Law	365
VI. No Liability for Punitive Damages Except as Permitted under 28 U.S.C. 1605A	366
A. Right to Interlocutory Appeal	367

CHAPTER NINETEEN**The Turbulent Path to Federal Jurisdiction
in Aviation Cases****369**

JUDITH R. NEMSICK, SARAH G. PASSERI

I. Diversity Jurisdiction	370
A. Citizenship of Corporations	371
B. Nondiverse and Forum Defendants	372
C. Establishing the Amount in Controversy	373
II. Federal Question Jurisdiction	374
A. Substantial Federal Question	376
B. Federal Jurisdiction under the Montreal and Warsaw Conventions	379
III. Statutory Grants of Federal Jurisdiction	382
A. Multiparty Multiforum Trial Jurisdiction Act	382
B. Class Action Fairness Act	383
C. Federal Officer Jurisdiction	385
1. FAA-Designated Agent	385
2. Government Contractor	387
D. Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act	389
E. Special Jurisdictional Grants—September 11	392
IV. Federal Tort Claims Act and the Westfall Act	392

V. Admiralty Jurisdiction	394
A. Maritime Locality	395
B. Connection with Traditional Maritime Activity	397
C. Aviation Cases Lacking a Maritime Nexus	399
D. Death on the High Seas Act	400
VI. Conclusion	403

CHAPTER TWENTY

Federal Officer Removal Jurisdiction and the Government Contractor Defense **405**

JEFFREY S. PORETZ, KAITLIN DEL VECCHIO MOTLEY, EVAGELIA E. PAPADIMAS

I. Introduction	405
II. The History of the Federal Officer Removal Statute	406
A. The Current Federal Officer Removal Statute Is Consistent with Its Predecessors	406
B. Federal Officer Removal Is Construed Broadly	407
III. Analysis of the Federal Officer Removal Statute	408
A. The Elements	408
1. Person Acting under a Federal Officer	409
2. Under Color of Federal Office	410
3. Colorable Federal Defense and the Government Contractor Defense	411
B. The Government Contractor Defense under Section 1442(A)(1)	412
1. The Government Contractor Defense Extends to Failure to Warn Cases	413
2. The Government Contractor Defense Extends to Service Contracts	413
3. The Government Contractor Defense Sometimes Applies to Subcontractors	414
IV. Procedural Aspects for Removing Cases under 28 U.S.C. § 1442(a)(1)	415
A. Filing the Notice of Removal	415
B. The Motion for Remand	416
C. Evidence Proving That Removal Is Appropriate	416
1. <i>McMahon v. Presidential Airways, Inc.</i>	417
2. <i>Badilla v. National Air Cargo, Inc.</i>	417
D. Appealing an Order for Remand	418
V. Conclusion	419

CHAPTER TWENTY-ONE

Choice of Law in Aviation Accident Litigation **421**

MATTHEW J. KALAS

I. Introduction	421
II. Choice-of-Law Rules	423
A. Depeçage	425
B. Second Restatement—Most Significant Relationship	425
C. Lex Loci Delicti	428

D. Modified Second Restatement Approaches	429
E. Other Methods—Interest Analysis, Sufficient Contacts	430
1. California	430
2. New York	431
3. Kentucky	432
F. Choice of Law in Admiralty Cases	433
G. Other Considerations	434
III. Conclusion	436

CHAPTER TWENTY-TWO

Multidistrict Litigation Practice and Procedure **437**

ALAN D. REITZFELD, MARC L. ANTONECCHIA

I. Introduction	437
II. Class Actions versus Multidistrict Litigation	439
III. Procedures for Transferring Civil Actions	441
IV. Standards for Centralization and Transfer	443
V. Proceedings after JPML Transfer	447
A. Appointment of Liaison and Lead Counsel and Committees	448
B. Initial MDL Conference	449
C. Case Management Order	449
VI. Scope of Multidistrict Litigation	450
VII. Examples of Other Benefits and Disadvantages of Multidistrict Litigation	455
VIII. What Happens When the Coordinated or Consolidated Pretrial Proceedings Have Been Completed?	456
A. What If the Case Has Not Been Terminated in the Transferee Court?	457
B. What If One or More Parties Would Like a 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a) Transferred Case to Be Tried in the Transferee Court?	458
C. What If All Parties Do Not Consent to Trial in the Transferee Court?	458
D. Procedure after Remand to the Transferor Court	460
IX. Appellate Procedure	462
A. JPML Orders	462
B. Transferor and Transferee Court Orders	462
X. Coordination between Multidistrict Litigation and State Court Litigation	464

CHAPTER TWENTY-THREE

Personal Jurisdiction and the Foreign Defendant **467**

LISA J. SAVITT

I. Introduction	467
II. Concept of Personal Jurisdiction	468
A. Specific Jurisdiction	468
B. General Jurisdiction	470
III. Aviation Cases Post <i>McIntyre</i> , <i>Goodyear</i> , <i>Daimler</i> , and <i>Walden</i>	472
A. Specific Jurisdiction	472
B. General Jurisdiction	474
IV. Future Considerations	475

PART III**Discovery and Trial 477****CHAPTER TWENTY-FOUR****Admissibility and Use of Government Aviation Accident Investigation Reports 479**

GARY L. HALBERT, STOSH SILIVOS

I. Introduction	479
II. Overview of Accident Reports	480
A. The NTSB and Its Reports	480
B. Foreign Accident Reports	482
III. Admissibility and Use of NTSB Reports	483
A. The Federal Exclusionary Rule	483
B. Hearsay and the Public Records Exception	488
C. Probative Value and Federal Rule of Evidence 403	492
D. Other Possible Strategies for Introduction	493
1. Use by Expert Witnesses	493
2. Cross-Examination of Opposing Experts and Other Witnesses	494
3. Curative Admission Doctrine	495
4. Reports from Similar Cases	496
IV. Admissibility and Use of Foreign Accident Reports	497
A. Hearsay and the Public Records Exception	497
B. Probative Value and Federal Rule of Evidence 403	499
V. Conclusion	500

CHAPTER TWENTY-FIVE**NTSB Investigations of Aircraft Accidents 501**

KENNETH P. QUINN, JENNIFER TROCK, GRAHAM C. KEITHLEY

I. NTSB's Investigation Authority and Priority	502
A. The NTSB Has Broad Aircraft Accident and Incident Investigation Authority	502
1. Civil and Public Aircraft in the United States	502
2. Unmanned Aircraft Systems	503
3. Military or Intelligence Agency Aircraft	504
4. Aircraft Accidents Outside of the United States	505
B. Delegation of Investigation Authority for Certain Accidents	506
C. Priority of NTSB Investigations over Investigations of Other Government Agencies	507
D. Concurrent Private Litigation Investigations	508
II. Notification and Reporting of Aviation Accidents and Incidents to the NTSB	509
III. NTSB Aviation Accident Investigation Participation, Flow of Information, and Process	510

A.	Participating in the NTSB Investigation	511
1.	Parties to the Investigation	511
2.	Party Participants and Coordinators	513
3.	Other Participating Persons or Entities	514
B.	Flow of and Restrictions on Accident Information	515
1.	Information Collected by the NTSB in Accident Investigations	515
2.	Cockpit Voice and Flight Data Recorder Information	517
3.	Discovery and Use of CVR Information in Private Litigation	518
4.	Restrictions of Sharing Information with Non-NTSB Party Participants	519
5.	Protection of Information—FOIA Exemptions, Trade Secrets, and Voluntary Information	520
C.	Major Aviation Accident Investigation Organization and Process	522
1.	NTSB, FAA, and Other U.S. Government Participants	522
2.	On-Scene Investigation, Interviews, and Factual Investigation	524
3.	Factual and Analysis Reports	525
4.	Public Hearing	525
5.	Technical Review and Board Meeting and Report	528
IV.	International Standards Applicable to NTSB Investigations	531
A.	Duty to Investigate, Authority to Delegate, and Investigator Independence	531
B.	Participation of Other States	533
C.	Sharing and Protection of Information and Final Reports	534
1.	Accident Information and Final Reports	534
2.	Protection for Non-accident Safety Data and Information	536

CHAPTER TWENTY-SIX

Litigating a Foreign Aviation Crash

539

LADD SANGER

I.	Overview	539
A.	Discovery Suits	539
B.	Foreign Documents	540
1.	Accident Reports	540
2.	Maintenance Records and Manufacturer Records	544
3.	Pilot Records	547
C.	Wreckage Located in Foreign Jurisdiction or International Waters	547
D.	Application of Foreign Law	548
1.	Federal Law	548
2.	State Law and Texas Law	549
E.	Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act	550
F.	Depositions in Foreign Jurisdictions	552
1.	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	552
2.	Hague Convention on the Taking of Evidence Abroad	553

CHAPTER TWENTY-SEVEN**The Duty to Preserve and Claims of Spoliation
in the World of Aviation Litigation****557**

CAMERON CLOAR-SVALETA, ERIC STRAIN

I. Introduction	557
II. Sanctions	558
III. Independent Causes of Action in Tort for Evidence Spoliation	559
IV. Record Retention Programs, Litigation Holds, and Preservation of Evidence Letters	560
A. Record Retention Programs	561
B. Litigation Holds	562
C. Preservation of Evidence Letters	563
V. Issues Unique to the Aviation Case	564
A. NTSB Accident Investigations	564
B. Inspections and Tests in Civil Litigation	565
C. The Enlarging Universe of E-Data	566
VI. Conclusion	567

CHAPTER TWENTY-EIGHT**Best Practices in Electronic Discovery in Aviation Cases—
Preservation through Production****569**

MARTIN F. BARNA

I. Legal Holds and Preservation	569
II. Identification of Custodians and Data Sources	570
III. Electronically Stored Information (ESI) Checklist for Your Rule 26(f) Conference	572
IV. Forensic Collection	573
V. Processing, Early Case Assessment, and Searching	575
A. Processing	575
B. Early Case Assessment	576
C. Searching	576
D. Exceptions, Hidden Content, and Other Processing Tips	577
VI. Organizing a Document Review	578
A. Selecting a Vendor and Review Platform	578
B. The Review Team and Review Memorandum	578
C. Creating a Review Workflow	579
D. Setting Up Review Coding	580
E. Privilege Logs and Redactions	581
F. Review Technologies and Methods to Consider	581
G. Analytics and Technology-Assisted Review	582
VII. Production	583
VIII. Conclusion	585

CHAPTER TWENTY-NINE**Expert Witnesses in Aviation Litigation:
The Strategic Selection and Deployment
of Aviation Experts****587**

STEVEN C. MARKS

I. Introduction	587
II. Experts in Aviation	587
A. Aviation Expert Fields	587
B. Liability-Based Categories of Aviation Experts	588
C. Expert Roles	589
D. The Jack-of-All-Trades Expert	590
III. Evaluating Experts	590
A. Expert Admissibility Standards	591
1. Federal Courts—Expert Testimony Admissibility	591
2. State Court Expert Admissibility Standards	592
B. The Effective Expert Witness	594
1. Effective Communicator	594
2. Congeniality	594
3. Former Government Employees as Experts	594
IV. Hiring and Deploying Experts—Strategic Considerations	595
A. Retaining Experts in Foreign Aviation Litigation	595
B. The Perceived Problem of Expert Bias	596
V. Conclusion	597

CHAPTER THIRTY**Pre-Suit Discovery: How to Ask Questions First
So That You Can Sue Later****599**

FLOYD A. WISNER, ALEXANDRA M. WISNER

I. Introduction	599
II. Pre-suit Discovery in Federal Courts	600
III. Pre-suit Discovery in State Courts	600
A. Statutory Pre-suit Discovery to Perpetuate Testimony Only	601
B. Statutory Pre-suit Discovery for Confirmatory Purposes	602
1. Confirming the Identity of the Proper Parties	602
2. Confirming Facts to Support the Cause of Action	604
C. Statutory Pre-suit Discovery for Investigatory Purposes	606
IV. Opposing a Petition for Pre-suit Discovery and Petitioner's Arguments in Response	608
V. The Benefits of Pre-suit Discovery—For Both Plaintiffs and Defendants	609
VI. Pre-suit Discovery and Forum Selection	609
A. Consider the Pleading Standard	609
B. Removal to Federal Court	610
1. An Example in the Aviation Context—Ethiopian Airlines Flight 409	611

C. Petitioners Are Limited to the Same Forum as in an Action for Damages	612
1. Misuse of Pre-suit Discovery	612
VII. Conclusion	613

PART IV

Insurance Considerations 615

CHAPTER THIRTY-ONE

An Aviation Insurance Primer: An Overview for the Aviation Practitioner 617

KATHERINE B. POSNER, WENDY A. GROSSMAN

I. Introduction	617
II. Applicability of General Insurance Principles and Precedent	617
III. The Aviation Insurance Industry	619
IV. Types of Aviation Insurance	620
A. Aviation Hull Insurance	621
1. Loss of and Damage to Aircraft	621
2. Insured Value and Agreed Value Policies	621
3. Spares Coverage	622
4. Exclusions from Hull Coverage	623
5. Abandonment and Salvage	623
B. Passenger Liability Insurance	624
1. Bodily Injury of Passengers	624
2. Family Assistance and Disaster Response Expenses	624
C. Third-Party Liability Insurance	625
D. Products Liability Insurance	625
E. Airport Operations Liability Insurance	628
V. Conclusion	629

CHAPTER THIRTY-TWO

Issues in Identifying Insureds and Scope of Coverage for Additional Insureds under Aviation Policies 631

ANDREW T. HOUGHTON, TANYA C. ROLO

I. Identifying Who Is an Insured	632
A. Employee or Independent Contractor?	633
B. The Use of Omnibus Language	634
C. Other Policy Limitations on Who Is an Insured	636
II. Sources of Additional Insured Status	636
A. Additional Insured Endorsements	637
B. Contractual Requirements	637
C. AVN 67B	639

III. Issues in the Scope of Coverage for Additional Insureds	640
A. Is a Defense Owed to the Additional Insured?	640
B. Is an Additional Insured Covered for Its Own Negligence?	643
C. Application of the Employee Injury Exclusion to Additional Insureds	646
IV. Conclusion	648

PART V

ADR, Damages, and Settlements 649

CHAPTER THIRTY-THREE

The Use of Alternative Dispute Resolution in Aviation Cases 651

RAYMOND L. MARIANI

I. Deciding Whether to Use ADR for an Aviation Case	651
II. Selecting an ADR Professional: Aviation Background Needed?	653
III. Preparing the Aviation Mediation Brief	654
IV. Goals of the Mediation	655
V. Premediation Meetings and Telephone Calls	656
VI. Selecting the Client Representative	657
VII. Whether to Employ a Joint Session and Opening Remarks	658
VIII. Preserving Client Relationships	659
IX. Memorializing the Settlement	660
X. Conclusion	660

CHAPTER THIRTY-FOUR

Punitive Damages in Aviation Cases 661

GARY C. ROBB

CHAPTER THIRTY-FIVE

Punitive Damages in Aviation Litigation 673

MICHAEL G. MCQUILLEN, TODD M. SARANECKI, KURT C. SCHLUETER, PETER C. KIM

I. Introduction	673
II. Choice-of-Law in Aviation Accident Lawsuits	673
III. Immunity for Specific Categories	675
A. Punitive Damages Prohibited in DOHSA Cases	676
B. Punitive Damages Prohibited in Montreal Convention Cases	676
C. State Wrongful Death Statutes	677
IV. Insurability of Punitive Damages	678

V. Restrictions on Punitive Damages	679
A. Pleading Requirements	679
B. Bifurcating Punitive Damages	679
C. Limits on Punitive Damages Award	680
1. Statutory Limits	680
2. Constitutional Limits	681
D. Jury Instructions	682
VI. Standards for Imposing Punitive Damages	684
VII. Corporate Punitive Liability	688
VIII. Colgan Air Flight 3407	691
A. Factual Background	691
B. Choice-of-Law Analysis	692
C. Preemption and the Federal Standard of Care	693
D. Defining the Federal Standard of Care	694
E. Airline Liability for Punitive Damages	696
IX. Conclusion	697

CHAPTER THIRTY-SIX

Wading through the Choice of Law

Quagmire: A Guide for the Uninitiated

699

J. MICHAEL PENNEKAMP, HELAINE S. GOODNER

I. Introduction	699
II. Whose Choice of Law Rules Apply	702
A. True Conflict	702
B. The Doctrine of Depeçage	702
C. Which Choice of Law Rules Apply in Federal Court	703
1. Diversity Jurisdiction	703
2. Federal Question Jurisdiction	703
3. Warsaw Convention/Montreal Convention	704
4. Federal Transferred Actions	705
D. Which Choice of Law Rules Apply in State Courts	705
III. Choice of Law Rules	706
A. Restatement (Second) of Conflict of Laws (1971)	706
B. Government Interest Analysis	708
C. Lex Loci Delicti	710
D. Admiralty Choice of Law	710
IV. Choice of Law and Compensatory Damages	713
V. Multidistrict Litigation and Damages	716
VI. Punitive Damages	718
VII. Some Procedural Considerations	722
VIII. Conclusion	722

CHAPTER THIRTY-SEVEN**Medicare Reimbursement and Compliance
in Air Carrier Liability Cases****723**

SYLVIUS H. VON SAUCKEN, JOSHUA ALBERT, MARLENE WILSON, JOHN V. CATTIE, JR.

I. Medicare's Right to Recover Past Medical Expenses: Medicare as the Secondary Payer under 42 U.S.C. § 1395y(b)(2)	724
A. Medicare as Secondary Payer and Conditional Payments	724
B. Medicare's Right of Recovery	725
C. How Much Can Medicare Recover?	727
D. Consequences for Noncompliance	728
E. How to Resolve Medicare's Recovery Rights	729
II. Air Carrier Obligations—Mandatory Insurer Reporting: Medicare, Medicaid, and SCHIP Extension Act of 2007	731
A. Who Is Required to Report and When?	731
B. MMSEA Reporting Is a Two-Step Process	733
1. Step One: Verification of Enrollment—The Query Process	733
2. Step Two: What Triggers a Reporting Obligation?	734
C. Is There a Reporting Requirement for Derivative Claimants?	735
D. What Are the Reporting Thresholds?	735
E. Statute of Limitation	736
F. What Are the Penalties?	736
G. Process to Ensure MMSEA Compliance	737
III. Future Medical Costs	737
A. Probable MSA Regulations	738
B. Achieving Compliance for Future Medical Costs	740
IV. Conclusion	743

CHAPTER THIRTY-EIGHT**U.S. Sanctions Overview for Aviation Claims****745**

DANIEL E. CORRELL

I. History of U.S. Sanctions	745
II. Current U.S. Sanctions Programs	747
III. Impact of U.S. Sanctions on Aviation Claims	749
IV. Costs of Noncompliance	751
V. Conclusion	752
Table of Cases	753
Index	805