To construct this book I had the delightful task of reviewing almost forty years of trial wisdom, otherwise known as *Litigation Magazine*. I culled all of the articles on the topic of cross-examination and then selected a group of essays which collectively covered all aspects of the art. What I did not realize until later was how these pieces read together resemble a vigorous conversation on the art of cross-examination amongst skilled advocates, judges and law professors, sometimes agreeing and occasionally disagreeing and contradicting each other. But as Walt Whitman wrote in his poem, *Song of Myself*: “Do I contradict myself? Very well then I contradict myself, (I am large, I contain multitudes).”

Cross-examination is also a fertile source of war stories for trial lawyers. One of my favorites was told by the English barrister, author and playwright, John Mortimer.¹ Mortimer said that his father, a divorce barrister, used a dramatic pause before he started cross-examining.

My father used to count up to 20 before he started. It made him look as though he was thinking up something devastating. When I copied the technique, and started counting up to 20, the judge said, “For Christ’s sake get on with it. I don’t want to sit here waiting while you stand in silent prayer.”

My thanks to my secretary, Phyllis Stock, for her work on preparing the manuscript and to my colleague, Attorney Christopher Amar for checking the accuracy of the manuscript against Professor Younger’s original essay. Most of all, special thanks to all of the authors for letting me include their work.