

PROGRAM OF LEGAL EDUCATION

Standard 301. OBJECTIVES

(a) A law school shall maintain an educational program that prepares its students for admission to the bar, and effective and responsible participation in the legal profession.

(b) A law school shall ensure that all students have reasonably comparable opportunities to take advantage of the school's educational program, co-curricular programs, and other educational benefits.

Interpretation 301-1

A law school shall maintain an educational program that prepares its students to address current and anticipated legal problems.

Interpretation 301-2

A law school may offer an educational program designed to emphasize certain aspects of the law or the legal profession.

Interpretation 301-3

Among the factors to be considered in assessing the extent to which a law school complies with this Standard are the rigor of its academic program, including its assessment of student performance, and the bar passage rates of its graduates.

Interpretation 301-4

Among the factors to consider in assessing compliance with Standard 301(b) are whether students have reasonably comparable opportunities to benefit from regular interaction with full-time faculty and other students, from such co-curricular programs as journals and competition teams, and from special events such as lecture series and short-time visitors.

Interpretation 301-5

For schools providing more than one enrollment or scheduling option, the opportunities to take advantage of the school's educational program, co-curricular activities, and other educational benefits for students enrolled under one option shall be deemed reasonably comparable to the opportunities of students enrolled under other options if the opportunities are roughly proportional based upon the relative number of students enrolled in various options.

Interpretation 301-6

A. A law school's bar passage rate shall be sufficient, for purposes of Standard 301(a), if the school demonstrates that it meets any one of the following tests:

- 1) That for students who graduated from the law school within the five most recently completed calendar years:*

(a) 75 percent or more of these graduates who sat for the bar passed a bar examination, or

(b) in at least three of these calendar years, 75 percent of the students graduating in those years and sitting for the bar have passed a bar examination.

In demonstrating compliance under sections (1)(a) and (b), the school must report bar passage results from as many jurisdictions as necessary to account for at least 70% of its graduates each year, starting with the jurisdiction in which the highest number of graduates took the bar exam and proceeding in descending order of frequency.

2) That in three or more of the five most recently completed calendar years, the school's annual first-time bar passage rate in the jurisdictions reported by the school is no more than 15 points below the average first-time bar passage rates for graduates of ABA-approved law schools taking the bar examination in these same jurisdictions.

In demonstrating compliance under section (2), the school must report first-time bar passage data from as many jurisdictions as necessary to account for at least 70 percent of its graduates each year, starting with the jurisdiction in which the highest number of graduates took the bar exam and proceeding in descending order of frequency. When more than one jurisdiction is reported, the weighted average of the results in each of the reported jurisdictions shall be used to determine compliance.

B. A school shall be out of compliance with the bar passage portion of 301(a) if it is unable to demonstrate that it meets the requirements of paragraph A (1) or (2).

C. A school found out of compliance under paragraph B and that has not been able to come into compliance within the two year period specified in Rule 13(b) of the Rules of Procedure for Approval of Law Schools, may seek to demonstrate good cause for extending the period the school has to demonstrate compliance by submitting evidence of:

(i) The school's trend in bar passage rates for both first-time and subsequent takers: a clear trend of improvement will be considered in the school's favor, a declining or flat trend against it.

(ii) The length of time the school's bar passage rates have been below the first-time and ultimate rates established in paragraph A: a shorter time period will be considered in the school's favor, a longer period against it.

(iii) Actions by the school to address bar passage, particularly the school's academic rigor and the demonstrated value and effectiveness of the school's academic support and bar preparation programs: value-added, effective, sustained and pervasive actions to address bar passage problems will be considered in the school's favor; ineffective or only marginally effective programs or limited action by the school against it.

(iv) Efforts by the school to facilitate bar passage for its graduates who did not pass the bar on prior attempts: effective and sustained efforts by the school will be considered in the school's favor; ineffective or limited efforts by the school against it.

(v) Efforts by the school to provide broader access to legal education while maintaining academic rigor: sustained meaningful efforts will be viewed in the school's favor; intermittent or limited efforts against it.

(vi) The demonstrated likelihood that the school's students who transfer to other ABA-approved schools will pass the bar examination: transfers by students with a strong likelihood of passing the bar will be considered in the school's favor, providing the school has undertaken counseling and other appropriate efforts to retain its well-performing students.

(vii) Temporary circumstances beyond the control of the school, but which the school is addressing: for example, a natural disaster that disrupts the school's operations or a significant increase in the standard for passing the relevant bar examination(s).

(viii) Other factors, consistent with a school's demonstrated and sustained mission, which the school considers relevant in explaining its deficient bar passage results and in explaining the school's efforts to improve them.

Standard 302. CURRICULUM

(a) A law school shall require that each student receive substantial instruction in:

(1) the substantive law generally regarded as necessary to effective and responsible participation in the legal profession;

(2) legal analysis and reasoning, legal research, problem solving, and oral communication;

(3) writing in a legal context, including at least one rigorous writing experience in the first year and at least one additional rigorous writing experience after the first year;

(4) other professional skills generally regarded as necessary for effective and responsible participation in the legal profession; and

(5) the history, goals, structure, values, rules and responsibilities of the legal profession and its members.

(b) A law school shall offer substantial opportunities for:

(1) live-client or other real-life practice experiences, appropriately supervised and designed to encourage reflection by students on their experiences and on the values

and responsibilities of the legal profession, and the development of one's ability to assess his or her performance and level of competence;

(2) student participation in pro bono activities; and

(3) small group work through seminars, directed research, small classes, or collaborative work.

Interpretation 302-1

Factors to be considered in evaluating the rigor of writing instruction include: the number and nature of writing projects assigned to students; the opportunities a student has to meet with a writing instructor for purposes of individualized assessment of the student's written products; the number of drafts that a student must produce of any writing project; and the form of assessment used by the writing instructor.

Interpretation 302-2

Each law school is encouraged to be creative in developing programs of instruction in professional skills related to the various responsibilities which lawyers are called upon to meet, using the strengths and resources available to the school. Trial and appellate advocacy, alternative methods of dispute resolution, counseling, interviewing, negotiating, problem solving, factual investigation, organization and management of legal work, and drafting are among the areas of instruction in professional skills that fulfill Standard 302 (a)(4).

Interpretation 302-3

A school may satisfy the requirement for substantial instruction in professional skills in various ways, including, for example, requiring students to take one or more courses having substantial professional skills components. To be "substantial," instruction in professional skills must engage each student in skills performances that are assessed by the instructor.

Interpretation 302-4

A law school need not accommodate every student requesting enrollment in a particular professional skills course.

Interpretation 302-5

The offering of live-client or real-life experiences may be accomplished through clinics or field placements. A law school need not offer these experiences to every student nor must a law school accommodate every student requesting enrollment in any particular live-client or other real-life practice experience.

Interpretation 302-6

A law school should involve members of the bench and bar in the instruction required by Standard 302(a)(5).

Interpretation 302-7

If a law school grants academic credit for a bar examination preparation course, such credit may not be counted toward the minimum requirements for graduation established in Standard 304. A law school may not require successful completion of a bar examination preparation course as a condition of graduation.

Interpretation 302-8

A law school shall engage in periodic review of its curriculum to ensure that it prepares the school's graduates to participate effectively and responsibly in the legal profession.

Interpretation 302-9

The substantial instruction in the history, structure, values, rules, and responsibilities of the legal profession and its members required by Standard 302(a)(5) includes instruction in matters such as the law of lawyering and the Model Rules of Professional Conduct of the American Bar Association.

Interpretation 302-10

Each law school is encouraged to be creative in developing substantial opportunities for student participation in pro bono activities. Pro bono opportunities should at a minimum involve the rendering of meaningful law-related service to persons of limited means or to organizations that serve such persons; however volunteer programs that involve meaningful services that are not law-related also may be included within the law school's overall program. Law-related pro bono opportunities need not be structured to accomplish any of the professional skills training required by Standard 302(a)(4). While most existing law school pro bono programs include only activities for which students do not receive academic credit, Standard 302(b)(2) does not preclude the inclusion of credit-granting activities within a law school's overall program of pro bono opportunities so long as law-related non-credit bearing initiatives are also part of that program.

Standard 303. ACADEMIC STANDARDS AND ACHIEVEMENTS

(a) A law school shall have and adhere to sound academic standards, including clearly defined standards for good standing and graduation.

(b) A law school shall monitor students' academic progress and achievement from the beginning of and periodically throughout their studies.

(c) A law school shall not continue the enrollment of a student whose inability to do satisfactory work is sufficiently manifest so that the student's continuation in school would inculcate false hopes, constitute economic exploitation, or detrimentally affect the education of other students.

Interpretation 303-1

Scholastic achievement of students shall be evaluated by examinations of suitable length and complexity, papers, projects, or by assessment of performances of students in the role of lawyers.

Interpretation 303-2

A law school shall provide academic advising to students to communicate effectively to them the school's academic standards and graduation requirements, and guidance regarding course selection and sequencing. Academic advising should include assisting each student with planning a program of study consistent with that student's goals.

Interpretation 303-3

A law school shall provide the academic support necessary to assure each student a satisfactory opportunity to complete the program, graduate, and become a member of the legal profession. This obligation may require a school to create and maintain a formal academic support program.

Standard 304. COURSE OF STUDY AND ACADEMIC CALENDAR

- (a) A law school shall have an academic year of not fewer than 130 days on which classes are regularly scheduled in the law school, extending into not fewer than eight calendar months. The law school shall provide adequate time for reading periods, examinations, and breaks, but such time does not count toward the 130-day academic year requirement.**
- (b) A law school shall require, as a condition for graduation, successful completion of a course of study in residence of not fewer than 58,000 minutes of instruction time, except as otherwise provided. At least 45,000 of these minutes shall be by attendance in regularly scheduled class sessions at the law school.**
- (c) A law school shall require that the course of study for the J.D. degree be completed no earlier than 24 months and no later than 84 months after a student has commenced law study at the law school or a law school from which the school has accepted transfer credit.**
- (d) A law school shall require regular and punctual class attendance.**
- (e) A law school shall not permit a student to be enrolled at any time in coursework that, if successfully completed, would exceed 20 percent of the total coursework required by that school for graduation (or a proportionate number for schools on other academic schedules, such as a quarter system).**
- (f) A student may not be employed more than 20 hours per week in any week in which the student is enrolled in more than twelve class hours.**

Interpretation 304-1

This Standard establishes a minimum period of academic instruction as a condition for graduation. While the academic year is typically divided into two equal terms of at least thirteen weeks, that equal division is not required. The Standard accommodates deviations from a conventional semester system, including quarter systems, trimesters, and mini-terms.

Interpretation 304-2

A law school may not count more than five class days each week toward the 130-day requirement.

Interpretation 304-3

In calculating the 45,000 minutes of “regularly scheduled class sessions” for the purpose of Standard 304(b), the time may include:

(a) coursework at a law school for which a student receives credit toward the J.D. degree by the law school, so long as that work itself meets the requirements of Standard 304;

(b) coursework for which a student receives credit toward the J.D. degree that is work done in a foreign study program that qualifies under Standard 307;

(c) law school coursework that meets the requirements of Standard 306(c);

(d) in a seminar or other upper-level course other than an independent research course, the minutes allocated for preparation of a substantial paper or project if the time and effort required and anticipated educational benefit are commensurate with the credit awarded; and

(e) in a law school clinical course, the minutes allocated for clinical work so long as (i) the clinical course includes a classroom instructional component, (ii) the clinical work is done under the direct supervision of a member of the law school faculty or instructional staff whose primary professional employment is with the law school, and (iii) the time and effort required and anticipated educational benefit are commensurate with the credit awarded.

A law school shall not include in the 45,000 minutes required by Standard 304(b) to be by attendance in regularly scheduled class sessions at the law school any other coursework, including but not limited to (i) work qualifying for credit under Standard 305; (ii) coursework completed in another department, school or college of the university with which the law school is affiliated or at another institution of higher learning; and (iii) co-curricular activities such as law review, moot court, and trial competitions.

Interpretation 304-4

Law schools may find the following examples useful. Law schools on a conventional semester system typically require 700 minutes of instruction time per “credit,” exclusive of time for an examination. A quarter hour of credit requires 450 minutes of instruction time, exclusive of time for an examination. To achieve the required total of 58,000 minutes of instruction time, a law school must require at least 83 semester hours of credit, or 129 quarter hours of credit.

If a law school on a semester system offers classes in units of 50 minutes per credit, it can provide 700 minutes of instruction in 14 classes. If such a law school offers classes in units of 55 minutes per class, it can provide 700 minutes of instruction in 13 classes. If such a law school offers classes in units of 75 minutes per class, it can provide 700 minutes of instruction in 10 classes.

If a law school on a quarter system offers classes in units of 50 minutes per class, it can provide 450 minutes of instruction in 9 classes. If such a law school offers classes in units of 65 minutes per class, it can provide 450 minutes of instruction in 8 classes. If such a law school offers classes in units of 75 minutes per class, it can provide 450 minutes of instruction in 6 classes.

In all events, the 130-day requirement of Standard 304(a) and the 58,000-minute requirement of Standard 304(b) should be understood as separate and independent requirements.

Interpretation 304-5

Credit for a J.D. degree shall only be given for course work taken after the student has matriculated in a law school. A law school may not grant credit toward the J.D. degree for work taken in a pre-admission program.

Interpretation 304-6

A law school shall demonstrate that it has adopted and enforces policies insuring that individual students satisfy the requirements of this Standard, including the implementation of policies relating to class scheduling, attendance, and limitation on employment.

Interpretation 304-7

Subject to the provisions of this Interpretation, a law school shall require a student who has completed work in an LL.M. or other post J.D. program to complete all of the work for which it will award the J.D. degree following the student's regular enrollment in the school's J.D. program. A law school may accept transfer credit as otherwise allowed by the Standards.

A law school may award credit toward a J.D. degree for work undertaken in a LL.M. or other post J.D. program offered by it or another law school if:

(a) that work was the successful completion of a J.D. course while the student was enrolled in a post-J.D. law program;

(b) the law school at which the course was taken has a grading system for LL.M. students in J.D. courses that is comparable to the grading system for J.D. students in the course, and

(c) the law school accepting the transfer credit will require that the student successfully complete a course of study that satisfies the requirements of Standards 302(a)-(b) and that meets all of the school's requirement for the awarding of the J.D. degree.

Standard 305. STUDY OUTSIDE THE CLASSROOM

(a) A law school may grant credit toward the J.D. degree for courses or a program that permits or requires student participation in studies or activities away from or outside the law school or in a format that does not involve attendance at regularly scheduled class sessions.

(b) Credit granted shall be commensurate with the time and effort required and the anticipated quality of the educational experience of the student.

(c) Each student's academic achievement shall be evaluated by a faculty member. For purposes of Standard 305 and its Interpretations, the term "faculty member" means a member of the full-time or part-time faculty. When appropriate a school may use faculty members from other law schools to supervise or assist in the supervision or review of a field placement program.

(d) The studies or activities shall be approved in advance and periodically reviewed following the school's established procedures for approval of the curriculum.

(e) A field placement program shall include:

(1) a clear statement of the goals and methods, and a demonstrated relationship between those goals and methods to the program in operation;

(2) adequate instructional resources, including faculty teaching in and supervising the program who devote the requisite time and attention to satisfy program goals and are sufficiently available to students;

(3) a clearly articulated method of evaluating each student's academic performance involving both a faculty member and the field placement supervisor;

(4) a method for selecting, training, evaluating, and communicating with field placement supervisors;

(5) periodic on-site visits or their equivalent by a faculty member if the field placement program awards four or more academic credits (or equivalent) for field work in any academic term or if on-site visits or their equivalent are otherwise necessary and appropriate;

(6) a requirement that students have successfully completed one academic year of study prior to participation in the field placement program;

(7) opportunities for student reflection on their field placement experience, through a seminar, regularly scheduled tutorials, or other means of guided reflection. Where a student can earn four or more academic credits (or equivalent) in the program for fieldwork, the seminar, tutorial, or other means of guided reflection must be provided contemporaneously.

Interpretation 305-1

Activities covered by Standard 305(a) include field placement, moot court, law review, and directed research programs or courses for which credit toward the J.D. degree is granted, as

well as courses taken in parts of the college or university outside the law school for which credit toward the J.D. degree is granted.

Interpretation 305-2

The nature of field placement programs presents special opportunities and unique challenges for the maintenance of educational quality. Field placement programs accordingly require particular attention from the law school and the Accreditation Committee.

Interpretation 305-3

A law school may not grant credit to a student for participation in a field placement program for which the student receives compensation. This interpretation does not preclude reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses related to the field placement.

Interpretation 305-4

(a) A law school that has a field placement program shall develop, publish and communicate to students and field instructors a statement that describes the educational objectives of the program.

(b) In a field placement program, as the number of students involved or the number of credits awarded increases, the level of instructional resources devoted to the program should also increase.

Interpretation 305-5

Standard 305 by its own force does not allow credit for Distance Education courses.

Standard 306. DISTANCE EDUCATION

(a) A law school may offer credit toward the J.D. degree for study offered through distance education consistent with the provisions of this Standard and Interpretations of this Standard. Such credit shall be awarded only if the academic content, the method of course delivery, and the method of evaluating student performance are approved as part of the school's regular curriculum approval process.

(b) Distance education is an educational process characterized by the separation, in time or place, between instructor and student. It includes courses offered principally by means of:

- (1) technological transmission, including Internet, open broadcast, closed circuit, cable, microwave, or satellite transmission;**
- (2) audio or computer conferencing;**
- (3) video cassettes or discs; or**
- (4) correspondence.**

(c) A law school may award credit for distance education and may count that credit toward the 45,000 minutes of instruction required by Standard 304(b) if:

(1) there is ample interaction with the instructor and other students both inside and outside the formal structure of the course throughout its duration; and

(2) there is ample monitoring of student effort and accomplishment as the course progresses.

(d) A law school shall not grant a student more than four credit hours in any term, nor more than a total of 12 credit hours, toward the J.D. degree for courses qualifying under this Standard.

(e) No student shall enroll in courses qualifying for credit under this Standard until that student has completed instruction equivalent to 28 credit hours toward the J.D. degree.

(f) No credit otherwise may be given toward the J.D. degree for any distance education course.

Interpretation 306-1

To allow the Council and the Standards Review Committee to review and adjust this Standard, law schools shall report each year on the distance education courses that they offer.

Interpretation 306-2

Distance education presents special opportunities and unique challenges for the maintenance of educational quality. Distance education accordingly requires particular attention from the law school and by site visit teams and the Accreditation Committee.

Interpretation 306-3

Courses in which two-thirds or more of the course instruction consists of regular classroom instruction shall not be treated as “distance education” for purposes of Standards 306(d) and (e) even though they also include substantial on-line interaction or other common components of “distance education” courses so long as such instruction complies with the provisions of subsections (1) and (2) of Standard 306(c).

Interpretation 306-4

Law schools shall take steps to provide students in distance education courses opportunities to interact with instructors that equal or exceed the opportunities for such interaction with instructors in a traditional classroom setting.

Interpretation 306-5

Law schools shall have the technological capacity, staff, information resources, and facilities required to provide the support needed for instructors and students involved in distance education at the school.

Interpretation 306-6

Law schools shall establish mechanisms to assure that faculty who teach distance education courses and students who enroll in them have the skills and access to the technology necessary to enable them to participate effectively.

Interpretation 306-7

Faculty approval of credit for a distance education course shall include a specific explanation of how the course credit was determined. Credit shall be awarded in a manner consistent with the requirement of Interpretation 304-4 that requires 700 minutes of instruction for each credit awarded.

Interpretation 306-8

A law school that offers more than an incidental amount of credit for distance education shall adopt a written plan for distance education at the law school and shall periodically review the educational effectiveness of its distance education courses and programs.

Interpretation 306-9

“Credits” in this Standard means semester hour credits as provided in Interpretation 304-4. Law schools that use quarter hours of credit shall convert these credits in a manner that is consistent with the provisions of Interpretation 304-4.

Standard 307. PARTICIPATION IN STUDIES OR ACTIVITIES IN A FOREIGN COUNTRY

A law school may grant credit for student participation in studies or activities in a foreign country only if the studies or activities are approved in accordance with the Rules of Procedure and Criteria as adopted by the Council.

Interpretation 307-1

In addition to studies or activities covered by Criteria adopted by the Council, a law school may grant credit for (a) studies or activities in a foreign country that meet the requirements of Standard 305 and (b) brief visits to a foreign country that are part of a law school course approved through the school’s regular curriculum approval process.

Standard 308. DEGREE PROGRAMS IN ADDITION TO J.D.

A law school may not establish a degree program other than its J.D. degree program without obtaining the Council’s prior acquiescence. A law school may not establish a degree program in addition to its J.D. degree program unless the school is fully approved. The additional degree program may not detract from a law school’s ability to maintain a J.D. degree program that meets the requirements of the Standards.

Interpretation 308-1

Reasons for withholding acquiescence in the establishment of an advanced degree program include:

- (1) Lack of sufficient full-time faculty to conduct the J.D. degree program;*

(2) Lack of adequate physical facilities, which has a negative and material effect on the education students receive;

(3) Lack of an adequate law library to support both a J.D. and an advanced degree program; and

(4) A J.D. degree curriculum lacking sufficient diversity and richness in course offerings.

Interpretation 308-2

Acquiescence in a degree program other than the first degree in law is not an approval of the program itself, and, therefore, a school may not announce that the program is approved by the American Bar Association.