

**Pets in Protection Orders by State**

**as of: Apr. 6, 2010**

**Note: The law is constantly changing. Please independently confirm the data you find here.**

**Compiled by: Phil Arkow**

**Consultant, The Link, American Humane Association**

**Chair, Animal Abuse & Family Violence Prevention Project, The Latham Foundation**

**SECTION I: LAWS ENACTED**

State	Statute Enacted	Bills Pending	Summary	Enacted Language ( <u>underline</u> = new material added to existing statutes)
California	Sec. 6320, Family Code		Authorizes the court to order that the petitioner be granted the exclusive care, custody, or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by either the petitioner or respondent or a minor child residing in either's household; and to order the respondent to stay away from the animal or harm or dispose of the animal.	Authorizes the court to order, on a showing of good cause, that the petitioner be granted the exclusive care, custody, or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by either the petitioner or respondent or a minor child residing in either's household; and to order the respondent to stay away from the animal and forbid the respondent from taking, transferring, encumbering, concealing, molesting, attacking, striking, threatening, harming, or otherwise disposing of the animal.
Colorado	C.R.S. 18-6-800.3 – 803.5		Clarifies the definition of property to include animals in the context of protective orders	<p>“Domestic violence” means an act or threatened act of violence upon a person with whom the actor is or has been involved in an intimate relationship. “Domestic violence” also includes any other crime against a person, or against property, <u>including an animal</u>, or any municipal ordinance violation against a person, or against property, <u>including an animal</u>, when used as a method of coercion, control, punishment, intimidation, or revenge directed against a person with whom the actor is or has been involved in an intimate relationship.</p> <p>A person commits the crime of violation of a protection order if, after the person has been personally served with a protection order that identifies the person as a restrained person or otherwise has acquired from the court actual knowledge of the contents of a protection order that identifies the person as a restrained person, such person:</p> <p>(a) Contacts, harasses, injures, intimidates, molests, threatens, or touches the protected person <u>or protected</u></p>

				<p><u>property, including an animal</u>, identified in the protection order or enters or remains on premises or comes within a specified distance of the protected person, <u>protected property, including an animal</u>, or premises or violates any other provision of the protection order to protect the protected person from imminent danger to life or health, and such conduct is prohibited by the protection order;</p>
<b>Connecticut</b>	G.S.C. 46b-15(b) and 46(b)-38(c) and 54-1(k)		<p>Allows the court to issue a protection order that protects any animal owned or kept by the applicant including an order enjoining the respondent from injuring or threatening to injure such animal</p>	<p>Such order may include temporary child custody or visitation rights and such relief may include but is not limited to an order enjoining the respondent from (1) imposing any restraint upon the person or liberty of the applicant; (2) threatening, harassing, assaulting, molesting, sexually assaulting or attacking the applicant; or (3) entering the family dwelling or the dwelling of the applicant. <u>The court, in its discretion, may make such orders as it deems appropriate for the protection of any animal owned or kept by the applicant including, but not limited to, an order enjoining the respondent from injuring or threatening to injure such animal.</u></p> <p><u>A protective order issued under this section may include provisions necessary to protect any animal owned or kept by the victim including, but not limited to, an order enjoining the defendant from injuring or threatening to injure such animal.</u></p>
<b>Hawaii</b>	H.R.S. 586-4		<p>Allows judges to enjoin or restrain either the respondent or the protected person from taking, concealing, removing, threatening, physically abusing, or otherwise disposing of any animal identified to the court as belonging to the household until further court order.</p>	<p><u>The ex parte temporary restraining order may also enjoin or restrain both of the parties from taking, concealing, removing, threatening, physically abusing, or otherwise disposing of any animal identified to the court as belonging to a household, until further order of the court.</u></p>
<b>Illinois</b>	725 ILCS 5/112A-14		<p>Allows the court to include in the protection order exclusive care, custody or control of animals and ordering the respondent to stay away from the animal.</p>	<p>Remedies and standards. The remedies listed in this subsection shall be in addition to other civil or criminal remedies available to petitioner.</p> <p><u>(11.5) Protection of animals. Grant the petitioner the exclusive care, custody, or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by either the petitioner or the respondent or a minor child residing in the residence or household of either the petitioner or the respondent and order the respondent to stay away from the animal and</u></p>

				<u>forbid the respondent from taking, transferring, encumbering, concealing, harming, or otherwise disposing of the animal.</u>
<b>Louisiana</b>	R.S. 46:2135(A)(7) and Children's Code Art. 1569(A)(7)		Amends statutes and Children's Code to allow judges to include petitioner's or children's pets in protective orders, grant petitioner exclusive control of pets, and enjoin defendant from harming them	Grants to the petitioner the exclusive care, possession, or control of any pets belonging to or under the care of the petitioner or minor children residing in the residence or household of either party, and directs the defendant from harassing, interfering with, abusing or injuring any pet, without legal justification, known to be owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by either party or a minor child residing in the residence or household of either party.
<b>Maine</b>	19-A MRSA §4007(1) and §4011 (2)		Authorizes courts to issue orders of protection to safeguard the well-being of animals of either party or minor children. Violation is treated as contempt.	<b>Protection order; consent agreement.</b> The court, after a hearing and upon finding that the defendant has committed the alleged abuse, may grant a protective order or, upon making that finding, approve a consent agreement to bring about a cessation of abuse. This subsection does not preclude the parties from voluntarily requesting a consent agreement without a finding of abuse. The court may enter a finding that the defendant represents a credible threat to the physical safety of the plaintiff or a minor child residing in the plaintiff's household. Relief granted under this section may include: <u>N. Directing the care, custody or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept or held by either party or a minor child residing in the household</u>  <u>When the only provision that is violated concerns relief authorized under section 4007, subsection 1, paragraphs H to N, the violation must be treated as contempt and punished in accordance with law.</u>
<b>Nevada</b>	NRS 33.018 and 33.030		Expands the unlawful acts which constitute domestic violence to include knowingly, purposefully or recklessly injuring or killing an animal with the intent to harass the victim. The penalty is a misdemeanor. The court may issue a temporary or extended order to prevent the adverse party from harming or taking possession of an animal owned by the victim or a minor child, and may specify arrangements for the care of such animal.	Domestic violence occurs when a person commits one of the following acts against or upon his spouse, former spouse, any other person to whom he is related by blood or marriage, a person with whom he is or was actually residing, a person with whom he has had or is having a dating relationship, a person with whom he has a child in common, the minor child of any of those persons or his minor child: <u>(7) Injuring or killing an animal.</u>  The court by a temporary order may:

				<p>(e) <u>Enjoin the adverse party from physically injuring, threatening to injure or taking possession of any animal that is owned or kept by the applicant or minor child, either directly or through an agent;</u></p> <p>(f) <u>Enjoin the adverse party from physically injuring or threatening to injure any animal that is owned or kept by the adverse party, either directly or through an agent;</u>  The court by an extended order may grant any relief enumerated in subsection 1 and:</p> <p>b) <u>Specify arrangements for the possession and care of any animal owned or kept by the adverse party, applicant or minor child;</u></p>
<b>New York</b>	NY FAM CT § 842		<p>Allows a court to order the respondent to refrain from intentionally injuring or killing, without justification, any companion animal the respondent knows to be owned, possessed, leased, kept or held by the petitioner or a minor child residing in the household.</p>	<p>An order of protection under section 841 of this part shall set forth reasonable conditions of behavior to be observed for a period not in excess of two years by the petitioner or respondent or for a period not in excess of five years upon (i) a finding by the court on the record of the existence of aggravating circumstances as defined in paragraph (vii) of subdivision (a) of section 827 of this article; or (ii) a finding by the court on the record that the conduct alleged in the petition is in violation of a valid order of protection. Any finding of aggravating circumstances pursuant to this section shall be stated on the record and upon the order of protection. Any order of protection issued pursuant to this section shall specify if an order of probation is in effect. Any order of protection issued pursuant to this section may require the petitioner or the respondent: <u>(i) 1. to refrain from intentionally injuring or killing, without justification, any companion animal the respondent knows to be owned, possessed, leased, kept or held by the petitioner or a minor child residing in the household.</u></p> <p><u>2. "Companion animal", as used in this section, shall have the same meaning as in subdivision 5 of section 350 of the agriculture and markets law.</u></p>
<b>North Carolina</b>	S.L. 2009-425		<p>Allows a domestic violence protective order to "Provide for the care, custody, and control of any animal owned, possessed, kept, or held as a pet by either party or minor child residing in the household" and to order a party to refrain from "cruelly treating or abusing an animal</p>	

			owned, possessed, kept, or held as a pet by either party or minor child residing in the household.”	
<b>Tennessee</b>	Tennessee Code 36-3-601(1) and 36-3-606(a)		Expands the definition of domestic abuse to include physical harm or threatened physical harm to a pet of an adult or minor, and allows security of pets to be provided for in protection orders.	<p>“Abuse” means inflicting, or attempting to inflict, physical injury on an adult or minor by other than accidental means, placing an adult or minor in fear of physical harm, physical restraint, or malicious damage to the personal property of the abused party, <u>inflicting, or attempting to inflict, physical injury on any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by an adult or minor, or placing an adult or minor in fear of physical harm to any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by such adult or minor.</u></p> <p>A protection order granted under this part to protect the petitioner from domestic abuse, stalking or sexual assault may include, but is not limited to:  <u>Directing the care, custody, or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by either party or a minor residing in the household. In no instance shall such animal be placed in the care, custody, or control of the respondent but shall instead be placed in the care, custody, or control of the petitioner or in an appropriate animal foster situation</u></p>
<b>Vermont</b>	15 V.S.A. § 1103		Allows a court to include an order relating to the possession, care and control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held as a pet by either party or a minor child residing in the household in a domestic violence situation.	<p>(c) If the court finds that the defendant has abused the plaintiff and that there is a danger of further abuse, the court shall make such orders as it deems necessary to protect the plaintiff, the children, or both, which may include the following:  <u>(7) an order concerning the possession, care and control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held as a pet by either party or a minor child residing in the household.</u></p>
<b>Washington</b>	RCW 26.50.060 and 26.50.110 (2009)		Includes pets among petitioner’s personal effects; allows judges to grant petitioner exclusive custody or control of pets belonging to petitioner, respondent or minor, and to prohibit the respondent from coming within a specified distance of specified locations where the pet is regularly found; and prohibits acts of violence, harm or interference with these animals. Violation is a gross misdemeanor.	RCW 26.50.060 Sec. 2(k). Personal effects may include pets. The court may order that a petitioner be granted the exclusive custody or control of any pet owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by the petitioner, respondent, or minor child residing with either the petitioner or respondent and may prohibit the respondent from interfering with the petitioner’s efforts to remove the pet. The court may also prohibit the respondent from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a specified distance of specified locations where the pet is regularly found.

<b>West Virginia</b>	WV Code 48-27-503 (2010)		Permits protective orders to include animals of petitioner, respondent or minor child	<b>§48-27-503. Permissive provisions in protective order.</b> The terms of a protective order may include: (13) Awarding the petitioner the exclusive care, possession, or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept or held by either the petitioner or the respondent or a minor child residing in the residence or household of either the petitioner or the respondent and prohibiting the respondent from taking, concealing, molesting, physically injuring, killing or otherwise disposing of the animal and limiting or precluding contact by the respondent with the animal.
<b>Puerto Rico</b>	Law No. 154 (2008), P.S. 2552		Protective orders must be given by courts when requested by petitioner in domestic violence or child abuse cases. Orders may also be issued to protect shelters holding animals seized pursuant to abuse charges.  Law also increases penalties for animal cruelty committed in front of children, or by offenders with previous convictions for domestic violence, child abuse or elder abuse.  Law includes emotional harm within the definition of animal abuse.	Artículo 15. Órdenes de protección. a. En todo caso en que se acusase a una persona de violencia doméstica o maltrato de menores, el Tribunal deberá, a petición de parte, emitir una orden de protección al peticionario para que este sea el único custodio del animal. El Tribunal ordenará al acusado de mantenerse lejos del animal; prohibirle cualquier tipo de acercamiento.
<b>District of Columbia</b>	D.C. Official Code Sec. §16-1005 (c), Ch. 10 of Title 16.		If Family Division finds good cause that the respondent has committed or is threatening animal cruelty, it may issue a protection order directing the care, custody or control of an animal in the household.	D.C. Official Code §16-1005 (c), Ch. 10, Title 16. "(c) If, after hearing, the Family Division finds that there is good cause to believe the respondent has committed or is threatening an intrafamily offense, or animal cruelty, it may issue a protection order : "(10A) Directing the care, custody, or control of a domestic animal in the household.
<b>SECTION II: BILLS PENDING (AS OF 4/2010)</b>				
<b>Arizona</b>		SB 1085 (2010)	Would allow judges issuing domestic violence protective orders to grant exclusive care, custody or control of animals to the petitioner and order the respondent to stay away from, take, transfer, encumber, conceal, harm, or dispose of the animal.	
<b>Delaware</b>		HB 77 (2009)	Would allow the court to order that the petitioner be given temporary possession of	

			specified personal property solely or jointly owned by respondent or petitioner, including but not limited to, motor vehicles, checkbooks, keys and other personal effects, and personal property, including pets.	
<b>Georgia</b>		HB 429 (2010)	Would amend Code Section 19-13-4 to allow the court to order the respondent to refrain from harming any family pet and further direct the care, custody, or control of any family pet. As used in this paragraph, the term 'family pet' means an animal kept for companionship and enjoyment or a household animal which is owned or possessed by either party, or a minor child residing in the household of either party, and shall not include livestock, laboratory animals, working animals, or sport animals which are kept for economic purposes.	
<b>Iowa</b>		House File 32 + Senate File 70 (2009) <b>DIED</b>	Would allow judges to include animals in domestic violence restraining orders, or order the respondent to stay away from, take, transfer, encumber, conceal, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, or dispose of the animal.	
<b>Maryland</b>		HB 901 (2009) <b>DIED IN COMMITTEE</b>	Authorizes a District Court Commissioner, in an interim protective order, or a judge, in a temporary or final protective order, to order a respondent to remain away from, refrain from cruelty or aggravated cruelty toward, or give to a specified person a pet or service animal under specified circumstances; specifies penalties for failure to comply with relief ordered in a protective order	
<b>Massachusetts</b>		HB 1319 & 1499(2009)	Would amend Sec. 3 of Chap. 209A of the General Laws to allow judges to issue a protective order covering the care, custody or control of any animal owned, leased, kept or held by either party or a minor child residing in the home; would assist abused persons in	

			finding temporary shelter for animals whose safety is threatened; and would declare expenses incurred as a result of injury in a domestic violence situation to be compensable. The bill also authorizes a feasibility study to expand the service dog training program at Framingham MCI to accommodate domestic violence dogs needing foster placement.	
<b>Michigan</b>		HB 4741 (2008) <b>DIED</b>	Would provide penalties and sentencing guidelines for injuring, killing, torturing, neglecting, removing or threatening an animal, or interfering with the petitioner's efforts to remove the animal, in the perpetration of domestic violence.	
<b>Minnesota</b>		HF 1396 + SF 838 (2010)	Would allow courts to direct the care, possession or control of pets or companion animals owned or kept by a petitioner, respondent or a child, or order the respondent to have no contact with such animal.	
<b>Nebraska</b>		LB 83 (2009) <b>[FAILED TO ADVANCE 2/20/09]</b>	Would allow judges to issue protection orders directing the care, custody or control of domestic animals kept by either party or a minor child in the household, and enjoining the respondent from harming or killing such animals.	
<b>New Jersey</b>		AB 1633 and SB 540	Would allow judges to include animals in DV restraining orders.	
<b>New Mexico</b>		HB 199 (2010) <b>[FAILED TO ADVANCE]</b>	Defines "domestic abuse" as cruelty to animals with the intent to intimidate, threaten or harass; allows the court to grant to a party the exclusive possession and control of any animal kept, owned or leased by either party or by a minor child residing in the household of either party. The court may order a party to stay away from the animal and may forbid a party from taking, transferring, concealing, mistreating, harming or disposing of the animal;	
<b>Ohio</b>		HB 55 (2009)	Would include companion animals in the home of the complainant or alleged victim in	

			protection and temporary protection orders for domestic violence, stalking, and related cases. Bill would also revise cruelty penalties and sentencing provisions, mandate medical CE courses for counseling cruelty offenders, and require psychological evaluation and counseling for juvenile offenders.	
<b>Oklahoma</b>		HB 2827	Would encourage courts to include domestic animals in domestic violence protective orders.	
<b>Pennsylvania</b>		SB 32 (2008) <b>DIED</b>	Adds a provision to the cruelty to animals statute (5511 – 1.1) making it a third-degree felony for a person subject to a protection abuse order to willfully and maliciously kill, maim, disfigure, torture, or poison any domestic animal or domestic fowl that is in the care, control or supervision of a person who benefits from an abuse order.	
<b>Rhode Island</b>		HB 7290 <b>DIED IN COMMITTEE</b>	Allow the court to include in a protective order, temporary order or restraining order provisions concerning the care, custody or control of companion animals owned, possessed, leased or held by either party or a minor child.	
<b>South Carolina</b>		H. 3117 (2009)	Would allow the court to prohibit harm or harassment to the petitioner’s pet and order temporary possession of the animal to the petitioner.	
<b>Texas</b>		HB 853/SB 1840 (2009)	Would allow the court to prohibit the removal of a pet, companion animal or assistance animal from the possession of a person named in a protection order; or from harming, threatening or interfering with the care, custody or control of a pet, companion animal or assistance animal possessed by a person or family member protected by a court order.	
<b>Virginia</b>		HB 285 (2010) <b>POSTPONED UNTIL 2011</b>	Provides that a court may include in a protective order provisions prohibiting harm to a companion animal owned, possessed,	

			leased, kept, or held by a child the petitioner, or family or household members, when the harm to the companion animal is with the intent to threaten, coerce, intimidate or harm the child, petitioner or the family or household members.	
<b>Wisconsin</b>		SB 162 (2008) <b>DIED IN COMMITTEE</b>	Would expand the definition of domestic abuse to include harm or threat of harm to animals of the petitioner, respondent, children, or others in the household.	
<b>Wyoming</b>		HB 206 (2009) <b>DIED</b>	Would allow the court to direct the care, custody and control of any animal owned or kept by either party or a minor in the household. A new provision allows local law enforcement officers responding to requests for assistance in domestic violence cases to provide or arrange for temporary care, custody and control of these animals.	