

FREE CONTINUING LEGAL EDUCATION PROGRAM



Diversity on the Bench: Is the “Wise Latina” a Myth?

Saturday, February 6
2:30 pm - 4:30 pm

Walt Disney World Dolphin Resort - Orlando
Northern Hemisphere A4 - 5th Level

Sponsored by



Generous Support by:

Center for Human Rights • Section of Business Law • Section of Dispute Resolution • Section of Real Property, Trust and Estate Law • Section of Taxation • Tort Trial and Insurance Practice Section • The Florida Bar • Hispanic National Bar Association • National Asian Pacific American Bar Association • National Association of Women Judges • National Legal Aid and Defender Association • Inter-American Bar Association • International Bar Association • National Center for State Courts • Union International des Avocats (UIA)

Additional Co-Sponsors:

Standing Committee on Federal Judicial Improvements • Standing Committee on Judicial Independence • Standing Committee on Legal Aid and Indigent Defendants • Division for Public Education • Commission on the American Jury Project • Coalition for Justice • Commission on Domestic Violence • Commission on Immigration • Presidential Advisory Council on Diversity in the Profession • Center for Racial and Ethnic Diversity • Commission on Racial and Ethnic Diversity in the Profession • Council on Racial and Ethnic Justice • Commission on Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity • Commission on Women in the Profession • Section of Administrative Law and Regulatory Practice • Criminal Justice Section • Section of Family Law • General Practice, Solo and Small Firm Division • Government and Public Sector Lawyers Division • Section of Intellectual Property Law • Section of International Law • Section of Health Law • Judicial Division • Section of Labor and Employment Law • Law Practice Management Section • Law Student Division • Section of Litigation • Section of Public Contract Law • Section of Public Utility, Communications and Transportation Law • Section of Science and Technology Law • Section of State and Local Government Law • Senior Lawyers Division • Young Lawyers Division • American Immigration Lawyers Association • American Judicature Society • Dade County Bar Association • National Association of Women Lawyers • National Bar Association • National Conference of Women’s Bar Associations • National LGBT Bar Association • National Native American Bar Association • International Association of Young Lawyers (AIJA) • National Conference of Bar Presidents • National Institute for Trial Advocacy • National Judicial College

The recent Supreme Court confirmation hearing of Justice Sonia Sotomayor was dominated by 32 words excerpted from one of her many speeches: “I would hope that a wise Latina woman with the richness of her experiences would more often than not reach a better conclusion than a white male who hasn’t lived that life.” The notion that judges’ decisionmaking might be affected by their gender and race or ethnicity is repugnant to those who view “judging” as the sterile, bloodless, objective disposition of cases, without regard to judges’ personal backgrounds, biases, attitudes, and ideologies.

But a growing number of studies are now demonstrating the dramatic impact that judges’ gender and race/ethnicity may have, at least in certain types of cases. What does this fascinating and provocative research mean for the justice system?

First and foremost, the studies underscore the importance of diversity on the bench generally, and on appellate panels in particular. Indeed, diversity may in fact be outcome-determinative. But what about trial judges, who hear cases alone? Can judges *really* check their individual identities at the courthouse door? Should appellate courts closely scrutinize trial judges’ decisions in cases where the new studies suggest that a judge’s gender and/or race/ethnicity might well make a difference? Are there systemic changes that should be made?

Given the disparities in case outcomes documented in this extraordinary body of research, what can be done to promote accuracy, fairness, consistency, and predictability in judicial decisionmaking, to ensure that “the rule of law” prevails?

• • • • •

MODERATOR:

Dahlia Lithwick,

Senior Editor, *Slate*; Contributing Editor, *Newsweek*

PANELISTS:

Professor Pat K. Chew,

Author, “Myth of the Color-Blind Judge: An Empirical Analysis of Racial Harassment Cases”, University of Pittsburgh School of Law

Leslie Ellis, Ph.D.,

Kroll Ontrack/Trialgraphix

Hon. Carol E. Jackson,

U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri

Hon. Philip R. Martinez,

U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas

Jennifer Peresie,

Author, “Female Judges Matter: Gender and Collegial Decisionmaking in the Federal Appellate Courts” Kelllogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd, Evans & Figel, P.L.L.C., Washington D.C.

PROGRAM CHAIR:

Hon. Berle M. Schiller,

U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania